Rapid thermal adaptation in photosymbionts of reef-building corals

Running head: Thermal adaptation in *Symbiodinium*

Leela J. Chakravarti^{1,2,3*}, Victor H. Beltran¹, Madeleine J. H. van Oppen^{1,3,4}

¹Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB No. 3, Townsville MC, Queensland 4810, Australia

²College of Marine and Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia

³Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia

⁴School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

*e-mail: leelajchakravarti@gmail.com

Key words: *Symbiodinium*, *Acropora cytherea*, *Acropora hyacinthus*, *Acropora sarmentosa*, assisted evolution, experimental evolution, thermal stress, coral bleaching, Great Barrier Reef

Type of Paper: Primary research article

Abstract

Climate warming is occurring at a rate not experienced by life on Earth for 10s of millions of years and it is unknown whether the coral-dinoflagellate (*Symbiodinium* spp.) symbiosis can evolve fast enough to ensure coral reef persistence. Coral thermal tolerance is partly dependent on the *Symbiodinium* hosted. Therefore, directed laboratory evolution in *Symbiodinium* has been proposed as a strategy to enhance coral holobiont thermal tolerance. Using a reciprocal transplant design, we show that the upper temperature tolerance and temperature tolerance range of *Symbiodinium* C1 increased after ~80 asexual generations (2.5 years) of laboratory thermal selection. Relative to wild-type cells, selected cells showed superior photo-physiological performance and growth rate at 31°C *in vitro*, and performed no worse at 27°C; they also had lower levels of extracellular reactive oxygen species (exROS). In contrast, wild-type cells were unable to photosynthesise or grow at 31°C and produced up to 17 times more exROS. In symbiosis, the increased thermal tolerance acquired *ex hospite* was less apparent. In recruits of two of three species tested, those harbouring selected cells showed no difference in growth between the 27°C and 31°C treatments, and a trend of positive growth at both temperatures. Recruits that were inoculated with wild-type cells, however, showed a significant difference in growth rates between the 27°C and 31°C treatments, with a negative growth trend at 31°C. There were no significant differences in the rate and severity of bleaching in coral recruits harbouring wild-type or selected cells. Our findings highlight the need for additional *Symbiodinium* genotypes to be tested with this assisted evolution approach. Deciphering the genetic basis of enhanced thermal tolerance in *Symbiodinium* and the cause behind its limited transference to the coral holobiont in this genotype of *Symbiodinium* C1 are important next-steps for developing methods that aim to increase coral bleaching tolerance.

Introduction

Climate warming is occurring at an unprecedented rate as a result of increasing atmospheric CO₂ and other greenhouse gasses (Hönisch *et al.*, 2012; Zeebe *et al.*, 2014) and has negatively affected terrestrial and marine ecosystems (Parmesan, 2006). On coral reefs, rising seawater temperatures cause the breakdown of the critical association between the coral animal and its intracellular photosymbionts, *Symbiodinium* spp., leading to bleaching and often coral mortality. Extensive bleaching-related loss of coral cover has occurred over the past 3-4 decades with the worst mass bleaching event on record having occurred most recently during the 2015-16 El Niño temperature anomaly (Eakin *et al.*, 2016; Normile, 2016; Hughes *et al.*, 2017) where over 60 % of reefs surveyed on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) experienced extreme bleaching (Hughes *et al.*, 2017). The frequency and severity of such heating events are anticipated to increase in the future with climate models predicting up to 99% of the world's coral reefs to experience severe annual bleaching before 2100 (van Hooidonk *et al.*, 2016)

Increases in temperature can affect *Symbiodinium* and the coral host in a number of ways. The photosynthetic machinery of *Symbiodinium* is sensitive to even moderate temperature increases, causing photoinhibition and photodamage (Warner *et al.*, 1999) of photosystem II (PSII, Iglesias-Prieto *et al.* 1992) and a disruption of the structural integrity of the chloroplast thylakoid membranes (Tchernov *et al.*, 2004). Such changes limit the fixation of CO₂ (Murata *et al.*, 2007), thus affecting *Symbiodinium* cell growth. The transfer of photosynthate from the symbionts to the coral tissues accounts for up to 95 % of the coral's total energy requirements (Bythell, 1988; Falkowski *et al.*, 1993; Yellowlees *et al.*, 2008) and a reduction in the amount of photosynthetically fixed carbon produced and translocated from symbiont to

host can lead to reduced coral growth (Goreau & Macfarlane, 1990; Cantin *et al.*, 2009) and tissue loss (Szmant & Gassman, 1990). Furthermore, under heat stress, an excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the chloroplast can cause damage to DNA, proteins and lipids and in turn ROS can cause further detriment to photosynthetic activity by inhibiting PSII repair mechanisms (Murata *et al.*, 2007) and damaging photosynthetic membranes (Lesser, 2006). Importantly, leakage of ROS out of the cell (extracellular ROS or exROS) by *Symbiodinium*, when produced in excess, has been linked to coral bleaching by stimulating the expulsion or digestion of *Symbiodinium* by host cells, or the removal of *Symbiodinium* by apoptotic host cell death (Weis, 2008).

Many coral species live near their upper thermal limits and it is unlikely that physiological plasticity will enable them to mount a response great enough to cope with further environmental change (Hoegh-Guldberg *et al.*, 2008). When physiological limits are exceeded, survival may depend on genetic adaptation through natural selection (Hoffmann & Sgrò, 2011). However, the generally long sexual generation times of corals (2-20 years) in the face of rapid ocean warming, renders coral species unlikely to undergo significant adaptation in time to persist into the future (Hughes *et al.*, 2003). Coral thermal tolerance is partly dependent on the genetic variant(s) of *Symbiodinium* that they host (Baker, 2003; Baker *et al.*, 2004; Berkelmans & van Oppen, 2006; Sampayo *et al.*, 2008; Mieog *et al.*, 2009; Howells *et al.*, 2012). A fast rate of asexual reproduction (three to 74 days [Wilkerson *et al.*, 1988]) in *Symbiodinium*, in combination with their large population sizes ($\sim 10^{10}$ cells in a branching coral ~ 30 cm diameter in size [van Oppen *et al.*, 2011]), has the potential to give rise to many spontaneous, random mutations over a short period of time, a small number of which may be beneficial to the changed conditions experienced due to climate change. These properties suggest a high adaptive potential exists in *Symbiodinium*. However in

94 *hospite*, host factors may actively retard the rate of asexual division in *Symbiodinium* where
95 the host can regulate growth through carbon allocation (Stat *et al.*, 2008) and the active
96 digestion or removal of *Symbiodinium* cells (Titlyanov *et al.*, 1996). Such factors could slow
97 *Symbiodinium* generation times and thus rates of evolution *in hospite*. This has led to the
98 proposal of *in vitro* laboratory evolution in *Symbiodinium* with subsequent inoculation of the
99 coral host, to be used as a potential conservation or restoration tool in assisting corals in
100 coping with ongoing ocean warming (van Oppen *et al.*, 2015, 2017).

101
102 Despite the potentially high adaptive capacity of *Symbiodinium*, most warming perturbation
103 studies on these coral photosymbionts have been carried out over short time-scales with
104 treatment exposure lasting few generations. Although such experiments show that thermal
105 acclimation, equating to temperature changes on a seasonal scale, are possible in
106 *Symbiodinium* (Takahashi *et al.*, 2013), little is known about the longer-term, evolutionary
107 consequences of ongoing ocean warming. Only one study has examined the longer-term
108 response of *Symbiodinium* to ocean warming, reporting that *Symbiodinium* strains CCMP
109 2429 and CCMP 2433 (isolated from *Heliofungia actiformis* and *Pocillopora damicornis*,
110 respectively) from the GBR were able to grow at 30°C after 55-70 generations of temperature
111 selection, where previously they could not (Huertas *et al.*, 2011). Long-term, evolutionary
112 experiments involving other microalgae have mainly looked at their response to elevated
113 pCO₂ and not temperature (Collins & Bell, 2004, 2006; Flores-Moya *et al.*, 2012; Lohbeck *et al.*,
114 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2013) ;but see Flores-Moya *et al.*, 2012; Schlüter *et al.*, 2014). Some of
115 these experiments have provided evidence for the evolution of key fitness traits across
116 multiple generations in the laboratory (Flores-Moya *et al.*, 2012; Lohbeck *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*,
117 2013), most commonly using reciprocal transplant experiments (Lohbeck *et al.*, 2012; Jin
118 *et al.*, 2013; Schlüter *et al.*, 2014) to infer adaptation.

119

120 To examine whether rapid thermal adaptation of *Symbiodinium* is possible, we conducted a
121 laboratory selection experiment using a monoclonal culture of *Symbiodinium* (type C1) that is
122 common in reef-building corals and widespread on coral reefs around the world (Fabina *et*
123 *al.*, 2012; Franklin *et al.*, 2012; Thornhill *et al.*, 2014). In our study, *Symbiodinium* C1 was
124 isolated from a colony of *Acropora tenuis* on the Great Barrier Reef (GBR) and 16 culture
125 replicates, each representing an independent evolutionary unit accumulating independent
126 mutations, underwent a ratchet experiment (Huertas *et al.*, 2011) designed to select for
127 increased temperature tolerance. After the ratchet experiment (lasting ~5 generations), the
128 selected *Symbiodinium* cells were cultured for a further ~73 generations (approximately 2.5
129 years) at 31°C, while the ancestral monoclonal culture from which it was derived (the wild
130 type [WT]) remained at 27°C for the same period of time (Table 1). To determine whether
131 the selected *Symbiodinium* (SS) had adapted rather than (reversibly) acclimated to 31°C,
132 replicate cultures of the SS and WT were subjected to a reciprocal transplant experiment (i.e.,
133 WT@27, SS@27, WT@31, and SS@31); the most direct approach for conferring adaptive
134 change (Lohbeck *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2013; Merilä & Hendry, 2014; Schlüter *et al.*, 2014).

135

136 The mean fitness of the WT and SS was assessed based on a number of key traits. Six photo-
137 physiological traits were measured; the photochemical efficiency of PSII in the dark (Fv/Fm)
138 and the light ($\Delta Fv/Fm'$; indicators of the maximum and effective quantum yield of PSII,
139 respectively), the maximum excitation pressure over PSII (Q_m , Iglesias-Prieto *et al.*, [2004]),
140 the maximum electron transport rate (rETR_m; an indicator of maximum photosynthetic
141 capacity, Schreiber [2004]), the minimum saturating irradiance of photosynthesis (E_k ,
142 Henley, [1993]) and maximum non-photochemical quenching (NPQ_m; a photo-protective

mechanism involving the dissipation of excess light energy as heat, Ralph *et al.*, [2002]).

Two additional traits, the specific growth rate and the amount of exROS *per cell* were also compared between WT and SS cells at ambient and elevated temperature.

To understand the impact of *in vitro* thermal selection of *Symbiodinium* on coral bleaching susceptibility, we inoculated aposymbiotic recruits of three GBR coral species, *Acropora cytherea*, *A. hyacinthus* and *A. sarmentosa*, with the WT and SS cells and subjected them to heat stress. For this experiment, we used the same environmental conditions that were used in the *in vitro* reciprocal transplant experiment. After inoculation with the WT and SS *Symbiodinium*, recruits were reared for five weeks at 27°C before being subjected to the different treatments for 28 days, during which their thermal tolerance was assessed based on growth (increase in recruit size) and the extent of bleaching (proportion of recruit surface that was pigmented).

Our study tests the evolutionary consequences of culturing *Symbiodinium* under thermal stress, adding to the important field of micro-algal adaptation through experimental evolution. Furthermore, our results provide insights into the use of experimentally evolved *Symbiodinium* as a potential conservation or restoration tool that aims to increase coral thermal tolerance.

Materials and methods

***Symbiodinium* isolation**

Symbiodinium cells were extracted from the coral *A. tenuis*, Nelly Bay, Magnetic Island, Australia (19° 10' 6'' S, 146° 50' 60''E) in 2010. Extraction occurred by air-brushing the coral tissue from the coral skeleton, centrifuging (5 min, 1600 g), decanting, and re-suspending the pellet three times in 0.2 µm filtered seawater. Extracted cells were transferred into sterile culture media, Daigo's IMK for Marine Microalgae (Nihon Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd) containing antibiotics (penicillin, neomycin, streptomycin, nystatin, final concentration [100 µg/mL] each, amphotericin final [2.5 µg/mL], plus GeO₂ final [50 µM] (Beltran *et al.*, 2012). Cells were inoculated into fresh IMK+antibiotics monthly, for five months to minimise bacterial contamination. This heterogeneous culture was previously used by Howells *et al.* (2011) and Levin *et al.* (2016). Subsequently, cells were plated onto IMK+antibiotics and 1% agar. A monoclonal culture was created by picking cells from a single colony forming unit. Monoclonal cultures were grown in IMK without antibiotics. The monoclonal strain was confirmed as belonging to the subclade C1 based on the ITS2 rDNA region (GenBank accession number AB778664.1) and named SCF055-1. Annual sequencing checks confirmed that the culture did not become contaminated during longer-term laboratory culture.

Symbiodinium thermal selection and long-term culture

The monoclonal culture was maintained at 27°C and $65 \pm 10 \mu\text{mol photons.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ (Sylvania FHO24W/T5/865 fluorescent tubes) under a 14:10 light:dark cycle for ~6 months before undergoing a thermal selection experiment. Thermal selection was performed using a ratchet-design (Huertas *et al.* 2011) lasting two months (~5 generations). The ratchet design is a method that maintains large population sizes by increasing the temperature in a step-wise fashion. With this approach, a population is only subjected to increased temperature (i.e., the

next ratchet) when it shows positive growth. By maintaining population growth, this method maximises the number of spontaneous mutations arising from asexual cell division. With increasing levels of thermal selection pressure; natural selection can then act upon beneficial new mutations. This design allows the selection of best performers (assumed to be mutants as genetically homogenous monoclonal cultures are used) at each temperature ratchet.

Replicates ($n = 16$) of the monoclonal culture were inoculated into fresh media at 300 000 cells mL⁻¹ and placed at 26°C. High replication was used initially to increase the chances of a spontaneous, beneficial new mutation occurring in at least one population. Importantly, each ‘replicate’ population was no longer considered a replicate once the experiment commenced, but an independent population representing a different random chance for a beneficial mutation to arise (Huertas *et al.*, 2011). After 30 days, the four populations displaying the highest growth at 28°C, or the greatest cell densities, were transferred to the next ratchet temperature (2°C higher than the previous) and split between four more vessels containing fresh media, at the same starting cell density, resulting in $n = 16$ populations at both 28°C and 30°C, respectively. Those kept at the next ratchet temperature of 32°C, however, did not exhibit any net growth and 30°C was considered their maximum adaptive capacity. The cells able to survive and grow at an elevated temperature are hereafter named the selected *Symbiodinium* (SS) and their counterpart cells, that remained in control temperatures, named the wild-type (WT). Following the ratchet experiment, ten randomly selected WT populations were kept at $26.63 \pm 0.001^\circ\text{C}$ and ten of the fastest growing SS populations, resulting from the selection experiment, were kept at $31.16 \pm 0.002^\circ\text{C}$ and cultured separately for approximately 30 months (*ca.* 142 and 73 generations, respectively, see below for description on estimation of generation number). The ten WT populations were named SCF055-1-1 to SCF055-1-10 and ten SS populations were named SCF055-1-11 to SCF055-

1-20. Temperature measurements were recorded every 10 min, using a data logger (HOBO Pendant[®] Table 1). Monthly, each WT and SS population was sub-cultured into fresh culture media (IMK).

Experiment 1: In vitro reciprocal transplant

Experimental design

We chose the SS population that had the greatest cell density at 31°C (SCF055-1-18), two weeks after sub-culturing, and a randomly chosen WT population (SCF055-1-3). To test whether adaptation in the SS cells had arisen from long-term acclimation or from genetic selection on beneficial mutations arisen through cell division the SS and WT cells then underwent a reciprocal transplant experiment. SS and WT cells were pre-acclimated for two weeks in their own (WT@27 and SS@31) or transplanted into reciprocal (WT@31 and SS@27) temperature conditions at a starting cell density of 200 000 cells mL⁻¹. The pre-acclimated cells were then transferred to culture vessels (25 cm², Corning[®], Sigma-Aldrich) containing 10 mL of fresh media at a density of 200 000 cells mL⁻¹ to give 12 replicate cultures for each of the four treatments. This replicate number was chosen so that at least three replicates could be used for the quantification of different physiological traits (see below).

During a previous study, using the heterogeneous population from which our WT strain was derived, heating for 15 days at 32°C revealed no difference in performance traits under elevated compared to ambient temperature conditions (Levin *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, we did not carry out performance measurements until day 3, post-acclimation (representing 17 days in temperature treatment conditions). On days 3, 7, 10, 14, 17 and 21 (D3-D21) post-

acclimation, measurements for photosynthetic performance and growth were taken in six replicates (replicates seven to 12). On D7, D14 and D21 post-inoculation, three replicates from each transplant (D7 -replicates one to three, D14 - four to six and D21 - seven to nine) were sacrificed for the measurement of extracellular ROS (exROS) production. Cells therefore spent a total of five weeks in experimental conditions, corresponding to up to eight asexual generations. For bacteria, seven generations are enough to attribute whether adaptation has occurred through genetic mechanisms or through acclimation (Cooper, 1991), where genetic adaptation is shown by the difference in growth rate of selected cells under the selective conditions (SS@31) with the growth rate of the wild-type cells that have been transferred to the selective conditions (WT@31).

Photosynthetic performance

The maximum quantum yield of PSII fluorescence ($F_v/F_m = F_m - (F_o/F_m)$) was measured one hour before the end of the dark cycle, while the effective quantum yield ($\Delta F/F_m'$) of PSII was measured after six hours of light exposure. Both maximum and effective quantum yield measurements were carried out using an imaging pulse amplitude fluorometer (iPAM, Walz), with a Measuring Intensity of four, Saturating Intensity of seven, and Gain and Damping of two. PAM settings were chosen based on preliminary experiments as a compromise between fluorescence detection of low cell densities and signal saturation for high cell densities. The maximum excitation pressure over PSII (Q_m) was calculated using measurements for the maximum and effective quantum yields of PSII (Iglesias-Prieto *et al.*, 2004) using the equation

$$Q_m = 1 - [(\Delta F/F_m')/(F_v/F_m)]$$

Rapid light curves (RLCs) were carried out following $\Delta F/F_m'$ measurements by exposing each replicate culture to 10 steps of increasing actinic light (0-461 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ PAR)

each lasting 10 s. The RLCs for each replicate were fitted to the model by Platt et al. (Platt *et al.*, 1980). The parameters of $rETR_m$ and E_k were calculated using SigmaPlot (Hill *et al.*, 2004). By D17 and D21, the WT@31 replicates were no longer able to respond to the increases in light induced by the RLCs and data could not be fitted to the model. Values for E_k could therefore not be calculated at these time points. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was calculated for each step of the RLCs using the equation

$$NPQ = \frac{F_m - F'_m}{F_m}$$

Values for the maximum NPQ (NPQ_m) were extracted from the highest PAR exposure during the RLCs.

Growth rate and estimation of cell generation number

For cell density measurements, aliquots of 50 μ L from replicates six to 12 ($n = 6$) were fixed by adding 4 μ L of 25% glutaraldehyde and stored at 4°C for later measurements of cell density. Cell density was determined by triplicate haemocytometer counts and specific growth rate (μ , doubling day⁻¹) was calculated as

$$\mu = \frac{\ln N_1 - \ln N_0}{\Delta t}$$

where N_0 is the cell density at D3, N_1 is the cell density at D17 and t is the duration (17-3) of culture in days. Cell density values for D3 and D17 were chosen as they represented the fastest growth rates during the experiment.

To estimate the number of generations that both the WT@27 and SS@31 had been through, both during their long-term culture and the reciprocal transplant experiment, we used cell density values from the three week experimental phase of the reciprocal transplant

experiment. First, the doubling time (or generation time) was calculated according to the equation

$$T = 21 \times \left(\frac{\log(2)}{\log\left(\frac{N_1}{N_0}\right)} \right)$$

where N_1 is the end cell density after 21 days of growth and N_0 is the cell density at the start of the experiment (200 000 cells mL⁻¹). Next the number of generations was calculated as

$$\text{Generations} = N/T$$

Where N is the number of days of long-term culture (e.g., 840 days post-ratchet experiment) and T is the doubling time. Although doubling times could have varied during the long-term culture, to provide an estimate of the generation number, we assume that they were constant.

Extracellular ROS production

For extracellular reactive oxygen species (exROS) determination, 1 mL of culture from each sacrificial replicate ($n = 3$) was pelleted (295 g/2000 rpm, 5 min) and 250 µL of the supernatant from each replicate was plated three times into a black, clear-bottom 96-well culture plate (Costar, Corning®, Sigma-Aldrich). The supernatant was incubated with 0.5 µL of CellROX Orange (Levin *et al.*, 2016) (final concentration 5 µM, 25 min at 37°C), a fluorogenic probe that exhibits orange fluorescence upon oxidation by ROS (545 nm absorption, 565 nm emission). Fluorescence measurements were taken using a microplate reader (Synergy™ H4 Hybrid, Biotek®) immediately after incubation. Prior to pelleting, an aliquot of 50 µL from each sacrificial replicate was taken for the determination of cell density. The three fluorescence values for each replicate were then standardised to cell number to give relative exROS production *per* cell in arbitrary fluorescent units.

Experiment 2: in hospite reciprocal transplant experiment

Coral settlement and inoculation with Symbiodinium

To determine whether thermal selection in *Symbiodinium* could enhance the thermal tolerance of the holobiont we chose three species of coral, *Acropora cytherea*, *A. hyacinthus* and *A. sarmentosa*. Coral colonies of each species were collected from Trunk Reef, Great Barrier Reef, Australia (18° 18' 101'' S, 146° 52' 226'' E) and kept in the National Sea Simulator (SeaSim) at the Australian Institute of Marine Science (Townsville, Australia) for three days before the full moon. Following spawning, gametes from four colonies of *A. cytherea* and five of *A. hyacinthus* and *A. sarmentosa* were each mixed in equal quantities (sperm concentration: $\sim 10^6 \text{ mL}^{-1}$), within a species, for fertilisation. Resulting larvae were kept in aerated 0.4µm filtered seawater for *ca.* three weeks.

Six-well, multi-well plates (well volume 16.8 mL, Corning®, Sigma-Aldrich®) were prepared with a settlement cue- the peptide Hym-248 (Iwao *et al.*, 2002), by placing three, 3 µL droplets of 60 µM in each well, and leaving to dry. These droplets were placed at equal distance from the edge of each well using a template placed underneath the plate. Planulae were taken from the SeaSim and washed three times in 0.2 micron filtered seawater (FSW). Three, 90 µL droplets of FSW, each containing one planula, were plated into each well on top of the dried peptide dots. Planulae were left for 12 h in their droplets, in the dark, at 27°C to metamorphose and settle. Subsequently, each well was filled with 9 mL of FSW and planulae that had not metamorphosed or settled were removed. This method resulted in 134 individual recruits of *A. cytherea* across 14 plates, 136 of *A. hyacinthus* across 14 plates and 125 of *A. sarmentosa* across 15 plates. Coral recruits were reared at 27 °C, $65 \pm 10 \text{ µmol photons.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$ under a 14:10 light:dark cycle and were supplemented twice weekly with a 0.2 micron,

filter-sterilised cocktail of nutrients, glycerol, vitamins and amino acid as in Wang et al. (2012). Plates were placed on a slow-moving, rotating shaker plate to allow the homogenisation of food. Water changes were carried out the day after feeding by removing the water in each well and replacing to total of 9 mL *per* well with fresh FSW.

Experimental design

Nine days post-settlement, half of the plates containing recruits were inoculated with WT cells and half with SS cells (pre-acclimated to 27°C for two weeks to 30 000 cells mL⁻¹) and left for two days before replacing each well with fresh FSW. Inoculation was repeated 12 days post-settlement to maximise the number of symbionts taken up by the recruits. Five days after the initial inoculation, recruits had visually taken up symbionts and they were subsequently reared for 5 weeks at 27°C to ensure the symbiosis was stable and recruits were sufficiently pigmented for further analyses. Subsequently, half of the plates containing corals infected with the WT and half of those containing corals infected with the SS were transplanted into 31°C (D0) while the remaining recruits were kept at 27°C. This resulted in four experimental treatments, which mirrored those of the *in vitro* experiment (*i.e.*, WT@27, SS@27, SS@31, and WT@31). For each coral species, this resulted in three to five replicate plates and between 27 and 38 individual recruits *per* treatment. Replicate number was considered sufficient to take into account potential variation in recruit physiology as a result of genetic variation.

Recruit size and extent of pigmentation

Images of each recruit were taken using a camera-stereomicroscope setup (SMZ800N, Nikon) on the day of transplantation (D0), two weeks (D14) and 4 weeks (D28) post-transplantation. Images were taken while the polyp's tentacles were retracted. The same camera settings were globally applied to each image. Recruit size was determined as the base

area of each recruit using imaging software (NIS-Elements BR v430, Nikon). This was done by detecting the outline of each recruit base and auto-calculating the area within (region of interest (ROI), Fig. 1a). Growth was expressed as the percentage change in size of each recruit at D28 from the starting size at D0.

As a proxy for bleaching, we tracked any changes in percentage pigmentation of the surface area of each recruit during the experiment. To do this, we used the images from D0, D4 and D8 with the recruit set as the ROI. The pigmented areas within the ROI were detected by setting red, green, blue (RGB) threshold limits for each colour channel (Red: 90-155, Green: 70-100, Blue: 0-105). The same RGB threshold limits were applied across all images and values of area pigmentation were expressed as a percentage of the total area of each recruit (Fig. 1b).

Fig. 1 Determination of recruit base area and area pigmentation (%) of a coral recruit. **(a)** raw image of a coral recruit, **(b)** same recruit image with the region of interest (ROI) selected

368 (recruit base area) and the area pigmented highlighted after applying red, green blue (RGB)
369 thresholds. The area pigmented is expressed as a percentage of the total base area of the
370 recruit.

371 *Experimental water parameters*

372 Throughout both the *in vitro* and *in hospite* experiments, temperature conditions were
373 measured every 10 min with a HOBO data logger (Tables 1 and 2). Light measurements were
374 checked daily during the middle of the light cycle and were always $65 \pm 10 \mu\text{mol photons.m}^{-2}.\text{s}^{-1}$.
375 For the *in hospite* experiment, pH_{NBS}, salinity and oxygen saturation measurements were
376 taken from one random well *per* plate, twice weekly, coinciding with water changes (Table 2)
377 to make sure a high water quality was maintained.

Table 1. *In vitro* culture temperature conditions for the wild-type (WT) and selected *Symbiodinium* (SS) cells. Pre-experimental temperature refers to the long-term culture history (210 weeks) of the WT and SS cells in control and elevated temperatures, respectively. Experimental phase temperatures are those experienced during the reciprocal transplant experiment (five weeks) by both the WT and SS cells during two weeks of pre-acclimation and three weeks of experiment. Values are mean $\pm$ SEM. Number of replicate measurements are provided in parentheses.

Culture	Treatment	Temperature (°C)
Long-term	WT@27	26.63 $\pm$ 0.001 (76599)
	SS@31	31.15 $\pm$ 0.002 (77730)
Experimental	WT@27	26.85 $\pm$ 0.005 (5032)
	SS@27	
	SS@31 WT@31	31.05 $\pm$ 0.002 (5032)

Table 2. *In hospite* rearing conditions for coral recruits infected with either the wild-type (WT) or selected *Symbiodinium* (SS) cells in two temperature conditions. Pre-experimental conditions refer to the ambient temperature rearing conditions of recruits infected with both the WT and SS cells (five weeks) before half being moved into elevated temperature treatment during the experimental phase (three weeks). Values are mean $\pm$ SEM. Number of replicates are provided in parentheses.

Phase	Treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (‰)	Dissolved Oxygen (%)	pH _{NBS}
Pre-experimental	WT@27	26.81 $\pm$ 0.005 (5183)	33.13 $\pm$ 0.35 (127)	97.89 $\pm$ 0.12 (127)	8.06 $\pm$ 0.005 (127)
	SS@27		33.6 $\pm$ 0.06 (138)	97.87 $\pm$ 0.10 (138)	8.06 $\pm$ 0.005 (138)
Experimental	WT@27	26.81 $\pm$ 0.007 (2971)	33.36 $\pm$ 0.07 (66)	96.83 $\pm$ 0.18 (66)	8.09 $\pm$ 0.008 (66)
	SS@27		33.49 $\pm$ 0.09 (66)	96.12 $\pm$ 0.53 (66)	8.10 $\pm$ 0.008 (66)
	SS@31	31.09 $\pm$ 0.002 (3075)	33.53 $\pm$ 0.09 (66)	95.59 $\pm$ 1.15 (66)	8.10 $\pm$ 0.007 (66)
	WT@31		33.53 $\pm$ 0.09 (78)	95.92 $\pm$ 0.02 (78)	8.10 $\pm$ 0.007 (78)

Statistical analyses

In vitro: To analyse the effects of temperature on the WT and SS cells, we compared the physiological responses of WT and SS cells in their own (WT@27, ST@31) and reciprocal (ST@27 and WT@31) temperature treatments across time, where relevant. To test the effects of treatment on *in vitro* specific growth rate and photo-physiological traits, we used a linear model with ‘treatment’ (i.e. WT@27, ST@31, ST@27 and WT@31) as a fixed factor and

with ‘time’ as an additional fixed factor for all photo-physiological traits. The effect of treatment and time on exROS production was tested using a generalized linear mixed effects model fitted for a poisson distribution, again with ‘treatment’ and ‘time’ as fixed factors. *In hospite*: Similarly, to analyse the effects of temperature on the coral recruits infected with the WT and SS cells, we compared the physiological responses of corals infected with WT and SS cells in their own (WT@27, SS@31) and reciprocal (SS@27, WT@31) treatments across time, where relevant. Linear mixed effects models were used to test the effects of treatment and time on the % change in growth for each coral species, while generalized linear mixed effects models fitted for a negative binomial distribution were used to test the effects of treatment and time on area pigmentation (%) of recruits for each coral species. In both cases, ‘treatment’ and ‘time’ were fixed factors and ‘plate’ was included as a random fixed factor.

All analyses were performed in R (v. 3.3.2, R Core Team, (2016)), with annotated scripts and raw data included in the Supporting Information (S1 and S2, respectively). Linear models and linear mixed effects models were carried out using the package ‘nlme’ (Pinheiro *et al.*, 2017), while generalized linear mixed effects models were carried out using the package ‘lme4’ (Bates *et al.*, 2015). For both *in vitro* and *in hospite* traits, all pairwise comparisons were carried out *post-hoc* with Tukey’s tests using the package ‘multcomp’ (Hothorn *et al.*, 2008). Heterogeneity and normality were assessed using Residual and Q-Q- plots. Where ‘time’ was a fixed factor, we checked for correlation within the model and in all cases, none was detected.

Results

Heat stress responses of Symbiodinium in vitro

426 *Photosynthetic performance:* Maximum and effective quantum yield values (Figs. 2a, b) for
 427 the SS@31 were not significantly different to those for the WT@27 and SS@27 throughout
 428 most of the experiment, but were higher than mean values for the WT@31 (max. $p < 0.05$).
 429 The WT@31 values were always significantly lower (max. $p < 0.05$) compared to those in
 430 the remaining treatments, with the mean F_v/F_m significantly decreasing over time from 0.46
 431 ($\pm$ SEM, ± 0.007) to 0.37 (± 0.004) and mean $\Delta F/F_m'$ from 0.41 (± 0.002) to 0.22 (± 0.036)
 432 from day 3 (D3) to day 21 (D21, $p < 0.05$). The mean maximum excitation pressure over PSII
 433 (Q_m , Fig. 2c) for the WT@31 was significantly elevated over five of the six time points,
 434 compared to the other treatments (max. $p < 0.05$), and by D21 the mean Q_m had significantly
 435 increased to 0.42 (± 0.054) from 0.11 (± 0.017) at D0 ($p < 0.05$). The mean irradiance at onset
 436 of light saturation (E_k), maximum relative electron transport rate ($rETR_m$) and maximum
 437 NPQ (NPQ_m) for the WT@31 were significantly lower than for the remaining experimental
 438 groups (max. $p < 0.05$) across the duration of the experiment (Fig 2d-f) with the mean $rETR_m$
 439 significantly decreasing over time ($p < 0.05$). One exception was at D7, when the mean E_k
 440 value was not statistically different from that in the SS@27 and WT@27 treatments (min. $p >$
 441 0.05).

Fig. 2 Comparison of mean (±SEM) photochemical performance traits in wild-type (WT) and selected (SS) *Symbiodinium* C1 at 27°C (WT@27, SS@27) and 31°C (SS@31, WT@31) over 21 days after 14 days of pre-acclimation. (a), Maximum quantum yield of photosystem II. (b), Effective quantum yield of photosystem II. (c) Maximum excitation pressure over photosystem II. (d), Irradiance at onset of light saturation. (e) Maximum relative electron transport rate. (f) Maximum non-photochemical quenching. Statistically significant differences among ‘treatments’ (i.e. WT@27, SS@27, SS@31, WT@31) within a time point are represented by different symbols above/below the line plots, while statistically significant

differences over time (between D3 and D21) for each treatment are represented by different letters (Tukey's *post hoc* tests; $p < 0.05$). $n = 6$ for all means. Where error bars are not visible, they are small and hidden by the symbols.

Specific growth rate: The mean growth rate of SS@31 was positive at $0.07 \mu \pm 0.005$. Although this was significantly slower than the growth rates of the WT@27 (0.16 ± 0.007) and SS@27 (0.14 ± 0.008 , max. $p < 0.05$), all three had significantly greater mean growth rates compared to the WT@31 (max. $p < 0.05$), which exhibited negative growth at $0.05 \mu (\pm 0.010$, Fig. 3a).

Oxidative stress: ExROS of the WT@31 had mean values that were always significantly greater than those observed for the other experimental groups (max. $p < 0.05$) and that significantly increased over time from $46.2 (\pm 2.04)$ at D7 to $105.62 (\pm 22.25)$ by D21 ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 3b). By comparison, the highest mean value of SS@31 throughout the experiment was $22.19 (\pm 4.10)$. The amount of ExROS produced in the SS@31 treatment was not significantly different to that of the SS@27 or WT@27 at any time point ($p > 0.05$) and the production of exROS by SS@31, SS@37 or SS@27 did not significantly change over time ($p > 0.05$).

Fig. 3 Comparison of mean ($\pm$ SEM) growth and oxidative stress of wild-type (WT) and selected (SS) *Symbiodinium* C1 at 27°C (WT@27, SS@27) and 31°C (SS@31, WT@31) after 14 days of pre-acclimation. **(a)**, Specific growth rate ($n = 6$) calculated from cell densities at D3 and D17. **(b)**, Extracellular reactive oxygen species production *per cell* ($n = 3$). Statistically significant differences among ‘treatments’ (i.e. WT@27, SS@27, SS@31, WT@31) are represented by different symbols above/below the bar/line plots (Tukey’s *post hoc* tests; $p < 0.05$) **(a, b)**. Statistically significant differences over time for each treatment are represented by different letters **(b)**. Where error bars are not visible, they are small and hidden by the symbols.

Heat stress responses of the juvenile coral holobiont

Growth: Measurements of percentage change in size over the 28-day experiment (Figs. 4a-c) revealed no significant differences in growth between the WT@27, SS@27 and SS@31 recruits for each coral species (min. $p = > 0.05$), which generally showed a trend of positive mean growth with increases up to $1.29 \pm 0.52\%$ over the four-week experiment. In contrast, WT@31 recruits displayed a trend of negative mean growth for all three coral species. Despite this, the mean growth of the WT@31 recruits was not significantly different from

488 that of the SS@31 recruits for all three coral species (min. $p > 0.05$), although it was
489 significantly lower compared to that of the WT@27 recruits for *A. cytherea* and *A.*
490 *hyacinthus* (max. $p < 0.05$). The WT@31 mean growth was significantly lower compared to
491 that of the SS@27 recruits for *A. hyacinthus* and *A. cytherea* ($p < 0.05$).

492

493 *Area pigmented:*

494 There were no significant differences in mean percentage pigmentation at D0 for all coral
495 species harbouring either the ST or WT cells ($p > 0.05$, Figs. 4d-f). By D14 the mean
496 percentage pigmentation of all three coral species harbouring the SS and WT cells had not
497 significantly changed in the 31°C treatment ($p > 0.05$), while the majority of those harbouring
498 the WT and SS at 27°C had increased ($p < 0.05$). While the recruits harbouring WT cells
499 were significantly less pigmented at 31°C than those at 27°C across the duration of the
500 experiment (max. $p > 0.05$), the mean percentage pigmentation for recruits in the SS@31
501 treatment across all three species was not significantly different to the recruits harbouring the
502 WT or ST cells at 27°C (min. $p > 0.05$). The only exception was *A. hyacinthus*, where the
503 mean percentage pigmentation for SS-recruits at 31°C was significantly lower than the for
504 WT-recruits at 27°C ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 4e). By D28, WT and SS recruits of all three species had
505 bleached at 31°C (0.75-6.51% mean pigmentation), with mean pigmentation values not
506 significantly different between the WT and SS recruits (min. $p > 0.05$) as well as being
507 significantly less pigmented compared to the recruits at D0 (min. $p > 0.05$).

Fig. 4 Comparison of mean ($\pm$ SEM) growth, bleaching and photochemical performance of coral recruits harbouring wild-type (WT) and selected (SS) *Symbiodinium* C1 at 27°C (WT@27, SS@27) and 31°C (SS@31, WT@31). **(a - c)** % change in size between D0 and D28 for three coral species (n = 25-34). **(d-f)** Proportion of recruit surface area pigmented (%) for each coral species (n = 20-37). Statistically significant differences among ‘treatments’ (i.e. WT@27, SS@27, SS@31, WT@31) within a time point are represented by different symbols above/below the line plots (Tukey’s *post hoc* tests; $p < 0.05$, **a-f**). Statistically significant differences over time (**d-f**) for each treatment are represented by different letters (Tukey’s *post hoc* tests; $p < 0.05$). Where error bars are not visible, they are small and hidden by the symbols.

Discussion

The stable phenotypic changes observed in the SS cells after ~80 generations (2.5 years) of *in vitro* selection provides compelling evidence of rapid thermal adaptation in this *Symbiodinium* type C1 genotype. Our study is one of only a few micro-algal studies that show thermal adaptation through experimental evolution. SS cells significantly outperformed the WT cells at elevated temperature for all traits measured while they maintained performance levels similar to those of the WT cells at ambient temperature, demonstrating that laboratory selection has widened rather than shifted the SS temperature tolerance range for this strain. This is an important characteristic considering the often large natural daily and seasonal temperature fluctuations experienced on coral reefs (Kline *et al.*, 2015). Contrary to expectations, we found the positive effects of thermal adaptation of the SS cells to be considerably reduced *in hospite*, although a trend of positive growth was observed in two of three coral species tested under heat stress when inoculated with SS cells, but not with WT cells.

Thermal adaptation and broadening of thermal range in algal endosymbionts ex hospite

All photo-physiological traits measured showed a significantly lowered performance of the WT@31 compared to the other experimental groups over the 21 day study period. In contrast, the SS@31, could maintain photosynthetic function similar to the WT@27 and SS@27 throughout the experiment. The specific growth rate and exROS data confirm an increase in temperature tolerance for the SS relative to the WT cells. The mean growth rate of SS@31, while up to 44% slower than that of the WT@27 and SS@27, exhibited positive growth (0.07 doublings day⁻¹), while the WT@31 exhibited mean negative growth of -0.05 doublings day⁻¹. Elevated temperature inevitably requires increases in metabolic activity (Beardall & Raven, 2004). Selection on more efficient metabolic pathways for the SS may have been enough to

maintain energetically costly photosynthetic processes (and/or photosystem and cellular repair mechanisms) as well as positive growth at 31°C, but not enough to sustain growth rates to match those at 27°C. Reduced growth rates as a result of greater thermal tolerance have been described previously through both short-term acclimatory and adaptive responses. A thermally tolerant isolate of *Symbiodinium* A1 cultured for 10 days at 32°C exhibited only a slight decline in photosynthetic activity but a considerable decline in growth, compared to control temperature conditions (Robison & Warner, 2006). In another example, evolution of thermal tolerance in the phytoplankton *Chlorella vulgaris* resulted in a down-regulation in respiration relative to photosynthesis through changes in energy allocation (Padfield *et al.*, 2016).

ExROS production by the WT@31 had mean values that were always higher than those for the remaining experimental groups and up to 17 times greater by D21. Conversely, the SS@31 was able to maintain lower exROS levels similar to the WT@27 and SS@27 throughout most of the experiment. Reactive oxygen species are molecules produced as a by-product of photosynthesis and respiration. If produced in excess and not neutralised by antioxidant molecules and enzymes, ROS are secreted extracellularly into their surrounding medium. Excessive ROS production *in hospite* has been linked to triggering the bleaching response of corals (Downs *et al.*, 2002; Lesser, 2006), thus their production is an important trait to consider in *Symbiodinium* thermal selection experiments. Cellular ROS production can occur as a result of uncoupling of the photosystems (PSII and PSI) during heat stress (Iglesias-Prieto *et al.*, 1992), and in excess can cause damage to DNA, proteins, lipids and cell membranes (Tchernov *et al.*, 2004; Lesser, 2006; Venn *et al.*, 2008). The high exROS produced by the WT@31 likely explains the inability for growth and severe photo-inhibition at an elevated temperature.

570

571 Two previous studies have experimented with the heterogeneous population from which our
572 WT strain was derived. Howells *et al.* (2011) showed that, after 11 days of heating,
573 *Symbiodinium* C1 from Magnetic Island showed no signs of stress at 32°C and displayed
574 maximum quantum yields 16 % higher than at the control temperature of 27°C. In a more
575 recent study, heating of the same *Symbiodinium* C1 for 15 days at 32°C revealed no
576 difference in photosynthetic performance, or amount of exROS compared to culture
577 replicates maintained under ambient temperature conditions. Heat-exposed cells were able to
578 acclimate via upregulation of a number of antioxidant and molecular chaperone genes (Levin
579 *et al.*, 2016), preventing damage to the photosynthetic apparatus and allowing cell growth to
580 be maintained. In our study, D3 already represented 17 days of exposure to 31 °C for the
581 WT@31 as a result of two weeks pre-acclimation to experimental conditions. The reduced
582 performance of our WT@31, and subsequent decline in fitness, indicates that more than 15
583 days are needed before thermal stress significantly impacts the WT's physiological
584 performance. The energetic costs of upregulating pathways to combat the effects of thermal
585 stress could have become too high for thermal tolerance to be maintained (DeWitt *et al.*,
586 1998). Maintenance of low exROS levels by the SS@31 could be a result of a greater
587 antioxidant capacity under heat stress (McGinty *et al.*, 2012) compared to the WT, perhaps
588 through the selection of cells with a higher metabolic capacity able to support such costly
589 antioxidant responses. Alternatively, a more stable photosynthetic apparatus, through
590 alterations in the fatty acid composition of thylakoid membranes (Tchernov *et al.*, 2004) for
591 example, could limit cellular ROS production in the SS cells.

592

Climate change-relevant evolutionary experiments have been carried out on other microalgae, although none of these previously studied algae have a symbiotic life stage. These microalgal studies have mainly focussed on the effects of selection to an elevated pCO₂ regime, and often used growth rate as the only fitness parameter measured. Some have failed to show adaptation (Crawford *et al.*, 2011; Tatters *et al.*, 2013), while others have provided evidence for adaptation to elevated pCO₂ (Collins & Bell, 2004; Lohbeck *et al.*, 2012; Jin *et al.*, 2013). A limited number of studies have investigated the evolutionary consequences of selection to elevated temperature; the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* adapted to a combination of elevated temperature and pCO₂ after ~250 generations (two years) of selection (Flores-Moya *et al.*, 2008), a number of phytoplankton strains showed interspecific differences in thermal adaptive capacity (Huertas *et al.*, 2011) and the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* showed a 16 % higher growth rate in thermally adapted populations after 460 generations (one year) of selection (Schlüter *et al.*, 2014). Our results show that thermal adaptation is possible after only ~80 generations (2.5 years) of selection in *Symbiodinium* C1. Such rapid adaptation may be in part be due to the nature of our initial experimental design (ratchet technique, [Huertas *et al.*, 2011]), where sequential increases in temperature, only to levels that maintained population growth, meant maximising the potential for beneficial, random mutations to occur and thus maximising the rate of adaptation. Additionally, a further culture of ~73 generations (more than 2 years) at elevated temperature after the ratchet experiment could have allowed any such mutations to become fixed in the population.

Thermal adaptation of algal endosymbionts shows limited expression in symbiosis

While our *in vitro* results provide compelling evidence for an adaptive response to temperature selection in *Symbiodinium* C1, the benefits of temperature adaptation showed

limited expression in symbiosis with juvenile *Acropora* corals. There were no significant differences in tissue pigmentation between treatment groups and all were equally bleached at the end of the experiment when under heat stress. At the higher experimental temperature of 31°C there was no significant difference in growth rates between juveniles that harboured SS or WT cells but two of the three coral species harbouring the SS cells exhibited a trend of positive growth, while all species harbouring the WT displayed a trend of negative growth. Recruit growth at 27 °C was independent of infection with WT or SS cells, indicating no negative impact of thermal adaptation of the SS on holobiont growth at ambient temperature in this experiment. This contrasts with other studies that have shown that higher thermal tolerance in *Symbiodinium* type D comes at the cost of reduced growth for *A. millepora* juvenile and adult corals at ambient temperatures, as well as *Pocillopora damicornis*, compared to corals harbouring more thermo-sensitive C1 (Little *et al.*, 2004), C2 (Jones & Berkelmans, 2010) and (Cunning *et al.*, 2015) *Symbiodinium*, respectively.

Differences between the *in vitro* and *in hospite* responses under heat stress have previously been reported for other *Symbiodinium* strains (Bhagooli & Hidaka, 2003; Goulet *et al.*, 2005). Our results, in conjunction with the observed differences in bleaching responses of different coral species that harbour the same *Symbiodinium* type (Berkelmans & van Oppen, 2006; Abrego *et al.*, 2008; Fisher *et al.*, 2012), confirm that the coral host contributes considerably to the bleaching tolerance level of the coral-*Symbiodinium* holobiont (Baird *et al.*, 2008). Little is known about potential host-factors influencing the holobiont response, but a number of species-specific variables have been suggested whereby the coral host can alter the algal microenvironment through differences in the amount and type of light reaching symbionts *in hospite*, host-based pigments (Dove, 2004; Salih *et al.*, 2006), host skeletal morphology (Enríquez *et al.*, 2005; Kaniewska *et al.*, 2008) and tissue thickness (Loya *et al.*, 2001).

Further, a potentially dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) limited environment *in hospite* (Leggat *et al.*, 2000; Marubini *et al.*, 2008; Jarrold *et al.*, 2013) could drive differences in photosynthesis between *in vitro* cultures that may be DIC-replete. Alternatively, either the production of ROS by the host itself under heat stress, or host antioxidant capacity could have been so high that the increase in ROS produced by WT symbionts at 31°C was relatively insignificant. Furthermore, a breakdown of symbiont-host communication under stress has been suggested to affect the ability of a coral to discriminate between healthy and unhealthy *Symbiodinium* cells (Baird *et al.*, 2008), which could explain factors such as host-digestion of *Symbiodinium*, symbiont expulsion or apoptotic host cell death (Dunn *et al.*, 2002; Weis, 2008) as a resulting host response, regardless of *Symbiodinium* health (Baird *et al.* 2008).

Assisted Symbiodinium evolution to enhance coral bleaching tolerance

Our results highlight the ability of *Symbiodinium* to evolve greater thermal tolerance over ecological time-scales relevant to the pace of climate change. A previous directed evolution experiment on *Symbiodinium* showed positive growth after 55-70 generations of culturing at 30°C, while no growth was observed at this temperature prior to selection (Huertas *et al.*, 2011). These findings indicate that the ability for the rapid temperature adaptation is not limited to the *Symbiodinium* C1 used in our study.

We show that the positive effect of laboratory selection on our particular *Symbiodinium* strain was reduced in symbiosis. Many *Symbiodinium* types are known to occur both free-living in coral reef sediments and the water column and in symbiosis with reef-building corals and/or other reef organisms (Littman *et al.*, 2008; Huang *et al.*, 2013), such as the C1 type studied here. Our findings suggest that fast growth may be an important trait to artificially select for

when the goal is to enhance thermal tolerance in free-living *Symbiodinium*, but may not be an appropriate trait to select for *in vitro* if the aim is to augment thermal tolerance *in hospite* (i.e., coral bleaching tolerance) (van Oppen *et al.*, 2015). Additional laboratory selection experiments using several selection pressures and targeted traits are required to resolve this issue. Furthermore, *Symbiodinium* is a highly diverse genus comprising many evolutionary lineages and types (i.e., putative species) (Baker, 2003; Manning & Gates, 2008) and it is possible that other *Symbiodinium* strains belonging to different clades and types may respond to laboratory thermal selection in different ways with varying effects on the holobiont. Finally, it is important to acknowledge the numerous other microbes (e.g. bacteria, fungi, archaea) that are present in corals. These microbes can have vastly different physiologies and can play important, functional roles (Ainsworth *et al.*, 2010). Their interaction with *Symbiodinium* could therefore have implications in the overall phenotype of both the *Symbiodinium* and the coral holobiont.

Models of reef futures under climate change have taken into account existing variation in symbiont thermal tolerance (Baskett *et al.*, 2009) and coral resilience (Baskett *et al.*, 2014). The results presented here on the rate of evolution in *Symbiodinium* to rising seawater temperatures, and the potentially different selective forces in the free-living versus the symbiotic life stage (Day *et al.*, 2008), are critical to refine such models. Examination of cellular pathways (e.g., *via* transcriptome analysis) underpinning the SS and WT *Symbiodinium* thermal stress response *in vitro* and *in hospite* will likely provide insights into the distinct phenotypic responses observed here and may reveal why the positive effects of thermal selection were reduced *in hospite* in our experiment. Such studies will inform and improve experimental evolution studies in *Symbiodinium* for augmenting coral bleaching tolerance and their ultimate use in coral reef conservation and restoration initiatives.

691

692 **Acknowledgments**

693 We thank N. E. Cantin for assistance with the camera stereo-microscope setup, D. J. Suggett
694 for advice on the interpretation of photosynthetic parameters measured, E. Puill-Stephan, E.
695 J. Howells and R. González González for their contributions to the early stages of this work,
696 B.L. Willis for commenting on the manuscript, B.L. Willis and B. Leggat for general advice
697 to the experimental design and M.D. Jarrold and K.M. Quigley for advice with statistical
698 analyses. The study was funded by the Paul G. Allen Family Foundation and the Australian
699 Institute of Marine Science. L. J. C. acknowledges receipt of a James Cook University Post-
700 Graduate Research Scholarship (JCUPRS).

701

702 **References**

- 703 Abrego D, Ulstrup KE, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2008) Species-specific interactions
704 between algal endosymbionts and coral hosts define their bleaching response to heat and
705 light stress. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 2273–82.
- 706 Ainsworth TD, Thurber RV, Gates RD (2010) The future of coral reefs: a microbial
707 perspective. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **25**, 233–240.
- 708 Baird AH, Bhagooli R, Ralph PJ, Takahashi S (2008) Coral bleaching: the role of the host.
709 *Cell*, **24**, 16–20.
- 710 Baker AC (2003) Flexibility and Specificity in Coral-Algal Symbiosis: Diversity, Ecology,
711 and Biogeography of *Symbiodinium* on JSTOR. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,*
712 *and Systematics*, **34**, 661–689.
- 713 Baker AC, Starger CJ, McClanahan TR, Glynn PW (2004) Coral reefs: Corals' adaptive
714 response to climate change. *Nature*, **430**, 741–741.

715 Baskett ML, Gaines SD, Nisbet RM (2009) Symbiont diversity may help coral reefs survive
716 moderate climate change. *Ecological Applications*, **19**, 3–17.

717 Baskett ML, Fabina NS, Gross K (2014) Response Diversity Can Increase Ecological
718 Resilience to Disturbance in Coral Reefs. *The American Naturalist*, **184**, E16–E31.

719 Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
720 lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, **67**, 1–48.

721 Beardall J, Raven JA (2004) The potential effects of global climate change on microalgal
722 photosynthesis, growth and ecology. *Phycologia*, **43**, 26–40.

723 Beltran VH, Dunlap WC, Long PF (2012) Comparison of the photosynthetic bleaching
724 response of four coral species common to the central GBR.

725 Berkelmans R, van Oppen MJH (2006) The role of zooxanthellae in the thermal tolerance of
726 corals: a “nugget of hope” for coral reefs in an era of climate change. *Proceedings of the*
727 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **273**, 2305–12.

728 Bhagooli R, Hidaka M (2003) Comparison of stress susceptibility of in hospite and isolated
729 zooxanthellae among five coral species. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*
730 *Ecology*, **291**, 181–197.

731 Bythell JC (1988) A total nitrogen and carbon budget for the elkhorn coral *Acropora palmata*
732 (Lamarck). *Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium*, **6**, 535–540.

733 Cantin NE, van Oppen MJH, Willis BL, Mieog JC, Negri AP (2009) Juvenile corals can
734 acquire more carbon from high-performance algal symbionts. *Coral Reefs*, **28**, 405–414.

735 Collins S, Bell G (2004) Phenotypic consequences of 1,000 generations of selection at
736 elevated CO₂ in a green alga. *Nature*, **431**, 566–9.

737 Collins S, Bell G (2006) Evolution of natural algal populations at elevated CO₂. *Ecology*
738 *Letters*, **9**, 129–135.

739 Cooper S (1991) *Bacterial growth and division: Biochemistry and regulation of prokaryotic*

740 *and eukaryotic division cycles*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.

741 Crawford KJ, Raven JA, Wheeler GL et al. (2011) The Response of *Thalassiosira*

742 *pseudonana* to Long-Term Exposure to Increased CO₂ and Decreased pH (ed Browman

743 H). *PLoS ONE*, **6**, e26695.

744 Cunning R, Gillette P, Capo T, Galvez K, Baker AC (2015) Growth tradeoffs associated with

745 thermotolerant symbionts in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* are lost in warmer oceans.

746 *Coral Reefs*, **34**, 155–160.

747 Day T, Nagel L, Van Oppen MJH, Caley MJ (2008) Factors Affecting the Evolution of

748 Bleaching Resistance in Corals. *Source: The American Naturalist*, **171**, 72–88.

749 DeWitt TJ, Sih A, Wilson DS (1998) Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. *Trends in*

750 *Ecology and Evolution*, **13**, 77–81.

751 Dove S (2004) Scleractinian corals with photoprotective host pigments are hypersensitive to

752 thermal bleaching.

753 Downs C., Fauth JE, Halas JC, Dustan P, Bemiss J, Woodley CM (2002) Oxidative stress and

754 seasonal coral bleaching. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, **33**, 533–543.

755 Dunn SR, Bythell JC, Le Tissier MDA, Burnett WJ, Thomason JC (2002) Programmed cell

756 death and cell necrosis activity during hyperthermic stress-induced bleaching of the

757 symbiotic sea anemone *Aiptasia* sp. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and*

758 *Ecology*, **272**, 29–53.

759 Eakin C, Liu G, Gomez A et al. (2016) Global coral bleaching 2014–2017: status and an

760 appeal for observations. *Reef Encounter*, **31**, 20–26.

761 Enríquez S, Méndez ER, Iglesias-Prieto R (2005) Multiple scattering on coral skeletons

762 enhances light absorption by symbiotic algae. *Limnol. Oceanogr*, **50**, 1025–1032.

763 Fabina NS, Putnam HM, Franklin EC et al. (2012) Transmission Mode Predicts Specificity

764 and Interaction Patterns in Coral-Symbiodinium Networks (ed Ferse SCA). *PLoS ONE*,

765 7, e44970.

766 Falkowski PG, Dubinsky Z, Muscatine L, McCloskey L (1993) Population Control in
767 Symbiotic Corals. *BioScience*, **43**, 606–611.

768 Fisher PL, Malme MK, Dove S (2012) The effect of temperature stress on coral–
769 *Symbiodinium* associations containing distinct symbiont types. *Coral Reefs*, **31**, 473–
770 485.

771 Flores-Moya A, Costas E, López-Rodas V (2008) Roles of adaptation, chance and history in
772 the evolution of the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum triestinum*. *Die Naturwissenschaften*,
773 **95**, 697–703.

774 Flores-Moya A, Rouco M, García-Sánchez MJ, García-Balboa C, González R, Costas E,
775 López-Rodas V (2012) Effects of adaptation, chance, and history on the evolution of the
776 toxic dinoflagellate *Alexandrium minutum* under selection of increased temperature and
777 acidification. *Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 1251–9.

778 Franklin EC, Stat M, Pochon X, Putnam HM, Gates RD (2012) GeoSymbio: a hybrid, cloud-
779 based web application of global geospatial bioinformatics and ecoinformatics for
780 *Symbiodinium*-host symbioses. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **12**, 369–73.

781 Goreau TJ, Macfarlane AH (1990) Reduced growth rate of *Montastrea annularis* following
782 the 1987-1988 coral-bleaching event. *Coral Reefs*, **8**, 211–215.

783 Goulet TL, Cook CB, Goulet D (2005) Effect of short-term exposure to elevated
784 temperatures and light levels on photosynthesis of different host-symbiont combinations
785 in the *Aiptasia pallida* *Symbiodinium* symbiosis. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **50**,
786 1490–1498.

787 Henley WJ (1993) Measurement and interpretation of photosynthetic light-response curves in
788 algae in the context of photoinhibition and diel changes. *Journal of Phycology*, **29**, 729–
789 739.

790 Hill R, Schreiber U, Gademann R, Larkum AWD, Kühl M, Ralph PJ (2004) Spatial
 791 heterogeneity of photosynthesis and the effect of temperature-induced bleaching
 792 conditions in three species of corals. *Marine Biology*, **144**, 633–640.

793 Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby PJ, Hooten AJ et al. (2008) Coral Reefs Under Rapid Climate
 794 Change and Ocean Acidification. *Science*, **318**, 1737–1742.

795 Hoffmann AA, Sgrò CM (2011) Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. *Nature*, **470**,
 796 479–85.

797 Hönlisch B, Ridgwell A, Schmidt DN et al. (2012) The geological record of ocean
 798 acidification. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **335**, 1058–63.

799 van Hooidonk R, Maynard J, Tamelander J et al. (2016) Local-scale projections of coral reef
 800 futures and implications of the Paris Agreement. *Scientific reports*, **6**, 39666.

801 Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.
 802 *Biometrical Journal*, **50**, 346–363.

803 Howells EJ, Beltran VH, Larsen NW, Bay LK, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2011) Coral
 804 thermal tolerance shaped by local adaptation of photosymbionts. *Nature Climate*
 805 *Change*, **2**, 116–120.

806 Howells EJ, Beltran VH, Larsen NW, Bay LK, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2012) Coral
 807 thermal tolerance shaped by local adaptation of photosymbionts. *Nature Climate*
 808 *Change*, **2**, 116–120.

809 Huang H, Zhou G, Yang J, Liu S, You F, Lei X (2013) Diversity of free-living and symbiotic
 810 *Symbiodinium* in the coral reefs of Sanya, South China Sea. *Marine Biology Research*,
 811 **9**, 117–128.

812 Huertas IE, Rouco M, Lopez-Rodas V, Costas E (2011a) Warming will affect phytoplankton
 813 differently: evidence through a mechanistic approach. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*
 814 *B: Biological Sciences*, **278**, 3534–3543.

815 Hughes TP, Baird AH, Bellwood DR et al. (2003) Climate change, human impacts, and the
 816 resilience of coral reefs. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **301**, 929–33.

817 Hughes TP, Kerry J, Álvarez-Noriega M et al. (2017) Global warming and recurrent mass
 818 bleaching of corals. *Nature*, **543**, 373–377.

819 Iglesias-Prieto R, Matta JL, Robins WA, Trench RK (1992) Photosynthetic response to
 820 elevated temperature in the symbiotic dinoflagellate *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* in
 821 culture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
 822 *America*, **89**, 10302–10305.

823 Iglesias-Prieto R, Beltrán VH, Lajeunesse TC, Reyes-Bonilla H, Thomé PE (2004b) Different
 824 algal symbionts explain the vertical distribution of dominant reef corals in the eastern
 825 Pacific. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **271**, 1757–
 826 1763.

827 Iwao K, Fujisawa T, Hatta M (2002) A cnidarian neuropeptide of the GLWamide family
 828 induces metamorphosis of reef-building corals in the genus *Acropora*. *Coral Reefs*, **21**,
 829 127–129.

830 Jarrold MD, Calosi P, Verberk WCEP, Rastrick SPS, Atfield A, Spicer JI (2013)
 831 Physiological plasticity preserves the metabolic relationship of the intertidal non-
 832 calcifying anthozoan-*Symbiodinium* symbiosis under ocean acidification. *Journal of*
 833 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **449**, 200–206.

834 Jin P, Gao K, Beardall J (2013) Evolutionary responses of a coccolithophorid *Gephyrocapsa*
 835 *oceanica* to ocean acidification. *Evolution*, **67**, 1869–78.

836 Jones A, Berkelmans R (2010) Potential Costs of Acclimatization to a Warmer Climate:
 837 Growth of a Reef Coral with Heat Tolerant vs. Sensitive Symbiont Types. *PLoS ONE*, **5**,
 838 e10437.

839 Kaniewska P, Anthony KRN, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2008) Variation in colony geometry

modulates internal light levels in branching corals, *Acropora humilis* and
Stylophora pistillata. *Marine Biology*, **155**, 649–660.

Kline DI, Teneva L, Hauri C et al. (2015) Six Month in situ high-resolution carbonate
chemistry and temperature study on a coral reef flat reveals asynchronous pH and
temperature anomalies. *PLOS ONE*, **10**, e0127648.

Leggat W, Rees TA, Yellowlees D (2000) Meeting the photosynthetic demand for inorganic
carbon in an alga-invertebrate association: preferential use of CO₂ by symbionts in the
giant clam *Tridacna gigas*. *Proceedings. Biological sciences*, **267**, 523–9.

Lesser MP (2006a) Oxidative stress in marine environments: Biochemistry and Physiological
Ecology. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **68**, 253–278.

Lesser MP (2006b) Oxidative stress in marine environments: Biochemistry and physiological
ecology. *Annual Review of Physiology*, **68**, 253–278.

Levin RA, Beltran VH, Hill R, Kjelleberg S, McDougald D, Steinberg PD, van Oppen MJH
(2016) Sex, scavengers, and chaperones: Transcriptome secrets of divergent
Symbiodinium thermal tolerances. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **33**, 2201–2215.

Little AF, van Oppen MJH, Willis BL (2004) Flexibility in algal endosymbioses shapes
growth in reef corals. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **304**, 1492–4.

Littman RA, van Oppen MJH, Willis BL (2008) Methods for sampling free-living
Symbiodinium (zooxanthellae) and their distribution and abundance at Lizard Island
(Great Barrier Reef). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **364**, 48–53.

Lohbeck KT, Riebesell U, Reusch TBH (2012) Adaptive evolution of a key phytoplankton
species to ocean acidification. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**, 346–351.

Loya Y, Sakai K, Yamazato K, Nakano Y, Sambali H, van Woesik R (2001) Coral bleaching:
the winners and the losers. *Ecology Letters*, **4**, 122–131.

Manning MM, Gates RD (2008) Diversity in populations of free-living *Symbiodinium* from a

865 Caribbean and Pacific reef. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **53**, 1853–1861.

866 Marubini F, Ferrier-Pagès C, Furla P, Allemand D (2008) Coral calcification responds to
867 seawater acidification: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism. *Coral*
868 *Reefs*, **27**, 491–499.

869 McGinty ES, Pieczonka J, Mydlarz LD (2012) Variations in reactive oxygen release and
870 antioxidant activity in multiple *Symbiodinium* types in response to elevated temperature.
871 *Microbial Ecology*, **64**, 1000–1007.

872 Merilä J, Hendry AP (2014) Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity: the
873 problem and the evidence. *Evolutionary Applications*, **7**, 1–14.

874 Mieog JC, Olsen JL, Berkelmans R, Bleuler-Martinez SA, Willis BL, van Oppen MJH (2009)
875 The roles and interactions of symbiont, host and environment in defining coral fitness.
876 *PLoS ONE*, **4**, e6364.

877 Murata N, Takahashi S, Nishiyama Y, Allakhverdiev SI (2007) Photoinhibition of
878 photosystem II under environmental stress. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -*
879 *Bioenergetics*, **1767**, 414–421.

880 Normile D (2016) El Niño’s warmth devastating reefs worldwide. *Science*, **352**, 15–6.

881 van Oppen MJH, Oliver JK, Putnam HM, Gates RD (2015) Building coral reef resilience
882 through assisted evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **112**,
883 2307–2313.

884 van Oppen MJH, Gates RD, Blackall LL et al. (2017) Shifting paradigms in restoration of the
885 world’s coral reefs. *Global Change Biology*.

886 Van Oppen MJH, Souter P, Howells EJ, Heyward A, Berkelmans R (2011) Novel Genetic
887 Diversity Through Somatic Mutations: Fuel for Adaptation of Reef Corals? *Diversity*, **3**,
888 405–423.

889 Padfield D, Yvon-Durocher G, Buckling A, Jennings S, Yvon-Durocher G (2016) Rapid

890 evolution of metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton. *Ecology*
891 *Letters*, **19**, 133–142.

892 Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change.
893 *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **37**, 637–669.

894 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team (2017) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear
895 Mixed Effects Models. *R package version 3.1-131*.

896 Platt T, Gallegos CL, Harrison WG (1980) Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in natural
897 assemblages of marine phytoplankton. *Journal of Marine Research*, **38**, 687–701.

898 R Core Team (2016). *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*.

899 Ralph PJ, Polk SM, Moore KA, Orth RJ, Smith WO (2002) Operation of the xanthophyll
900 cycle in the seagrass *Zostera marina* in response to variable irradiance. *Journal of*
901 *Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **271**, 189–207.

902 Reimer AA (1971) Observations on the relationships between several species of tropical
903 zoanthids (*Zoanthideas coelenterata*) and their zooxanthellae. *Journal of Experimental*
904 *Marine Biology and Ecology*, **7**, 207–214.

905 Robison JD, Warner ME (2006) Differential Impacts of Photoacclimation and Thermal Stress
906 on the Photobiology of Four Different Phylotypes of *Symbiodinium* (Pyrrophyta).
907 *Journal of Phycology*, **42**, 568–579.

908 Salih A, Cox G, Szymczak R et al. (2006) The role of host-based color and fluorescent
909 pigments in photoprotection and in reducing bleaching stress in corals. *Proceedings of*
910 *the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium*, 746–756.

911 Sampayo EM, Ridgway T, Bongaerts P, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2008) Bleaching susceptibility
912 and mortality of corals are determined by fine-scale differences in symbiont type.
913 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **105**,
914 10444–10449.

915 Schlüter L, Lohbeck KT, Gutowska MA, Gröger JP, Riebesell U, Reusch TBH (2014)
 916 Adaptation of a globally important coccolithophore to ocean warming and acidification.
 917 *Nature Climate Change*, **4**, 1024–1030.

918 Schreiber U (2004) Pulse-Amplitude-Modulation (PAM) Fluorometry and Saturation Pulse
 919 Method: An Overview. In: *Chlorophyll a Fluorescence*, pp. 279–319. Springer
 920 Netherlands, Dordrecht.

921 Stat M, Morris E, Gates RD (2008) Functional diversity in coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis.
 922 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **105**,
 923 9256–61.

924 Szmant AM, Gassman NJ (1990) The effects of prolonged "bleaching" on the tissue biomass
 925 and reproduction of the reef coral *Montastrea annularis*. *Coral Reefs*, **8**, 217–224.

926 Takahashi S, Yoshioka-Nishimura M, Nanba D, Badger MR (2013) Thermal acclimation of
 927 the symbiotic alga *Symbiodinium* spp. alleviates photobleaching under heat stress. *Plant*
 928 *physiology*, **161**, 477–85.

929 Tatters AO, Schnetzer A, Fu F, Lie AY, Caron D, Hutchins D (2013) Short- versus long-term
 930 responses to changing CO₂ in a coastal dinoflagellate bloom: implications for
 931 interspecific competitive interactions and community structure. *Evolution*, **67**, 1879–91.

932 Tchernov D, Gorbunov MY, de Vargas C, Narayan Yadav S, Milligan AJ, Häggblom M,
 933 Falkowski PG (2004) Membrane lipids of symbiotic algae are diagnostic of sensitivity to
 934 thermal bleaching in corals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
 935 *United States of America*, **101**, 13531–5.

936 Thornhill DJ, Lewis AM, Wham DC, LaJeunesse TC (2014) Host-specialist lineages
 937 dominate the adaptive radiation of reef coral endosymbionts. *Evolution*, **68**, 352–367.

938 Titlyanov EA, Titlyanova T V, Leletkin VA, Tsukahara J, Van Woesik R, Yamazato K
 939 (1996) Degradation of zooxanthellae and regulation of their density in hermatypic

- corals. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **139**, 167–178.
- Venn AA, Loram JE, Douglas AE (2008) Photosynthetic symbioses in animals. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **59**, 1069–1080.
- Wang J-T, Chen Y-Y, Tew KS, Meng P-J, Chen CA (2012) Physiological and biochemical performances of menthol-induced aposymbiotic corals. *PloS one*, **7**, e46406.
- Warner ME, Fitt WK, Schmidt GW (1999) Damage to photosystem II in symbiotic dinoflagellates: A determinant of coral bleaching. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **96**, 8007–8012.
- Weis VM (2008) Cellular mechanisms of Cnidarian bleaching: stress causes the collapse of symbiosis. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, **211**, 3059–66.
- Wilkerson FP, Kobayashi D, Muscatine L (1988) Mitotic index and size of symbiotic algae in Caribbean Reef corals. *Coral Reefs*, **7**, 29–36.
- Yellowlees D, Rees TA V., Leggat W (2008) Metabolic interactions between algal symbionts and invertebrate hosts. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **31**, 679–694.
- Zeebe RE, Dickens GR, Ridgwell A, Sluijs A, Thomas E (2014) Onset of carbon isotope excursion at the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum took millennia, not 13 years. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **111**, E1062-3.

Supporting Information

S1: Annotated ‘R’ scripts used to analyse *in vitro* and *in hospite* data

S2: Raw data for each *in vitro* and *in hospite* traits measured

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Chakravarti, LJ;Beltran, VH;van Oppen, MJH

Title:

Rapid thermal adaptation in photosymbionts of reef-building corals

Date:

2017-11-01

Citation:

Chakravarti, L. J., Beltran, V. H. & van Oppen, M. J. H. (2017). Rapid thermal adaptation in photosymbionts of reef-building corals. GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY, 23 (11), pp.4675-4688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13702>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/241831>