Jessica Mester ORCID iD: 0000-0001-9374-3185

Sharon Savage ORCID iD: 0000-0001-6006-0740

Paul James ORCID iD: 0000-0002-4361-4657

Amanda Spurdle ORCID iD: 0000-0003-1337-7897

Suggested application of HER2+ breast tumor phenotype for germline *TP53* variant classification within ACMG/AMP guidelines

Cristina Fortuno¹, Jessica Mester², Tina Pesaran³, Jeffrey N. Weitzel⁴, Jill Dolinsky³, Amal Yussuf³, Kelly McGoldrick³, Judy E. Garber⁵, Sharon A. Savage⁶, Payal P. Khincha⁶, D. Gareth Evans⁷, Maria Isabel Achatz⁸, Kim E. Nichols⁹, Kara Maxwell¹⁰, Joshua D. Schiffman¹¹, Renata Sandoval⁸, Li-Fraumeni Exploration (LIFE) Consortium, Paul A. James¹², Amanda B. Spurdle^{1*}

¹QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Genetics and Computational Division, 300 Herston Rd, Herston QLD 4006, Australia

²GeneDx, Gaithersburg, Maryland

³Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA

⁴Clinical Cancer Genomics, City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Duarte, CA

⁵Center for Cancer Genetics and Prevention, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/humu.24060](https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24060).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

⁶Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

⁷University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

⁸Hospital Sirio-Libanes, Sao Paulo, BR

⁹St. Jude Medical Center, Memphis, TN

¹⁰University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

¹¹Huntsman Cancer Institute, Salt Lake City, UT

¹²Parkville Familial Cancer Centre, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre and Royal Melbourne Hospital

*Corresponding author: Amanda.Spurdle@qimrberghofer.edu.au

Abstract

Early-onset breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women with Li-Fraumeni syndrome, caused by germline *TP53* pathogenic variants. It has repeatedly been suggested that breast tumors from *TP53* carriers are more likely to be HER2+ than those of non-carriers, but this information has not been incorporated into variant interpretation models for *TP53*. Breast tumor pathology is already being used quantitatively for assessing pathogenicity of germline variants in other genes, and it has been suggested that this type of evidence can be incorporated into current ACMG/AMP guidelines for germline variant classification. Here, by reviewing published data and using internal datasets separated by different age-groups, we investigated if breast tumor HER2+ status has utility as a predictor of *TP53* germline

variant pathogenicity, considering age at diagnosis. Overall, our results showed that the identification of HER2+ breast tumors diagnosed before the age of 40 can be conservatively incorporated into the current *TP53*-specific ACMG/AMP PP4 criterion, following a point system detailed in this manuscript. Further larger studies will be needed to re-assess the value of HER2+ breast tumors diagnosed at a later age.

Graphical Abstract

This article presents a review of published studies and analysis of age-level data from individuals undergoing multigene panel testing, and confirms that HER2+ breast tumor status is overrepresented patients with *TP53* pathogenic variants, in particular patients with early onset cancer. This study also proposes a strategy to use this information within ACMG/AMP guidelines as evidence towards *TP53* variant pathogenicity.

Suggested application of HER2+ breast tumor phenotype for germline *TP53* variant classification within ACMG/AMP guidelines

Keywords: *TP53*, HER2, variant classification, ACMG

Introduction

Germline pathogenic variants in the *TP53* gene are associated with Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), a disorder characterized by a predisposition to a wide range of cancers, especially early onset breast tumors (Bougeard et al., 2015). Multiple studies in the literature have proposed that breast tumors from women carrying a *TP53* (likely) pathogenic germline variant (here referred to as “*TP53* carriers”) are more likely to be HER2+ than those of women without *TP53* pathogenic germline variants (here referred to as “non-carriers”) (Bougeard et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2020; Eccles et al., 2016; Khincha et al., 2019; Masciari et al., 2012; Melhem-Bertrandt et al., 2012; Packwood et al., 2019; Rath et al., 2013; Slavin et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2010). However, these previous studies were diverse in terms of ascertainment, and the sample sizes for *TP53* carriers were typically small. A recent study has reported that *TP53* pathogenic variants in cancer cells induce HER2 over-expression through transcriptional activation of the HER2 protein (Roman-Rosales, Garcia-Villa, Herrera, Gariglio, & Diaz-Chavez, 2018), providing a biological explanation for previously mentioned associations. Of note, it has also been suggested that breast tumors from carriers of the NM_000546.5(*TP53*):c.1010G>A (p.R337H) Brazilian founder variant are less likely to be HER2+ compared to carriers of other *TP53* pathogenic variants (Fitarelli-Kiehl et al., 2015). A number of other published studies have assessed the population-based proportion of HER2+ breast tumors, with estimates of 19.9% when diagnosed <40 years and <13% when diagnosed after age 40 (Lund et al., 2010), and 19% when diagnosed before 50 years and 15% after age 50 (Cronin, Harlan, Dodd, Abrams, & Ballard-Barbash, 2010), illustrating how the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors decreases with increasing age at diagnosis.

There is precedence for use of breast tumor phenotype in quantitative models for assessing pathogenicity of variants in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* (Parsons et al., 2019) based on formal analysis of tumor predictors of *BRCA1/2* pathogenic variant status (Spurdle et al., 2014). In this study, we used published data and assembled the largest series of *TP53*-associated breast cancer cases to investigate if breast tumor HER2+ status has utility as a predictor of *TP53* variant pathogenicity.

Materials and methods

Literature datasets (summary information)

Data from independent published studies, selected because HER2 status of breast tumors was available for both *TP53* carriers and non-carriers, was extracted (see Table 1 for details).

Study datasets (individual-level information)

We first used external data from non-carrier women from the Variants in Practice (VIP) study (E. R. Thompson et al., 2016), to define age strata for subsequent analysis. We then used data from breast cancer affected women, both *TP53* carriers and non-carriers, identified by multigene panel testing carried out by two commercial companies (Ambry Genetics (March 2012-April 2017) and GeneDx (September 2013- December 2018)) to perform age-stratified HER2 analyses. In addition, we compared the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors among germline heterozygote *TP53* carriers (excluding known or suspected mosaics) from Ambry Genetics and GeneDx to that observed in *TP53* carriers from the Li-Fraumeni Exploration (LiFE) consortium (Ballinger et al., 2017), and from a Brazilian LFS study including only carriers of the NM_000546.5(*TP53*):c.1010G>A (p.R337H) variant. Details of these datasets can be seen in Table 2.

Statistics

A likelihood ratio (LR) towards pathogenicity for a *TP53* variant found in a patient with a HER2+ breast tumor was calculated by comparing the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors between *TP53* carriers and non-carriers, when both were available within the same dataset or study, following approaches used previously (Spurdle et al., 2014). Calculated LRs towards pathogenicity from the literature were meta-analyzed using the metabin function in RStudio (Version 0.99.903). Calculated LRs towards pathogenicity from Ambry Genetics and GeneDx were analyzed independently. LRs were then translated to the corresponding ACMG/AMP strength level following a published Bayesian reanalysis of these guidelines (Tavtigian et al., 2018).

Differences in the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors across datasets were assessed using the Fisher's exact probability test.

Results and Discussion

Evidence from literature datasets:

Using the literature datasets, it was observed that the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors was higher in *TP53* carriers than non-carriers in all studies, resulting in a combined LR towards pathogenicity of 2.82 (2.32; 3.42) (Figure 1), considered equivalent to ACMG/AMP Supporting strength level.

Differences in HER2+ proportion by age:

The proportion of HER2+ breast tumors by 5 year age-group were assessed in the VIP dataset as an external reference group (Table 3). Based on these results, the following age cut-offs were selected for subsequent analysis: <30, 30-39, 40-59, and ≥60.

HER2+ proportion by age-group across multiple TP53 carrier and non-carrier datasets:

The frequency of HER2+ breast tumors in *TP53* carriers and non-carriers in the remaining groups, using the selected age cut-offs, is shown in Table 4, as well as the proposed use for variant classification.

We highlight several observations. For both *TP53* carriers and non-carriers, the proportion of HER2+ tumors decreased with increasing age at breast cancer diagnosis. However, very few carriers were diagnosed ≥ 60 y, precluding meaningful comparisons for this age-group, as indicated by the extreme 95% confidence intervals around LR estimates. For all other age-groups, the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors was higher in *TP53* carriers than non-carriers, in agreement with findings from the literature. The proportion of HER2+ breast tumors among NM_000546.5(*TP53*):c.1010G>A (p.R337H) carriers was noticeably lower than that observed for *TP53* carriers from all other datasets, but this difference was not significant except for the GeneDx age group 40-49y ($p < 0.01$) and LiFE age group 40-49y ($p = 0.02$).

The proportion of HER2+ breast tumors from carriers from LiFE was generally comparable to that observed for carriers from Ambry Genetics and GeneDx, with no significant differences. However, *TP53* carriers diagnosed at age 40-59y had an elevated frequency of HER2+ in the GeneDx dataset (76%) compared to those from Ambry Genetics (28%, $p < 0.01$).

One possible explanation for this observation is the difference in allele read fraction used to define potential mosaicism for GeneDx compared to Ambry Genetics ($< 35\%$ vs $< 30\%$). *TP53* variants detected in blood from women diagnosed at later age are

more likely to arise from somatic causes than when diagnosed at an earlier age (Coffee et al., 2017; Weitzel et al., 2017), and intuitively it is expected that tumors from such individuals would be less likely to be HER2+. This may explain in part the higher HER2+ proportion in individuals considered to be non-mosaic from GeneDx vs Ambry (information on read count and potential mosaicism was not available for LiFE “carriers”). However, the relatively small cell sizes for *TP53* carrier groups in particular would suggest that the finding most likely reflects random error.

Use of breast tumor HER2+ status as a predictor of TP53 variant pathogenicity:

It has already been proposed that tumor pathology could potentially be incorporated under the original ACMG/AMP PP4 criterion (“Patient’s phenotype and/or family history is highly specific for a disorder with a single genetic etiology”), from a study aimed to integrate somatic variant data and other biomarkers in germline variant classification (Walsh et al., 2018). An initial version of *TP53*-specific ACMG/AMP guidelines has been developed (https://clinicalgenome.org/site/assets/files/3876/clingen_tp53_acmg_specifications_v1.pdf, manuscript in preparation), but does not consider tumor pathology.

Considering LRs by age-group, the LR towards pathogenicity was significant for women diagnosed <40 years of age with a HER2+ breast tumor, using data from both Ambry Genetics (LR 2.09) and GeneDx (LR 3.44). These LRs are considered equivalent to the ACMG/AMP Supporting strength level for pathogenicity (Tavtigian, 2018), and we propose that HER2+ status may be considered as additional evidence for variant interpretation under this ACMG/AMP PP4 criterion for future versions of the *TP53*-specific guidelines. To avoid putting too much emphasis on a single data point, we suggest the conservative requirement of having 2-3 HER2+ carriers to apply

PP4, and four or more to upgrade to Moderate (PP4_Moderate), following the point system shown in Table 5. As noted above and in Table 4, the utility of HER2+ breast tumor phenotype for classification of *TP53* variants identified in women diagnosed ≥ 40 years is currently unclear, and the higher rate of likely somatic variants in blood in older age groups (Coffee et al., 2017; Weitzel et al., 2017) make analyses more challenging. We excluded individuals with known or suspected somatic *TP53* variants from our analysis datasets, but acknowledge that unrecognized somatic variants may be present (Coffee et al., 2020; Mester et al., 2020). However, based on the report of median age at diagnosis of 44 years for individuals with confirmed somatic *TP53* variants (Coffee et al., 2020), we believe that this phenomenon is unlikely to have confounded our LR estimates for women aged under 40 years.

We also note need for caution in considering overlap with application of other clinical criteria used for *TP53* variant interpretation. In particular, the PS4 criterion is already used for patients meeting Classic Li-Fraumeni syndrome or Chompret 2015 clinical criteria, one component of which is breast cancer diagnosis $< 31y$ (https://clinicalgenome.org/site/assets/files/3876/clingen_tp53_acmg_specifications_v1.pdf, manuscript in preparation). Given the correlation between age at breast cancer onset and HER2 status seen in this study and reported previously, these factors cannot be considered as independent. We therefore propose that PP4 for breast tumor HER2+ status can only be applied for a *TP53* germline variant carrier in addition to PS4 for clinical criteria if *the clinical criteria are met for reasons other than breast cancer diagnosis $< 31y$* . The proposed use of PP4 for breast tumor HER2+ status depending on these clinical criteria is further clarified in Figure 2. Further, we note, as has been highlighted previously for use of tumor pathology information in interpretation of variants in other cancer predisposition genes (Spurdle et al., 2014; B. A. Thompson et

al., 2014), care should be taken to exclude the possibility that breast tumor pathology features predictive of variant pathogenicity were not used as an indicator for *TP53* testing for a given patient. A remaining question is if HER2- status could be used as evidence against *TP53* variant pathogenicity, however no current ACMG/AMP rule allows for the use of benign “personal” cancer history features.

Conclusion

Our work confirms the previously observed association between germline *TP53* pathogenic variants and the development of HER2 amplified breast cancer. Further, to our knowledge, this is the first study that has assessed the proportion of HER2+ breast tumors in *TP53* carriers in comparison to non-carriers as a quantified measure for use in germline variant classification.

Overall, according to our results, a high risk pathogenic *TP53* variant observed in a woman with a HER2+ breast tumor would have an LR towards pathogenicity of 2.82-fold when age at diagnosis is not considered, as determined from the literature. However, we expect these previously published studies to be enriched for women with early onset breast cancer and/or classic LFS presentation. Further age-stratified analysis indicates that LR towards pathogenicity is at least 2.09 for women with a HER2+ breast cancer diagnosis under age 40y. That is, while breast tumors from *TP53* carriers will not all exhibit HER2+ pathology, these observations justify consideration of HER2+ breast tumor status in women with diagnosis under 40y as an additional source of clinical evidence towards pathogenicity within comprehensive *TP53* variant classification strategies.

Further larger studies will be useful to re-assess findings for women diagnosed with HER2+ breast cancer at or after 40 years), before completely discarding this criterion

as evidence of pathogenicity. Further, the lower proportions of HER2+ tumors observed for carriers of the well-characterized reduced penetrance pathogenic variant NM_000546.5(TP53):c.1010G>A (p.R337H), for all age-groups, would seem to indicate that HER2+ status may be less predictive of reduced penetrance *TP53* variants. However, the relationship between HER2+ status and reduced penetrance *TP53* variants as a group remains unclear, and therefore additional studies with carriers of these variants would be necessary to identify if HER2+ status can be used as predictor (and at what level of strength) to aid classification of reduced penetrance variants.

The findings from this study, based on formal analysis of multiple datasets, provide a strategy for use of breast tumor HER2+ status for future *TP53* variant interpretation within ACMG/AMP guidelines, for cases diagnosed <40 years that are not selected for testing on the basis of HER2+ tumor phenotype. Finally, another application of this study is to include the LRs towards pathogenicity calculated as an additional component in quantitative statistical modelling to predict pathogenicity of p53 missense variants (Fortuno et al., 2019).

Acknowledgements

C.F is supported by a University of Queensland (UQ) International Scholarship from the UQ School of Medicine. J.N.W is supported by a grant from the National Cancer Institute (1R01CA242218-01). A.B.S received Fellowship funding from NHMRC (ID1061779, ID1177524). D.G.E is supported by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (IS-BRC-1215–20007). The work of S.A.S and P.P.K is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health. This research was supported by NHMRC Project Grant ID 1161589. Research reported in this

publication was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01CA242218. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health

Conflict of Interests

Jessica Mester is an employee of GeneDx, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of OPKO Health, Inc. Tina Pesaran, Jill Dolinsky, Amal Yussuf, and Kelly McGoldrick are paid employees of Ambry Genetics. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The proband data is not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions. Variant classifications from Ambry Genetics and GeneDx are available in the ClinVar database.

References

- Ballinger, M. L., Best, A., Mai, P. L., Khincha, P. P., Loud, J. T., Peters, J. A.,... Savage, S. A. (2017). Baseline Surveillance in Li-Fraumeni Syndrome Using Whole-Body Magnetic Resonance Imaging: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol*. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.1968
- Bougeard, G., Renaux-Petel, M., Flaman, J. M., Charbonnier, C., Fermey, P., Belotti, M.,... Frebourg, T. (2015). Revisiting Li-Fraumeni Syndrome From TP53 Mutation Carriers. *J Clin Oncol*, 33(21), 2345-2352. doi:10.1200/JCO.2014.59.5728
- Coffee B, Cox HC, Bernhisel R, et al. A substantial proportion of apparently heterozygous TP53 pathogenic variants detected with a next-generation

sequencing hereditary pan-cancer panel are acquired somatically. *Hum Mutat.* 2020;41(1):203-211. doi:10.1002/humu.23910

Coffee, B., Cox, H. C., Kidd, J., Sizemore, S., Brown, K., Manley, S., & Mancini-DiNardo, D. (2017). Detection of somatic variants in peripheral blood lymphocytes using a next generation sequencing multigene pan cancer panel. *Cancer Genet*, 211, 5-8. doi:10.1016/j.cancergen.2017.01.002

Cronin, K. A., Harlan, L. C., Dodd, K. W., Abrams, J. S., & Ballard-Barbash, R. (2010). Population-based estimate of the prevalence of HER-2 positive breast cancer tumors for early stage patients in the US. *Cancer Invest*, 28(9), 963-968. doi:10.3109/07357907.2010.496759

Eccles, D. M., Li, N., Handwerker, R., Maishman, T., Copson, E. R., Durcan, L. T.,.... Campbell, I. (2016). Genetic testing in a cohort of young patients with HER2-amplified breast cancer. *Ann Oncol*, 27(3), 467-473. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdv592

Fitarelli-Kiehl, M., Giacomazzi, J., Santos-Silva, P., Graudenz, M. S., Palmero, E. I., Michelli, R. A.,.... Ashton-Prolla, P. (2015). The breast cancer immunophenotype of TP53-p.R337H carriers is different from that observed among other pathogenic TP53 mutation carriers. *Fam Cancer*, 14(2), 333-336. doi:10.1007/s10689-015-9779-y

Fortuno, C., Cipponi, A., Ballinger, M. L., Tavtigian, S. V., Olivier, M., Ruparel, V.,.... James, P. A. (2019). A quantitative model to predict pathogenicity of missense variants in the TP53 gene. *Hum Mutat*, 40(6), 788-800. doi:10.1002/humu.23739

- Hauke, J., Horvath, J., Gross, E., Gehrig, A., Honisch, E., Hackmann, K.,... Hahnen, E. (2018). Gene panel testing of 5589 BRCA1/2-negative index patients with breast cancer in a routine diagnostic setting: results of the German Consortium for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Med*, 7(4), 1349-1358. doi:10.1002/cam4.1376
- Hu C, Polley EC, Yadav S, et al. The contribution of germline predisposition gene mutations to clinical subtypes of invasive breast cancer from a clinical genetic testing cohort [published online ahead of print, 2020 Feb 24]. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2020;djaa023. doi:10.1093/jnci/djaa023
- Khincha, P. P., Best, A. F., Fraumeni, J. F., Jr., Loud, J. T., Savage, S. A., & Achatz, M. I. (2019). Reproductive factors associated with breast cancer risk in Li-Fraumeni syndrome. *Eur J Cancer*, 116, 199-206. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2019.05.005
- Lund, M. J., Butler, E. N., Hair, B. Y., Ward, K. C., Andrews, J. H., Oprea-Ilie, G.,... Eley, J. W. (2010). Age/race differences in HER2 testing and in incidence rates for breast cancer triple subtypes: a population-based study and first report. *Cancer*, 116(11), 2549-2559. doi:10.1002/cncr.25016
- Masciari, S., Dillon, D. A., Rath, M., Robson, M., Weitzel, J. N., Balmana, J.,... Garber, J. E. (2012). Breast cancer phenotype in women with TP53 germline mutations: a Li-Fraumeni syndrome consortium effort. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 133(3), 1125-1130. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-1993-9
- McCormack, V. A., Joffe, M., van den Berg, E., Broeze, N., Silva Idos, S., Romieu, I.,... Cubasch, H. (2013). Breast cancer receptor status and stage at diagnosis

in over 1,200 consecutive public hospital patients in Soweto, South Africa: a case series. *Breast Cancer Res*, 15(5), R84. doi:10.1186/bcr3478

Melhem-Bertrandt, A., Bojadzieva, J., Ready, K. J., Obeid, E., Liu, D. D., Gutierrez-Barrera, A. M.,... Arun, B. K. (2012). Early onset HER2-positive breast cancer is associated with germline TP53 mutations. *Cancer*, 118(4), 908-913. doi:10.1002/cncr.26377

Mester JL, Jackson SA, Postula K, et al. Apparently Heterozygous TP53 Pathogenic Variants May Be Blood Limited in Patients Undergoing Hereditary Cancer Panel Testing. *J Mol Diagn*. 2020;22(3):396-404. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2019.12.003

Packwood, K., Martland, G., Sommerlad, M., Shaw, E., Moutasim, K., Thomas, G.,... Eccles, D. M. (2019). Breast cancer in patients with germline TP53 pathogenic variants have typical tumour characteristics: the Cohort study of TP53 carrier early onset breast cancer (COPE study). *J Pathol Clin Res*, 5(3), 189-198. doi:10.1002/cjp2.133

Parsons, M. T., Tadini, E., Li, H., Hahnen, E., Wappenschmidt, B., Feliubadalo, L.,... Spurdle, A. B. (2019). Large scale multifactorial likelihood quantitative analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2 variants: An ENIGMA resource to support clinical variant classification. *Hum Mutat*. doi:10.1002/humu.23818

Rath, M. G., Masciari, S., Gelman, R., Miron, A., Miron, P., Foley, K.,... Garber, J. E. (2013). Prevalence of germline TP53 mutations in HER2+ breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 139(1), 193-198. doi:10.1007/s10549-012-2375-z

- Roman-Rosales, A. A., Garcia-Villa, E., Herrera, L. A., Gariglio, P., & Diaz-Chavez, J. (2018). Mutant p53 gain of function induces HER2 over-expression in cancer cells. *BMC Cancer*, 18(1), 709. doi:10.1186/s12885-018-4613-1
- Slavin, T. P., Maxwell, K. N., Lilyquist, J., Vijai, J., Neuhausen, S. L., Hart, S. N.,... Couch, F. J. (2017). The contribution of pathogenic variants in breast cancer susceptibility genes to familial breast cancer risk. *NPJ Breast Cancer*, 3, 22. doi:10.1038/s41523-017-0024-8
- Spurdle, A. B., Couch, F. J., Parsons, M. T., McGuffog, L., Barrowdale, D., Bolla, M. K.,... kConFab, I. (2014). Refined histopathological predictors of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation status: a large-scale analysis of breast cancer characteristics from the BCAC, CIMBA, and ENIGMA consortia. *Breast Cancer Res*, 16(6), 3419. doi:10.1186/s13058-014-0474-y
- Tavtigian, S. V., Greenblatt, M. S., Harrison, S. M., Nussbaum, R. L., Prabhu, S. A., Boucher, K. M., & Biesecker, L. G. (2018). Modeling the ACMG/AMP variant classification guidelines as a Bayesian classification framework. *Genet Med*. doi:10.1038/gim.2017.210
- Thompson, B. A., Spurdle, A. B., Plazzer, J. P., Greenblatt, M. S., Akagi, K., Al-Mulla, F.,... InSiGht. (2014). Application of a 5-tiered scheme for standardized classification of 2,360 unique mismatch repair gene variants in the InSiGHT locus-specific database. *Nat Genet*, 46(2), 107-115. doi:10.1038/ng.2854
- Thompson, E. R., Rowley, S. M., Li, N., McInerney, S., Devereux, L., Wong-Brown, M. W.,... Campbell, I. G. (2016). Panel Testing for Familial Breast Cancer:

Calibrating the Tension Between Research and Clinical Care. *J Clin Oncol*, 34(13), 1455-1459. doi:10.1200/jco.2015.63.7454

Walsh, M. F., Ritter, D. I., Kesserwan, C., Sonkin, D., Chakravarty, D., Chao, E.,... Plon, S. E. (2018). Integrating somatic variant data and biomarkers for germline variant classification in cancer predisposition genes. *Hum Mutat*, 39(11), 1542-1552. doi:10.1002/humu.23640

Weitzel, J. N., Chao, E. C., Nehoray, B., Van Tongeren, L. R., LaDuca, H., Blazer, K. R.,... Jaspersion, K. (2017). Somatic TP53 variants frequently confound germline testing results. *Genet Med*. doi:10.1038/gim.2017.196

Wilson, J. R., Bateman, A. C., Hanson, H., An, Q., Evans, G., Rahman, N.,... Eccles, D. M. (2010). A novel HER2-positive breast cancer phenotype arising from germline TP53 mutations. *J Med Genet*, 47(11), 771-774. doi:10.1136/jmg.2010.078113

Figure Legends

Figure 1. HER2+ breast tumor meta-analysis using data from the literature

Figure 2. Instances in which PP4 is applied depending on patient's clinical criteria. See point system in Table 5 for further details. For use of PS4, please refer to the *TP53*-specific ACMG/AMP guidelines (https://clinicalgenome.org/site/assets/files/3876/clingen_tp53_acmg_specifications_v1.pdf, manuscript in preparation)

Dx = diagnosis

Table 1. Characteristics of *TP53* carriers and non-carriers from published studies reporting HER2 breast tumor status

Reference	Country of origin	Reported genetic testing information	Reported patient selection criteria	Total N	Purpose for this study
Melhem-Bertrandt et al., 2012	USA	Sequencing done at outside CLIA certified laboratories	Women with suspected LFS due to personal and/or family history and diagnosed with breast cancer between 2000 to 2011	119	Meta-analysis of available summary data to compare with results from our individual level study datasets (shown in Table 2)
Slavin et al., 2017	USA	Sequencing done for 26 known or proposed breast cancer susceptibility genes	<i>BRCA1/2</i> -negative women with familial breast cancer from four academic health centers in the USA	2134	
Hauke et al., 2018	Germany	Sequencing done at each participating center using Illumina sequencing platforms	Patients meeting the inclusion criteria of the German Consortium for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer for germ line testing (familial cancer cases, patients with early onset breast cancer, bilateral breast cancer,	2856	

			or both breast and ovarian cancer)		
Packwood et al., 2019*	UK	Unreported	Women affected by early onset breast cancer	1296	

*Overlaps with Wilson et al., 2010, which reported on a subset of 9 *TP53* carriers and 161 non-carriers from the same cohort.

Table 2. Characteristics of *TP53* carriers and non-carriers from our own datasets with HER2 breast tumor status available*

Dataset	Country of origin	Details for <i>TP53</i> carriers	Details for non-carriers	Total N	Purpose for this study
VIP (E. R. Thompson et al., 2016)	Australia	NA	Probands with features of hereditary cancer (family history, early onset, triple-negative) who tested negative for all genes included in the panel used	2719	External dataset for determination of age groupings
Ambry Genetics	USA	Probands carrying a high-risk (likely) pathogenic <i>TP53</i> variant identified through multigene panel testing, excluding those who were mosaic (defined as allele fraction of <30% confirmed by Sanger) and carriers of (likely) pathogenic variants in other genes	Probands who tested negative for all genes included in the panel used**	4240***	Derivation of tumor pathology likelihood ratios towards pathogenicity
GeneDx	USA	Probands carrying a high-risk (likely) pathogenic <i>TP53</i> variant identified through multigene panel testing, excluding those who	Probands who tested negative for <i>TP53</i>	23244***	

		were mosaic (defined as allele fraction of <35% or lack of heterozygous appearance (uneven sequencing peaks) on Sanger confirmation)			
LiFE (Ballinger et al., 2017)	International, incorporating patients from City of Hope, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, National Cancer Institute, Manchester Universities Foundation Trust, Hospital Sirio-Libanes, St Jude Medical Center, University of Pennsylvania, and Huntsman Cancer Institute	Probands with a pathogenic <i>TP53</i> variant, ascertained in a clinic-based setting for testing due to personal and/or family history, and diagnosed with breast cancer	NA	138	Carrier-only studies for comparison of HER2+ breast tumor proportions
LiFE/ Brazilian LFS study	International, incorporating patients from LiFE centers and Hospital A.C. Camargo Cancer Center	Carriers of the Brazilian <i>TP53</i> c.1010G>A (p.R337H) founder variant	NA	40	

**TP53* pathogenic variant carrier status was used as reported by the data contributors at the time of initiation of this study (May 2019). It is possible that there is certain overlap between the literature and study datasets, or even within our own study datasets. However, the Ambry Genetics and GeneDx datasets used for the main analyses (derivation of LR of pathogenicity according to age) are expected to be entirely independent, since to the best of our knowledge they capture probands presenting for testing by only one of these laboratories. Only 7 *TP53* carriers were identified in VIP, thus only non-carriers were used for analysis. Note, the VIP dataset has expanded in size since 2006 due to ongoing recruitment. HER2 status was not available for probands with *TP53* c.1010G>A (p.R337H) identified in the Ambry dataset. Variant-level nomenclature was not provided for the GeneDx, precluding separate assessment of HER2 status for carriers of this variant. Variant-level information was available

for a subset of LiFE participants; 13 probands from the LiFE dataset known to carry c.1010G>A (p.R337H) were excluded from the main LiFE dataset.

**A subset 346 non-carriers were matched on the basis of gene panel 4:1 to *TP53* carriers. Since the combined dataset did not include all individuals found to be negative for *TP53*, it does not represent actual carrier rates for Ambry diagnostic testing.

***Breast cancer accounted for approximately 90% of all first cancer diagnoses for both *TP53* carriers and non-carriers.

Table 3. Proportion of HER2+ breast tumors in *TP53* non-carriers by 5 year age-group in VIP

Age group	HER2+ breast tumor proportion (%)
<30	36.45
30-34	30.60
35-39	27.76
40-44	17.09
45-49	16.18
50-54	15.92
55-59	17.67
≥60	13.47

Table 4. Proportion of HER2+ breast tumors by age-group across different datasets

First HER2+ breast cancer diagnosis is age (y)	Ambry Genetics			GeneDx			LiFE	LiFE/Brazilian LFS study	Proposed use for <i>TP53</i> variant classification
	% <i>TP53</i> carriers (n/total N)	% Non-carriers (n/total N)	LR (95% CI)	% <i>TP53</i> carriers (n/total N)	% Non-carriers (n/total N)	LR (95% CI)	% <i>TP53</i> carriers (n/total N)	% <i>TP53</i> c.1010G>A (p.R337H) carriers (n/total N)	
<30	75.00 (12/16)	34.88 (60/172)	2.15 (1.52; 3.52)	91.67 (11/12)	26.65 (117/439)	3.44 (2.73; 4.33)	74.00 (37/50)	50 (1/2)	Apply PP4 or PP4_Moderate (if proband does not otherwise already meet Classic LFS or Chompret 2015 criteria). See point system in Table 5
30-39	68.18 (15/22)	32.67 (279/854)	2.09 (1.54; 2.82)	78.57 (11/14)	24.42 (796/3013)	2.97 (2.25; 3.93)	56.60 (30/53)	47.06 (8/17)	
40-59	28.26 (13/46)	20.73 (496/2393)	1.36 (0.81; 2.30)	76.47 (13/17)	17.39 (2437/14011)	4.40 (3.37; 5.4)	47.06 (16/34)	15.00 (3/20)	N/A. Unexplained heterogeneity across carrier datasets. Further analyses needed
≥60	9.10 (1/11)	15.01 (109/726)	0.61 (0.09; 3.96)	0.00 (0/2)	12.12 (695/5736)	1.65 (0.14; 19.7)	0 (0/1)	0 (0/1)	N/A. Carrier count too low for meaningful comparison.

Table 5. Proposed point system for the incorporation of breast tumor pathology into the existing ACMG/AMP PP4 criterion for *TP53**

Proband breast tumor phenotype	Points assigned	Final code strength
HER2+ diagnosed <40	0.5	<p>Sum points across all probands:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total 1-1.5 Points: PP4 • Total 2+ Points: PP4_Moderate

*Proband does not otherwise meet existing clinical criteria for LFS (Classic LFS or Chompret 2015) due to breast cancer diagnosis <31y. HER2 status for first breast tumor diagnosis only.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Fortuno, C;Mester, J;Pesaran, T;Weitzel, JN;Dolinsky, J;Yussuf, A;McGoldrick, K;Garber, JE;Savage, SA;Khincha, PP;Evans, DG;Achatz, MI;Nichols, KE;Maxwell, KN;Schiffman, JD;Sandoval, R;James, PA;Spurdle, AB

Title:

Suggested application of HER2+breast tumor phenotype for germline TP53 variant classification within ACMG/AMP guidelines

Date:

2020-06-12

Citation:

Fortuno, C., Mester, J., Pesaran, T., Weitzel, J. N., Dolinsky, J., Yussuf, A., McGoldrick, K., Garber, J. E., Savage, S. A., Khincha, P. P., Evans, D. G., Achatz, M. I., Nichols, K. E., Maxwell, K. N., Schiffman, J. D., Sandoval, R., James, P. A. & Spurdle, A. B. (2020). Suggested application of HER2+breast tumor phenotype for germline TP53 variant classification within ACMG/AMP guidelines. HUMAN MUTATION, 41 (9), pp.1555-1562. <https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.24060>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/275898>