Mechanisms of reproductive allocation as drivers of developmental plasticity in reptiles

James U Van Dyke¹

Oliver W Griffith^{2,3}

¹ School of Environmental Sciences, Institute of Land, Water & Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW 2640 Australia

² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

³ Yale Systems Biology Institute, Yale University, West Haven, CT, 06516, USA

2 figures

Running Headline: Reproductive drivers of plasticity in reptiles

Correspondence to:

James U Van Dyke, Lecturer in Ecology, School of Environmental Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW 2640 Australia

jvandyke@csu.edu.au

+61 2 6051 9837

This research was funded in part by a Gaylord Donnelley Fellowship, from the Yale Institute of Biospheric Studies to O.W.G

Abstract

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi:](#)

[10.1002/jez.2165](https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2165).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Developmental plasticity in offspring phenotype occurs as a result of the environmental conditions embryos experience during development. The nutritional environment provided to a fetus is an important source of developmental plasticity. Reptiles are a particularly interesting system in which to study this plasticity because of their varied routes of maternal nutrient allocation to reproduction. Most reptiles provide their offspring with all or most of the nutrients they require in egg yolk (lecithotrophy) while viviparous reptiles also provide their offspring with nutrients via a placenta (placentotrophy). We review the ways in which both lecithotrophy and placentotrophy can lead to differences in the nutrients embryonic reptiles receive, and discuss how these differences lead to developmental plasticity in offspring phenotype. We finish by reviewing the ecological and conservation consequences of nutritional-driven developmental plasticity in reptiles. If nutritional-driven developmental plasticity has fitness consequences, then understanding the basis of this plasticity has exciting potential to identify how reptile recruitment is affected by environmental changes in food supply. Such knowledge is critical to our ability to protect taxa threatened by environmental change.

Keywords

Lecithotrophy, Vitellogenesis, Placenta, Matrotrophy, Yolk, Recruitment, Conservation

Introduction

Developmental plasticity is the generation of different phenotypes as a result of environmental conditions experienced by a developing embryo or fetus, independent of genotype (West-Eberhard, 2003). Developmental plasticity is important because it can impact the divergence of closely-related species (West-Eberhard, 2005). Phenotypic differences have major fitness implications for offspring

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

(Van Buskirk and Steiner, 2009). In addition, there is potential for ecosystem-level consequences of plasticity within species, as a result of downstream changes to among-species interactions (Miner et al., 2005). Furthermore, humans are driving major environmental changes leading to species declines and extinctions (Dirzo et al., 2014), and there is an increasing need to understand the role of developmental plasticity in transducing or mitigating environmental effects. An example is how anthropogenic climate warming can lead to population biases in sex ratio in reptile species with temperature-dependent sex determination (Mitchell and Janzen, 2010). A strong bias in sex ratio would eventually lead to population collapse as a result of loss of offspring recruitment.

The assortment of nutrients an embryo receives during development is one of the major drivers of developmental plasticity. Embryonic nutrition has multi-generational fecundity consequences in *Anolis* lizards (Warner et al., 2015), impacts metabolism of adult rats (Gluckman et al., 2007), and has been linked to long-term health problems in humans (Bateson et al., 2004). Variation in embryonic nutrition arises as a result of an interaction among three factors: food constraints on mothers, the genetic and physiological mechanisms of maternal allocation, and, in placental animals, the ability of the embryo to manipulate maternal reproductive allocation mechanisms. Importantly, food constraints on mothers must always be viewed as a primary limitation on the nutrients they can allocate to their developing offspring. Even when food is abundant, assimilated nutrients must be allocated to a suite of competing physiological functions, including maintenance, activity, growth, and reproduction (Congdon et al., 1982; Dunham et al., 1989).

Here, we review how variation in the mechanisms of maternal allocation drives developmental plasticity in reptiles, and discuss the potential consequences of the resulting plasticity for reptilian ecology and conservation. Reptiles are a fascinating system for studying maternal allocation and

developmental plasticity because of their diversity in maternal allocation mechanisms and their wide potential for nutritional impacts on developmental plasticity. Reptiles provide their offspring with nutrients via two potential mechanisms: lecithotrophy and placentotrophy (Figure 1), and we consider both of these mechanisms separately in our review. Lecithotrophic animals are those that provide nutrients to their offspring primarily or exclusively via yolk (Blackburn, 2000; Wourms, 1981). However, “lecithotrophy” is also used to describe the more general provisioning of nutrients prior to ovulation (Uribe and Grier, 2011), including oil droplets in the ova of teleost fish. The vast majority of reptiles are lecithotrophic, including all oviparous species, and almost all viviparous species. Only the placentotrophic skinks within the taxa *Chalcides*, *Eumecia*, *Lubuya ivensii*, *Mabuya*, *Niveoscincus*, and *Pseudemoia* have reduced their reliance on lecithotrophy, and most of these remain at least partially lecithotrophic (Thompson et al., 2000). In oviparous species, lecithotrophy in practice also describes those species that deposit an albumen layer on eggs after ovulation; the Crocodila, Rhynchocephalia, and Testudinata. Squamate ova conspicuously lack an albumen layer (Packard et al., 1977). In oviparous reptiles, the eggshell is also deposited after ovulation, and is a source of ions for developing reptiles, especially calcium during development (Palmer and Guillette, 1991). Thus, in practice the descriptor “lecithotrophy” does include animals that use some post-ovulatory nutritional mechanisms. Still, in each of these cases, yolk is the primary source of embryonic nutrition, and will be our main focus in the lecithotrophy section of our review.

In contrast to lecithotrophy, placentotrophic animals are those that supplement embryonic nourishment through the transfer of nutrients across a placenta during embryogenesis.

Placentotrophy is therefore assumed to be restricted to viviparous (live-bearing) species, which in reptiles are limited to about 20% of all species of squamates (lizards and snakes). Placentas in squamates are formed from the apposition of uterine tissue with a series of embryonic membranes,

including the chorioallantoic and yolk sac membranes. While substantial transfer of macro-nutrients has evolved only 5 or 6 times in squamates (Blackburn, 2015b), in all viviparous squamates there seems to be some level of incipient placentotrophy (Van Dyke and Beaupre, 2012; Stewart, 2013; Hoffman, 1970; Yaron, 1977; Stewart, 1989; Stewart, 2015). In species with incipient placentotrophy, the surface epithelium of the uterus is responsible for the transport of post-ovulatory organic nutrients (Blackburn and Lorenz, 2003; Stewart and Brasch, 2003; Blackburn et al., 2010; Blackburn et al., 2009), but the makeup of these nutrients is unknown. In species that rely on a large amount of yolk initially in development but also exhibit a substantial increase in offspring mass compared to the mass of eggs at ovulation (ex., *Pseudemoia* sp.), the nutrient composition of placental transfer appears to match the composition of egg yolk at the macro and micronutrient scale (Thompson et al., 2000). In species that are (nearly) completely reliant on placentotrophy, (ex. *Mabuya* sp.), placental transport is responsible for large quantities of lipid, protein, calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium, which replace yolk nearly completely (Ramirez-Pinilla, 2006; Ramirez-Pinilla et al., 2011).

Maternal plasticity in lecithotrophy

Vitellogenesis

In lecithotrophic vertebrates, the egg yolk is a dense package that contains all, or nearly all, of the nutrients a developing embryo requires (energy, protein, micronutrients, etc). Yolk is formed via vitellogenesis. During vitellogenesis, yolk precursor proteins (vitellogenin; VTG and very low density lipoprotein; VLDL) are formed in the maternal liver. Vitellogenesis is itself stimulated by estradiol secreted by the ovaries via activation of the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis, usually in response to seasonal, resource availability, and social cues (Van Dyke, 2014). Estradiol stimulates hepatic tissues to synthesise VTG, a lipoglycophosphoprotein, and VLDL, which are then transported via the

bloodstream to the ovaries and sequestered into growing follicular oocytes via receptor-mediated endocytosis (Wallace, 1985). Within the oocytes, VTG is proteolysed by cathepsin D into yolk proteins including lipovitellins and phosvitins (Finn, 2007).

Notably, most of our knowledge of this pathway comes from model species of lampreys, teleost fish, *Xenopus*, and *Gallus*, and specific details of vitellogenesis in non-avian reptiles have been rarely documented (Nainan et al., 2009), aside from its endocrine regulation (Ho, 1987; Ho et al., 1982; Callard et al., 1985; Callard et al., 1990). However, several interesting differences occur among taxa. For example, in chickens, VLDL represents 60% of yolk dry mass and contributes 23% of yolk protein and 93% of lipid, whereas VTG contributes 48% of yolk protein and 7% of lipid (Burley et al., 1988; Thompson and Speake, 2003). In crocodilians, VLDL accounts for only 30% of yolk dry mass and VTG is much more abundant (Burley et al., 1988; White, 1991). VLDL has not been isolated from the yolks of squamates or turtles (Thompson and Speake, 2003) and was noticeably not reported in the yolk of *Anolis pulchellus* (De Morales et al., 1987). VLDL has been isolated from the plasma of vitellogenic female turtles (Duggan et al., 2001; Lance et al., 2002) and the snake *Bothrops jararaca* (Janiero-Cinquini et al., 1995). Thus, the nutritional roles of both VTG and VLDL may be variable in reptiles. Speake and Thompson (1999) suggest that these differences relate to the different energy and nutritional requirements of different taxa. VLDL is a major source of nonpolar lipids, including triacylglycerol, and bird embryos need large amounts of nonpolar lipids to fuel their higher metabolic costs of embryogenesis (Speake and Thompson, 1999). Indeed, bird eggs typically contain a lipid:protein ratio of approximately 1:1, while that of reptile eggs are variable, but average 1:2 (Speake and Thompson, 1999). These ratios reflect the statistical difference in energy density of egg yolks (birds: 29.2 kJ g⁻¹; reptiles: 26.9 kJ g⁻¹; Booth and Thompson, 1991). The bioenergetic requirements of development in these taxa may explain the considerable differences in VLDL and

VTG fractions between birds and non-avian reptiles, but similar hypotheses could also explain smaller differences observed across reptile species.

VTG and VLDL Structure and Function

In most lecithotrophic reptiles, VTG must provide nearly all of the nutrients embryos need to complete development. Because VLDL has been identified in crocodilian yolks, it likely provides nutrition in their embryos in addition to that provided by VTG. Both molecules can be envisioned as capsules or spheres. Vitellogenin is a polypeptide dimer of the molecules lipovitellin and phosvitin (Raag et al., 1988). Together, both polypeptides contain over 1800 amino acids and the VTG amino acid sequence may differ by more than 40% across vertebrate species (White, 1991; Nardelli et al., 1987). The lipovitellin component is essentially a polypeptide capsule that carries lipids (Raag et al., 1988; Banaszak et al., 1991). The fractions of fatty acids carried in lipovitellin are plastic and vary with diet in chickens (Naber and Biggert, 1989). In contrast, the phosvitin component of VTG is heavily phosphorylated and may carry up to 90% of all of the phosphorous in yolk (Samaraweera et al., 2011). The phosphate molecules themselves act as chelating factors that have a strong affinity to bivalent ions, including calcium, magnesium, and iron (Samaraweera et al., 2011; Grizzuti and Perlmann, 1973; Taborsky, 1963).

Essentially, VTG acts as a remarkably efficient combined transporter/resource. It provides a mechanism of transporting lipids, phosphates, and ions from the liver to the oocyte, but also simultaneously provides the amino acids the eventual embryo will need via its built-in polypeptide structure. Its secondary structure (ie, amino acid sequence) is probably tuned by selection to ensure that all amino acids necessary for embryonic development are provided to the oocyte.

Simultaneously, the VTG tertiary structure is probably semi-independently tuned by selection to

provide cavities and attachment points for carrying other necessary nutrients (e.g., Finn, 2007).

When viewed on a per-molecule basis, the polypeptide secondary structure of a VTG molecule is for the most part fixed within species as its amino acid sequence is encoded in the genome. Thus, the number and identity of amino acids that an oocyte receives should be relatively constant per molecule of VTG. In contrast, the relative composition of lipids, phosphate, and ions is not genetically fixed, and probably depends at least somewhat on the availability of these nutrients to the liver once vitellogenesis is initiated (e.g., Naber and Biggert, 1989). Thus, the per-VTG-molecule composition of non-protein nutrients can be highly variable among molecules, oocytes, or mothers.

In contrast to VTG, VLDL is a droplet of lipid, with a core of triacylglycerol and cholesteryl ester surrounded by a phospholipid bilayer, free cholesterol, and apoproteins (Martin et al., 1964; Anton et al., 2003). In non-avian reptiles, VLDL has only been reported in crocodilian yolk, but it could occur in the yolks of other reptiles that have not been adequately investigated. In birds, VLDL particles are large spherical droplets that contain large amounts of triacylglycerol and cholesterol ester, surrounded by phospholipid and free cholesterol (Speake and Thompson, 1999). The lipid droplets are embedded or even partially surrounded with apoproteins (Martin et al., 1964; Anton et al., 2003; Walzem, 1996) which help transport the lipid from liver to oocyte and facilitate receptor-mediated endocytosis (Speake and Thompson, 1999). In birds and crocodilians, VLDL likely functions to provide the embryo with large amounts of lipids, both for structural and bioenergetics purposes (Speake and Thompson, 1999). In reptiles that lack VLDL, VTG must provide all of the lipids the embryo requires (Speake and Thompson, 1999). In species that use it, the large size of some of the apoproteins found in VLDL suggest that it could also function as an accessory amino acid transporter, alongside VTG. Like VTG, the genetic basis of VLDL-associated apoproteins (Kirchgessner et al., 1987) probably

constrains variability in what amino acids are provided on a per-molecule basis. As in VTG, the fatty acid composition of VLDL is highly plastic with diet (Speake and Thompson, 1999).

How can yolk composition vary?

In this section, we are primarily concerned with how the production of VTG and VLDL could lead to variation in yolk composition within an individual female reptile. Presumably, this variation can occur either as a result of maternal manipulations to maximize offspring fitness (Mousseau and Fox, 1998), or as a result of environmental constraints on maternal nutrition (Geister et al., 2008).

Environmental constraints can occur as a result of dietary restrictions or as a result of competitive use by competing biological functions (Dunham et al., 1989).

The model of oocyte production via hepatic vitellogenesis and ovarian uptake from circulation suggests that the organic nutrient component of yolk is largely a matrix of discrete VTG-derived and possibly VLDL-derived molecules. Thus, one of the most important ways that a female reptile can vary her yolk composition is to allocate varying numbers of discrete VTG/VLDL molecules into different oocyte. This decision likely underlies the variation in egg size (via yolk dry mass) that has been frequently reported in reptiles, both within and among females (Bernardo, 1996b; Finkler and Claussen, 1997; Wallace et al., 2006). Selective pressures on egg size, and covariation among egg size, egg number, body size, and age, are fundamental questions in life history evolution and have received considerable attention in the literature (Dunham and Miles, 1985; Dunham et al., 1988; Bowden et al., 2004; Ford and Seigel, 1989; Congdon and Gibbons, 1987; Lack, 1954). In contrast, the genetic and physiological mechanisms underlying this variation, or how mothers actually manipulate egg size during vitellogenesis, are virtually unknown. We hypothesize that it occurs as a result of 1) the total number of VTG/VLDL molecules produced in the liver in a reproductive bout; 2) the number

of ovarian follicles recruited in a reproductive bout; 3) the number of VTG/VLDL receptors produced in each ovarian follicle, and 4) the amount of time each VTG/VLDL receptor is active in each follicle. Thus, there are a number of potential mechanisms that a mother could use to determine how many VTG/VLDL molecules are allocated to each oocyte. Based on optimal egg size theory and morphological constraints, we assume that natural selection fine-tunes these mechanisms to produce a mean offspring size phenotype that mothers might “bet-hedge” around, along with clutch size, to maximize potential offspring survivorship (Congdon and Gibbons, 1987; Smith and Fretwell, 1974; Bernardo, 1996b).

In addition to effects on egg size, altering the number of VTG/VLDL molecules allocated to a given oocyte is probably also the only way to adjust what amino acids are provided to an oocyte via yolk. The amino acid compositions of both molecules are determined by the mother’s VTG/VLDL genes, and are thus not likely to vary (White, 1991). Thus, if a mother aimed to manipulate the amount of a particular amino acid allocated to an oocyte, she would probably have to produce additional whole VTG molecules, rather than allocate only that amino acid. The polypeptide synthesis of VTG and VLDL likely uses free amino acids that are hydrolyzed from dietary or stored proteins, or synthesized *de novo* (White, 1991). Essential amino acids would have to be acquired from diet, but non-essential amino acids can be produced *de novo* via transamination. A lack of a particular essential amino acid can thus be a limiting factor in VTG/VLDL synthesis.

The second way a female reptile could vary her yolk composition would be to alter the contents of individual VTG/VLDL molecules during vitellogenesis in the liver. Amino acid compositions of VTG/VLDL probably cannot be modified this way, and the broader lipid fractions of VTG and VLDL appear to be relatively fixed (Walzem, 1996; Speake and Thompson, 2000). For example, chicken egg

lipids are consistently made up of about 71% triacylglycerol, 21% polar lipids, 6% free cholesterol, 1% cholesteryl ester, and 1% free fatty acids (Speake and Thompson, 1999). However, the composition of fatty acids within each lipid fraction are much more variable (Speake and Thompson, 1999; Thompson et al., 1999; Speake et al., 2004) and appear to change with diet (Naber and Biggert, 1989; Speake et al., 2002; Noble et al., 1993; Speake et al., 1994). Some fatty acids, including palmitic, stearic, palmitoleic, and oleic acids, are nonessential and are likely derived both from *de novo* synthesis from carbohydrates and from the mother's diet (Speake and Thompson, 1999). Thus, these should not be limiting and may be readily available for allocation into VTG/VLDL molecules. Other fatty acids, including linoleic and α -linoleic acids are essential and may only be available for allocation if present in the diet (Speake and Thompson, 1999). Either mechanism could play a role in maternal effects: synthesis of non-essential fatty acids can allow females to manipulate their density within individual VTG/VLDL molecules within yolk, whereas dietary acquisition of essential fatty acids can limit the number of VTG/VLDL molecules that were adequately provisioned for the embryo.

In addition to the organic nutrients present in yolk, it may also be possible for the non-organic nutrients to vary in abundance, especially metallic ions. Yolk (probably VTG) carries large amounts of calcium (Packard and Packard, 1991; Packard and Packard, 1988), and dietary restrictions on calcium are a major constraint for egg production in birds (Graveland and Drent, 1997; Tilgar et al., 2002). In oviparous species, the primary constraint of calcium limitation can be on eggshell formation rather than vitellogenesis (Graveland, 1996). Both yolk and eggshell are major calcium sources for oviparous embryos, so whilst calcium limitations during vitellogenesis can reduce calcium content of yolk, it could be compensated by the calcium present in the eggshell.

Maternal plasticity in placentotrophy

In reptiles, placental nutrient transport likely occurs via a number of potential mechanisms, including apocrine, holocrine, and merocrine secretion, and membrane bound solute carriers (Van Dyke et al., 2014a; Griffith and Wagner, 2017). In the most widely studied species, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, placentotrophy appears to use apocrine secretion and membrane-bound solute carriers (Biazik et al., 2010; Biazik et al., 2009). Lipids and proteins are packaged in vesicles (Griffith et al., 2013; Adams et al., 2005), and smaller molecules such as small ions, and small organic molecules like amino acids are likely transported by solute carriers (Griffith et al., 2016b). The mode of secretion likely depends on the nutrients provided, and the location of the transport.

The amount of lipid and protein resources transported across the placenta in some placentotrophic lizards is a plastic trait, which can be impacted by maternal diet, basking opportunities, and maternal stress (Itonaga et al., 2012; Van Dyke et al., 2014b; Swain and Jones, 2000). Furthermore, placentotrophy can be modulated by interactions of these variables, for example, in *Niveoscincus metallicus* increased maternal diet only increases placental provisioning when adequate basking opportunities are provided (Swain and Jones, 2000). Placentotrophy as a plastic trait allows mothers to nourish embryos 1) when nutrients are most available, 2) when mothers can be more assured that the offspring will be viable at birth, and 3) when nutrients are most needed by the embryo for growth and development.

The genetic basis of plasticity in placentotrophy

Plasticity in placentotrophy ultimately relies on the mother's ability to control nutrient transport in one of three ways, (1) by adjusting the uterine machinery that facilitates transport (e.g. the solute carriers), (2) by adjusting the placental surface area that facilitates transport, and (3) by adjusting

the circulating nutrient substrates for transport. No one has investigated whether any of these are altered in squamate pregnancy to modify differential transport of nutrients.

In mammals with an invasive hemochorial placenta, like humans and mice, embryonic tissues have direct access to maternal blood and so nutrient transfer is predominantly regulated by embryonic factors such as trophoblast size and regulation of trophoblast-expressed transporters including GLUT3 and SLC38A4 (Constancia et al., 2005). The genetic basis of this embryonic regulation is not completely known, but some important pathways have been identified, including the insulin-like growth factor signaling pathway. Wholesale suppression of embryonic insulin-like growth factor 2 (*IGF2*) results in a smaller offspring as a result of reduced placenta growth. However, suppression of *IGF2* in the trophoblast alone results in reduced placental growth, but not reduced offspring size, because somatic *IGF2* expression somehow communicates to the trophoblast to tell it to increase the expression of nutrient transport proteins GLUT3 and SLC38A4 (Fowden et al., 2006). In the horse, pig, and cow, where there are breed-specific differences in offspring weight, embryo transfer experiments allow us to identify maternal/embryonic effects that alter placentotrophy. The single biggest factor that alters placentotrophy from these studies, is placental size and surface area (Fowden et al., 2006).

In all placentotrophic reptiles there seems to be uterine or trophoblast innovations that increase placental surface area (Blackburn, 1992; Stewart, 2013). These include additional folding and interdigitation of specific regions of the uterus. This increased folding is most significant in the placentotrophic lizards in the genera *Chalcides*, *Mabuya*, and *Pseudemoia*, which have a specialized placental regions (e.g., the placentome) where there is a high degree of interdigitated folding between the uterus and chorioallantoic membrane (Vieira et al., 2007; Adams et al., 2005; Blackburn

and Callard, 1997). Similarly, the placentotrophic *Lubuya ivensii* exhibits invasive components in its chorion and choriovitelline membrane, which also increase surface area (Blackburn and Flemming, 2012). In the highly placentotrophic genus, *Mabuya*, this increase in placental complexity is achieved in part by the recruitment of retroviral envelope proteins to the placenta (Denner, 2017; Cornelis et al., 2017). Future work is needed to test whether the plasticity in placentotrophy observed in placentotrophic squamates has genetic or physiological bases. Differences in placental complexity (degree of interdigitation and surface area), nutrient transport regulation, and/or in maternal mobilization of resources could all be physiological factors correlated with plasticity in placentotrophy.

Maternal-fetal conflict and embryonic control

Plasticity in placental nutrient provisioning is distinct from lecithotrophy because it is susceptible to embryonic manipulation, rather than managed by the mother alone. This susceptibility occurs because placental nutrients are transported during (rather than prior to) embryonic development, and because transport is typically achieved in part by cooperation with the fetus. The mechanisms by which embryos manipulate placentotrophic provisions are better understood in mammals than in reptiles (Blackburn, 2015a). Hormones and growth factors produced by the trophoblast (the embryonic placental tissue) are a major source of embryonic regulation of placental nutrient transfer in humans and mice. Many of the hormones secreted into the placenta by viviparous mammals are also present in the embryonic placenta of viviparous squamates, including *IGF2* and progesterone (Griffith et al., 2017; Girling and Jones, 2003). Thus, there is potential for similar embryonic regulation of placental development to occur in reptiles. In viviparous mammals, *IGF2* is a particularly important growth factor. In viviparous mammals *IGF2* is an imprinted gene which is only expressed from the paternally-inherited allele. Over-expression of *IGF2* in mouse and human results

in fetal overgrowth, while *IGF2* deficient embryos have significantly smaller placentas which transfer fewer resources through the bulk of pregnancy (Wilkins and Haig, 2003). While genomic imprinting has not been found in any non-mammalian viviparous vertebrates, this may be due to methodological constraints, as only candidate gene approaches have been used to search for them (Griffith et al., 2016a).

Developmental and ecological consequences of plasticity in reproductive allocation

Broadly speaking, the developmental, and subsequently ecological, consequences of plasticity in maternal allocation in reptiles have received limited attention in the literature. Far more research has focused on how differences in egg size and number, presumably caused by interactions of genetic and plastic differences in reproductive allocation, and environmental effects, can impact offspring size, survivorship, and/or biology (Aubret et al., 2003; Congdon and Gibbons, 1987; Roosenburg and Dunham, 1997; Sinervo and Licht, 1991; Packard et al., 1999). More recent investigations have begun to investigate how differences in allocation of particular nutrient classes can affect offspring phenotype. For example, in the placentotrophic *Niveoscincus ocellatus*, protein content of pregnant mothers' diets interacts with that of postpartum offspring diets to impact offspring growth and sprint speed (Cadby et al., 2011). Notably, neither offspring size nor sprint speed at birth are affected by maternal diet only. Instead, litter size is decreased by extra protein, and maternal growth is increased. Together, these results suggest that bulk protein availability to pregnant mothers may impact offspring phenotype, but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. While a protein-rich diet may increase the total amount of amino acids available to a mother to allocate to her offspring, it may not provide the essential amino acids needed to directly benefit any single individual offspring. In addition to increasing studies on bulk nutrient allocation, a growing body of literature has investigated how allocation of accessory hormones into egg yolk affects

offspring phenotype, particularly sex in species with temperature-dependent sex determination (Bowden et al., 2002; Bowden et al., 2000; Crews, 1996; Paitz et al., 2012). In this section, we review the current knowledge of how maternal plasticity in reproductive allocation impacts developmental plasticity and ecology of individual offspring in reptiles.

Developmental Consequences

The physiology of reproductive allocation allows several predictions about how variations in nutrient abundance affect developmental success and/or growth of embryos. First, because the polypeptide secondary structures of VTG and VLDL in lecithotrophic species are likely to be fixed (White, 1991), at least within species, scarcity in essential amino acids required for these structures may be directly limiting to VTG or VLDL synthesis. Scarcity of essential fatty acids may not limit the number of VTG or VLDL molecules produced, but could reduce the abundance of those fatty acids within each VTG or VLDL molecule. How subsequent reductions in abundance of VTG or VLDL molecules, or a lack of essential fatty acids within those molecules, impacts an embryo is unknown in reptiles. We hypothesize that such scarcities should result either in fewer ovarian follicles being fully provisioned and ovulated, and/or reductions in the nutrients available to each ovum. The former case would simply result in smaller clutch sizes. In the latter case, reductions in nutrients available to an ovum should result in smaller egg/offspring size. Yolkectomy experiments indicate that even large reductions in yolk can still allow complete development of viable reptile embryos (Sinervo, 1990; Sinervo and Huey, 1990; Sinervo et al., 1992). Thus, reductions in the provisioning of nutrients, and resulting smaller egg yolk size, may not alone lead to reductions in fecundity. Instead, the fitness consequences of small offspring (e.g., Janzen, 1993a) may select for a minimum offspring size, below which a female's fitness is unlikely to benefit (Shine, 1978). However, there probably is some

species-specific lower limit of nutrient allocation, below which an embryo cannot successfully complete development.

A second possibility is that reductions in some essential nutrients might not impact the abundance of VTG or VLDL molecules in a way that would affect egg or offspring size, but could still impact offspring phenotype. Notably, such impacts can occur both in the allocation of non-protein nutrients bound to VTG or VLDL in lecithotrophic species, or of any nutrients freely transported across a placenta in placentotrophic species. For example, reduced allocations of trace metals, like zinc, can result in congenital malformations, as in rats (Hurley, 1969). Currently, what nutrients are essential and cause physiological problems if deficient in embryonic reptiles is poorly known. However, fatty acids are a useful starting point for investigation. Many 18-carbon polyunsaturated fatty acids are essential, and their abundances vary widely across potential food types (Speake and Thompson, 1999). Thus, their abundance might vary widely in eggs across mothers, or possibly even within mothers depending on the frequency of dietary shifts a female experiences during a reproductive allocation period (Van Dyke et al., 2013). Another fatty acid, docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3) is produced as a result of desaturation/elongation of essential fatty acids such as α -linoleic acid (18:3n-3) and is important for the development of the brain and retina (Speake and Thompson, 1999). Deficiencies in docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) have been linked to visual loss in fish (Bell et al., 1995), and both brain and retinal deficiencies in monkeys (Neuringer et al., 1984; Neuringer et al., 1986). Deficiencies in DHA have even been linked to behavioral changes in rats (Moriguchi et al., 2000). Deficiencies in DHA (or other nutrients) may also be associated with low hatching success in captive alligators (Noble et al., 1993). Such deficiencies of fatty acids, or other nutrients important for brain development, might also underlie cognitive differences observed in juvenile White's skinks (*Liopholis whitii*) from mothers fed restricted diets (Munch et al., In Press).

Ecological Implications

Ultimately, whether and how plasticity in reproductive nutrient allocation affects developmental plasticity in reptiles is important not just for advancing our understanding of reptile biology, but also for improving conservation of threatened reptile species. Clearly, if plasticity in reproductive allocation affects the number of offspring produced then there are direct consequences for population dynamics. The clearest example of these impacts is when local changes in food supply lead to changes in adult fecundity (Douglas, 2010; Beaupre and Douglas, 2009; Van Dyke et al., 2014b; Winne et al., 2006; Seigel and Ford, 1992; Bonnet et al., 2001; Congdon, 1989). Likewise, if plasticity in reproductive allocation affects the “quality” of individual offspring in a way that impacts their likelihood to recruit or survive to adulthood, then there are also consequences for population dynamics.

How plasticity in reproductive allocation impacts individual offspring in a way that affects their recruitment is more cryptic than direct impacts on maternal fecundity. These impacts are often studied under the umbrella term of maternal effects. Maternal effects are the non-genetic influence mothers have on offspring phenotype, which include the effects of plasticity in reproductive allocation (Bernardo, 1996a; Newcombe et al., 2015; Roach and Wulff, 1987). Because maternal effects impact offspring phenotype independently of genetics, they are a direct cause of developmental plasticity. Maternal effects in reproductive allocation are a well-recognized concept among biologists, and in reptile studies have often focused on among-mother differences in egg size and/or offspring biology (Bernardo, 1996b; Valenzuela, 2001; Rhen and Lang, 1995), including as a result of food manipulations (Warner and Lovern, 2014). Furthermore, a growing body of evidence also suggests that offspring quality differences, measured as offspring performance and survival,

occur as a result of differences in maternal food availability during reproduction (Wang et al. 2017; Warner and Lovern, 2014). Differences in maternal food availability can even lead to changes in sex-allocation in lizard species with temperature-dependent sex determination, in a complex interaction between incubation temperature and yolk nutrition (Warner et al. 2007).

Even when they are not studied directly, maternal effects are almost always accounted for in studies on reptile offspring biology, independently of egg size, when they are included in statistical analyses as random “clutch effects” (Warner et al., 2010; Janzen, 1993b; Steen et al., 2015; Van Dyke et al., 2011; Hurlbert, 1984; Ashmore and Janzen, 2003; Webb et al., 2001). We hypothesize that plastic differences in reproductive nutrient allocation underlie many of these maternal or clutch effects in reptiles. These differences arise as a result of genetic differences in nutrient allocation among females, as a result of nutritional differences females experience during reproductive allocation, or as an interaction between the two.

How can our hypothesis be tested? First, egg and/or offspring compositional differences must be established, either among or within females. If among-mother differences are consistent, regardless of their diets, then those differences may be genetically driven. In contrast, if those differences only occur as a result of dietary differences, then they are likely environmentally driven (Figure 2).

Generalist species may be particularly useful for this type of analysis. For example, generalist turtle species like the Australian *Emydura macquarii* (Spencer et al., 1998) or American *Trachemys scripta* (Bjorndal, 1991) would be useful for manipulating diet and nutrition, such that some females experienced highly carnivorous diets, and others experienced highly herbivorous diets. Diet switches within females could then be used to separate the effects of reproductive allocation plasticity on offspring from other maternal effects (Figure 2). The primary constraint on these types of projects is

the long period between reproductive bouts (~1 year), compared to other model species, which may not be suitable in the modern funding environment. In contrast, reptiles that are less generalist in their diet may be not be as tractable study species. For example, Australian skinks of the genera *Acritoscincus*, *Lampropholis*, *Niveoscincus*, and *Pseudemoia* are all largely insectivorous, and the fatty acid profiles of their yolk, at least, are very similar across species (Speake and Thompson, 1999; Speake et al., 2004).

Second, once compositional differences are established, their effects on the offspring must be investigated. Given the consistent appearance of maternal effects in many studies of offspring development, physiology, and performance, there are many potential metrics to be investigated. Studies of invertebrates have used a similar approach, and are good models to follow to test for general trends across taxa (Stachlschmidt and Adamo, 2015). Recent reptile studies are even beginning to report such maternal- and diet-driven effects on behavior and cognition (Munch et al., In Press). If these differences have fitness consequences, then they have exciting potential to further our understanding of how reptile recruitment is affected by environmental changes in their food supply. Furthermore, such knowledge would also further our ability to manage species threatened by environmental change, via conservation physiology approaches (Wikelski and Cooke, 2006). Management options here include *in situ* approaches to improve nutritional availability for threatened species, as has been suggested for the greater sage grouse (Gregg and Crawford, 2009). Improving embryonic nutrition would also be useful for *ex situ* headstarting and breeding projects, where diets of mothers are manipulated in captivity to improve the potential for offspring recruitment after release. Such considerations have recently been suggested for headstarting of endangered ploughshare tortoises (Currylow et al., 2017).

Conclusion

In our review, we have summarized knowledge about the mechanisms by which both lecithotrophic and placentotrophic reptiles allocate nutrients to their offspring, described how these lead to developmental plasticity, and argued for further study on their consequences for reptile ecology and conservation. Based on our review, there is clearly much work to be done to continue advancing our knowledge on the mechanisms underlying developmental plasticity in reptiles. Our knowledge on the genetic and physiological mechanisms of vitellogenesis and placentotrophy are largely based on inferences from other model species, and whether they are consistent in reptiles remains to be tested. Until this is done, the actual processes of vitellogenesis and placentotrophy remain incompletely understood. Likewise, the basic biochemistry of vitellogenin has only been investigated in a handful of vertebrate species, and the generality of these results across taxa, or even across nutritional status within taxa, is unknown. These are thus important gaps in our knowledge, which hamper our ability to understand how reproductive allocation leads to plasticity in offspring traits. For this field to progress, these gaps require extensive further study. Furthermore, given the current state of anthropogenic environmental change, we view the ultimate impacts of reproductive allocation on reptile phenotype and fitness as of paramount importance for further study. Both turtles and viviparous squamates have been identified as vertebrate taxa among the most at-risk of extinction (Sinervo et al., 2010; Turtle Conservation Coalition 2011). Thus, our review ultimately shows that even basic-science studies that further understanding of reptile reproductive physiology may have eventual applications to improving conservation outcomes of these declining species.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dan Warner for the opportunity to write this review, and Mike Thompson for graciously recommending us. This research was funded in part by a Gaylord Donnelley Fellowship, from the

Yale Institute of Biospheric Studies to O.W.G. The authors declare no conflict of interest. The manuscript was improved by comments from D. Blackburn, W.-G. Du, and an anonymous reviewer.

Literature Cited

- Adams SM, Biazik JM, Thompson MB, Murphy CR. 2005. Cyto-epitheliochorial placenta of the viviparous lizard *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*: A new placental morphotype. *J Morphol* 264:264-276.
- Anton M, Martinet V, Galgalarrondo M, Beaumal V, David-Briand E, Rabesona H. 2003. Chemical and structural characterisation of low-density lipoproteins purified from hen egg yolk. *Food Chem* 83:175-183.
- Ashmore GM, Janzen FJ. 2003. Phenotypic variation in smooth softshell turtles (*Apalone mutica*) from eggs incubated in constant versus fluctuating temperatures. *Oecologia* 134:182-188.
- Aubret F, Bonnet X, Shine R, Maumelat S. 2003. Clutch size manipulation, hatching success and offspring phenotype in the ball python (*Python regius*). *Biol J Linn Soc* 78:263-272.
- Banaszak L, Sharrock W, Timmins P. 1991. Structure and function of a lipoprotein: Lipovitellin. *Ann Rev Biophys Biophysical Chem* 20:221-246.
- Bateson P, Barker D, Clutton-Brock TH, Deb D, D'Udine B, Foley RA, Gluckman P, Godfrey K, Kirkwood T, Lahr MM, McNamara J, Metcalfe NB, Monaghan P, Spencer HG, Sultan SE. 2004. Developmental plasticity and human health. *Nature* 430:419-421.
- Beaupre SJ, Douglas L. 2009. Snakes as indicators and monitors of ecosystem properties. In: Mullin SJ, Seigel RA, editors. *Snakes: Ecology and conservation*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press. p 244-261.

- Bell MV, Batty RS, Dick JR, Fretwell K, Navarro JC, Sargent JR. 1995. Dietary deficiency of docosahexaenoic acid impairs vision at low light intensities in juvenile herring (*Clupea harengus* L.). *Lipids* 30:443.
- Bernardo J. 1996a. Maternal effects in animal ecology. *Am Zool* 36:83-105.
- Bernardo J. 1996b. The particular maternal effect of propagule size, especially egg size: Patterns, models, quality of evidence and interpretations. *Am Zool* 36:216-236.
- Biazik JM, Thompson MB, Murphy CR. 2009. Lysosomal and alkaline phosphatase activity indicate macromolecular transport across the uterine epithelium in viviparous skinks. *J Exp Zool Part B* 312B:817-826.
- Biazik JM, Thompson MB, Murphy CR. 2010. Paracellular and transcellular transport across the squamate uterine epithelium. *Herp Conserv Biol* 5:257-262.
- Bjorndal KA. 1991. Diet mixing: Nonadditive interactions of diet items in an omnivorous freshwater turtle. *Ecology* 72:1234-1241.
- Blackburn D. 2015a. Viviparous placentotrophy in reptiles and the parent–offspring conflict. *J Exp Zool Part B* 324:532-548.
- Blackburn DG. 1992. Convergent evolution of viviparity, matrotrophy, and specializations for fetal nutrition in reptiles and other vertebrates. *Am Zool* 32:313-321.
- Blackburn DG. 2000. Classification of the reproductive patterns of amniotes. *Herpetol Monogr* 14:371-377.
- Blackburn DG. 2015b. Evolution of vertebrate viviparity and specializations for fetal nutrition: A quantitative and qualitative analysis. *J Morphol* 276:961-990.
- Blackburn DG, Callard IP. 1997. Morphogenesis of placental membranes in the viviparous, placentotrophic lizard *Chalcides chalcides* (Squamata: Scincidae). *J Morphol* 232:35-55.

- Blackburn DG, Flemming AF. 2012. Invasive implantation and intimate placental associations in a placentotrophic african lizard, *Trachylepis ivensi* (Scincidae). J Morphol 273:137-159.
- Blackburn DG, Lorenz RL. 2003. Placentation in garter snakes. Iii. Transmission em of the omphalallantoic placenta of *Thamnophis radix* and *T. sirtalis*. J Morphol 256:187-204.
- Blackburn DG, Anderson KE, Johnson AR, Knight SM, Gavelis GS. 2009. Histology and ultrastructure of the placental membranes of the viviparous brown snake, *Storeria dekayi* (Colubridae: Natricinae). J Morphol 270:1137-1154.
- Blackburn DG, Gavelis GS, Anderson KE, Johnson AR, Dunlap KD. 2010. Placental specializations of the mountain spiny lizard *Sceloporus jarrovi*. J Morphol 271:1153-1175.
- Bonnet X, Naulleau G, Shine R, Lourdais O. 2001. Short-term versus long-term effects of food intake on reproductive output in a viviparous snake, *Vipera aspis*. Oikos 92:297-308.
- Booth DT, Thompson MB. 1991. A comparison of reptilian eggs with those of megapode birds. In: Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ, editors. Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. p 325-344.
- Bowden RM, Ewert MA, Nelson CE. 2000. Environmental sex determination in a reptile varies seasonally and with yolk hormones. Proc R Soc B 267:1745-1749.
- Bowden RM, Harms HK, Paitz RT, Janzen FJ. 2004. Does optimal egg size vary with demographic stage because of a physiological constraint? Funct Ecol 18:522-529.
- Bowden RM, Ewert MA, Freedberg S, Nelson CE. 2002. Maternally derived yolk hormones vary in follicles of the painted turtle, *Chrysemys picta*. J Exp Zool 293:67-72.
- Burley RW, Back JF, Wellington JE, Grigg GC. 1988. Proteins and lipoproteins in yolk from eggs of the estuarine crocodile (*Crocodylus porosus*); a comparison with egg yolk of the hen (*Gallus domesticus*). Comp Biochem Physiol B 91:39-44.

- Cadby CD, Jones SM, Wapstra E. 2011. Potentially adaptive effects of maternal nutrition during gestation on offspring phenotype of a viviparous reptile. *J Exp Biol* 214:4234-4239.
- Callard IP, Ho S, Gapp DA, Kleis S, Heisermann G. 1985. Regulation of vitellogenesis in reptiles: Correlations with oviparity and viviparity. In: Lofts B, Holmes WN, editors. *Current trends in comparative endocrinology*. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press. p 359-361.
- Callard IP, Riley D, Perez L. 1990. Vertebrate vitellogenesis: Molecular model for multihormonal control of gene regulation. *Prog Comp Endocrinol*:343-348.
- Congdon JD. 1989. Proximate and evolutionary constraints on energy relationships of reptiles. *Physiol Zool* 62:356-373.
- Congdon JD, Dunham AE, Tinkle DW. 1982. Energy budgets and life histories of reptiles. In: Gans C, Pough FH, editors. *Biology of the Reptilia* vol 13. London, UK: Academic Press. p 233-271.
- Congdon JD, Gibbons JW. 1987. Morphological constraint on egg size: A challenge to optimal egg size theory? *PNAS* 84:4145-4147.
- Constancia M, Angiolini E, Sandovic I, Smith P, Smith R, Kelsey G, Dean W, Ferguson-Smith A, Sibley C, Reik W, Fowden AL. 2005. Adaptation of nutrient supply to fetal demand in the mouse involves interaction between the *igf2* gene and placental transporter systems. *PNAS* 102:19219-19224.
- Cornelis G, Funk M, Vernochet C, Leal F, Tarazona OA, Meruice G, Heidmann O, Dupressoir A, Miralles A, Ramirez-Pinilla MP, Heidmann T. 2017. An endogenous retroviral envelope syncytin and its cognate receptor identified in the viviparous placental *Mabuya* lizard. *PNAS* 114:E10991-E11000.
- Cornetti L, Griffith OW, Benazzo A, Panziera A, Whittington CM, Thompson MB, Vernesi C, Bertorelle G. In Press. Candidate genes involved in the evolution of viviparity: A rad sequencing

- experiment in the lizard *Zootoca vivipara* (Squamata: Lacertidae). Zool J Linn Soc:<https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx1069>.
- Crews D. 1996. Temperature-dependent sex determination: The interplay of steroid hormones and temperature. Zool Sci (Tokyo) 13:1-13.
- Currylow AET, Mandimbbihasina A, Gibbons P, Bekarany E, Stanford CB, Louis EE, Jr., Crocker DE. 2017. Comparative ecophysiology of a critically endangered (cr) ectotherm: Implications for conservation management. PLoS One 12:e0182004.
- De Morales MH, Valles AM, Baerga-Santini C. 1987. Studies of the egg proteins of tropical lizards: Purification and partial characterization of yolk proteins of *Anolis pulchellus*. Comp Biochem Physiol B 87:125-136.
- Denner J. 2017. Function of a retroviral envelope protein in the placenta of a viviparous lizard. PNAS:<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1719189114>.
- Dirzo R, Young HS, Galetti M, Ceballos G, Isaac NJB, Collen B. 2014. Defaunation in the anthropocene. Science 345:401-406.
- Douglas L. 2010. Bioenergetic responses of timber rattlesnakes (*Crotalus horridus*) to large-scale habitat manipulations in northwest arkansas. [PhD Dissertation]: University of Arkansas.
- Duggan A, Paolucci M, Tercyak A, Gigliotti M, Small D, Callard IP. 2001. Seasonal variation in plasma lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoprotein a-i and vitellogenin in the freshwater turtle, *Chrysemys picta*. Comp Biochem Physiol A 130:253-269.
- Dunham AE, Grant BW, Overall KL. 1989. Interfaces between biophysical and physiological ecology, and the population ecology of terrestrial vertebrate ectotherms. Physiol Zool 62:335-355.
- Dunham AE, Miles DB. 1985. Patterns of covariation in life history traits of squamate reptiles: The effects of size and phylogeny reconsidered. Am Nat 126:231-257.

- Dunham AE, Miles DB, Reznick DN. 1988. Life history patterns in squamate reptiles. In: Gans C, Huey RB, editors. *Biology of the Reptilia* vol 16. Liss, NY: Academic Press.
- Finkler MS, Claussen DL. 1997. Within and among clutch variation in the composition of *Chelydra serpentina* eggs with initial egg mass. *J Herpetol* 31:620-624.
- Finn RN. 2007. Vertebrate yolk complexes and the functional implications of phosvitins and other subdomains in vitellogenins. *Biol Reprod* 76:926-935.
- Ford NB, Seigel RA. 1989. Relationships among body size, clutch size, and egg size in three species of oviparous snakes. *Herpetologica* 45:75-83.
- Fowden AL, Ward JW, Wooding FBP, Forhead AJ, Constanica M. 2006. Programming placental nutrient transport capacity. *J Physiol (Camb)* 572:5-15.
- Geister TL, Lorenz MW, Hoffman KH, Fischer K. 2008. Adult nutrition and butterfly fitness: Effects of diet quality on reproductive output, egg composition, and egg hatching success. *Front Zool* 5:10.
- Girling JE and Jones SM, 2003. In vitro progesterone production by maternal and embryonic tissues during gestation in the southern snow skink (*Niveoscincus microlepidotus*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 133: 100-108.
- Gluckman P, Lillycrop KA, Vickers MH, Pleasants AB, Phillips ES, Beedle AS, Burdge GC, Hanson MA. 2007. Metabolic plasticity during mammalian development is directionally dependent on early nutritional status. *PNAS* 104:12796-12800.
- Graveland J. 1996. Avian eggshell formation in calcium-rich and calcium-poor habitats: Importance of snail shells and anthropogenic calcium sources. *Can J Zool* 74:1035-1044.
- Graveland J, Drent RH. 1997. Calcium availability limits breeding success of passerines on poor soils. *J Anim Ecol* 66:279-288.

- Gregg MA, Crawford JA. 2009. Survival of greater sage-grouse chicks and broods in the northern great basin. *J Wildl Manag* 73:904-913.
- Griffith OW, Brandley MC, Belov K, Thompson MB. 2016a. Allelic expression of mammalian imprinted genes in a matrotrophic lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*. *Dev Genes Evol* 226:79-85.
- Griffith OW, Brandley MC, Belov K, Thompson MB. 2016b. Reptile pregnancy is underpinned by complex changes in uterine gene expression: A comparative analysis of the uterine transcriptome in viviparous and oviparous lizards. *Gen Biol Evol* 8:3226-3239.
- Griffith OW, Brandley MC, Whittington CM, Belov K, Thompson MB. 2017. Comparative genomics of hormonal signaling in the chorioallantoic membrane of oviparous and viviparous amniotes. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 244:19-29.
- Griffith OW, Ujvari B, Belov K, Thompson MB. 2013. Placental lipoprotein lipase (lpl) gene expression in a placentotrophic lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* *J Exp Zool Part B* 320:465-470.
- Griffith OW, Wagner GP. 2017. The placenta as a model for understanding the origin and evolution of vertebrate organs. *Nat Ecol Evol* 1:0072.
- Grizzuti K, Perlmann GE. 1973. Binding of magnesium and calcium ions to the phosphoglycoprotein phosvitin. *Biochemistry* 12:4399-4403.
- Herbert JF, Lindsay LA, Murphy CR, Thompson MB. 2006. Calcium transport across the uterine epithelium of pregnant lizards. *Herpetol Monogr* 20:205-211.
- Herbert JF, Murphy CR, Thompson MB. 2010. Calcium ATPase localization in the uterus of two species of *Pseudemoia* (Iacertilia: Scincidae) with complex placentae. *Herpetol Cons Biol* 5:290-296.
- Ho S-m, Kleis S, McPherson R, Heisermann GJ, Callard IP. 1982. Regulation of vitellogenesis in reptiles. *Herpetologica* 38:40-50.

- Ho S. 1987. Endocrinology of vitellogenesis. In: Greenberg N, Wingfield J, Norris D, Jones R, editors. Hormones and reproduction in fishes, amphibians, and reptiles. New York, NY: Plenum Press. p 355-384.
- Hoffman LH. 1970. Placentation in the garter snake, *Thamnophis sirtalis*. J Morphol 131:57-88.
- Hurlbert SH. 1984. Psuedoreplication and the design of ecological field experiments. Ecol Monogr 54:187-211.
- Hurley LS. 1969. Zinc deficiency in the developing rat. Am J Clin Nutr 22:1332-1339.
- Itonaga K, Jones SM, Wapstra E. 2012. Effects of maternal basking and food quantity during gestation provide evidence for the selective advantage of matrotrophy in a viviparous lizard. PLoS One 7:e41835.
- Janiero-Cinquini TRF, Bijovsky AT, Leinz FF, Winter CE. 1995. Characterization of the main plasma lipoproteins from the ovoviviparous viperid snake *Bothrops jararaca*. Comp Biochem Physiol B 112:49-58.
- Janzen FJ. 1993a. An experimental analysis of natural selection on body size of hatchling turtles. Ecology 74:332-341.
- Janzen FJ. 1993b. The influence of incubation temperature and family on eggs, embryos, and hatchlings of the smooth softshell turtle (*Apalone mutica*). Physiol Zool 66:349-373.
- Kirchgessner TG, Heinzmann C, Svenson KL, Gordon DA, Nicosia M, Lebherz HG, Lusi AJ, Williams DL. 1987. Regulation of chicken apolipoprotein b: Cloning, tissue distribution, and estrogen induction of mRNA. Gene 59:241-251.
- Lack D. 1954. The natural regulation of animal numbers. London, UK: Oxford University Press. 343 p.
- Lance VA, Place AR, Grumbles JS, Rostal DC. 2002. Variation in plasma lipids during the reproductive cycle of male and female desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizii*. J Exp Zool 293:703-711.

- Martin WG, Augustyniak J, Cook WH. 1964. Fractionation and characterization of the low-density lipoproteins of hen's egg yolk. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 84:714-720.
- Miner BG, Sultan SE, Morgan SG, Padilla DK, Relyea RA. 2005. Ecological consequences of phenotypic plasticity. *Trends Ecol Evol* 20:685-692.
- Mitchell NJ, Janzen FJ. 2010. Temperature-dependent sex determination and contemporary climate change. *Sex Dev* 4:129-140.
- Moriguchi T, Sheaff Greiner R, Salem N, Jr. 2000. Behavioral deficits associated with dietary induction of decreased brain docosahexaenoic acid concentration. *J Neurochem* 75:2563-2573.
- Mousseau TA, Fox CW. 1998. The adaptive significance of maternal effects. *Trends Ecol Evol* 13:403-407.
- Munch K, Noble DWA, Botterill-James T, Koolhof IS, Halliwell B, Wapstra E, While GM. In Press. Maternal effects impact decision-making in a viviparous lizard. *Biol Lett*.
- Naber EC, Biggert MD. 1989. Patterns of lipogenesis in laying hens fed a high fat diet containing safflower oil. *J Nutr* 119:690-695.
- Nainan H, Ping Y, Yang Y, Jinxiong L, Huijun B, Haili L, Hui Z, Qiusheng C. 2009. Fine structural observation on the oogenesis and vitellogenesis of the chinese soft-shelled turtle (*Pelodiscus sinensis*). *Zygote* 18:109-120.
- Nardelli D, van het Schip FD, Gerber-Huber S, Haeffliger JA, Gruber M, Ab G, Wahli W. 1987. Comparison of the organization and fine structure of a chicken and a *Xenopus laevis* vitellogenin gene. *J Biol Chem* 262:15377-15385.
- Neuringer M, Connor WE, Lin DS, Barstad L, Luck S. 1986. Biochemical and functional effects of prenatal and postnatal omega 3 fatty acid deficiency on retina and brain in rhesus monkeys. *PNAS* 83:4021-4025.

- Neuringer M, Connor WE, Van Petten CB, L. 1984. Dietary omega-3 fatty acid deficiency and visual loss in infant rhesus monkeys. *J Clin Investig* 73:272-276.
- Newcombe D, Hunt J, Mitchell C, Moore AJ. 2015. Maternal effects and maternal selection arising from variation in allocation of free amino acid to eggs. *Ecol Evol* 5:2397-2410.
- Noble RC, McCartney R, Ferguson MWJ. 1993. Lipid and fatty acid compositional differences between eggs of wild and captive-breeding alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*): An association with reduced hatchability? *J Zool (Lond)* 230:639-649.
- Packard GC, Miller K, Packard MJ, Birchard GF. 1999. Environmentally induced variation in body size and condition in hatchling snapping turtles (*Chelydra serpentina*). *Can J Zool* 77:278-289.
- Packard GC, Tracy CR, Roth JJ. 1977. The physiological ecology of reptilian eggs and embryos and the evolution of viviparity within the class Reptilia. *Biol Rev* 52:71-105.
- Packard MJ, Packard GC. 1988. Sources of calcium and phosphorous during embryogenesis in bullsnakes (*Pituophis melanoleucus*). *J Exp Zool* 246:132-138.
- Packard MJ, Packard GC. 1991. Sources of calcium, magnesium, and phosphorous for embryonic softshell turtles (*Trionyx spiniferus*). *J Exp Zool* 258:151-157.
- Paitz RT, Sawa AR, Bowden RM. 2012. Characterizing the metabolism and movement of yolk estradiol during embryonic development in the red-eared slider (*Trachemys scripta*). *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 176:507-512.
- Palmer BD, Guillette LJ, jr. 1991. Oviductal proteins and their influence on embryonic development in birds and reptiles. In: Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ, editors. *Egg incubation: Its effects on embryonic development in birds and reptiles*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 29-46.
- Raag R, Appelt K, Xuong N-H, Banaszak L. 1988. Structure of the lamprey yolk lipid-protein complex lipovitellin-phosvitin at 24 Å resolution. *J Mol Biol* 200:553-569.

- Ramirez-Pinilla MP. 2006. Placental transfer of nutrients during gestation in an Andean population of the highly matrotrophic lizard genus *Mabuya* (Squamata: Scincidae). *Herpetol Monogr* 20:194-204.
- Ramirez-Pinilla MP, Rueda ED, Stashenko E. 2011. Transplacental nutrient transfer during gestation in the Andean lizard *Mabuya* sp. (Squamata, Scincidae). *J Comp Physiol B* 181:249-268.
- Rhen T, Lang JW. 1995. Phenotypic plasticity for growth in the common snapping turtle: Effects of incubation temperature, clutch, and their interaction. *Am Nat* 146:726-747.
- Roach DA, Wulff RD. 1987. Maternal effects in plants. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 18:209-235.
- Roosenburg WM, Dunham AE. 1997. Allocation of reproductive output: Egg- and clutch-size variation in the diamondback terrapin. *Copeia* 1997:290-297.
- Samaraweera H, Zhang W, Lee EJA, D.U. 2011. Egg yolk phosvitin and functional phosphopeptides- review. *J Food Sci* 76:R143-R150.
- Seigel RA, Ford NB. 1992. Effect of energy input on variation in clutch size and offspring size in a viviparous reptile. *Funct Ecol* 6:382-385.
- Shine R. 1978. Propagule size and parental care: The "safe harbor" hypothesis. *J Theor Biol* 75:417-424.
- Sinervo B. 1990. The evolution of maternal investment in lizards: An experimental and comparative analysis of egg size and its effects on offspring performance. *Evolution* 44:279-294.
- Sinervo B, Doughty P, Huey RB, Zamudio K. 1992. Allometric engineering: A causal analysis of natural selection on offspring size. *Science* 258:1927-1930.
- Sinervo B, Huey RB. 1990. Allometric engineering: An experimental test of the causes of interpopulational differences in performance. *Science* 248:1106-1110.

- Sinervo B, Licht P. 1991. Hormonal and physiological control of clutch size, egg size, and egg shape in side-blotched lizards (*Uta stansburiana*) - constraints on the evolution of lizard life histories. *J Exp Zool* 257:252-264.
- Sinervo B, Mendez-De La Cruz FR, Miles DB, Heulin B, Bastiaans E, Villagran-Santa Cruz V, Lara-Resendiz R, Martinez-Mendez N, Calderon-Espinosa ML, Meza-Lazaro RN, Gadsden H, Avila LJ, Morando M, De la Riva IJ, Sepulveda PV, Duarte Rocha CF, Ibarguengoytia N, Puntriano CA, Massot M, Lepetz V, Oksanen TA, Chapple DG, Bauer AM, Branch WR, Clobert J, Sites JW, jr. 2010. Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and altered thermal niches. *Science* 328:894-899.
- Smith CC, Fretwell SD. 1974. The optimal balance between size and number of offspring. *Am Nat* 108:499-506.
- Speake BK, Herbert JF, Thompson MB. 2004. Comparison of the fatty-acid compositions of prey items and yolk of australian insectivorous scincid lizards. *J Comp Physiol B* 174:393-397.
- Speake BK, Noble RC, McCartney RJ, Ferguson MWJ. 1994. Differences in tissue-specific lipid composition between embryos of wild and captive breeding alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*). *J Zool (Lond)* 243:565-576.
- Speake BK, Surai PF, Bortolotti GR. 2002. Fatty acid profiles of yolk lipids of five species of wild ducks (Anatidae) differing in dietary preference. *J Zool (Lond)* 257:533-538.
- Speake BK, Thompson MB. 1999. Comparative aspects of yolk lipid utilisation in birds and reptiles. *Poult Avian Biol Rev* 10:181-211.
- Speake BK, Thompson MB. 2000. Lipids of the eggs and neonates of oviparous and viviparous lizards. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 127:453-467.
- Spencer RJ, Thompson MB, Hume ID. 1998. The diet and digestive energetics of the Murray short-necked turtle (*Emydura macquarii*). *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 121:535-559.

- Stahlschmidt ZR, Adamo SA 2015. Food-limited mothers favour offspring quality over offspring number: a principal components approach. *Fun Ecol* 29: 88-95.
- Steen DA, Van Dyke JU, Jackson BP, Hopkins BC. 2015. Reproduction and hatchling performance in freshwater turtles associated with a remediated coal fly-ash spill. *Environ Res* 138:38-48.
- Stewart JR. 1989. Facultative placentotrophy and the evolution of squamate placentation: Quality of eggs and neonates in *Virginia striatula*. *Am Nat* 133:111-137.
- Stewart JR. 2013. Fetal nutrition in lecithotrophic squamate reptiles: Toward a comprehensive model for evolution of viviparity and placentation. *J Morphol* 274:824-843.
- Stewart JR. 2015. Placental specializations in lecithotrophic viviparous squamate reptiles. *J Exp Zool B* 324:549-561.
- Stewart JR, Brasch KR. 2003. Ultrastructure of the placentae of the natricine snake, *Virginia striatula* (Reptilia: Squamata). *J Morphol* 255:177-201.
- Stewart JR, Eay TW, Heulin B, Fregoso SP, Linville BJ. 2011. Developmental expression of calcium transport proteins in extraembryonic membranes of oviparous and viviparous *Zootoca vivipara* (Lacertilia, Lacertidae). *J Exp Biol* 214:2999-3004.
- Swain R, Jones SM. 2000. Facultative placentotrophy: Half-way house or strategic solution? *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 127:441-451.
- Taborsky G. 1963. Interaction between phosvitin and iron and its effect on a rearrangement of phosvitin structure. *Biochemistry* 2:260-271.
- Thompson MB, Speake BK. 2003. Energy and nutrient utilisation by embryonic reptiles. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 133:529-538.
- Thompson MB, Speake BK, Russell KJ, McCartney RJ, Surai PF. 1999. Changes in fatty acid profiles and in protein, ion and energy contents of eggs of the murray short-necked turtle, *Emydura macquarii* (Chelonia, Pleurodira) during development. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 122:75-84.

- Thompson MB, Stewart JR, Speake BK. 2000. Comparison of nutrient transport across the placenta of lizards differing in placental complexity. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 127:469-479.
- Tilgar V, Mand R, Magi M. 2002. Calcium shortage as a constraint on reproduction in great tits *Parus major*: A field experiment. *J Avian Biol* 33:407-413.
- Turtle Conservation Coalition. 2011. Turtles in trouble: The world's 25+ most endangered tortoises and freshwater turtles—2011. In: Rhodin AGJ, Walde AD, Horne BD, van Dijk PP, Blanck T, Hudson R, editors. Lunenburg, MA, USA: IUCN/SSC.
- Uribe MC, Grier HJ. 2011. Oogenesis of microlecithal oocytes in the viviparous teleost *Heterandria formosa*. *J Morphol* 272:241-257.
- Valenzuela N. 2001. Maternal effects on life-history traits in the amazonian giant river turtle *Podocnemis expansa*. *J Herpetol* 35:368-378.
- Van Buskirk J, Steiner UK. 2009. The fitness costs of developmental canalization and plasticity. *J Evol Biol* 22:852-860.
- Van Dyke JU. 2014. Cues for reproduction in squamate reptiles. In: Rheubert JL, Siegel DS, Trauth SE, editors. Lizard phylogeny and reproductive biology. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. p 109-143.
- Van Dyke JU, Beaupre SJ. 2012. Stable isotope tracer reveals that viviparous snakes transport amino acids to offspring during gestation. *J Exp Biol* 215:760-765.
- Van Dyke JU, Beck ML, Jackson BP, Hopkins BC. 2013. Interspecific differences in egg production affect egg trace element concentrations after a coal fly ash spill. *Environ Sci Technol* 47:13763-13771.
- Van Dyke JU, Brandley MC, Thompson MB. 2014a. The evolution of viviparity: Molecular and genomic data from squamate reptiles advance understanding of live birth in amniotes. *Reproduction (Camb)* 147:R15-R26.

- Van Dyke JU, Griffith OW, Thompson MB. 2014b. High food abundance permits the evolution of placentotrophy: Evidence from a placental lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*. *Am Nat* 184:198-210.
- Van Dyke JU, Plummer MV, Beaupre SJ. 2011. Residual yolk energetics and postnatal shell growth in smooth softshell turtles, *Apalone mutica*. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 158:37-46.
- Vieira S, de Perez G, Ramirez-Pinilla MP. 2007. Invasive cells in the placentome of Andean populations of *Mabuya*: An endotheliochorial contribution to the placenta. *Anat Rec* 290:1508-1518.
- Wallace BP, Sotherland PR, Tomillo PS, Bouchard SS, Reina RD, Spotila JR, Paladino FV. 2006. Egg components, egg size, and hatchling size in leatherback turtles. *Comp Biochem Physiol A* 145:524-532.
- Wallace RA. 1985. Vitellogenesis and oocyte growth in nonmammalian vertebrates. In: Browder LW, editor. *Developmental biology, a comprehensive synthesis*. New York, NY: Plenum Press. p 127-177.
- Walzem RL. 1996. Lipoproteins and the laying hen: Form follows function. *Poultry and Avian Biol Rev* 7:31-64.
- Wang Y, Li SR, Zeng ZG, Liang L & Du WG. 2017. Maternal food availability affects offspring performance and survival in a viviparous lizard. *Fun Ecol* 31: 1950-1956.
- Warner DA and Lovern MB. 2014. The maternal environment affects offspring viability via an indirect effect of yolk investment on offspring size. *Phys Biochem Zool* 87: 276-287.
- Warner DA, Lovern MB, Shine R. 2007. Maternal nutrition affects reproductive output and sex allocation in a lizard with environmental sex determination. *Proc Royal Soc B Biol Sci* 274: 883-890.

- Warner DA, Buckelew AM, Pearson PR, Dhawan A. 2015. The effect of prey availability on offspring survival depends on maternal food resources. *Biol J Linn Soc* 115:437-447.
- Warner DA, Jorgensen CF, Janzen FJ. 2010. Maternal and abiotic effects on egg mortality and hatchling size of turtles: Temporal variation in selection over seven years. *Funct Ecol* 24:857-866.
- Webb JK, Brown GP, Shine R. 2001. Body size, locomotor speed and antipredator behaviour in a tropical snake (*Tropidonophis mairii*, colubridae): The influence of incubation environments and genetic factors. *Funct Ecol* 15:561-568.
- West-Eberhard MJ. 2003. *Developmental plasticity and evolution.*: Oxford University Press.
- West-Eberhard MJ. 2005. Developmental plasticity and the origin of species differences. *PNAS* 102:6543-6549.
- White HB. 1991. Maternal diet, maternal proteins and egg quality. In: Deeming DC, Ferguson MWJ, editors. *Egg incubation: Its effects on embryonic development in birds and reptiles.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 1-15.
- Wikelski M, Cooke SJ. 2006. Conservation physiology. *Trends Ecol Evol* 21:38-46.
- Wilkins JF, Haig D. 2003. What good is genomic imprinting: The function of parent-specific gene expression. *Nat Rev Genet* 4:359-368.
- Winne CT, Willson JD, Gibbons JW. 2006. Income breeding allows an aquatic snake *Seminatrix pygmaea* to reproduce normally following prolonged drought-induced aestivation. *J Anim Ecol* 75:1352-1360.
- Wourms JP. 1981. Viviparity: The maternal-fetal relationship in fishes. *Am Zool* 21:473-515.
- Yaron Z. 1977. Embryo-maternal interrelations in the lizard *Xantusia vigilis*. In: Calaby JH, Tyndale-Biscoe CH, editors. *Reproduction and evolution.* Canberra City: Australian Academy of Science. p 527-603.

Figure Titles

Figure 1. Diagrammatic representations of the mechanisms of reproductive allocation in reptiles. A) Lecithotrophic reptiles slowly allocate yolk precursors to ovarian follicles, indicated by the growth in circle size and color yellow. After ovulation and fertilization (and oviposition in lecithotrophic viviparous species), the embryo consumes yolk as it develops, indicated by the decrease in size of the circle. B) Placentotrophic reptiles, which are always viviparous), exhibit a reduced period of vitellogenesis, although this reduction is highly variable across species. The embryo then increases in size at some rate over the course of development as nutrients are provided across the placenta. The reduction on reliance on yolk by the embryo is indicated by the color red, as opposed to yellow, surrounding the embryo. In both diagrams, the brown oval early in development is meant to represent a blastodisc.

Figure 2. Experimental paradigm for studying reproductive allocation effects on developmental plasticity via diet switching experiments. In year 1, diet differences experienced by 2 groups of females are associated with compositional differences in offspring, and potentially biological differences indicative of developmental plasticity. Offspring differences here are indicated by size differences. In year 2, the mothers' diets are switched and two outcomes are theoretically possible, A and B. In Switch A, the offspring differences observed in year 1 remain fixed and are thus not likely the result of nutritional effects. In Switch B, the offspring differences reverse coincident with the diet switch, and are likely due to nutritional effects.

YEAR 1

Mothers group
1 fed diet 1

Mothers group
2 fed diet 2

YEAR 2 Diet Switch Possibility A

Mothers group
1 fed diet 2

Mothers group
2 fed diet 1

YEAR 2 Diet Switch Possibility B

Mothers group
1 fed diet 2

Mothers group
2 fed diet 1

Plasticity in reproductive allocation determines the nutrients embryonic reptiles receive.

Nutrient-driven plasticity in phenotype impacts offspring fitness.

Understanding how reproductive allocation drives plasticity will enhance conservation.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Van Dyke, JU;Griffith, OW

Title:

Mechanisms of reproductive allocation as drivers of developmental plasticity in reptiles

Date:

2018-07-01

Citation:

Van Dyke, J. U. & Griffith, O. W. (2018). Mechanisms of reproductive allocation as drivers of developmental plasticity in reptiles. JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL ZOOLOGY PART A-ECOLOGICAL AND INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY, 329 (6-7), pp.275-286. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2165>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/283978>