Utilisation of Salty Whey Ultrafiltration Permeate with Electrodialysis

Sahar Talebi^a, Esther Kee^b, George Q. Chen^a, Karren Bathurst^c, Sandra E. Kentish^{a*}

^a The ARC Dairy Innovation Hub, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Melbourne, Parkville,
Victoria 3010, Australia

^c Bega Cheese Pty. Ltd., 1 Vegemite Way, Port Melbourne, Victoria 3207, Australia

* Corresponding author, E-mail address: sandraek@unimelb.edu.au, Tel: +61 3 83446682

15 Abstract

16 Salty whey is a waste that results in increasingly high disposal costs for the dairy industry. This
17 work investigated electrodialysis of the ultrafiltration permeate of salty whey as either a
18 concentrate for the treatment of sweet whey or as a source of lactose and salt. The type of
19 concentrate (0.1M NaCl or salty whey permeate) did not affect the rate of sweet whey
20 demineralization or the energy consumed per tonne of whey, but less sodium and more divalent
21 cations were removed when salty whey permeate was used as the concentrate. Salty whey permeate
22 could be effectively demineralised using either 0.1M NaCl or a second stream of salty whey
23 permeate as the concentrate. The concentrate purity could be enhanced by using monovalent
24 selective membranes without increasing the energy consumption of the process (3.2 ± 0.3 kWh
25 per kg of NaCl removed from the diluate at 15V).

1. Introduction

Salty whey is produced during the cheese salting process, with 50-60% of the added salt ending up in this stream (Chen, Gras, & Kentish, 2018). The protein content of this stream can be recovered through an ultrafiltration (UF) step. However, the high mineral content of the permeate stream from the UF process limits its utilization in human and animal feed (Diblíková, Čurda, & Kinčl, 2013) and presents a major environmental concern if disposed of without treatment (Nishanthi, Chandrapala, & Vasiljevic, 2017). As the costs of salt disposal to the sewer are increasing in Australia, this is also becoming an economic burden to the dairy industry. Hence this paper examines different applications for salty whey permeate that might add value to dairy processing.

Firstly, the use of salty whey permeate as the concentrate stream during the electrodialysis (ED) of sweet whey (see Figure 1 (a)) is examined. ED refers to the process in which ions are transferred through ion-exchange membranes (IEMs) under the application of an electric field. An ED module contains three major processing streams: (1) a diluate stream that is demineralized; (2) a concentrate stream that uptakes the ions that are removed from the diluate stream; and (3) an electrolyte solution that conducts the current through the system and protects the electrodes. The process has been commercialised for mineral removal from sweet whey prior to whey powder production. The use of salty whey permeate as the concentrate stream in such a process could eliminate the use of a concentrate stream prepared from fresh water and salt, thus reducing the overall water consumption and effluent salt load for the dairy factory.

Secondly, the potential to use salty whey permeate as the feed to the ED process (Figure 1 (b)) is considered. This allows the recovery of a demineralized lactose rich stream that can be utilized for

the production of lactose powders, while also producing a concentrated salt solution that could be either processed to produce salts for the chlor-alkali industry (Liu et al., 2016; Reig et al., 2014) or re-used in the cheese salting process. Using ED for salty whey processing has been investigated by Diblíková et al. (2013). In this work the authors used fresh whey and reconstituted cheese whey with different dry matter and sodium chloride concentrations to simulate salty whey. ED has also been studied widely for concentrating salty brines produced from seawater desalination using reverse osmosis (RO). Such solutions are known for their high conductivity and sodium content (Liu et al., 2016; Reig et al., 2014). However, the sodium levels found in salty whey permeate are almost double the levels reported for these salty brines.

Furthermore, the use of monovalent selective IEMs in this application is investigated. These membranes can selectively pass sodium and chloride generating a purer salt concentrate, while retaining the more nutritionally useful calcium within the lactose rich stream. However, they tend to have a more limited pH and temperature tolerance range thus making them more challenging to clean in a dairy processing environment. Andrés et al. (1995) examined the use of these membranes in the electrodialysis of skimmed milk intended for infant formula (Andrés, Riera, & Alvarez, 1995). The work presented in this manuscript is believed to be one of the first that examines the use of monovalent selective membranes in whey treatment.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1 Materials

Skimmed sweet and salty whey permeate were obtained from dairy companies in Victoria, Australia. The salty whey permeate samples were produced using ultrafiltration to remove whey protein. The composition of the solutions is given in Table 1. The samples were stored at $4 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. Purified water ($>8.6\text{ M}\Omega\text{ cm}$; Merck Millipore KGaA, Darmstadt Germany) was used in the preparation of all laboratory made solutions. Sodium sulphate (Na_2SO_4 ; $>99\%$; Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty., Ltd., Scoresby, Australia) with a concentration of 20 g L^{-1} was used as the electrolyte solution. Cleaning chemicals were prepared from hydrochloric acid (HCl ; 36% ; Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia, Scoresby, Australia), sodium chloride (NaCl ; $>99.5\%$; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt Germany) and 5M sodium hydroxide (NaOH ; Chem-Supply, Port Adelaide, Australia).

2.2 Electrodialysis unit

The experiments were carried out using an FTED-40 unit manufactured by FuMA-Tech GmbH (Bietigheim-Bissingen, Germany). Detailed information of the unit can be found elsewhere (Chen, Eschbach, Weeks, Gras, & Kentish, 2016). The module was arranged with three cation exchange membranes (CEMs) separated by two anion exchange membrane (AEMs) purchased from Astom (Tokyo, Japan) (see Table 2) and alternating diluate and concentrate spacers. The effective area per IEM was 36 cm^2 . All membranes were preconditioned by soaking in 5% NaCl solution to allow for membrane hydration and expansion. After each trial the CMB and AHA membranes were removed from the ED unit and cleaned by soaking in HCl ($\text{pH } 1.0 \pm 0.15$), followed by 3% NaCl

(pH 9.2 ± 0.15) and then 5% NaCl (neutral pH) for two days each. This was done to ensure that the membranes were clean and had returned to their original ionic state. The alkali soaking step was eliminated for the monovalent selective membranes due to the low pH tolerance of the ACS membrane (Table 2).

2.3 Experimental protocol

The experiments were carried out in batch mode, with all solutions continuously recycled to their supply tanks. The diluate and concentrate flowrates were maintained at 500 mL min^{-1} , while the electrolyte flowrate was kept at 1000 mL min^{-1} as recommended by the manufacturer to minimize concentration polarization effects. Samples were collected (5-10 ml) on an hourly basis from the diluate and concentrate tanks for analysis.

For the demineralisation of sweet whey, a total volume of 2 L was used for both diluate and concentrate streams and the experiments were terminated when 75% sweet whey demineralization was achieved. Both salty whey permeate and 0.1M NaCl solution were used as the concentrate solution. These experiments were performed at $44 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ to minimize microbial growth.

For the demineralization of salty whey permeate, experiments were run for 8 hours using either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate solution. The unit was operated either at a constant current density of 55 mA cm^{-2} ; or a constant voltage of 5, 10 or 15 V. The experiments were performed at $26 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$. Ambient temperatures can be used with salty whey, since the high salinity limits bacterial growth. The volume ratio between the concentrate and diluate tanks was maintained at 1:4 (actual volumes were 1 L and 4 L for concentrate and diluate tanks, respectively).

While it was not possible to experimentally determine the limiting current density of this system, operation was likely to be below this value for the salty whey permeate/salty whey permeate

system, based on measurements in comparable systems (Długolecki, Anet, Metz, Nijmeijer, & Wessling, 2010). The initial current density was 56, 167, and 278 mA cm⁻² at 5, 10 and 15 V, respectively. Conversely, for the salty whey permeate/ 0.1M NaCl system, the initial current density was 41, 125, and 194 mA cm⁻² at 5, 10 and 15 V, respectively, which is likely to be above the limiting current density at the two higher voltages, given the lower ionic strength of the concentrate solution.

2.4 Analysis methods

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES 720ES, Varian, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to measure the concentration of sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg) in both the diluate and concentrate tanks. Total solid analysis was performed by weighing 2 ml of samples before drying under vacuum at 100°C for more than 20 hours in a fan forced oven to evaporate all the moisture.

During each experiment, the conductivity and pH of the concentrate tank were measured continuously using a pH probe (Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland) and a conductivity probe (Crison Instruments, Greifensee, Switzerland), while the diluate conductivity was measured using a second conductivity probe (Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). At the start and end of each experiment, the conductivity and pH of the electrode solution was also recorded. The recorded conductivity value can be used to calculate the diluate demineralization rate (DR) according to Eq. (1) (Cifuentes-Araya, Pourcelly, & Bazinet, 2013; Jiang, Wang, Zhang, & Xu, 2014).

$$DR = \left(\frac{\chi_i - \chi_f}{\chi_i} \right) \times 100 = \left(1 - \frac{\chi_f}{\chi_i} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

Where χ_i and χ_f are the initial and final conductivity of the diluate solution in mS cm⁻¹, respectively.

The current and voltage were read directly from the current supply and used to calculate the system resistance using Ohm's law. The energy consumed (kWh kg⁻¹ of NaCl) during the batch process can be estimated using Eq. (2) (Reig et al., 2014). The diluate concentration was used as the calculation basis. The sodium chloride concentration was estimated from the sodium concentration, assuming that chloride is the dominant anion present in this system.

$$E_{NaCl} = \frac{V_{cell} \cdot I \cdot t}{(v_{D,i} \cdot C_{Na,i} - v_{D,f} \cdot C_{Na,f}) \cdot \left(\frac{MW_{NaCl}}{MW_{Na}} \right)} \quad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

Where V_{cell} is the mean stack potential (V), t is the time (hour), I is the current (A), MW is the molecular weight (g mol⁻¹), v and C refer to the volume (L) and concentration of Na (g L⁻¹) in the diluate tank, i and f indicate the beginning and end of the experiment, respectively.

Purity was defined by (Zhang et al., 2017) as the NaCl concentration in the final concentrate solution according to Eq. (3).

$$P_{NaCl} = \frac{v_{C,f} \cdot c_{Na,f} \cdot \left(\frac{MW_{NaCl}}{MW_{Na}} \right)}{m_{C,dry}} \times 100\% \quad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

Where $c_{Na,f}$ is the final concentration of Na ion in the concentrate tank (g L⁻¹), and $m_{C,dry}$ is the final solid weight in the concentrate tank (g). However, using this equation in the present work resulted in values greater than 100% as the salty whey permeate contains anions other than chloride. Therefore, purity in this work is used only as a qualitative parameter.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Salty whey as concentrate stream for sweet whey demineralization

Initial experiments used a constant voltage of 7 V with fresh sweet whey as the diluate stream and either salty whey permeate (2.2M Na) or 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate. The reduction in diluate conductivity was identical for both types of concentrate solutions, as illustrated in Figure 2 (a). However, the concentrate conductivity only increased by 1 mS cm⁻¹ for salty whey permeate while it increased by 5 mS cm⁻¹ for the 0.1M NaCl solution. This more limited change in conductivity partly reflects the nonlinear relationship between conductivity and salt concentration, but also probably reflects an osmotic flow of water from the diluate to the salty whey permeate (concentrate tank), given their very different concentrations (Jiang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Reig et al., 2014). Analysis of ion concentrations (Figure 2 (b)), shows that fewer Na ions were removed from the sweet whey when salty whey permeate was used as the concentrate stream. Conversely, a greater quantity of all other ions (K, Ca and Mg ions) were removed. Such a behaviour is a result of the high concentration of Na in the salty whey permeate thus resulting in diffusional backflow of Na from the concentrate to the diluate. However, the final Na concentration in the diluate tank (2.8 ± 0.3 g Na per kg of dry mass) falls in the reported range for demineralised whey powders.

The initial system resistance for the sweet whey/ salty whey permeate system was lower compared to the sweet whey/ 0.1M NaCl system (see Figure 3), due to the higher conductivity of the salty whey. The resistance increased with time for both systems due to diluate demineralization and possibly membrane fouling (Bazinet & Araya-Farias, 2005; Casademont, Farias, Pourcelly, & Bazinet, 2008). Since both systems achieved 75% demineralisation in 3 hours, the greater increase in resistance for the sweet whey/ 0.1M NaCl system suggests that membrane fouling was greater

171 comparing to the sweet whey/ salty whey permeate system. There was indeed some visual evidence
172 of greater scaling for this system on the sides of the membranes facing the concentrate (see
173 supplementary information, Figures S1 and S2). Although salty whey permeate contains more salts
174 that might cause membrane scaling, the solution pH played a significant role. As observed from
175 Figure 4, the pH increased for both concentrate solutions, however, the increase was greater for
176 the 0.1M NaCl solution due to its lower buffering capacity (see Supplementary information, Figure
177 S3). It is well known that the solubility of calcium salts reduces with an increase in pH, thus
178 resulting in their precipitation on the membrane surface. When 0.1M NaCl solution is used as the
179 concentrate stream, membrane fouling could be avoided or reduced by adjusting the pH of the
180 solution (Talebi et al., 2019). However, adjusting the pH for salty whey permeate is less readily
181 achieved because of the system buffering (see supplementary information, Figures S3). Fouling
182 in such systems could be avoided or minimized by using a pulsed electrical field, electrodialysis
183 reversal, using higher liquid flowrates or by acid cleaning.

184 The use of the salty whey permeate as the concentrate may lead to lower power consumption,
185 because of the lower resistance as shown in Figure 3. However as noted, more energy is wasted in
186 this system due to the diffusional backflow of sodium and the greater transfer of ions such as
187 calcium and magnesium, so the total energy consumption per kg of NaCl removed, is indeed higher
188 when this stream is used (Table 3). However, sodium ions are not the dominant ions in sweet whey
189 (Table 1), therefore calculating the energy per kg of NaCl is overestimating the energy cost of the
190 process. The energy consumed per tonne of sweet whey is more comparable for both systems
191 (Table 3) and falls within the range reported by Chen et al. (2016) for 75% demineralisation.

3.2 Demineralisation of salty whey using ED

3.2.1 Constant current mode

The use of salty whey permeate as the diluate was next examined, with the objective of creating a purified lactose stream and a salt stream suitable for re-use. Initial experiments were run in constant current mode with salty whey permeate acting as diluate, and either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate.

As observed for the sweet whey case, the change in diluate conductivity over a period of 8 hours was identical regardless of the salinity of the concentrate solution (Figure 5 (a)). This was consistent with the measured change in concentrations of the major ions in the diluate stream, with the sodium ion concentration falling by $6.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ regardless of the concentrate type. Again, the change in concentrate conductivity was lower for the salty whey permeate, reflecting the osmotic flows of water from diluate to concentrate. The concentrate solution volume changed by $160 \pm 10 \text{ mL}$ when 0.1M NaCl was used versus $195 \pm 10 \text{ mL}$ when salty whey permeate was used. The higher salt concentrations in the salty whey permeate concentrate again resulted in a reduction in the system resistance (Figure 5 (b)).

During the 8 hours operation, changes in the concentration of the divalent ions in the diluate tank were within the experimental error margins. The main reason behind such an observation is the high concentration of monovalent ions found in salty whey permeate (Table 1). No significant changes were noticed in the diluate pH but the concentrate pH fell from 5.5 ± 0.25 to 3.4 ± 0.1 for 0.1M NaCl solution and to 5.1 ± 0.1 for salty whey permeate. The more limited drop in the salty whey permeate pH was again a result of the greater buffering capacity of this system

(Supplementary Information Figure S3). This is in contrast to the sweet whey system, where the pH increased in the concentrate (Figure 4).

3.2.2 Constant voltage mode

Similar to constant current experiments, no major differences were observed in the final diluate conductivity or monovalent ion removal rates between the salty whey permeate and 0.1M NaCl concentrates at applied voltages of 5 V and 10 V (see Table 4, Figure 6 and 7). However, when the applied voltage was increased to 15 V, more sodium was removed when the 0.1M NaCl solution was used (Figure 7). This was again the result of less back diffusion in this concentrate, relative to that of salty whey permeate. Due to the absence of other ions or lactose in the starting 0.1M NaCl solution, the final NaCl purity in this concentrate will be higher. The energy consumption is less than that obtained for the sweet whey system due to the lower solution resistance as salty whey permeate has a much higher conductivity than sweet whey (Figure 8). These energy consumptions are still relatively high compared to the values reported for RO brine by Reig *et al.* (Reig *et al.*, 2014) ($<1.2 \text{ kWh kg}^{-1}$ of NaCl) and Liu *et al.* (Liu *et al.*, 2016) (1.4 kWh kg^{-1} of NaCl at 15V). However, such values reflect the complexity of the whey solution due to the presence of lactose, residual protein and larger ions. Furthermore, the consumed energy is overestimated as all Na will not be present as NaCl.

3.2.3 Monovalent selective membranes

Experiments were finally conducted to determine whether monovalent selective IEMs (CIMS/ACS) could be used to improve the quality of the final concentrate stream so that it can more readily be re-used; and to retain nutritionally important calcium in the final diluate. These

experiments were conducted at a constant voltage of 15V using 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate stream.

The concentrate conductivity increased further for the monovalent selective IEMs (CIMS/ACS) compared to the non-selective IEMs (CMB/AHA) (Figure 9 (a)). However, this only reflects the fact that the conductivity of monovalent ions is greater than that of divalent ions. As shown in Figure 10, the monovalent selective IEMs allow less divalent ions to pass through the membranes and hence more monovalent ones are transferred (see Supplementary Information Figure S4). The lower the concentration of multivalent ions in the concentrate stream, the higher will be the purity of the NaCl produced (Zhang et al., 2017). The energy consumed per kg of NaCl removed from the diluate tank was comparable for both types of membranes (3.2 ± 0.3 kWh kg⁻¹ of NaCl for CIMS/ACS, and 3.4 ± 0.3 kWh kg⁻¹ of NaCl for CMB/AHA). While these results would tend to suggest that these monovalent selective IEMs can indeed produce a cleaner salt solution for the same energy demand, this needs to be balanced against the greater cost of these membranes and their more limited tolerance of both process temperature and pH (Table 2), making them less attractive for industrial applications.

Regardless of the type of ions transferred to the concentrate tank, the change in tank volume was 700 ± 35 ml for both cases. The monovalent selective membranes are known to have lower electrical resistance (see Table 2) and this was reflected in a lower system resistance calculated for these experiments (see Supplementary Information Figure S5). The lower resistance of monovalent selective membranes should have reduced the overall resistance of the system (13 Ohm.cm² for CIMS/ACS Vs 22 Ohm.cm² for CMB/AHA). However, the difference in the resistance between both systems is less than 0.5 Ohms, thus suggesting the presence of greater

water splitting for the CIMS/ ACS system. This is further observed in the lower pH of the concentrate stream for the monovalent selective IEMs as shown in Figure 9 (b).

The low pH values obtained for both concentrates after eight hours of processing at 15 V could limit the usefulness of these streams for re-use in cheese making. However, the pH fall is likely due to water splitting as a result of the low ionic strength of the concentrate solution and the high voltage used in these experiments. This could be eliminated at industrial scale by operating with a lower voltage per membrane pair or using a concentrate of higher ionic strength.

4. Conclusions

This work has shown that salty whey permeate can be used as the concentrate stream for sweet whey demineralization, with 75% demineralisation achieved within 3 at 7V with two membrane pairs of 36 cm² each in size. This time could be reduced at industrial scale by increasing the membrane area. Furthermore, membrane fouling was less extensive when a salty whey concentrate was used. However, while the overall demineralisation rates were comparable, the levels of sodium removed were lower with this concentrate (43% Vs 58% for 0.1M NaCl). While the energy demand was higher per kg of sodium removed from the diluate tank, this demand was comparable to that for a sweet whey/ 0.1M NaCl system when the energy demand was calculated per tonne of treated whey.

The quality of the concentrated salt solution produced from salty whey permeate demineralization can be improved by utilizing monovalent selective IEMs. The concentration of calcium in the concentrate solution dropped to half of the amount found when using non-selective IEMs. This, in turn, results in a concentrate of greater purity. The energy consumption is comparable for both

types of membranes. However, the non-selective IEMs have a higher pH tolerance range thus allowing the use of base cleaning agents within the dairy factory environment.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported under Australian Research Council's Industrial Transformation Research Program (ITRP) funding scheme (Project Number IH120100005). The ARC Dairy Innovation Hub is a collaboration between The University of Melbourne, The University of Queensland and Dairy Innovation Australia Ltd.

References

- Andrés, L. J., Riera, F. A., & Alvarez, R. (1995). Skimmed milk demineralization by electrodialysis: conventional versus selective membranes. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 26(1), 57–66.
- Bazinet, L., & Araya-Farias, M. (2005). Electrodialysis of calcium and carbonate high concentration solutions and impact on composition in cations of membrane fouling. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 286, 639–646.
- Casademont, C., Farias, M. A., Pourcelly, G., & Bazinet, L. (2008). Impact of electrodialytic parameters on cation migration kinetics and fouling nature of ion-exchange membranes during treatment of solutions with different magnesium/calcium ratios. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 325, 570–579.
- Chen, G. Q., Gras, S. L., & Kentish, S. E. (2018). Separation Technologies for Salty Wastewater Reduction in the Dairy Industry. *Separation & Purification Reviews*, 1–29.
- Chen, G. Q., Eschbach, F. I., Weeks, M., Gras, S. L., & Kentish, S. E. (2016). Removal of lactic acid from acid whey using electrodialysis. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 158, 230–237.

300 Cifuentes-Araya, N., Pourcelly, G., & Bazinet, L. (2013). Water splitting proton-barriers for
 301 mineral membrane fouling control and their optimization by accurate pulsed modes of
 302 electrodialysis. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 447, 433–441.

303 Diblíková, L., Čurda, L., & Kinčl, J. (2013). The effect of dry matter and salt addition on cheese
 304 whey demineralisation. *International Dairy Journal*, 31, 29–33.

305 Długolecki, P., Anet, B., Metz, S. J., Nijmeijer, K., & Wessling, M. (2010). Transport limitations
 306 in ion exchange membranes at low salt concentrations. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 346(1),
 307 163–171.

308 Jiang, C., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., & Xu, T. (2014). Electrodialysis of concentrated brine from RO
 309 plant to produce coarse salt and freshwater. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 450, 323–330.

310 Liu, J., Yuan, J., Ji, Z., Wang, B., Hao, Y., & Guo, X. (2016). Concentrating brine from seawater
 311 desalination process by nanofiltration–electrodialysis integrated membrane technology.
 312 *Desalination*, 390, 53–61.

313 Nishanthi, M., Chandrapala, J., & Vasiljevic, T. (2017). Compositional and structural properties
 314 of whey proteins of sweet, acid and salty whey concentrates and their respective spray dried
 315 powders. *International Dairy Journal*, 74, 49–56.

316 Reig, M., Casas, S., Aladjem, C., Valderrama, C., Gibert, O., Valero, F., Centeno, C. M.,
 317 Larrotcha, E., & Cortina, J. L. (2014). Concentration of NaCl from seawater reverse osmosis brines
 318 for the chlor-alkali industry by electrodialysis. *Desalination*, 342, 107–117.

319 Talebi, S., Chen, G. Q., Freeman, B., Suarez, F., Freckleton, A., Bathurst, K., & Kentish, S. E.
 320 (2019). Fouling and in-situ cleaning of ion-exchange membranes during the electrodialysis of fresh
 321 acid and sweet whey. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 246, 192–199.

322 Zhang, W., Miao, M., Pan, J., Sotto, A., Shen, J., Gao, C., & Van der Bruggen, B. (2017).
 323 Separation of divalent ions from seawater concentrate to enhance the purity of coarse salt by
 324 electrodialysis with monovalent-selective membranes. *Desalination*, 411, 28–37.

325

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Proposed applications for salty whey permeate. (a) ED process with sweet whey as the diluate stream and salty whey permeate as the concentrate stream. (b) ED process with salty whey permeate as both diluate and concentrate streams.

Figure 2. Demineralization of sweet whey under a constant voltage of 7 V using two different concentrate streams. (a) Change in the conductivity of diluate and concentrate tanks. (b) Percentage removal of cations from sweet whey.

Figure 3. Change in system resistance during the demineralization of sweet whey under a constant voltage of 7 V using two different concentrate streams.

Figure 4. pH of the diluate and concentrate tanks after 75% demineralisation of sweet whey under a constant voltage of 7 V using two different concentrate streams.

Figure 5. Demineralization of salty whey over a period of 8 hours under a constant current density of 55 A m^{-2} . (a) Change in the conductivity of diluate and concentrate tanks. (b) System resistance.

Figure 6. Change in the conductivity of diluate and concentrate tanks during the demineralization of salty whey permeate over a period of 8 hours under different applied voltages. (a) Salty whey permeate as the concentrate solution. (b) 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate solution.

Figure 7. Percentage removal of monovalent ions from salty whey permeate during demineralization over an 8 hour period with either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate solution.

Figure 8. Energy consumption during demineralization of salty whey permeate over an 8 hour period with either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as the concentrate solution, as a function of the applied voltage.

Figure 9. Demineralization of salty whey permeate over a period of 8 hours under a constant voltage of 15 V using monovalent selective (CIMS/ACS) and non-selective (CMB/AHA) membrane pairs with 0.1M NaCl as concentrate at a system voltage of 15V. (a) Change in the conductivity of diluate and concentrate tanks. (b) Final concentrate and diluate pH.

Figure 10. Concentration of divalent ions in the concentrate compartment over 8 hours of demineralization of salty whey permeate with 0.1M NaCl as concentrate at a system voltage of 15 V using two different IEMs. (a) Calcium. (b) Magnesium.

Table Captions

Table 1. The composition of skimmed sweet and salty whey permeate used in this work.

Table 2. Properties of the Neosepta ion-exchange membranes used in this work (provided by the manufacturer).

Table 3. Energy consumption for 75% demineralization of sweet whey at 7 V and using a concentrate of either 0.1M NaCl or salty whey permeate (2.2M Na).

Table 4. Process outcomes for constant voltage experiments conducted over 8 hours with salty whey permeate as the diluate and either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as concentrate.

Table 1

The composition of skimmed sweet and salty whey permeate used in this work.

Component	Unit	Sweet whey	Salty whey permeate
pH	-	6.3 ± 0.05	5.3 ± 0.1
Conductivity	mS cm^{-1}	6.4 ± 1	130 ± 4
Total solids	% (m/v)	6.5 ± 0.3	14.5 ± 0.5
Total protein ¹	% (m/v)	1.04 ± 0.05	0.3 ± 0.05
K	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	82.5 ± 4	160 ± 33
Na	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	32 ± 1	5000 ± 500 (2.2M)
Ca	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	39 ± 7	130 ± 8
Mg	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	9 ± 1	20 ± 2
Lactose	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	Not measured	2600 ± 200
Lactic acid	$\text{mg } 100\text{mL}^{-1}$	Not measured	0.72 ± 0.2

¹ Total Protein = Total nitrogen $\times$ 6.38

Table 2

Properties of Neosepta ion-exchange membranes used in this work (provided by the manufacturer).

Property	Unit	Cation exchange membrane		Anion exchange membranes	
		CMB	CIMS	AHA	ACS
Type	-	Strong acid (Na type)		Strong base (Cl type)	
Characteristics	-	Alkaline resistance	Monovalent cation selective	Alkaline resistance	Monovalent anion selective
Thickness	mm	0.21	0.15	0.22	0.13
Temperature range	$^{\circ}\text{C}$	≤ 60	≤ 40	≤ 60	≤ 40
pH range	-	0-14	0-10	0-14	0-8
Electrical resistance	$\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$	4.5	1.8	4.1	3.8

Table 3

Energy consumption for 75% demineralization of sweet whey at 7 V and using a concentrate of either 0.1M NaCl or salty whey permeate (2.2M Na).

Energy calculation basis	Salty whey permeate	0.1M NaCl
Removal of sodium (kWh kg ⁻¹ of NaCl)	18 ± 0.5	11.4 ± 0.5
Removal of Monovalent cations (kWh kg ⁻¹ of NaCl and KCl)	3.7 ± 0.5	3.2 ± 0.5
Whey treated (kWh ton ⁻¹ of whey)	7.4 ± 0.5	5.9 ± 0.5

Table 4

Process outcomes for constant voltage experiments conducted over 8 hours with salty whey permeate as the diluate and either salty whey permeate or 0.1M NaCl as concentrate.

Concentrate type	Salty whey permeate			0.1M NaCl		
System voltage (V)	5	10	15	5	10	15
Change in concentrate volume (mL)	140 ± 5	540 ± 30	650 ± 30	100 ± 5	480 ± 30	750 ± 40
Demineralisation rate (DR)	6.5	22	33	6.5	22	38

(a)

(b)

Figure 3

[Click here to access/download;Figure;Figure 3_resistance data.tif](#)

Figure 4

(b)

Figure 7

Figure 8

(a)

(a)

(b)

Supplementary Information

Utilisation of Salty Whey Ultrafiltration Permeate with Electrodialysis

Sahar Talebi^a, Esther Kee^b, George Q. Chen^a, Karren Bathurst^c, Sandra E. Kentish^{a*}

^a The ARC Dairy Innovation Hub, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering,
University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

^b Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Melbourne, Parkville,
Victoria 3010, Australia

^c Bega Cheese Pty. Ltd., 1 Vegemite Way, Port Melbourne, Victoria 3207, Australia

*Corresponding author, E-mail address: sandraek@unimelb.edu.au, Tel: +61 3 83446682

Salty whey as concentrate stream for sweet whey demineralization

Figure S1. The fouling of membranes for the sweet whey/ salty whey permeate system. Fouling was noted on the sides of both the cation and anion membranes facing the concentrate compartment. However, the extent of fouling was higher for the anion exchange membrane (AHA).

Figure S2. The fouling of membranes for the sweet whey/ 0.1M NaCl system. Fouling was noticed on the sides of both the cation and anion membranes facing the concentrate compartment.

Buffering capacity

Figure S3. Buffering capacity of salty whey permeate and 0.1M NaCl. (a) Volume of 5M HCl required to reduce solution pH. (b) Volume of 5M NaOH required to reduce the solution pH.

Monovalent selective membranes

Figure S4. Concentration of monovalent ions in the concentrate compartment over 8 hours of demineralization of salty whey permeate with 0.1M NaCl as concentrate at a system voltage of 15 V using two different IEMs. (a) Sodium (b) Potassium

Figure S5. Change in the system resistance for monovalent selective (CIMS/ACS) and non-selective (CMB/AHA) membrane pairs over 8 hours of demineralization of salty whey permeate with 0.1M NaCl as concentrate at a system voltage of 15 V.