**Measuring Translational Diffusion of ^{15}N -enriched Biomolecules in
Complex Solutions with a Simplified ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST
Sequence**

Shenggen Yao^{1,*}, Thomas G. Meikle², Ashish Sethi^{1,3}, Frances Separovic^{1,4}, Jeffrey J.
Babon^{5,6}, and David W. Keizer¹

¹*Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute, The University of Melbourne, VIC
3010, Australia*

²*School of Science, College of Science, Engineering and Health, RMIT University,
Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia*

³*Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, The University of Melbourne, VIC 3010,
Australia*

⁴*School of Chemistry, The University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia*

⁵*The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia*

⁶*Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia*

* Corresponding author:

Shenggen Yao

Bio21 Molecular Science and Biotechnology Institute

The University of Melbourne

VIC 3010, Australia

Phone: +61 (3) 83442203 Facsimile: +61 (3) 93478265

E-mail: shyao@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Pulsed-field gradient nuclear magnetic resonance (PFG-NMR) has seen an increase in applications spanning a broad range of disciplines where molecular translational diffusion properties are of interest. The current study introduces and experimentally evaluates the measurement of translational diffusion coefficients of ^{15}N -enriched biomolecules using a ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered band-selective excitation short transient (BEST) sequence as an alternative to the previously described SOFAST-XSTE sequence. The results demonstrate that accurate translational diffusion coefficients of ^{15}N -labelled peptides and proteins can be obtained using this alternative ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence which is implementable on NMR spectrometers equipped with probes fitted with a single-axis field gradient, including most cryoprobes dedicated to bio-NMR. The sequence is of potential use for direct quantification of protein or peptide translational diffusion within complex systems, such as in mixtures of macromolecules, crowded solutions, membrane-mimicking media and in bicontinuous cubic phases, where conventional sequences may be not readily applicable due to the presence of intense signals arising from sources other than the protein or peptide under investigation.

Keywords: ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC BEST; complex solutions; crowded solutions; detergent micelles; isotope-filtered; PFG-NMR; translational diffusion

Introduction

Pulsed-Field Gradient Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (PFG-NMR), since it was first demonstrated experimentally in 1960's, has established itself as a powerful means for probing molecular translational diffusion with applications spanning virtually all fields where molecular motion is present. Over the last two decades, translational diffusion of biomolecules probed by PFG-NMR, both in aqueous solution and under crowded conditions (Price 2009) (Wang et al. 2010), has seen an increase in applications for the characterization of biophysical/biological processes involving changes of effective hydrodynamic radii for biomolecules, such as (i) protein or peptide self-association and aggregation (Ali et al. 2006; Altieri et al. 1995; Bocian et al. 2008; Dingley et al. 1995; Jansma et al. 2010; Wahlstrom et al. 2012; Yao et al. 2004; Yao et al. 2000), (ii) protein folding and unfolding (Buevich and Baum 2002; Dehner and Kessler 2005; McLachlan et al. 2007), and (iii) ligand binding and protein-protein interactions (Rothe et al. 2016; Sillerud and Larson 2012; Yan et al. 2002). PFG-NMR has also been applied for the study of *Intrinsically Disordered Proteins* (IDP) (Melnikova et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2008; Yao et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2008), a unique class of proteins which only adopt stable tertiary structures in the presence of their binding partners (Dyson and Wright 2005). An extensive range of PFG-NMR pulse sequences, developed primarily by extensions and/or modifications to the original forms of *Spin Echo* (SE) (Stejskal and Tanner 1965) and *STimulated Echo* (STE) sequences (Tanner 1970) to accommodate ever expanding fields of applications, have been proposed. These include various ^{15}N -edited *Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence* (HSQC) or *Transverse Relaxation-Optimization Spectroscopy* (TROSY) based sequences dedicated to ^{15}N -enriched biomolecules in solution for studies such as: protein folding (Buevich and Baum 2002), protein backbone amide exchange (Andrec and Prestegard 1997; Brand et al. 2007), translational diffusion in macromolecular assemblies (Horst et al. 2011) and of large protein

complexes (Didenko et al. 2011), slow diffusion of partially disordered protein (Augustyniak et al. 2011), or simply for filtering of polypeptide and protein signals in complex mixtures (Rajagopalan et al. 2004).

The *Band-selective Excitation Short Transient* (BEST) scheme has gained remarkable popularity over the last decade and is adopted in many types of experiments, including a suite of sequences used for conventional backbone chemical shift assignments of proteins (Lescop et al. 2007) and molecular translational diffusion measurements (Augustyniak et al. 2011; Shukla and Dorai 2011; Yao et al. 2014). The BEST sequence achieves significant spectral sensitivity gain through the use of band-selective RF pulses to realize longitudinal relaxation optimization for a sub-group of nuclear spins by avoiding perturbation of magnetizations of other protons not involved in coherence transfer pathways for a specific type of NMR spectroscopy (Schanda 2009; Schanda and Brutscher 2005). In this report, we introduce an alternative ^1H - ^{15}N *Heteronuclear Multiple Quantum Coherence* (HMQC) filtered BEST sequence related to the previously described BEST-XSTE (BEST-heteronuclear stimulated echo experiment) and SOFAST-XSTE (band-Selective Optimized Flip-Angle Short-Transient XSTE) sequences (Augustyniak et al. 2011) for the measurement of ^{15}N -labelled proteins and peptides in complex solutions.

This alternative ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered sequence introduced here takes a simpler form than both the BEST-XSTE and SOFAST-XSTE sequences with only a single-axis field gradient employed and is complementary to the previously described 3D BEST ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-edited sequence used for resolving spectral overlaps in DOSY (Shukla and Dorai 2011). This alternative sequence, therefore, can be readily implemented on NMR spectrometers equipped with probes fitted with a single-axis field gradient, including most cryoprobes dedicated to biomolecular studies. This alternative sequence provides additional means for direct measurements of ^{15}N -enriched peptides and proteins in complex solution

conditions, such as SDS micelles commonly used to mimic a membrane environment and crowded protein solutions for simulating the in-cell background. The non-selective ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC-edited (Buevich and Baum 2002; Didenko et al. 2011) or band-selective ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC edited (Shukla and Dorai 2011) sequences may be not directly applicable under such conditions due to either: (i) the presence of underlying amide solvent exchange, or (ii) intense background signals in the aliphatic region. The sequence was first experimentally evaluated using a phosphopeptide with a single $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled residue and comparing the translational diffusion coefficient measured with those obtained using alternative sequences, namely (1) conventional *Bipolar Pulse Pair Longitudinal Eddy-current Delay* (BPP-LED) sequence with water suppression achieved with excitation-sculpting (Figure S1c, Supplemental Materials), (2) the non-isotope-edited BEST-STE sequence (Figure S1b, Supplemental Materials) (Yao et al. 2014), and (3) ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-edited BEST sequence (Figure S1a, Supplemental Materials) (Shukla and Dorai 2011). Subsequently, results are presented using the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence for: (1) a uniformly ^{15}N -labelled B1 immunoglobulin-binding domain of Streptococcal protein (GB1) in an imidazole buffer, (2) the phosphopeptide in the presence of equimolar ribonuclease A (RNase A), and (3) a single residue ^{15}N -labelled model membrane protein, gramicidin A, in Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) micelles.

Materials and methods

PFG-NMR diffusion measurements and analyses

All spectra were acquired either on a Bruker Avance III 600 equipped with a TCI or a Bruker Avance II 800 spectrometer equipped with a TXI cryoprobe fitted with a single-axis field gradient (G_z). The field gradient strengths of both spectrometers were calibrated by back calculation using the self-diffusion coefficient of residual H_2O in a 100% $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ sample

measured at 298.13 K against published value of $1.90 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Callaghan et al. 1983) as described previously (Yao et al. 2008; Yao et al. 2000). Maximum gradient strength, G_{max} , was calibrated to be 57.31 and 55.89 G cm^{-1} for cryoprobes of the 600 MHz and 800 MHz spectrometers, respectively. Spectra were processed using TOPSPIN (Version 3.2, Bruker) and the analyses of diffusion data were initially carried out using the T_1/T_2 relaxation module within TOPSPIN (Version 3.2, Bruker) and subsequently performed using *SigmaPlot* (Version 12.5, Systat Software Inc). The translational diffusion coefficient, D , was determined by non-linear regression of diffusion weighted intensities or volumes of selected peaks to the following equation:

$$I = I_0 \exp \left\{ -\gamma^2 s^2 g^2 \delta^2 \left(\Delta - \frac{\delta}{3} \right) D \right\} = I_0 \exp (-K^2 D) \quad (1)$$

where γ is the proton gyromagnetic ratio and s , g , δ and Δ are the shape factor, amplitude, duration and separation of the single pair of gradient pulses, respectively (see Figure 1, and Figures S1a and S1b, Supplementary Materials). For the conventional BPP-STE sequence (Figure S1c), Δ is replaced by $(\Delta - \tau_1/2)$ with τ_1 being the time interval between the bipolar gradient pulses within the bipolar gradient encoding or decoding segment, set at 200 μs in the present study. The inter-transient and/or inter-increment relaxation delays, excluding the duration of acquisition, were set at 0.2 s for all BEST version sequences in line with delays commonly used in BEST sequences and 2.0 s for the conventional BPP-STE sequence.

Sample preparations

The sample consisting of Val-7 $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ double-labelled phosphopeptide (DKE{pTyr}YTVKDDRD) was prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) at 1 mM. After measurements in buffer solution were completed, RNase A was added to the sample to reach an equimolar concentration. The single-residue $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ double-labelled phosphopeptide

was obtained from Genscript and RNase A was purchased from Sigma and used without further purification. The B1 immunoglobulin-binding domain of Streptococcal protein (GB1) sample comprised 0.3 mM ^{15}N -labelled GB1 in an imidazole buffer with 50 mM imidazole and 10 mM CaCl_2 at pH 6.8. Protocols for the expression and purification of GB1 have been described previously (Sethi et al. 2016). The sample of gramicidin A in SDS micelles was prepared by mixing 10 μL of 50 mM gramicidin A in trifluoroethanol (TFE) with 500 μL of 250 mM SDS solution in 90% H_2O /10% $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ followed by bath sonication to aid incorporation of the peptide into micelles. The final molar ratio of SDS:gramicidin A was 250:1 with a measured pH of 6.0. Assuming an aggregation number of 62 SDS monomers per micelle, this ensured that the micelles were in molar excess of gramicidin A. Gramicidin A (with ^{15}N labelled Val-7) and SDS (99%) were purchased from CSBio (Menlo Park, USA) and Merck (New Jersey, USA).

Results and discussion

^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST PFG-NMR Sequence

The pulse sequence of the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence is depicted in Figure 1. It was constructed by appending the diffusion encoding/decoding segment of the standard STE sequence (Tanner 1970), after replacing non-selective hard RF pulses with band-selective shaped-pulses, to the SOFAST-HMQC sequence (Schanda and Brutscher 2005) in a similar fashion as in the ^{13}C -edited 3D BEST-DOSY sequence (Shukla and Dorai 2011). The first and second ^1H shaped-pulses are a polychromatic PC9 and r-SNOB as used in the original form of the SOFAST-HMQC sequence, whereas the two shaped-pulses flagged by the translational diffusion encoding/decoding gradient pulses are EBURP-2 and time-reversal EBURP-2, respectively. Durations of each type of shaped pulses were adjusted accordingly

with excitation band-width to match with the spectral region of interest. The 180° ^{13}C -pulse, an adiabatic pulse (Crp60,0.5,20.1) placed in the middle of the indirect chemical shift dimension, is for ^{13}C decoupling when the biomolecules are both ^{15}N and ^{13}C labelled.

Figure 1 Schematic diagram of ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-edited band-selective pulse sequence for measuring translational diffusion coefficients of ^{15}N -labelled peptides and proteins. The sequence is constructed by appending the diffusion encoding/decoding segment of a standard STE sequence to the SOFAST-HMQC sequence (Schanda and Brutscher 2005) in a similar fashion as previously described for 3D ^{13}C BEST-DOSY sequence (Shukla and Dorai 2011). The first ^1H excitation pulse, with a flip angle of either 90° or 120° , takes a polychromatic PC9 shape with the second refocusing pulse being an r-SNOB shaped pulse similar as used in the original SOFAST-HMQC sequence (Schanda and Brutscher 2005). The last two shaped 90° pulses flanked by the diffusion encoding and decoding gradients are EBURP-2 and time-reversal EBURP-2 pulses, respectively. Phases for both RF pulses and the receiver are as indicated. Gradients used for the encoding and decoding (marked with horizontal lines) of translational diffusion are sinusoidal-shaped pulses whereas all other (non-stepping) gradients used for coherence selection (HMQC) or artefact suppression (spoiler) are smoothed square pulses (shaded in grey). Durations for g_1 , g_2 , and g_3 are 1 ms, 1 ms, and 0.6 ms, respectively, with corresponding gradient strengths of 7% G_{max} , 11% G_{max} , and 5% G_{max} . Source code of the sequence for Topspin2.5 and above is available upon request.

Translational diffusion measurement of ^{15}N -labelled peptide in aqueous solution

The ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) for the measurement of translational diffusion coefficients of ^{15}N -labelled biomolecules was first evaluated in aqueous solution using a phosphopeptide (DKE{pTyr}YTVKDDRD) with a single ^{13}C and ^{15}N labelled

residue, Val-7. The diffusion coefficient of the phosphopeptide in phosphate buffer measured at 298 K using the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) was compared with values determined using alternative sequences (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials), namely (1) ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered band-selective sequence, (2) the previously described non-isotope-filtered BEST-STE sequence, and (3) the conventional BPP-STE sequence. The results are summarized in Figure 2. Clearly, the translational diffusion coefficient of the peptide obtained from the new ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) is in excellent agreement with values obtained from all three sequences examined here (Table 1).

As spectral resolution in the heteronuclear (^{15}N or ^{13}C) dimension does not provide additional information for a single residue isotope labelled peptide, only pseudo 2D datasets instead of a complete pseudo 3D dataset were acquired for both ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered and ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered datasets. A full 3D dataset with the complete acquisition of the ^{15}N chemical shift dimension would provide the resolution needed for resolving potential multiple species in solution in a similar fashion as described previously for the ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-edited BEST sequence (Shukla and Dorai 2011).

205

206 **Figure 2** Summary of translational diffusion coefficients for a phosphopeptide,
 207 DKE{pTyr}YTVKDDRD, with a single-residue (Val-7) labelled with both ^{13}C and ^{15}N in
 208 phosphate buffer and pH 6.8, measured at 298.13 K on a Bruker Avance III 600 using PFG-
 209 NMR sequences shown in Figure 1 and Figure S1, Supplementary Materials. (A)
 210 Translational diffusion induced signal attenuation shown as relative intensity of amide peak
 211 arising from $^{13}\text{C}/^{15}\text{N}$ -labelled residue Val-7 versus the strength of diffusion encoding gradient
 212 K^2 acquired using ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered-edited BEST sequence (Figure 1) together with 1D
 213 ^1H spectrum at the lowest diffusion-encoding gradient ($K^2 = 0.005 \times 10^9 \text{ s/m}^2$); (B) Similar to

(A) obtained using the ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure S1a, Supplementary Materials); (C) Similar to (A) and (B) obtained using the simple non-filtered BEST-STE sequence (Figure S1b, Supplementary Materials) with the carrier frequency of band-selective pulses centred at the amide (8.4 ppm) and the aliphatic (1.5 ppm) region; (D) Similar data resulting from the conventional non-selective BBP-STE sequence with water suppression achieved using excitation sculpting before acquisition (Figure S1c, Supplementary Materials). Resonances used for the determination of translational diffusion coefficient are indicated in the corresponding diffusion-weighted ^1H spectra depicted. For all measurements, a diffusion delay, Δ , of 50 ms and diffusion gradient pulse duration, δ , of 5.0 ms along with a total of 16 steps of gradient encoding, corresponding to K^2 values ranging from $0.005 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ to $10.520 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$, were used.

Translational diffusion measurement of ^{15}N -labelled protein

The application of the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) to ^{15}N -labelled proteins was also examined. The results obtained for ^{15}N -labelled B1 domain of Streptococcal protein B (GB1) at 298 K are summarized in Figure 3. The pseudo 3D dataset was acquired using 1024 and 64 complex points for the ^1H and ^{15}N dimensions, respectively, and with 16 steps (K^2 values ranging from $0.054 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ to $19.44 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$) in the translational diffusion dimension. Diffusion coefficients of GB1 were determined from either individual backbone amide residues or the overall backbone amide profile and the resultant values are in excellent agreement. These measured values are also in excellent agreement with the value measured of resonance ($\delta = 2.04 \text{ ppm}$) in the aliphatic region using the conventional BPP-STE sequence (Table 1). Notably, the translational diffusion coefficients of proteins or peptides measured using the band-selective excitation sequence are not compromised by the potential presence of amide protons in rapid exchange with solvent water. This was evident by both diffusion coefficients resulting from the analysis of a single residue T11 located in the loop region, which exhibited rapid chemical exchange with solvent water (confirmed by the CLEANEX experiment recorded with a mixing time of 30 ms), and the overall amide profile, including all mobile backbone amides, being able to be fitted satisfactorily to a mono-exponential decay against K^2 . Corresponding results for residues T11

244 and W43 obtained using a non-selective ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC-edited PFG-NMR sequence (Figure
245 S3, Supplementary Materials) are shown in Figure S2b. The translational diffusion induced
246 signal decay of residue T11 clearly deviated from a single exponential decay due to
247 underlying chemical exchange with solvent. In contrast, the translational diffusion induced
248 signal decay of residue W43 (located within a β -strand and not under rapid exchange with the
249 solvent water) follows a single exponential decay with a resultant translational diffusion
250 coefficient in very good agreement with the value on resonance ($\delta = 2.04$ ppm) in the aliphatic
251 region measured using the conventional BPP-STE sequence.

Figure 3 Translational diffusion coefficient of ^{15}N -labelled GB1 measured using the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) on a Bruker Avance II 800 spectrometer. (A) ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC spectrum (plane) at the lowest diffusion encoding/decoding value, $K^2 = 0.054 \times 10^9 \text{ s/m}^2$. Backbone amide residues T11 and W43 used in the diffusion analysis are indicated. Assignments were adopted from those reported previously (Barchi et al. 1994). (B, C) showing diffusion plane of residue T11 (located in a loop region which exhibited rapid exchange with solvent water as confirmed by the CLEANEX experiment acquired with a mixing time of 30 ms) and corresponding diffusion induced T11 signal attenuation versus the

strength of diffusion encoding gradient K^2 together with fit to Eq.1. (D, E) Same as (B, C) shown for backbone amide W43 (located within a β -strand and not under rapid exchange with the solvent water). (F, G) 2D Projection along the diffusion dimension and diffusion induced signal attenuation of all backbone amide protons versus the strength of diffusion encoding gradient K^2 . Line in (G) represents fit to Eq.1 for the bulk amide signals (integrals from 6.0 to 11.0 ppm). A diffusion delay, Δ , of 50 ms and diffusion gradient pulse duration, δ , of 7.0 ms along with a total of 16 steps of gradient encoding, corresponding to K^2 values ranging from $0.054 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-2}$ to $19.44 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-2}$, were used.

A number of non-selective ^{15}N -HSQC/TROSY edited diffusion sequences have been described previously for various applications (Andrec and Prestegard 1997; Buevich and Baum 2002; Horst et al. 2011; Nesmelova et al. 2004; Rajagopalan et al. 2004). The translational diffusion coefficients measured using the band-selective sequences, however, are significantly less likely to be compromised by the presence of solvent exchange of backbone amide protons (Fig. 3F and 3G). Unless translational diffusion-based experiments are applied specifically to probe backbone amide NH exchange where diffusion behaviour of solvent is of interest, the band-selective ^{15}N -filtered sequences, including the one described here, should prove to be superior to the non-selective counterparts for many other types of applications. Such applications could include the filtration of polypeptide and protein signals in complex mixtures (Rajagopalan et al. 2004), the definition of association states of proteins during folding (Buevich and Baum 2002), and direct measurement of biomolecular translational diffusion coefficients in various solution conditions.

Translational diffusion measurement of ^{15}N -labelled peptide in the presence of equimolar unlabelled protein: a model crowded protein solution

The ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence has also been applied to measure translational diffusion coefficient of the same phosphopeptide in the presence of equimolar RNase A (1.0 mM). Results obtained from the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence, together with those obtained from the conventional BPP-STE sequence and the ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered sequence,

are summarised in Figure 4 and the measured apparent translational diffusion coefficients of the phosphopeptide are listed in Table 1. Translational diffusion coefficients of the phosphopeptide measured by both the ^1H - ^{15}N and ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered BEST sequences agree very well (Figure 4a and 4b). Both values are slightly lower than those measured in the absence of RNase A (Figure 2), reflecting an increase of solution viscosity in the presence of RNase A. This is confirmed by a decrease, $D = 0.9 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ in the presence of RNase A versus $D = 1.00 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ in the absence of RNase A, observed for the measured diffusion coefficients of dioxane in the solution (Figure S4, Supplementary Materials). As evident from Figure 4c and Table 1, in the presence of equimolar unlabelled protein RNase A, direct quantification of the peptide translational diffusion coefficients with the conventional BPP-STE in the absence of isotope-filtering is significantly compromised (Table 1) due to spectral overlap of resonances arising from both the phosphopeptide of interest and the background protein RNase A. Apparent diffusion coefficient determined from peak intensities at a given chemical shift is clearly weighted by resonances contributing to the peak intensities, in the present case, the phosphopeptide and RNase A. Also note that in the lower panel of Figure 4b, aliphatic peaks arising from unlabelled protein RNase A and small molecules, such as dioxane are also visible (peaks marked with x).

Figure 4 Translational diffusion coefficient of the phosphopeptide, DKE{pTyr}YTVKDDRD, in the presence of equimolar RNase A in phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, measured at 298.13 K on a Bruker Avance III 600. (A, B) Translational diffusion induced signal attenuation shown as relative intensities of peaks across the spectrum versus the strength of diffusion encoding gradient K^2 acquired using the ^1H - ^{15}N and ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered BEST sequences, respectively. (C) Similar as (A) and (B), obtained using conventional BBP-STE sequence (Figure S1c, Supplementary Materials). The lower panel in (C) displays the full 1D ^1H spectrum of the phosphopeptide in the presence of equimolar RNase A (in black) with peaks used for the analysis (upper panel) indicated. Corresponding 1D ^1H spectrum, acquired with a narrow spectral-width, of phosphopeptide in the absence of

RNase A (in red) is also included for comparison. Same values for Δ , δ , and K^2 , as in Figure 2, were used.

Translational diffusion measurement of ^{15}N -labelled membrane peptide in SDS micelles

Translational diffusion coefficients of a single residue (Val-7) ^{15}N -labelled model membrane protein, gramicidin A, in SDS micelles measured with the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1) and the non-isotope-filtered BEST-STE sequence (Figure S1b, Supplementary Materials) are in very good agreement (Figure 5a and 5b, Table 1). Similar results for the SDS micelles measured with the conventional BPP-STE sequence (Figure S1, Supplementary Materials), are shown in Figure 5c and Table 1. The apparent translational diffusion coefficient of SDS micelles ($D = 8.67 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$) is slightly higher than that of gramicidin A ($D = 7.24 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ from BEST-STE and $D = 7.36 \pm 0.06 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ from ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST, respectively) similar as previously reported for A β 42 peptide in micelles (Yao et al. 2014) and outer membrane protein A (OmpA) in DMPC nanodiscs and Fos-10 detergent micelles (Susac et al. 2014). This observation is consistent with experimentally measured apparent diffusion coefficient of detergent micelles being a weighted average of those with and without protein molecules.

334

335 **Figure 5** Translational diffusion coefficient of single residue (Val-7) ^{15}N labelled membrane
 336 peptide, gramicidin A, in SDS micelles at 318.13 K measured on a Bruker Avance III 600.
 337 Obtained with: (A) the ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence (Figure 1), and (B) the non-
 338 filtered BEST sequence (Figure S1b, Supplemental Materials). (C) Corresponding diffusion
 339 coefficient of SDS micelles measured using the conventional BPP-LED sequence (Figure

S1c, Supplemental Materials). The inset in (C) displays a partial spectrum of resonances arising from the amide and aromatic protons of gramicidin A after scale-up by a factor of 1024. A diffusion delay, Δ , of 50 ms and diffusion gradient pulse duration, δ , of 8.0 ms along with a total of 16 steps of gradient encoding, corresponding to K^2 values ranging from $0.012 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ to $26.58 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$, were used.

Depending on the average aggregation number for individual detergents, significantly higher molar ratio for the detergent to peptide/protein is usually needed to ensure that the micelles are in excess of the peptide. In our current example, a molar ratio of 250:1 for SDS:gramicidin A was used. Assuming an average aggregation number of 62, this translates into a molar ratio of 4:1 for SDS micelles:gramicidin A. As a result of the molar excess of the detergent, the 1D ^1H spectrum is dominated by the signal arising from the SDS (Figure 5C, lower panel). This makes a direct diffusion measurement of the peptide by a conventional PFG-NMR sequence practically impossible unless fully deuterated SDS was to be used. Furthermore, with an SDS to peptide molar ratio of a few hundreds to one, even if the gramicidin A were ^{13}C -labelled, the ^{13}C attached ^1H signal arising from the acyl chain of SDS at natural abundance would still dominate the aliphatic region of the ^1H spectra, which would hamper application of the ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-filtered BEST sequence.

Conclusions

An alternative ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST sequence is described in the present report. The sequence takes a simpler form than previously reported BEST-XSTE or SOFAST-XSTE sequence and is readily applicable to NMR spectrometers equipped with probes fitted with a single-axis field gradient, as is the case for most cryoprobes dedicated to bio-NMR. The newly introduced sequence was applied to a number of examples mimicking various solution conditions, including ^{15}N -enriched protein and peptide in aqueous solution, ^{15}N -enriched peptide in the presence of equimolar unlabelled protein, and ^{15}N -enriched membrane peptide

in protonated SDS micelles. Experimentally measured translational diffusion coefficients of protein and peptide under all examined conditions agreed very well with results obtained using existing sequences. This alternative ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered sequence provides additional means for the characterization of translational diffusion properties of ^{15}N -enriched proteins and peptides in solution. The sequence should be applicable to use in complex systems (Barhoum et al. 2016), such as protein and ligand complexes (Gossert and Jahnke 2016), protein or peptide in crowded protein solutions (Li et al. 2009; Roosen-Runge et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2010), proteins in detergent micelles – a membrane-mimicking media (Andersson et al. 2004; Chou et al. 2004), and molecules within bicontinuous cubic phases (Eriksson and Lindblom 1993; Larkin et al. 2017; Meikle et al. 2017; Zabara et al. 2017), where use of alternative sequences is hampered due to practical limitations of individual sequences.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Aitor Moreno of Bruker for the source code of the ^1H - ^{15}N HSQC-edited PFG-NMR sequence (Fig. S3, Supplemental Materials).

References

- Ali FE, Separovic F, Barrow CJ, Yao SG, Barnham KJ (2006) Copper and zinc mediated oligomerisation of A beta peptides. *Int J Pept Res Ther* 12:153-164
- Altieri AS, Hinton DP, Byrd RA (1995) Association of Biomolecular Systems Via Pulsed-Field Gradient Nmr Self-Diffusion Measurements. *J Am Chem Soc* 117:7566-7567
- Andersson A, Almqvist J, Hagn F, Maler L (2004) Diffusion and dynamics of penetratin in different membrane mimicking media. *BBA-Biomembranes* 1661:18-25
- Andrec M, Prestegard JH (1997) Quantitation of chemical exchange rates using pulsed-field-gradient diffusion measurements. *J Biomol NMR* 9:136-150
- Augustyniak R, Ferrage F, Paquin R, Lequin O, Bodenhausen G (2011) Methods to determine slow diffusion coefficients of biomolecules. Applications to Engrailed 2, a partially disordered protein. *J Biomol NMR* 50:209-218
- Barchi JJ, Grasberger B, Gronenborn AM, Clore GM (1994) Investigation of the Backbone Dynamics of the Igg-Binding Domain of Streptococcal Protein-G by Heteronuclear 2-Dimensional H-1-N-15 Nuclear-Magnetic-Resonance Spectroscopy. *Protein Science* 3:15-21

Barhoum S, Palit S, Yethiraj A (2016) Diffusion NMR studies of macromolecular complex formation, crowding and confinement in soft materials. *Prog Nucl Mag Res Sp* 94-95:1-10

Bocian W, Sitkowski J, Tarnowska A, Bednarek E, Kawecki R, Kozminski W, Kozerski L (2008) Direct insight into insulin aggregation by 2D NMR complemented by PFGSE NMR. *Proteins* 71:1057-1065

Brand T, Cabrita EJ, Morris GA, Gunther R, Hofmann HJ, Berger S (2007) Residue-specific NH exchange rates studied by NMR diffusion experiments. *J Magn Reson* 187:97-104

Buevich AV, Baum J (2002) Residue-specific real-time NMR diffusion experiments define the association states of proteins during folding. *J Am Chem Soc* 124:7156-7162

Callaghan PT, Legros MA, Pinder DN (1983) The measurement of diffusion using deuterium pulse field gradient nuclear magnetic resonance *J Chem Phys* 79:6372-6381

Chou JJ, Baber JL, Bax A (2004) Characterization of phospholipid mixed micelles by translational diffusion. *J Biomol NMR* 29:299-308

Dehner A, Kessler H (2005) Diffusion NMR spectroscopy: Folding and aggregation of domains in p53. *ChemBioChem* 6:1550-1565

Didenko T, Boelens R, Rudiger SGD (2011) 3D DOSY-TROSY to determine the translational diffusion coefficient of large protein complexes. *Protein Eng Des Sel* 24:99-103

Dingley AJ, Mackay JP, Chapman BE, Morris MB, Kuchel PW, Hambly BD, King GF (1995) Measuring protein self-association using pulsed-field-gradient NMR spectroscopy: application to myosin light chain 2. *J Biomol NMR* 6:321-328

Dyson HJ, Wright PE (2005) Intrinsically unstructured proteins and their functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 6:197-208

Eriksson PO, Lindblom G (1993) Lipid and Water Diffusion in Bicontinuous Cubic Phases Measured by Nmr. *Biophys J* 64:129-136

Gossert AD, Jahnke W (2016) NMR in drug discovery: A practical guide to identification and validation of ligands interacting with biological macromolecules. *Prog Nucl Mag Res Sp* 97:82-125

Horst R, Horwich AL, Wuthrich K (2011) Translational Diffusion of Macromolecular Assemblies Measured Using Transverse-Relaxation-Optimized Pulsed Field Gradient NMR. *J Am Chem Soc* 133:16354-16357

Jansma AL, Kirkpatrick JP, Hsu AR, Handel TM, Nietlispach D (2010) NMR Analysis of the Structure, Dynamics, and Unique Oligomerization Properties of the Chemokine CCL27. *J Biol Chem* 285:14424-14437

Larkin TJ, Garvey CJ, Shishmarev D, Kuchel PW, Momot KI (2017) Na⁺ and solute diffusion in aqueous channels of Myverol bicontinuous cubic phase: PGSE NMR and computer modelling. *Magn Reson Chem* 55:464-471

Lescop E, Schanda P, Brutscher B (2007) A set of BEST triple-resonance experiments for time-optimized protein resonance assignment. *J Magn Reson* 187:163-169

Li CG, Wang YQ, Pielak GJ (2009) Translational and Rotational Diffusion of a Small Globular Protein under Crowded Conditions. *J Phys Chem B* 113:13390-13392

McLachlan GD, Cahill SM, Girvin ME, Almo SC (2007) Acid-induced equilibrium folding intermediate of human platelet profilin. *Biochemistry* 46:6931-6943

Meikle TG, Yao S, Zabara A, Conn CE, Drummond CJ, Separovic F (2017) Predicting the release profile of small molecules from within the ordered nanostructured lipidic bicontinuous cubic phase using translational diffusion coefficients determined by PFG-NMR. *Nanoscale* 9:2471-2478

- Melnikova DL, Skirda VD, Nesmelova IV (2017) Effect of Intrinsic Disorder and Self-Association on the Translational Diffusion of Proteins: The Case of alpha-Casein. *J Phys Chem B* 121:2980-2988
- Nesmelova IV, Idiyatullin D, Mayo KH (2004) Measuring protein self-diffusion in protein-protein mixtures using a pulsed gradient spin-echo technique with WATERGATE and isotope filtering. *J Magn Reson* 166:129-133
- Price WS (2009) NMR studies of translational motion: Principles and Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York
- Rajagopalan S, Chow C, Raghunathan V, Fry CG, Cavagnero S (2004) NMR spectroscopic filtration of polypeptides and proteins in complex mixtures. *J Biomol NMR* 29:505-516
- Roosen-Runge F, Hennig M, Zhang FJ, Jacobs RMJ, Sztucki M, Schober H, Seydel T, Schreiber F (2011) Protein self-diffusion in crowded solutions. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:11815-11820
- Rothe M, Gruber T, Groger S, Balbach J, Saalwachter K, Roos M (2016) Transient binding accounts for apparent violation of the generalized Stokes-Einstein relation in crowded protein solutions. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 18:18006-18014
- Schanda P (2009) Fast-pulsing longitudinal relaxation optimized techniques: Enriching the toolbox of fast biomolecular NMR spectroscopy. *Prog Nucl Mag Res Sp* 55:238-265
- Schanda P, Brutscher B (2005) Very fast two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy for real-time investigation of dynamic events in proteins on the time scale of seconds. *J Am Chem Soc* 127:8014-8015
- Sethi A, Bruell S, Patil N, Hossain MA, Scott DJ, Petrie EJ, Bathgate RAD, Gooley PR (2016) The complex binding mode of the peptide hormone H2 relaxin to its receptor RXFP1. *Nat Commun* 7
- Shukla M, Dorai K (2011) Resolving overlaps in diffusion encoded spectra using band-selective pulses in a 3D BEST-DOSY experiment. *J Magn Reson* 213:69-75
- Sillerud LO, Larson RS (2012) Advances in nuclear magnetic resonance for drug discovery. *Methods Mol Biol* 910:195-266
- Stejskal EO, Tanner JE (1965) Spin Diffusion Measurements: Spin Echoes in the Presence of a Time-Dependent Field Gradient. *J Chem Phys* 42:288-292
- Susac L, Horst R, Wuthrich K (2014) Solution- NMR Characterization of Outer- Membrane Protein A from E. coli in Lipid Bilayer Nanodiscs and Detergent Micelles. *ChemBioChem* 15:995-1000
- Tanner JE (1970) Use of Stimulated Echo in Nmr-Diffusion Studies. *J Chem Phys* 52:2523-&
- Wahlstrom A, Cukalevski R, Danielsson J, Jarvet J, Onagi H, Rebek J, Linse S, Graslund A (2012) Specific Binding of a beta-Cyclodextrin Dimer to the Amyloid beta Peptide Modulates the Peptide Aggregation Process. *Biochemistry* 51:4280-4289
- Wang YQ, Benton LA, Singh V, Pielak GJ (2012) Disordered Protein Diffusion under Crowded Conditions. *J Phys Chem Lett* 3:2703-2706
- Wang YQ, Li CG, Pielak GJ (2010) Effects of Proteins on Protein Diffusion. *J Am Chem Soc* 132:9392-9397
- Wu KP, Kim S, Fela DA, Baum J (2008) Characterization of conformational and dynamic properties of natively unfolded human and mouse alpha-synuclein ensembles by NMR: Implication for aggregation. *J Mol Biol* 378:1104-1115
- Yan JL, Kline AD, Mo HP, Zartler ER, Shapiro MJ (2002) Epitope mapping of ligand-receptor interactions by diffusion NMR. *J Am Chem Soc* 124:9984-9985
- Yao S, Babon JJ, Norton RS (2008) Protein effective rotational correlation times from translational self-diffusion coefficients measured by PFG-NMR. *Biophys Chem* 136:145-151

- Yao S, Cherny RA, Bush AI, Masters CL, Barnham KJ (2004) Characterizing bathocuproine self-association and subsequent binding to Alzheimer's disease amyloid beta-peptide by NMR. *J Pept Sci* 10:210-217
- Yao S, Howlett GJ, Norton RS (2000) Peptide self-association in aqueous trifluoroethanol monitored by pulsed field gradient NMR diffusion measurements. *J Biomol Nmr* 16:109-119
- Yao S, Lee EF, Pettikiriachchi A, Evangelista M, Keizer DW, Fairlie WD (2016) Characterisation of the conformational preference and dynamics of the intrinsically disordered N-terminal region of Beclin 1 by NMR spectroscopy. *BBA-Proteins Proteom* 1864:1128-1137
- Yao S, Weber DK, Separovic F, Keizer DW (2014) Measuring translational diffusion coefficients of peptides and proteins by PFG-NMR using band-selective RF pulses. *European Biophysics Journal* 43:331-339
- Zabara A, Meikle TG, Trenker R, Yao S, Newman J, Peat TS, Separovic F, Conn CE, Call MJ, Call ME, Landau EM, Drummond CJ (2017) Lipidic Cubic Phase-Induced Membrane Protein Crystallization: Interplay Between Lipid Molecular Structure, Mesophase Structure and Properties, and Crystallogenes. *Cryst Growth Des* 17:5667-5674
- Zhang XC, Perugini MA, Yao S, Adda CG, Murphy VJ, Low A, Anders RF, Norton RS (2008) Solution conformation, backbone dynamics and lipid interactions of the intrinsically unstructured malaria surface protein MSP2. *J Mol Biol* 379:105-121

Table 1 Comparison of translational diffusion coefficients of biomolecules measured with ^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-filtered BEST and alternative PFG-NMR sequences

D ($\times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) ^a				
PFG-NMR sequences				
	^1H - ^{15}N HMQC-BEST	BEST-STE	BPP-STE	^1H - ^{13}C HMQC-BEST
<i>1, Phosphopeptide in phosphate buffer (298 K)</i>				
	2.17 ± 0.03 (Val-7, 8.16 ppm)	2.10 ± 0.02 (peak, 1.15 ppm)	2.15 ± 0.02 (peak, 1.63 ppm)	2.22 ± 0.02 (Val-7, 0.93 ppm)
		2.16 ± 0.02 (peak, 7.06 ppm)	2.17 ± 0.02 (peak, 8.38 ppm)	
<i>2, GB1 (298 K)</i>				
	1.39 ± 0.01 (Thr-11)		1.44 ± 0.02 (peak, 2.04 ppm)	
	1.40 ± 0.01 (Trp-43)			
	1.37 ± 0.01 (All amides)			
<i>3, Phosphopeptide in equal molar of RNase A (298 K)</i>				
	2.03 ± 0.01 (Val-6, 8.16 ppm)		1.11 ± 0.01 (peak, 0.78 ppm)	2.05 ± 0.01 (Val-6, 0.93 ppm)
			1.16 ± 0.01 (peak, 1.71 ppm)	
			1.87 ± 0.02 (peak, 7.06 ppm)	
			1.45 ± 0.01 (peak, 7.96 ppm)	
<i>4, Gramicidin A in SDS micelles (318 K)</i>				
	0.736 ± 0.006 (Val-7, 8.76 ppm)	0.724 ± 0.003 (peak, 8.28 ppm)		

^a Corresponding diffusion-induced signal decays and non-linear regressions are shown in Figures 2-5 and Figure S2a, Supplementary Materials.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Yao, S;Meikle, TG;Sethi, A;Separovic, F;Babon, JJ;Keizer, DW

Title:

Measuring translational diffusion of N-15-enriched biomolecules in complex solutions with a simplified H-1-N-15 HMQC-filtered BEST sequence

Date:

2018-12-01

Citation:

Yao, S., Meikle, T. G., Sethi, A., Separovic, F., Babon, J. J. & Keizer, D. W. (2018). Measuring translational diffusion of N-15-enriched biomolecules in complex solutions with a simplified H-1-N-15 HMQC-filtered BEST sequence. EUROPEAN BIOPHYSICS JOURNAL WITH BIOPHYSICS LETTERS, 47 (8), pp.891-902. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-018-1311-5>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/282910>