Giles Sarah (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-5228-121X)
Hughes Elizabeth (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-4377-5610)
Krug Isabel (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-5275-3595)

TITLE PAGE

TITLE: The cognitive-interpersonal model of disordered eating: A test of the mediating role of alexithymia

RUNNING HEADING: The cognitive-interpersonal model: The mediating role of alexithymia

AUTHORS: Sarah Giles^a, Elizabeth K. Hughes^{a,b,c}, Matthew Fuller-Tyszkiewicz^{d,e}, Isabel Krug^a

^a Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

^b Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

^c Centre for Adolescent Health, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia

^d Centre for Social and Early Emotional Development, School of Psychology, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

^e School of Psychology, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia

AUTHOR FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Sarah Giles, Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

E-mail: giless1@student.unimelb.edu.au

Telephone Number: + 6 139 035 8551

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1002/erv.2720](https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2720)

TITLE PAGE

TITLE: The cognitive-interpersonal model of disordered eating: A test of the mediating role of alexithymia

RUNNING HEADING: The cognitive-interpersonal model: The mediating role of alexithymia

AUTHORS: Sarah Giles ^a, Elizabeth K. Hughes^{a,b,c}, Matthew Fuller-Tyszkiewicz ^{d,e}, Isabel Krug^a

^a Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

^b Department of Paediatrics, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

^c Centre for Adolescent Health, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia

^d Centre for Social and Early Emotional Development, School of Psychology, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

^e School of Psychology, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, Australia

AUTHOR FOR CORRESPONDENCE:

Sarah Giles, Melbourne School of Psychological Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

E-mail: giless1@student.unimelb.edu.au

Telephone Number: + 6 139 035 8551

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

ABSTRACT:

Objective: The cognitive-interpersonal model proposes that high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity confer risk for the development of eating disorders (EDs) and that socio-emotional deficits, such as alexithymia, contribute to their maintenance. However, no studies have examined the direct and indirect relationships of these constructs. We investigated the mediating role of specific alexithymia traits (difficulties describing feelings, difficulties identifying feelings, and externally-oriented thinking) on the relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, and ED symptoms, while controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms.

Method: Four-hundred-and-one non-clinical female participants ($M = 20.57$, $SD = 4.99$ years-old) completed self-report measures assessing the variables of interest.

Results: Path-analyses revealed that difficulties identifying feelings was the only significant mediator between attention to detail and cognitive rigidity to ED symptoms. However, these mediation effects became non-significant after controlling for anxiety and depression.

Conclusions: Difficulties identifying feelings may in part underlie the relationship between attention to detail and cognitive rigidity and ED symptoms; providing support for the cognitive-interpersonal model. However, these relationships are heavily influenced by anxiety and

depression symptoms. Enhancing individual's ability to identify emotional states may help to decrease ED symptoms for individuals who report high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Cognitive rigidity conferred risk to eating disorder symptoms directly, and indirectly through difficulties identifying internal states.
- High levels of attention to detail only conferred risk to eating disorder symptoms when coupled with difficulties identifying internal states.
- Strategies that enhance an individual's ability to identify emotional states may help to decrease eating disorder symptoms for individuals who report high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity.

KEYWORDS: eating disorders, alexithymia, cognitive rigidity, attention to detail.

1. Introduction

The cognitive-interpersonal maintenance model proposes that obsessive-compulsive personality traits characterized by high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity, in combination with difficulties in socio-emotional processing, such as alexithymia, confer vulnerability for the development and maintenance of eating disorder (ED) symptoms (Crane, Roberts, & Treasure, 2007; Lang, Lopez, Stahl, Tchanturia, & Treasure, 2014; Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). Considerable evidence supports the view that attention to detail and cognitive rigidity represent endophenotypic traits in EDs which persist independent of the status of the illness (Zhou, McAdam, & Donnelly, 2018). Understanding what factors may mediate the development of EDs for individuals with this cognitive style is of importance; however, no studies to date have examined the

mediating role of socio-emotional deficits between cognitive style and ED symptoms through statistical techniques such as path analysis. This omission is significant given the central role of socio-emotional processing deficits in the cognitive-interpersonal model (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). Accordingly, the current study assessed for the first time the relationships between attention to detail and cognitive rigidity with ED symptoms in a large sample of females and whether alexithymia traits mediated these relationships.

1.1 Cognitive style

High levels of cognitive rigidity and attention to detail are well-documented within clinical ED populations (Lang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2014). It is theorized that this cognitive style predisposes individuals to meticulous attention to detail in planning and organization, sensitivity to order and systems, and fear of change and making mistakes (Schmidt & Treasure, 2006). Within the context of EDs, cognitive rigidity makes individuals more susceptible to inflexible rules regarding food preparation, while their eye for detail makes aspects of appearance more salient and results in highly detailed weight control rituals (Lang, Roberts, et al., 2016). Consequently, these individuals may be more prone to experience dietary restraint and body dissatisfaction due to their detailed-orientated processing and propensity for all-or-nothing thinking (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013).

Further support for the role of this cognitive style comes from research documenting cognitive rigidity and attention to detail in weight-restored and recovered ED populations (Lang et al., 2014; Lang, Roberts, et al., 2016; Tchanturia et al., 2012). Additionally, first-degree unaffected relatives of individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN) demonstrate greater difficulties with set-shifting

and global processing when compared to healthy controls (Lang, Roberts, et al., 2016; Lang, Treasure, & Tchanturia, 2016). Collectively, these clinical findings provide support for the view that this cognitive style represents an endophenotype for EDs (Zhou et al., 2018).

Given its potential significance for EDs, accurate and efficient assessment of this cognitive style is of considerable clinical interest. Neuropsychological tests, such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task (WCST; Grant & Berg, 1948) and Group Embedded Figures Task (GEFT; Witkin, Oltman, Raskin, & Karp, 1971), provide an objective estimation of cognitive rigidity and attention to detail and are frequently employed in ED populations. However, the time-consuming nature of these tests limits their feasibility in clinical practice. Self-report measures have the potential to provide a more efficient way to gain information about an individual's experience of cognitive rigidity and attention to detail.

The Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire (DFlex) is one such self-report questionnaire designed to measure cognitive rigidity (difficulty with set-shifting/flexibility) and attention to detail (weak coherence) (Roberts, Barthel, Lopez, Tchanturia, & Treasure, 2011). Several studies of ED patients have reported high scores on the DFlex cognitive rigidity and attention to detail subscales (Lang et al., 2015; Tchanturia, Larsson, & Adamson, 2016; Westwood, Mandy, & Tchanturia, 2017). Within non-clinical ED populations, to our knowledge, only one study has used the DFlex. Arlt and Colleagues (2016) examined the relationship between the DFlex cognitive rigidity subscale and ED symptoms in a large sample of university students ($N = 461$, 63.12% Female). Results showed that cognitive rigidity was positively correlated with ED

symptoms, and predicted ED symptoms when controlling for gender and social anxiety symptoms. While these findings demonstrate an association between cognitive rigidity and ED symptoms, the role of attention to detail as measured by the DFlex was not examined. This is significant, as the cognitive-interpersonal model (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013) posits that high levels of cognitive rigidity likely accentuate attention to detail due to the difficulties in switching attention from detail focused to global processing, thereby exacerbating this cognitive style (Harrison, Tchanturia, Naumann, & Treasure, 2012). Thus, examining the contribution of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity in relation to ED symptoms simultaneously is important.

1.2 Alexithymia

In exploring what factors may mediate the development of an ED in the context of high levels of cognitive rigidity and attention to detail, the potential role of alexithymia warrants attention. Alexithymia is a trait characterized by difficulties describing and identifying one's and other's feelings, poor introspective thinking, and impaired ability to differentiate internal states from bodily sensations (Guillén et al., 2014; Taylor, Bagby, & Parker, 1999). Individuals with alexithymia tend to avoid attending to their internal experiences, show impairments in interpreting interoceptive signals, such as hunger and satiety, and confuse these bodily sensations with emotions (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). The onset of ED symptoms may be associated with the use of strategies employed in an attempt to regulate affective experiences (Treasure, Corfield, & Cardi, 2012). Given that alexithymia is associated with deficits in the cognitive-experiential component of emotion response systems (subjective awareness of emotions), and at the level of interpersonal

regulation of emotion (verbal communication of emotional distress), individuals may resort to maladaptive self-stimulatory behaviours, such as starving or bingeing, to self-regulate emotional states and internal experiences (Speranza, Loas, Wallier, & Corcos, 2007). Luminet, Bagby, and Taylor (2018) in their review of the relationship between alexithymia and EDs suggest that alexithymia traits are positively associated with ED symptoms, and propose that alexithymia may indirectly contribute disordered eating, by influencing personality characteristics such as ineffectiveness, interpersonal distrust, maturity fears and perfectionism, which in turn may affect eating behavior.

Research suggests that alexithymia is associated with specific deficits in executive functioning, similar to the cognitive style implicated in the cognitive-interpersonal model (Luminet et al., 2018). Zhu, Wang, Huang, Yao, and Tang (2006) compared scores on the WCST between individuals with high and low alexithymia scores. Results showed that compared to the low group, the group with higher alexithymia scores made significantly more perseverative errors and perseverative responses. Such findings suggest that elevated alexithymia may also contribute to rigid mental acts. Further, the externally-oriented subscale of the TAS -20 assesses a cognitive style characterized by a preference for external details as a consequence of deficits in the mental representation of emotions (Taylor et al., 1999). This operational cognitive style resembles the cognitive style implicated in the cognitive-interpersonal model, wherein the preoccupation with highly detailed weight control rituals facilitates avoidance from attending to emotional experiences (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). Additionally, as previously mentioned, the presence of cognitive

rigidity would likely accentuate this detail-oriented style, due to the difficulties in switching attention from detail orientated thinking to “bigger picture” thinking.

A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of 44 studies of alexithymia in EDs (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, Stahl, & Tchanturia, 2017) concluded that individuals with AN and bulimia nervosa (BN) endorsed significantly more alexithymia traits, as measured by the Toronto Alexithymia Scale-20 (TAS-20; Bagby, Parker, & Taylor, 1994), compared to healthy controls ($d = 1.44$ and $d = 1.26$, respectively). In studies of AN, large effects were observed for the TAS-difficulty identifying feelings subscale ($d = 1.57$) and difficulty describing feelings subscale ($d = 1.11$), and small effects were observed for the externally-oriented thinking subscale ($d = 0.48$). Within BN, large effects were observed for the TAS-difficulty identifying feelings ($d = 1.58$) and difficulty describing feelings subscales ($d = 0.89$) when compared to controls; however, no significant differences were observed for the externally-oriented thinking subscale ($d = 0.02$).

Within non-clinical ED populations, research suggests that individuals with higher ED symptoms report significantly higher TAS-20 total scores compared to individuals with low ED symptoms (Alpaslan et al., 2015; Ridout, Thom, & Wallis, 2010), and TAS-Total scores are positively associated with ED symptoms (De Berardis et al., 2007; De Berardis et al., 2009). Similar to the findings within BN, non-clinical samples report significant correlations between difficulties identifying and describing feelings, but not externally-oriented thinking, with ED symptoms (Alpaslan et al., 2015; De Berardis et al., 2007; De Berardis et al., 2009).

The finding that the externally-oriented thinking subscale is less consistently related to ED symptoms, may suggest that disordered eating is associated with aspects of alexithymia that reflect difficulties in the cognitive processing of emotions, not an operational cognitive style. The externally-oriented subscale reflects a concrete cognitive style in which a focus on the external details of everyday life is preferred over a focus on one's inner experiences (Preece, Becerra, Allan, Robinson, & Dandy, 2017). However, it is also possible that the lack of consistent findings between the externally-oriented thinking subscale and disordered eating may be accounted for by the relatively low-reliability estimates observed for this subscale (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, et al., 2017).

Only 19 of the 44 studies included in the Westwood et al.,'s (2017) review controlled for depression and six of these also controlled for anxiety when comparing differences between the ED and control groups on the TAS-20. Of those 19 studies, the results only remained significant in eight studies. Further, research suggests that depression and anxiety are related to alexithymia. Li, Zhang, Guo & Zhang's (2015) meta-analysis investigated the relationship between depression severity and TAS-20 scores in 19 studies across non-clinical and depressed groups. The authors reported moderate correlations between depression severity and the difficulty describing feelings and difficulty identifying feelings subscales, but only weak correlations between depression severity and externally-oriented subscale. These findings suggest that alexithymia as assessed by the TAS-20, specifically the difficulty describing feelings and difficulty identifying feelings subscales, are closely related to depression. Luminet et al. (2018) in their narrative review of the literature

regarding the relationships between alexithymia, depression and anxiety report that the prevalence rate of alexithymia in depressive disorders and several anxiety disorders is moderately high and cannot be attributed entirely to shared diagnostic variance between these disorders and the alexithymia construct. Given the established links between alexithymia, depression and anxiety, and specific criticisms that the TAS-20 may assess aspects of negative affect, the importance of controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms is apparent (Luminet, Bagby, & Taylor, 2001); Taylor and Bagby (2004).

1.3 Rationale for the current study

Based on the cognitive-interpersonal model of EDs, existing research supports the proposed relationships depicted in Figure 1. Namely, research findings have consistently reported significant associations between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, difficulties identifying feelings, and difficulties describing feelings and ED symptoms (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018). However, several unresolved issues remain. To our knowledge, no studies have examined these constructs together despite them all being theoretically implicated in the cognitive-interpersonal model. Past research has not controlled for the potential confounding effects of anxiety and depression on alexithymia scores and has not examined the unique relationships between the three subscales of the TAS-20 (difficulty describing feelings, difficulty identifying feelings, and externally-oriented thinking) to ED symptoms. This is significant in light of the findings from Li et al. (2015) meta-analysis which demonstrated that the degree of alexithymia is related to depression severity.

While the cognitive-interpersonal model primarily focused on the relationships between cognitive and socio-emotional processes in the maintenance of AN, the model has been applied trans-diagnostically as an intervention for carers in the context of AN, BN, or Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified (EDNOS) (Goddard et al., 2011) and in the treatment for EDNOS (Goddard et al., 2013). Furthermore, the model has been applied to the development of EDs such that attention to detail and cognitive rigidity are proposed to represent endophenotypes for EDs (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). Similarly, alexithymia is thought to indirectly contribute to the development of ED symptoms by influencing traits, such as cognitive rigidity and attention to detail, which influence the development of EDs (Luminet et al., 2018). As such, we were interested in examining these as potential predisposing for the development of an ED, as outlined in the cognitive-interpersonal model, in a non-clinical but at-risk population of young female university students.

Therefore, this study aimed to address these gaps by testing all paths in a combined model while controlling for anxiety and depressive symptoms. Due to the lack of consistent evidence for a direct relationship between externally-oriented thinking and ED symptoms, it was hypothesized that ED symptoms would be directly predicted by cognitive rigidity, attention to detail, difficulty identifying feelings and difficulties describing feelings. Furthermore, it was hypothesized that cognitive rigidity and attention to detail would indirectly predict ED symptoms via, difficulty identifying feelings, difficulties describing feelings and externally-oriented thinking.

<INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE>

2. Method

2.1 Participants

Four-hundred-and-one non-clinical female participants (98.5% self-identified as students) aged between 18 and 57 years ($M = 20.57$, $SD = 4.99$) were recruited from an Australian university. Inclusion criteria for the study were females aged 18 years and over. There were no exclusion criteria. The mean self-reported BMI for the sample was 21.98 kg/m^2 ($SD = 4.71$). Participants reported their ethnicity as Asian (45.20%), Caucasian (40.10%), and Middle Eastern (3.0%), with other ethnic groups comprising the remainder of the sample (11.60%). Most participants reported their relationship status as single (76.10%), followed by being in a monogamous or de facto relationship (23.90%). Given the large proportion of university students, most participants' highest completed education level was secondary education (75.10%), although some had completed tertiary qualifications (24.90%). Most participants were full-time students (59.6%), with the remainder reporting working either full-time, part-time, or on a casual basis (37.7%), or being unemployed (2.2%).

2.2 Measures

2.2.1 Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire (DFlex; DFlex; Roberts et al., 2011)

The DFlex is a 24-item self-report scale comprised of two subscales: Cognitive Rigidity (difficulty with set-shifting/flexibility) and Attention to Detail (weak coherence). Respondents report to what extent they agree with statements (such as “I can get lost in the details and often forget the real purpose of the task”) on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 = “Strongly Disagree” to 5 = “Strongly Agree”. The DFlex has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.91$), and strong

discriminant validity (Roberts et al., 2011). In the current study, the Cronbach alpha for the Cognitive Rigidity subscale was $\alpha = .85$, and Attention to Detail subscale $\alpha = .83$.

2.2.2 Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20; Bagby et al., 1994)

The TAS-20 is a 20-item self-report questionnaire comprised of three subscales: 1) Difficulty Describing Feelings, 2) Difficulty Identifying Feelings, and 3) Externally-Oriented Thinking. Responses to items (such as “I am often confused what I am feeling”) are rated on a 5-point Likert scale from “1 = “Strongly Agree” to 5 = “Strongly Disagree”. The TAS-20 has demonstrated good internal consistency, adequate test-retest reliability, and the three-factor structure of the TAS-20 has been replicated in ED population and community samples (Loas et al., 2001). While the authors of the TAS-20 (Bagby et al., 1994) argue that the preferred approach is to use the full-scale score, evidence from confirmatory factor analyses found that a three-factor model (difficulty identifying feelings; difficulty describing feelings; and externally oriented thinking) is the best fitting model (Meganck, Vanheule, & Desmet, 2008). Therefore we chose to use the subscales of the TAS-20, in place of the full-scale score. In the current study, the Cronbach’s alpha values were difficulty describing feelings Subscale $\alpha = .74$, difficulty identifying feelings subscale $\alpha = .85$, and externally-oriented thinking subscale $\alpha = .62$.

2.2.3 Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire 6.0 (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008)

The EDE-Q is a 36-item self-report questionnaire that assesses both the attitudinal and behavioural features of EDs within the last 4 weeks. The EDE-Q is comprised of four subscales 1) Restraint, 2) Eating Concern, 3) Shape Concern and 4) Weight Concern. To obtain subscale scores,

the ratings for the relevant items are added together and the sum is divided by the total number of items forming the subscale. The global score is the sum of the four subscale scores divided by the number of subscales. Responses are rated on a 7-point response scale where participants endorse the frequency and severity of ED-related behaviors and cognitions. The EDE-Q has demonstrated good internal consistency in community settings (Mond, Hay, Rodgers, Owen, & Beumont, 2004). Within the current study, the Cronbach alpha for the EDE-Q global score was $\alpha = 0.95$. Only the EDE-Q global score was used in the path analyses in the current study as evidence suggests that the EDE-Q factor structure is most appropriately represented as a unitary construct (Allen, Byrne, Lampard, Watson, & Fursland, 2011).

2.2.4 Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983)

The HADS is a 14-item questionnaire comprised of two subscales to assess depression and anxiety symptoms within the last week. Items on the HADS are rated on 4-point phrases such as 0 = "Not at all" and 3 = "Most of the time". The HADS has demonstrated good internal consistency (Bjelland, Dahl, Haug, & Neckelmann, 2002). In the current study the Cronbach alpha for the HADS-Anxiety subscale was $\alpha = .83$, and $\alpha = .79$ for the HADS-Depression subscale.

2.3 Procedure

Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the commencement of the study. Participants were then asked to complete an online questionnaire at their convenience and received course credit in return for their participation. Ethical approval for the current study was obtained from the <name omitted to maintain anonymity> Ethics Committee.

2.4 Statistical analyses

Bivariate and partial correlations adjusted by anxiety and depression symptoms were carried out to assess the relationship between ED symptoms, attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, and alexithymia traits using IBM SPSS 26.0. Additionally, path analyses were conducted in Mplus (Muthén & Muthén, 1998-2017) to evaluate the model proposed in Figure 1. In this model, alexithymia traits were added as potential mediators for the relationships between attention to detail and cognitive rigidity (independent variables) with global ED symptom severity as the dependent variable. Anxiety and depression were added as covariates for any variables that were significantly correlated in the proposed model. Two-tailed significance testing ($p < .05$) was used for all analyses. Parameter estimates for indirect effects were evaluated using bias-corrected bootstrapping with 10,000 re-samples, due to the non-normally distribution (MacKinnon, Lockwood, & Williams, 2004). To assess model fit, the comparative fit index (CFI), Tucker-Lewis index (TLI), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and standardized root mean square (SRMR) were chosen. These fit indices were selected as they are considered to be among the best indicators of model fit (Wang & Wang, 2012). Indicators for acceptable model fit were CFI and TFI values $\geq .90$, RMSEA values $\leq .06$ with a 90% CI where the lower limit contains or is close to 0 and the upper limit is less than 0.08, and SRMR values $< .08$ (Hooper, Coughlan, & Mullen, 2008).

3. Results

3.1. Data cleaning

Data were inspected for missingness and deemed acceptable with less than 5% missing for all variables and a pattern consistent with missing completely at random; $\chi^2(47) = 49.08, p = .35$. Expectation maximization was employed to replace any missing values (Tabachnick, Fidell, & Ullman, 2007). All variables were normally distributed and, therefore, no transformations were applied.

3.2 Associations between variables assessed

Descriptive statistics, bivariate, and partial correlations (adjusting for anxiety and depression) between the variables of interest are displayed in Table 1. The EDE-Q global mean for the sample was in the 70th percentile in reference to normative data for young Australian women (Mond, Hay, Rodgers, & Owen, 2006). All variables were significantly associated with each other, apart from the TAS-externally-oriented thinking subscale and EDE-Q subscale scores. As shown in Table 1, the relative magnitude of the correlations decreased after controlling for anxiety and depression. The DFlex attention to detail and cognitive rigidity subscales were significantly related to all the TAS-20 subscales at varying magnitudes.

<INSERT TABLE 1 HERE>

3.3 Model Results

The direct and indirect effects of the initial proposed model are shown in Table 2. As can be seen in this model (Figure 2) several of our proposed relationships were not significant. As the initial model proposed was just-identified (all degrees of freedom were consumed), a model trimming approach was adopted to remove any non-significant paths in the interest of parsimony.

The revised and more parsimonious model was found to fit the data well, with all significant paths in the original model remaining significant, $\chi^2(df=3) 7.23, p=.0065$, CFI = .991, TLI = .959, RMSEA = .059 (90% CI: 0.000, 0.116), SRMR = .016. See Figure 3 for a graphical representation for the final model. Components of this final more parsimonious model are discussed in turn.

<INSERT FIGURE 2 HERE>

<INSERT TABLE 2 HERE>

3.4 Path analyses

3.4.1 Cognitive style, alexithymia traits, and eating disorder symptoms

The DFlex-cognitive rigidity scores significantly predicted EDE-Q Global scores ($\beta=0.265, p<.001$). TAS-difficulty identifying feelings subscale significantly predicted EDE-Q Global scores ($\beta=0.197, p<.001$). These significant predictors accounted for 16% of the variance in ED symptoms.

3.4.2 Cognitive Style and alexithymia traits

The DFlex-attention to detail subscale significantly predicted the TAS-externally-oriented thinking subscale ($\beta=0.208, p<.001$), the TAS-difficulty describing feelings subscale ($\beta=0.444, p<.001$), and the TAS-difficulty identifying feelings subscale ($\beta=0.336, p<.001$). The DFlex-cognitive rigidity scale significantly predicted the TAS-difficulty identifying feelings subscale ($\beta=0.336, p<.001$). When controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms the TAS-difficulty identifying Feelings to EDE-Q Global path became non-significant ($\beta=0.068, p=.239$).

<INSERT FIGURE 3 HERE>

3.5 Mediation effects

Examination of the indirect effects revealed significant total indirect effects from DFlex-attention to detail to EDE-Q Global ($\beta=.066$, 95% CIs: 0.004, 0.017), and from DFlex-cognitive rigidity to EDE-Q Global ($\beta=.054$, 95% CIs: 0.03, 0.013). Further exploration of these indirect effects showed significant indirect effects from DFlex-attention to detail $\rightarrow$ TAS-difficulty identifying feelings $\rightarrow$ EDE-Q Global ($\beta=.066$, 95% CIs: 0.028, 0.119), and DFlex-cognitive rigidity $\rightarrow$ TAS-difficulty identifying feelings $\rightarrow$ EDE-Q Global ($\beta=.054$, 95% CIs: 0.021, 0.100). However, both indirect effects were non-significant after controlling for anxiety and depression scores ($\beta=.023$, 95% CIs: -0.002, 0.009) and ($\beta=0.019$, 95% CIs: -0.001, 0.007), respectively.

4. Discussion

The present study investigated the mediating role of specific alexithymia traits on the relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, and ED symptoms, while controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms. Our findings provided partial support for our model outlined in Figure 1, and the cognitive-interpersonal maintenance model more broadly (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). Specifically, we found positive correlations between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, all three alexithymia traits, and all variables of interest were directly related to ED symptoms, except for externally-oriented thinking. However, significant indirect effects of cognitive rigidity and attention to detail on ED symptoms via difficulty identifying feelings were

non-significant after controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms. These findings are described in further detail below.

4.1 The relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, & alexithymia

The results of the path analyses demonstrated that higher levels of attention to detail predicted greater difficulty identifying feelings, difficulty describing feelings, and externally-oriented thinking. However, higher levels of cognitive rigidity only predicted greater difficulty identifying feelings. This is clinically significant as to our knowledge no studies have examined the cognitive style described in the cognitive-interpersonal model and its relationship to the socio-emotional traits implicated in the maintenance of EDs, such as alexithymia (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013). It is unclear why cognitive rigidity was unrelated to difficulties describing feelings or externally-oriented thinking. Cognitive rigidity may be only related to an individual's capacity to differentiate between feelings and bodily sensations (e.g., "I am often confused about what emotion I am feeling"), rather than difficulties communicating feelings or focusing on the external world (Nowakowski, McFarlane, & Cassin, 2013). Cognitive rigidity in part reflects a tendency to make concrete interpretations and be inflexible regarding such interpretations, which may subsequently undermine an individual's capacity to hypothesize about and identify internal states. This may help to explain our findings; however, further research is needed to substantiate the proposed relationships.

4.2 The relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, alexithymia & eating disorder symptoms

Author Manuscript

The finding that only cognitive rigidity, but not attention to detail, was directly related to ED symptoms in the path analyses are not consistent with the previous clinical ED literature (Lang et al., 2014). Considerable research supports the view that both cognitive rigidity and attention to detail are prominent features within ED populations (Lang, Roberts, et al., 2016; Tchanturia et al., 2012). Interestingly, in our correlation analyses, but not the path analysis, there was a significant relationship between attention to detail and ED symptoms. These findings are consistent with Lang et al., (2014) meta-analysis which reported superior detail processing in ED populations as measured by the GEFT (Witkin, Oltman, Raskin, & Karp, 1971). However, these results may suggest that the relationship between attention to detail and ED symptoms may change once other factors are taken into consideration. The use of a non-clinical ED sample in this study may also explain the lack of significant relationship between attention to detail and ED symptoms.

Further, evidence suggests that the degree of attention to detail, cognitive rigidity and socio-emotional difficulty is related to the severity of ED presentation. Harrison et al. (2012) investigated whether a particular cognitive or socio-emotional profile was associated with a more severe and chronic form of illness in a sample of 100 female participants with EDs. Results from the principal components analysis showed that those with high levels of cognitive difficulties (characterized by detail focus, global integration difficulties, and cognitive rigidity) and socio-emotional difficulties (characterized by deficits in theory of mind and attentional bias for social cues) had more severe and chronic ED presentations. These findings suggest that the degree of

attention to detail, cognitive rigidity and socio-emotional difficulty may strongly contribute to the maintenance of the ED.

The results of the path analysis demonstrated that difficulty identifying feelings was the only alexithymia trait that predicted ED symptoms. This is partly consistent with the previous findings in clinical (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, et al., 2017) and non-clinical ED populations (Alpaslan et al., 2015; De Berardis, 2007 #26; De Berardis et al., 2009), which typically report no significant relationships between externally-oriented thinking and ED symptoms. However, after controlling for anxiety and depression symptoms the relationship between difficulty identifying feelings and ED symptoms became non-significant. These findings may indicate, as past authors have argued, that the TAS-20 assesses aspects of depression, or that depression and alexithymia are distinct but highly correlated constructs (Taylor & Bagby, 2004).

Previous studies have reported significant associations between difficulties describing feelings and ED symptoms, which was not supported by our results (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, et al., 2017). Possible explanations for this null finding may be that previous research, which demonstrated larger effect sizes for the TAS-difficulty describing feelings subscale utilized an AN sample, whereas the present study used a non-clinical sample (Westwood, Kerr-Gaffney, et al., 2017). Therefore, the null findings in the present study may be attributed to the non-clinical population.

4.3 The indirect effects of alexithymia traits on eating disorder symptoms

Our study is the first to demonstrate that difficulties identifying feelings, but not difficulties describing feelings or externally-oriented thinking, mediated the relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity and ED symptoms. These findings are consistent with the cognitive-interpersonal model and suggest that improving the interpretation of interoceptive signals such as hunger and satiety, or learning to differentiate bodily sensations from emotions, may decrease ED symptoms in individuals who report high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity (Treasure & Schmidt, 2013).

Additionally, a particularly interesting finding was that there was no significant direct relationship between attention to detail and ED symptoms, but there was a significant indirect effect of attention to detail via difficulties identifying feelings to ED symptoms. This is notable as it indicates that the excessive focus on detail, which often results in perfectionistic tendencies, only confers risk to ED symptoms when coupled with difficulties identifying internal states. It should be noted that as with the other direct effects, these significant indirect effects became non-significant once anxiety and depression were controlled. This suggests the relationship between alexithymia and ED symptoms is heavily influenced by the contribution of co-occurring anxiety and depression symptoms.

Given that our study is the first to examine the direct and indirect effects of this cognitive style on ED symptoms, future studies are required to replicate our findings. In addition, given the significance of the role of anxiety and depression symptoms, these factors may be better represented

in future studies as additional mediating variables in the relationship between cognitive style, alexithymia, and ED symptoms.

4.4 Limitations of the current study

The findings of the current study should be appraised in the context of several limitations. Firstly, the current sample has underrepresented those individuals at a clinical level of ED symptomatology, and we did not enquire whether participants had a current or past ED, hence limiting the extent to which we can generalize the current findings across the continuum of ED symptomatology. Secondly, the use of self-report measures may increase the risk of social desirability in responses. Furthermore, self-report may not be the most accurate assessment method for constructs such as cognitive style or alexithymia traits which require insight and self-reflection into these processes, thus influencing the results. Our sample was confined to females; therefore, the current findings may not necessarily generalize to males. This is important as research has found that aspects of alexithymia, such as externally-oriented thinking and difficulties identifying feelings are more prevalent in males compare to females with binge eating symptomatology (Larsen, van Strien, Eisinga, & Engels, 2006). Therefore, the possibility remains that gender-specific pathways may exist in the relationship between alexithymia and ED symptoms.

As outlined earlier, the TAS-20 has been criticized for its potential overlap with aspects of negative affect (Luminet et al., 2001). However, we chose to use the TAS-20, as it is the most widely used measure of alexithymia, allowing us to make comparisons between the current

findings and past research. Measures such as the Toronto Structured Interview for Alexithymia (Bagby, Taylor, Parker, & Dickens, 2006) allow clinicians to assess the extent of an individual's difficulties with identifying and describing feelings, fantasy, and imaginal processes may be better placed to examine alexithymia. One study (Balottin, Nacinovich, Bomba, & Mannarini, 2014) demonstrated that this measure was more sensitive than the TAS-20 in assessing alexithymia in women with AN. Another self-report measure for alexithymia is the Bermond Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire (BVAQ; Vorst 2001), which assesses cognitive aspects of alexithymia analogous to the TAS subscales, as well as two factors which assess affective aspects of alexithymia (i.e., reduced fantasizing and reduced experiencing of emotions). Due to the multifaceted nature of alexithymia, future studies utilizing multimethod approaches may be better placed to clarify the complex relationship between alexithymia traits and EDs.

In our path-analysis model, we examined the EDE-Q Global Score rather than specific ED symptoms (e.g., dietary restraint, weight concerns). This was due to the lack of replication for the original four-factor structure for the EDE-Q and to maximize model parsimony (Allen et al., 2011). Future studies using larger samples and other ED measures such as the Eating Disorder Inventory-3 (Garner, 2004) or the Eating Pathology Symptoms Inventory (Forbush et al., 2013) may be better placed to elucidate whether specific ED symptoms are associated with cognitive style and alexithymia traits.

4.5 Clinical implications

Withstanding these limitations, the present study has numerous strengths including our assessment of the direct and indirect relationships between cognitive style, alexithymia traits, and ED symptoms in a large sample. The present findings underscore the significance of targeting difficulties identifying internal feelings for individuals who endorse high levels of attention to detail and cognitive rigidity. Therapies that specifically target rigidity and detail focus, such as Cognitive Remediation and Emotion Skills Training (CREST), have shown to be effective in addressing cognitive rigidity and detail focus and enhancing the ability to label emotions for individuals with AN. Moreover, the current findings demonstrate the significant role of comorbid anxiety and depression symptoms, which reinforces the importance of the assessment and treatment of comorbid psychopathology in ED treatment.

4.6 Conclusions

To conclude, the current study demonstrated that difficulties identifying feelings, but not difficulties describing feelings or externally-oriented thinking, mediated the relationship between attention to detail, cognitive rigidity, and ED symptoms. Additionally, the non-significant direct effect but the significant indirect effect from attention to detail to ED symptoms may indicate that an excessive focus on detail only confers risk to ED symptoms when coupled with difficulties identifying feelings. However, it must be acknowledged that these mediation effects became non-significant once anxiety and depression were controlled for. Thus, difficulties identifying feelings may in part underlie the relationship between attention to detail and cognitive rigidity and ED symptoms, but this relationship is highly influenced by anxiety

and depression symptoms. Future studies which assess anxiety and depression symptoms systematically and use more sensitive measures to examine alexithymia may better explicate the relationship between cognitive style, alexithymia traits, and ED.

5.0 References

- Allen, K. L., Byrne, S. M., Lampard, A., Watson, H., & Fursland, A. (2011). Confirmatory factor analysis of the Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire (EDE-Q). *Eating Behaviors*, 12, 143-151. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2011.01.005>
- Alpaslan, A. H., Soylu, N., Kadriye, A., Coşkun, K. Ş., Kocak, U., & Taş, H. U. (2015). Disordered eating attitudes, alexithymia and suicide probability among Turkish high school girls. *Psychiatry Research*, 226, 224-229. doi:[10.1016/j.psychres.2014.12.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2014.12.052)
- Arlt, J., Yiu, A., Eneva, K., Taylor Dryman, M., Heimberg, R. G., & Chen, E. Y. (2016). Contributions of cognitive inflexibility to eating disorder and social anxiety symptoms. *Eating Behaviors*, 21, 30-32. doi:[10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.12.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.12.008)
- Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D. A., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia scale—I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 38, 23-32. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(94\)90005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(94)90005-1)
- Bagby, R. M., Taylor, G. J., Parker, J. D., & Dickens, S. E. (2006). The development of the Toronto Structured Interview for Alexithymia: item selection, factor structure, reliability and concurrent validity. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 75, 25-39. doi:[10.1159/000089224](https://doi.org/10.1159/000089224)
- Balottin, L., Nacinovich, R., Bomba, M., & Mannarini, S. (2014). Alexithymia in parents and adolescent anorexic daughters: comparing the responses to TSIA and TAS-20 scales. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 10, 1941-1951. doi:[10.2147/NDT.S67642](https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S67642)

- Bjelland, I., Dahl, A. A., Haug, T. T., & Neckelmann, D. (2002). 10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00296-3. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 52, 69-77. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00296-3
- Crane, A. M., Roberts, M. E., & Treasure, J. (2007). Are obsessive-compulsive personality traits associated with a poor outcome in anorexia nervosa? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials and naturalistic outcome studies. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 40, 581-588. doi:10.1002/eat.20419
- De Berardis, D., Carano, A., Gambi, F., Campanella, D., Giannetti, P., Ceci, A., . . . Ferro, F. M. (2007). Alexithymia and its relationships with body checking and body image in a non-clinical female sample. *Eating Behaviors*, 8, 296-304. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2006.11.005
- De Berardis, D., Serroni, N., Campanella, D., Carano, A., Gambi, F., Valchera, A., . . . Pizzorno, A. M. (2009). Alexithymia and its relationships with dissociative experiences, body dissatisfaction and eating disturbances in a non-clinical female sample. *Cognitive Therapy Research*, 33, 471-479. doi:10.1007/s10608-009-9247-9
- Fairburn, C. G., & Beglin, S. (2008). Eating disorder examination questionnaire. In F. C. G (Ed.), *Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders* (pp. 309–313). New York: Guilford Press.
- Forbush, K. T., Wildes, J. E., Pollack, L. O., Dunbar, D., Luo, J., Patterson, K., . . . Stone, A. (2013). Development and validation of the Eating Pathology Symptoms Inventory (EPSI). *Psychological Assessment*, 25, 859. doi:10.1037/a0032639

Garner, D. M. (2004). Eating disorder inventory-3 (EDI-3). *Professional manual*. Odessa, FL: *Psychological Assessment Resources*.

Goddard, E., Macdonald, P., Sepulveda, A. R., Naumann, U., Landau, S., Schmidt, U., & Treasure, J. (2011). Cognitive interpersonal maintenance model of eating disorders: intervention for carers. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199, 225-231.
doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.110.088401

Goddard, E., Salerno, L., Hibbs, R., Raenker, S., Naumann, U., Arcelus, J., . . . Treasure, J. (2013). Empirical examination of the interpersonal maintenance model of anorexia nervosa. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 46, 867-874.

Grant, D. A., & Berg, E. A. (1948). A behavioral analysis of degree of reinforcement and ease of shifting to new responses in a Weigl-type card-sorting problem. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 38, 404-411.

Guillén, V., Santos, B., Muñoz, P., de Corres, B. F., Fernández, E., Pérez, I., . . . González-Pinto, A. (2014). Toronto alexithymia scale for patients with eating disorder: Of performance using the non-parametric item response theory. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 55, 1285-1291. doi:10.1016/j.comppsy.2014.03.020

Harrison, A., Tchanturia, K., Naumann, U., & Treasure, J. (2012). Social emotional functioning and cognitive styles in eating disorders. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 51, 261-279. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8260.2011.02026.x

- Lang, K., Lloyd, S., Khondoker, M., Simic, M., Treasure, J., & Tchanturia, K. (2015). Do children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa display an inefficient cognitive processing style? *PloS One*, *10*, e0131724. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131724
- Lang, K., Lopez, C., Stahl, D., Tchanturia, K., & Treasure, J. (2014). Central coherence in eating disorders: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *15*, 586-598. doi:10.3109/15622975.2014.909606
- Lang, K., Roberts, M., Harrison, A., Lopez, C., Goddard, E., Khondoker, M., . . . Tchanturia, K. (2016). Central Coherence in Eating Disorders: A Synthesis of Studies Using the Rey Osterrieth Complex Figure Test. *PloS One*, *11*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165467
- Lang, K., Treasure, J., & Tchanturia, K. (2016). Is inefficient cognitive processing in anorexia nervosa a familial trait? A neuropsychological pilot study of mothers of offspring with a diagnosis of anorexia nervosa. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *17*, 258-265.
- Larsen, J. K., van Strien, T., Eisinga, R., & Engels, R. C. (2006). Gender differences in the association between alexithymia and emotional eating in obese individuals. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *60*, 237-243.
- Li, S., Zhang, B., Guo, Y., & Zhang, J. (2015). The association between alexithymia as assessed by the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale and depression: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research*, *227*, 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.02.006
- Loas, G., Corcos, M., Stephan, P., Pellet, J., Bizouard, P., Venisse, J. L., . . . Jeammet, P. (2001). Factorial structure of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale: confirmatory factorial

- analyses in nonclinical and clinical samples. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 50, 255-261. doi:10.1016/S0022-3999(01)00197-0
- Luminet, O., Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. J. (2001). An evaluation of the absolute and relative stability of alexithymia in patients with major depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 70, 254-260. doi:10.1159/000056263
- Luminet, O., Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. J. (2018). *Alexithymia: Advances in research, theory, and clinical practice*: Cambridge University Press.
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate behavioral research*, 39, 99-128. doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr3901_4
- Meganck, R., Vanheule, S., & Desmet, M. (2008). Factorial validity and measurement invariance of the 20-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale in clinical and nonclinical samples. *Assessment*, 15, 36-47. doi:10.1177/1073191107306140
- Mond, J. M., Hay, P. J., Rodgers, B., & Owen, C. (2006). Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q): norms for young adult women. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 44, 53-62. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2004.12.003
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (1998-2017). *Mplus User's Guide. Eighth Edition*. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén.

- Nowakowski, M. E., McFarlane, T., & Cassin, S. (2013). Alexithymia and eating disorders: a critical review of the literature. *Journal of Eating Disorders, 1*, 21. doi:10.1186/2050-2974-1-21
- Preece, D., Becerra, R., Allan, A., Robinson, K., & Dandy, J. (2017). Establishing the theoretical components of alexithymia via factor analysis: Introduction and validation of the attention-appraisal model of alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences, 119*, 341-352. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2017.08.003
- Ridout, N., Thom, C., & Wallis, D. J. J. E. B. (2010). Emotion recognition and alexithymia in females with non-clinical disordered eating. *11*, 1-5.
- Roberts, M. E., Barthel, F. M., Lopez, C., Tchanturia, K., & Treasure, J. L. (2011). Development and validation of the Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire (DFlex) in eating disorders. *Eating Behaviors, 12*, 168-174. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2011.04.001
- Schmidt, U., & Treasure, J. (2006). Anorexia nervosa: valued and visible. A cognitive-interpersonal maintenance model and its implications for research and practice. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 45*, 343-366.
- Speranza, M., Loas, G., Wallier, J., & Corcos, M. (2007). Predictive value of alexithymia in patients with eating disorders: A 3-year prospective study. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 63*, 365-371.
- Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S., & Ullman, J. B. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics* (Vol. 5): Pearson Boston, MA.

- Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2004). New trends in alexithymia research. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 73, 68-77. doi:10.1159/000075537
- Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (1999). *Disorders of affect regulation: Alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness*: Cambridge University Press.
- Tchanturia, K., Davies, H., Roberts, M., Harrison, A., Nakazato, M., Schmidt, U., . . . Morris, R. (2012). Poor Cognitive Flexibility in Eating Disorders: Examining the Evidence using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Task. *PloS One*, 7, e28331. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0028331
- Tchanturia, K., Doris, E., Mountford, V., & Fleming, C. (2015). Cognitive Remediation and Emotion Skills Training (CREST) for anorexia nervosa in individual format: self-reported outcomes. *BMC Psychiatry*, 15, 53. doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0434-9
- Tchanturia, K., Larsson, E., & Adamson, J. (2016). How anorexia nervosa patients with high and low autistic traits respond to group Cognitive Remediation Therapy. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16, 334. doi:10.1186/s12888-016-1044-x
- Treasure, J., Corfield, F., & Cardi, V. (2012). A three-phase model of the social emotional functioning in eating disorders. *European Eating Disorders Review*, 20, 431-438. doi:10.1002/erv.2181
- Treasure, J., & Schmidt, U. (2013). The cognitive-interpersonal maintenance model of anorexia nervosa revisited: a summary of the evidence for cognitive, socio-emotional and interpersonal predisposing and perpetuating factors. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 1, 13. doi:10.1186/2050-2974-1-13

- Westwood, H., Kerr-Gaffney, J., Stahl, D., & Tchanturia, K. (2017). Alexithymia in eating disorders: Systematic review and meta-analyses of studies using the Toronto Alexithymia Scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 99, 66-81.
doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.06.007
- Westwood, H., Mandy, W., & Tchanturia, K. (2017). The association between symptoms of autism and neuropsychological performance in females with Anorexia Nervosa. *Psychiatry Research*, 258, 531-537. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2017.09.005
- Witkin, H. A., Oltman, P., Raskin, E., & Karp, S. A. (1971). A manual for the group embedded figures test. *Palo Alto, California*.
- Wu, M., Brockmeyer, T., Hartmann, M., Skunde, M., Herzog, W., & Friederich, H. C. (2014). Set-shifting ability across the spectrum of eating disorders and in overweight and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 44, 3365-3385.
doi:10.1017/S0033291714000294
- Zhou, Z. C., McAdam, D. B., & Donnelly, D. R. (2018). Endophenotypes: A conceptual link between anorexia nervosa and autism spectrum disorder. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 82, 153-165. doi:10.1016/j.ridd.2017.11.008
- Zhu, X.-z., Wang, X., Huang, Y., Yao, S., & Tang, H. (2006). A Comparative Study of Wisconsin Card Sorting Test in Individuals with Different Degrees of Alexithymia. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 14, 132.

Zigmond, A. S., & Snaith, R. P. (1983). The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67, 361-370.

Table 1
Descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation coefficients, and partial correlations for the study variables

	Mean (SD)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1. EDE-Q Global	2.00 (1.30)	-	.79***	.85***	.92***	.92***	.04	.11*	.12*	.11*	.18***
2. EDE-Q Restraint Subscale	1.60 (1.42)	.81***	-	.62***	.58***	.55***	.05	.09	.06	.05	.12*
3. EDE-Q Eating Concern Subscale	1.31 (1.25)	.88***	.66***	-	.70***	.71***	.04	.09	.14**	.16**	.18***
4. EDE-Q Shape Concern Subscale	2.75 (1.56)	.94***	.63***	.76***	-	.90***	-.01	.12*	.10*	.11*	.15**
5. EDE-Q Weight Concern Subscale	2.42 (1.60)	.93***	.61***	.76***	.91**	-	.04	.10*	.12*	.09	.18***
6. TAS Externally- oriented Thinking	18.79 (4.49)	.05	.07	.06	.00	.05	-	.23***	.18***	.24***	.12*
7. TAS Difficulty Describing Feelings	14.11 (4.27)	.26***	.20***	.25***	.26***	.23***	.24***	-	.55***	.35***	.25***
8. TAS Difficulty Identifying Feelings	17.84 (6.14)	.34***	.22***	.37***	.33***	.32***	.17**	.63***	-	.39***	.36***
9. DFlex Attention to Detail	38.86 (9.43)	.30***	.18***	.34***	.29***	.26***	.21***	.44***	.54***	-	.69***

10. DFlex Cognitive rigidity	41.61 (9.86)	.38***	.26***	.38***	.35***	.35***	.10	.38***	.55***	.76***	-
------------------------------------	-----------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-----	--------	--------	--------	---

Note: Partial correlation coefficients while controlling for anxiety and depression scores are displayed on the top half of the diagonal; SD, standard deviation; EDE-Q, Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; TAS, Toronto Alexithymia Scale; DFlex, Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$. (two-tailed)

Table 2

Unstandardized coefficients and standardized coefficients testing direct and indirect effects for the initial just-identified model

<i>Direct Effects</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>SE</i>	β	<i>Two-tailed p-value</i>
Cognitive Rigidity → EDE-Q Global	0.039	0.009	0.294	<0.001
Attention to Detail → EDE-Q Global	-0.006	0.011	-0.044	0.572
Externally-oriented Thinking → EDE-Q Global	-0.005	0.014	-0.018	0.698
Difficultly Identifying Feelings → EDE-Q Global	0.034	0.014	0.163	0.013
Difficultly Describing Feelings → EDE-Q Global	0.022	0.018	0.073	0.219
Cognitive Rigidity → Externally-oriented Thinking	-0.067	0.036	-0.147	0.065
Attention to Detail → Externally-oriented Thinking	0.153	0.038	0.320	<0.001
Cognitive Rigidity → Difficultly Identifying Feelings	0.198	0.043	0.317	<0.001
Attention to Detail → Difficultly Identifying Feelings	0.195	0.48	0.299	<0.001
Cognitive Rigidity → Difficultly Describing Feelings	0.043	0.032	0.098	0.185
Attention to Detail → Difficultly Describing Feelings	0.167	0.048	0.369	<0.001
<i>Indirect Effects</i>			β	95% CI
Cognitive Rigidity → Externally-oriented Thinking → EDE-Q Global			0.003	[-0.001, 0.004]
Attention to Detail → Externally-oriented Thinking → EDE-Q Global			-0.006	[-0.006, 0.003]
Cognitive Rigidity → Difficultly Describing Feelings → EDE-Q Global			0.007	[0.000, 0.005]
Attention to Detail → Difficultly Describing Feelings → EDE-Q Global			0.027	[-0.002, 0.011]
Cognitive Rigidity → Difficultly Identifying Feelings → EDE-Q Global			0.052	[0.002, 0.015]
Attention to Detail → Difficultly Identifying Feelings → EDE-Q Global			0.049	[0.002, 0.015]

Abbreviations: *b*, unstandardized beta weight; *SE*, standard error; β , standardized beta weight; 95% *CI*, 95% bootstrapped confidence interval for indirect effect.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Conceptual model of the proposed relationships between cognitive rigidity, attention to detail, alexithymia traits and ED symptoms

Figure 2: Obtained model standardized regression coefficients for the just-identified model.

DFlex: Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire, TAS: Toronto Alexithymia Scale, EDE-Q Global: Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire Global Subscale. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

Figure 3: The revised parsimonious model with standardized regression coefficients presented.

DFlex: Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire, TAS: Toronto Alexithymia Scale, EDE-Q Global: Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire Global Subscale. * $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .001$.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Giles, S;Hughes, EK;Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M;Krug, I

Title:

The cognitive-interpersonal model of disordered eating: A test of the mediating role of alexithymia

Date:

2020-05-01

Citation:

Giles, S., Hughes, E. K., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M. & Krug, I. (2020). The cognitive-interpersonal model of disordered eating: A test of the mediating role of alexithymia. EUROPEAN EATING DISORDERS REVIEW, 28 (3), pp.296-308. <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2720>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/275331>