transanal Total Mesorectal Excision [taTME]- The new kid on the block or a false dawn?

Professor Alexander G Heriot ^{1,2,3} MB BChir, MA, MD, MBA, FRACS, FRCS, FACS, FASCRS

Mr Satish K Warriar ^{1,2, 4} MBBS MS FRACS

1. Department of Colorectal Surgery, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne
2. Department of Surgery, University of Melbourne
3. Sir Peter MacCallum Dept of Oncology, University of Melbourne
4. Alfred Health, Melbourne

Correspondence to:

Professor AG Heriot
Director Cancer Surgery
Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre
305 Grattan Street
Melbourne
VIC 3000

Email alexander.heriot@petermac.org
Phone +61 406 758 865

1005 words including references

Introduction of new surgical techniques remains a significant challenge. The evolution of surgery is essential to improve patient outcomes, with the utilization of new technology and approaches, aiming to counter existing difficulties and deficiencies in current management. It is essential that new techniques are evaluated methodically with the aim of maintaining patient safety and avoiding peaks of new complications such as bile duct injuries with the

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/ans.15808](https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.15808)

introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy whilst minimising false positives such as the apparent increase in port site metastases in laparoscopic colorectal resections, which delayed the more generalised introduction of this approach.

Rectal cancer continues to be a difficult problem to solve. It should be remembered that one of the core principles of rectal cancer resection, that of total mesorectal excision with sharp dissection, gained notoriety through a personal 'crusade' by an individual surgeon, **Bill Heald**, from a small district general hospital. It was never tested in a randomised controlled trial, but excellent results from small cohort studies, aligned with demonstrable pathological explanation, resulted in a number of countries **adopting the technique** through educational courses, and subsequent demonstration of a significant national reduction in local recurrence ¹.

Increased sophistication in evaluation has resulted in laparoscopic resection of rectal cancer being assessed through randomised controlled trials. Whilst a European and Korean trial demonstrated oncological equivalence of laparoscopic resection ^{2,3}, a US and an Australasian trial did not demonstrate non-inferiority of the laparoscopic approach ^{4,5}, analysing surrogate pathological indices, resulting in some surgeons commenting it wasn't safe to do laparoscopic rectal resection. Subsequent publication of local recurrence and survival data for the latter two studies however demonstrated no difference between a laparoscopic and an open approach in local recurrence or survival, with a lower morbidity with a laparoscopic approach ⁶. The particular challenge of minimally invasive rectal

resection is in the low pelvis, particularly with a narrow pelvis in an obese male, and it was this specific challenge that generated interest in transanal total mesorectal excision [taTME].

In this issue of the journal, concern has been raised regarding the safety of taTME and how it has been introduced ⁷ and it is essential to critically evaluate any concerns as patient safety is paramount. There have been reports of poor outcomes from cohort studies from Norway ⁸ resulting in suspension of the approach however there appear to be deficiencies in patient selection and lack of neoadjuvant therapy in cases where it would usually be standard regardless of the approach. There are also reports of very good outcomes with low local recurrence and excellent survival ⁹. Anastomotic complications do appear higher than that found with a doubled stapled anastomoses from above ¹⁰, which perhaps is not a surprise as the anastomosis with taTME is lower and equivalent to a hand sewn coloanal anastomosis, demonstrating a similar complication rate, but perhaps more confidence in generating a clear distal resection margin in very low rectal tumours.

So how should a new technique be evaluated safely? The framework for introduction and assessment of new techniques has been proposed by the IDEAL recommendations ¹¹. From the Idea, proof of concept; the Development, safety and efficacy, usually through cohort studies; the Exploration, efficacy, using registry data; the Assessment, comparative effectiveness, utilizing randomised controlled trial; and Long-term follow-up, quality assurance, usually through ongoing audit. It is interesting to note that this approach has been followed for taTME, with published international registry data, and the initiation of an RCT. The initial Australasian experience has been published ¹² and taTME was introduced in

Australasia through cadaver based structured training courses with operative case proctorship and registry evaluation. Oncological and functional outcomes are currently being analysed.

Introduction of new techniques remains challenging and patient safety is paramount. It is important that assessment is methodical and structured, avoiding extreme positive and negative views, with the aim of progressing treatment strategies safely.

Disclosure

Professor Heriot and Mr Warriar both teach on industry supported taTME courses

References

1. Martling AL, Holm T, Rutqvist LE, Moran BJ, Heald RJ, Cedemark B.

Effect of a surgical training programme on outcome of rectal cancer in the County of Stockholm. Stockholm Colorectal Cancer Study Group, Basingstoke Bowel Cancer Research Project. Lancet. 2000 Jul 8;356(9224):93-6.

2. Bonjer HJ, Deijen CL, Abis GA, et al. A Randomized Trial of Laparoscopic versus Open Surgery for Rectal Cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1324-32.

3. Jeong S-Y, Park JW, Nam BH, et al. Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid-rectal or low-rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): survival outcomes of an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2014; 15: 767–74
4. Fleshman, J. Branda M, Sargent DJ, et al., Effect of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection vs Open Resection of Stage II or III Rectal Cancer on Pathologic Outcomes: The ACOSOG Z6051 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 2015. **314**(13): p. 1346-55.
5. Stevenson, A.R., Solomon MJ, Lumley JW, et al., Effect of Laparoscopic-Assisted Resection vs Open Resection on Pathological Outcomes in Rectal Cancer: The ALaCaRT Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*, 2015. **314**(13): p. 1356-63.
6. Stevenson ARL, Solomon MJ, Brown CSB, et al. Disease-free Survival and Local Recurrence After Laparoscopic-assisted Resection or Open Resection for Rectal Cancer: The Australasian Laparoscopic Cancer of the Rectum Randomized Clinical Trial. *Ann Surg*. 2019 Apr;269(4):596-602
7. Rickard M JFX. taTME 10 years on. Time to stop and re-think. *ANZ J Surg* 2020;
8. Larsen SG, Pfeffer F, Kørner H, Norwegian Colorectal Cancer Group (2019) Norwegian moratorium on transanal total mesorectal excision. *Br J Surg* 106:1120–1121

9.Hol JC, van Oostendorp SE, Tuynman JB, Sietses C. Long-term oncological results after transanal total mesorectal excision for rectal carcinoma. Tech Coloproctol. 2019 Sep;23(9):903-911

10.Penna M et al. Incidence and risk factors for anastomotic failure in 1594 patients treated by transanal total mesorectal excision: results from the International taTME registry. Ann Surg 2019. 269 (4): p.700-711

11.McCulloch P, Altman DG, Campbell WB, et al. No surgical innovation without evaluation: the IDEAL recommendations. Lancet. 2009 Sep 26;374(9695):1105-12.

12.Abbott SC, Stevenson ARL, Bell SW, Clark D, Merrie A, Hayes J, Ganesh S, Heriot AG, Warriar SK. An Assessment of an Australasian Pathway for the Introduction of Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME) Colorectal Dis Jan 2018,; 20 (1), O1-O6

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Heriot, AG;Warrier, SK

Title:

Transanal total mesorectal excision: the new kid on the block or a false dawn?

Date:

2020-05-01

Citation:

Heriot, A. G. & Warrier, S. K. (2020). Transanal total mesorectal excision: the new kid on the block or a false dawn?. ANZ JOURNAL OF SURGERY, 90 (5), pp.651-652. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.15808>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/275699>