Managed flooding can augment the benefits of natural flooding for native wetland vegetation

Alice Duong^{1*}, Joe Greet¹, Christopher J Walsh¹, Michael J Sammonds¹

¹School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Burnley, VIC 3121

*Corresponding author

Running head

Managed flooding benefits native wetland vegetation

Authors and addresses:

Alice Duong

alice.duong@unimelb.edu.au

School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Burnley, VIC 3121

Joe Greet

greetj@unimelb.edu.au

School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Burnley, VIC 3121

Christopher J Walsh

cwalsh@unimelb.edu.au

School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Burnley, VIC 3121

Michael J Sammonds

sm@unimelb.edu.au

School of Ecosystem and Forest Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Burnley, VIC 3121

Author contributions:

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/rec.12816](https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12816)

AD, JG conceived of and designed the research; AD, JG performed the fieldwork; all authors analyzed the data, and wrote and edited the manuscript.

Abstract

Managed flooding is increasingly being used to maintain and restore the ecological values of floodplain wetlands. However, evidence for its effectiveness is sometimes inconsistent and water available for environmental purposes often limited. We experimentally inundated a floodplain wetland (or 'billabong') in late spring by pumping water from its adjacent creek, aiming to promote the native wetland flora and suppress terrestrial exotics. Vegetation was surveyed before (spring) and after (late summer) the managed flood in the experimental billabong and in three control billabongs. Floodplain water levels were continuously monitored. Wet conditions caused two of the control billabongs to also flood, but to a lesser extent than the experimental billabong. We therefore assessed vegetation changes relative to flooding duration. With increasing flooding duration, the cover of wetland vegetation (amphibious and aquatic species) increased and the cover of terrestrial and exotic vegetation decreased, with these effects largest in the deliberately flooded billabong. Flooding durations >20 days generally resulted in increased cover of wetland plants and restricted the growth of terrestrial plants. Reinstatement of more appropriate flooding regimes can thus promote native wetland plants, while suppressing terrestrial exotic species. Our study also provides evidence for the use of modest water allocations to augment the benefits of natural flooding in the maintenance and restoration of native wetland plant communities.

Keywords

Managed flooding; Floodplain wetlands; Billabongs; Environmental watering; Environmental flows; Wetland restoration.

Implications

Implications for practice

- Reinstating more natural flooding regimes can promote native wetland plant communities, while concurrently suppressing terrestrial exotic species.

- The effectiveness of modest environmental water allocations can be increased by augmenting natural floods, thus improving our ability to manage and restore wetland environments.

Introduction

Wetlands are highly productive ecosystems that provide important ecological services including flood attenuation, carbon storage and biodiversity conservation (Zedler & Kercher 2005). Despite this, they are among the most threatened ecosystems globally (Davidson 2014). The degradation of floodplain wetlands in particular, has largely been driven by the growing human demands for land and water (Van Asselen et al. 2013). Increasingly, managed flooding (or environmental watering) is being used to maintain or restore floodplain wetlands, but given competing demands for water, clearer evidence of its effectiveness is needed (King et al. 2010).

In Australia, floodplain wetlands are also known as 'billabongs', a term of Aboriginal origin (Wilde et al. 2005). Billabongs are highly dependent on variable flooding pulses from nearby creeks and rivers, with this lateral connectivity driving their physical and biological processes (Junk et al. 1989). In particular, flooding provides the hydrological disturbance that shapes billabong vegetation composition and structure (Reid et al. 2016).

Flooding imposes a strong selection pressure on plants, with plant species typically distributed along gradients from wet to dry according to their ability to tolerate or respond to flooding and drying (Blanch et al. 1999). However, flooding in many wetlands has been substantially reduced by human activities, e.g. through the construction of dams or levees (Poff et al. 1997; Kingsford 2000). Reduced flooding can result in near-permanently dry conditions that reduce the extent of amphibious species and favor terrestrial and exotic species (Catford et al. 2011). The proliferation of terrestrial and exotic species can reduce the biodiversity and ecosystem function of floodplain wetlands (Davis & Froend 1999; Zedler & Kercher 2005). While wetland restoration is generally agreed to depend on restoring appropriate hydrology (Poff et al. 1997; Yang et al. 2016), effective wetland restoration is often limited by our understanding of eco-hydrological relationships (Lake et al. 2007), with evidence for responses of wetland plant communities to water regime changes often inconsistent among studies (Webb et al. 2012; Thompson et al. 2017).

Managed flooding is a strategy that is increasingly being used to maintain and restore wetlands. However, the use of water for environmental purposes must have a solid scientific basis, due to competing demands for water (King et al. 2010). Modest environmental watering may have limited effect (Glenn et al. 2017), but the benefits derived from limited environmental water may potentially

be increased by augmenting natural flow pulses (Reid & Quinn 2004). Nonetheless, further research is required to determine the effectiveness of reinstating more natural flooding regimes for promoting native wetland plant communities (Catford et al. 2014; Greet et al. 2015).

These challenges are of concern at the Yellingbo Nature Conservation Reserve (YNCR) in southern Victoria, Australia, where levees and channels have been constructed to divert floodwaters away from adjacent farmland. YNCR supports floodplain wetlands of biological significance, including the largest remaining extent of the threatened 'Sedge-rich *Eucalyptus camphora* Swamp' community (Turner 2003). This threatened community provides important habitat for the critically endangered helmeted honeyeater (*Lichenostomus melanops cassidix*) and Leadbeater's possum (*Gymnobelideus leadbeateri*) (Pearce & Minchin 2001; Harley et al. 2005). Hence, there is a strong impetus to restore and protect floodplain wetlands within the reserve.

Our study investigated the use of managed flooding to restore billabongs in YNCR. We introduced a small managed flood into one billabong in late spring and surveyed the vegetation of this billabong and three control billabongs before and after the managed flood. Increased inundation duration via managed flooding was predicted to promote the cover of native wetland vegetation and reduce the cover of terrestrial and exotic species.

Methods

Study area

For this study, we surveyed four billabongs in YNCR (Figure 1). The reserve comprises linear sections along several creeks including the Woori Yallock Creek and supports remnant riparian vegetation flanked by cleared agricultural land. 'Sedge-rich *Eucalyptus camphora* Swamp' community dominates the wettest areas of the reserve. This community has an open canopy of *E. camphora* (mountain swamp gum), in association with *Melaleuca* spp. and *Leptospermum* spp. shrub thickets, and a species-rich ground layer of rushes, sedges, grasses and forbs. In areas of past disturbance (e.g. clearing), weed species are often dominant, such as *Phalaris arundinacea* (reed canary-grass) and *Ranunculus repens* (creeping buttercup) (Turner 2003).

This study focused on four billabongs within YNCR adjacent to the Woori Yallock Creek locally known as 'BOCA Swamp', 'McColls Swamp', 'Waterpoint Swamp' and 'Vermmalforts Swamp' (Figure 1). The billabongs are former anabranches or paleochannels of the Woori Yallock Creek, but have been long (>50 years) disconnected from the creek by ~1 m high levees and incision of the stream channel, which prevent overbank flows from reaching the billabongs except under major flood conditions (last known significant flooding was in 2011, 5 years prior to the study). In late winter to early spring, the billabongs experience some inundation in their lower parts from local run-off and sub-surface flows from their local catchments rather than from overbank flows. BOCA and McColls swamps have been mostly cleared of overstorey vegetation, but are bordered by areas of revegetation, while Waterpoint and Vermmalforts swamps contain remnant overstorey vegetation representative of 'Sedge-rich *Eucalyptus camphora* Swamp'. All sites support native understorey vegetation in varying levels of intactness.

Study design

We deliberately flooded one billabong, McColls, while the other three billabongs (BOCA, Vermmalforts and Waterpoint) served as controls (BACI design; Downes et al. 2002). At each site, a 40-m x 10-m plot was established perpendicular to the slope. Plots were fenced to exclude large herbivores, except at McColls swamp, which was entirely fenced (at a similar time) as part of a larger revegetation program. Within each plot, eight subplots (5 m x 5 m) were established arranged in an alternating 'checkerboard' pattern. These were surveyed before (Oct-Nov 2016) and after (Jan-Feb 2017) the managed flood event at McColls to assess the response of the extant vegetation (Figure 2).

Vegetation surveys

The managed flood was timed to coincide with the Austral growing season, a period when plant biomass/cover could be expected to increase. We aimed to compare the differences in seasonal vegetation changes between the impact and control billabongs associated with flooding during this period of plant growth. For each survey, the vegetation within each subplot was surveyed using three randomly located 1-m² quadrats. Within each quadrat, all plants were identified to species level where possible and given a cover-abundance score based on a modified Braun-Blanquet cover-abundance scale (Mueller-Dombois & Ellenberg 1974). Cover intervals used were: 0–5%, 5–25%, 25–50%, 50–75% and >75%.

Hydrology

A staff gauge and water-level logger were installed in the deepest part of each billabong, and water level data were downloaded and gauge readings recorded monthly. The elevation of each subplot relative to the staff gauge was surveyed at the corners of each subplot and averaged. Hydrographs were created from the water-level data and mean subplot elevations used to determine duration of inundation between the 'before' and 'after' surveys at the subplot level. 3.2 ML of water was pumped from the adjacent Woori Yallock creek into McColls swamp over three days in late November, raising water levels by ~50 cm over ~0.5 ha. The managed flood extended inundation of the billabong by approximately two weeks.

Data Analysis

Taxa were identified to species level where possible and grouped according to wetland plant functional group (WPFG, constituting three classes: amphibious, damp terrestrial or terrestrial; Table 1) and origin (two classes: native or exotic; Walsh & Stajsis 2007). Mean cover was calculated for each species in each subplot using cover-class mid-points, and summed to estimate mean cover of each WPFG and origin class.

We used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination to visualize between-subplot similarity, and permutational multiple analysis of variance (PMANOVA) (Anderson 2001) and indicator species analyses (De Caceres & Legendre 2009) to assess differences and changes in species composition among study sites grouping by site and survey timing ('before', 'after'). For both the ordination and PMANOVA, similarity matrices were created using subplot-level data, and calculated using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity measure on untransformed data (binary transformation of data did not alter PMANOVA results).

We also assessed the effect of inundation duration on the change in cover of each WPFG and origin class between the 'before' and 'after' surveys using beta regression (Cribari-Neto & Zeileis 2010). Due to the lack of flooding at one of the control billabongs, Waterpoint swamp, regression analyses to test the effect of inundation duration were conducted using only data from the other three billabongs: BOCA, McColls, and Vermmalforts. We modeled two predictors: inundation duration, and a random, categorical variable, site. The change in percentage cover between the two surveys was scaled to between 0.5 and 0.95 (to fall within the limits of 0–1 of the beta distribution). All

regression analyses were examined to ensure they met the assumptions of residual normality and homogeneity of variance. For regression analyses, the coefficients and 95% confidence intervals of effects of interest are reported: if the confidence interval did not span zero, the effect was considered to be significant. All analyses were conducted in R. All code and data used for this study are freely accessible (<https://osf.io/TSY8U/>).

Results

Hydrology

Following wetter-than-average winter conditions, all the subplots at the target billabong (McColls) and most of the subplots at two of the three control sites (BOCA and Vermmalforts) flooded prior to the 'before' survey and were still inundated at the time of the before survey (Figure 2). The environmental watering event at McColls swamp produced a second flood peak in late November–early December that extended flooding for approximately two weeks for all subplots at that site (Figure 2A). Waterpoint swamp remained mostly dry apart from two minor flood peaks that briefly (<2 days) inundated a few of the subplots (Figure 2D).

Vegetation composition

Eighty-eight plant species were recorded across the four sites, comprising 27 amphibious (including 4 aquatic species), 26 damp terrestrial and 35 terrestrial species, of which 55 were native (Table S1). *Eleocharis sphacelata*, *Cyperus lucidus*, *Persicaria praetermissa*, *Austrocynoglossum latifolium*, *Lotus uliginosus** and *Holcus lanatus** were the most abundant species recorded (N.B. asterisks indicate exotic taxa). Almost all species surveyed were herbaceous, except for some germinating tree and shrub seedlings (*Acacia*, *Eucalyptus*, and *Melaleuca* spp.) recorded at McColls swamp following the managed flood.

The composition of the vegetation communities differed among the four billabongs (Figure 3; $P(\text{Site}) < 0.001$). For instance, McColls swamp was associated with greater cover of the amphibious spikerush *Eleocharis sphacelata*, while Waterpoint swamp was associated with greater cover of the damp terrestrial forb *Austrocynoglossum latifolia*. Changes in vegetation composition between the 'before' and 'after' surveys differed among sites ($P(\text{Site} \times \text{Before/After}) = 0.001$). The shift in species composition at McColls swamp was associated with the common amphibious forb, *Persicaria*

praetermissa. Conversely, the shift in the species composition at BOCA and Waterpoint swamps was in the opposite direction, associated with the common terrestrial forb, *Lotus uliginosus**, and the damp terrestrial grass, *Holcus lanatus**, both exotic species. Changes in species composition at Vermmalforts were less clear.

Additionally, indicator species analysis showed that the native amphibious forb, *Persicaria decipiens*, was significantly associated with McColls, only after the managed flood ($P = 0.005$). Conversely, the terrestrial species *Calystegia sepium* and *Senecio linearifolius* were associated with BOCA and Waterpoint swamps, respectively, for the 'after' survey ($P < 0.05$) (see S2 for more detail).

Water plant functional groups

The cover of amphibious species increased, while the cover terrestrial and damp terrestrial species decreased, with increasing inundation duration (Figure 4, Figure 5). Notably, above average increases in the cover of amphibious species and decreases in the covers of terrestrial and damp terrestrial species were recorded at McColls swamp.

Across all sites, amphibious species cover increased and damp terrestrial and terrestrial species cover remained low in subplots that flooded for >20 days between the 'before' and 'after' surveys (Figure 6). Conversely, damp terrestrial and terrestrial species cover increased and amphibious species cover did not in subplots that flooded for <20 days. At McColls swamp, where all plots were inundated for >20 days, the 'after' cover of amphibious species was generally greater, and the damp terrestrial and terrestrial cover lower, than at the three control sites.

Plant origin

The 55 native species recorded were from a range of WPGs: 22 were amphibious species, 15 were damp terrestrial species and 18 were terrestrial species. In contrast, only 5 of the 33 exotic species recorded were amphibious species, while 17 were terrestrial species and 11 were damp terrestrial species. Correspondingly, the cover of exotic species decreased following longer periods of inundation, while the change in cover of native species was variable (Figure 7, Figure 8). Again, above average increases in the cover of native species and decreases in exotic species were recorded at McColls swamp.

Discussion

As predicted, we found increasing duration of inundation to be associated with increased cover of wetland plant species and decreased cover of terrestrial species. Furthermore, in our systems, exotics were mostly terrestrial species and were thus reduced by longer duration floods. Hence, managed flooding augmented the benefits of naturally occurring flooding for native wetland vegetation by further promoting wetland plant cover and reducing the cover the terrestrial and exotic plant species. Our findings are consistent with recommendations to reinstate appropriate flooding regimes for the restoration of native wetland plant communities.

The vegetation response we observed is consistent with previous studies showing that the differing tolerances of plants species to flooding determines their distribution in wetland environments (Blanch et al. 1999; van Eck et al. 2006). This was evident at all sites, with few terrestrial plants found in subplots that had been inundated for >20 days. In contrast, the cover of amphibious species increased with longer duration floods. Amphibious species have evolved traits allowing them to disperse, survive and reproduce in response to flooding (Lytle & Poff 2004; Catford & Jansson 2014).

Like previous studies conducted where most exotic species are maladapted to flooded environments, we found the cover of exotic species reduced with increasing flooding duration (Stokes et al. 2010; Catford et al. 2011). Our results support the contention that reintroducing more natural flooding regimes has the potential to limit the invasion of terrestrial exotic species, while concurrently promoting native wetland species (Catford et al. 2014).

Management implications

More natural flooding regimes are being re-established in wetlands globally in a number of ways: 'passively' by disrupting drainage infrastructure, breaching levees or dam walls, or constructing culverts; or 'actively' via environmental flow releases or managed flooding (De Steven et al. 2006). Regular provision of environmental water is likely to increase the ecosystem services and biodiversity of wetlands (Yang et al. 2016). However, the volume of available water for environmental purposes is often constrained by other human demands and this can result in smaller water volumes than natural flooding and limit their ecological impact (Glenn et al. 2017; Thompson et al. 2017). The practice of releasing water in conjunction with naturally occurring floods to increase

their depth and duration and augment benefits for wetland plants is supported by our study (Reid & Quinn 2004).

Our study also supports the use of environmental watering as a management tool to control exotic species. Prolonged inundation of areas dominated by terrestrial weeds will reduce the standing biomass and may allow native species to establish (Greet et al. 2015). While terrestrial exotic species, particularly ruderal species, are likely to emerge between flooding events, regular inundation is likely to prevent them from dominating (Stokes et al. 2010).

Because our study included only one impact site, observed differences in responses between impact and control sites may be confounded. Indeed, the positive response we observed in part depended on extant native wetland vegetation (Siebentritt et al. 2004; Dawson et al. 2017). However, all sites supported some native wetland vegetation, and the impact site was formerly cleared and closer to cleared areas than two of our (not previously cleared) control sites. We consider longer inundation periods at the impact site to be the most likely cause of the increase of wetland flora and reduction of terrestrial flora at the deliberately flooded site. Nonetheless, further investigation, ideally with field-based experiments such as ours, is warranted (King et al. 2010).

Our study suggests that reinstating more natural flooding regimes can promote native wetland plant communities and reduce the prevalence of terrestrial exotic species, and that effects of modest environmental water allocations can be increased by augmenting natural floods, improving our ability to manage and restore wetland environments.

Acknowledgments

We thank Miles Stewart-Howie, the Yellingbo Ranger, without whom the study would not have been possible. Thanks also to: Friends of the Helmeted Honeyeater for providing the plants and advice; and Elise King, Sarah Moser, Ying Quek, Rob Dabal and Brian Duong for field assistance. This study was supported by: funding from Melbourne Water (MW) through the Melbourne Water Research-Practice Partnership; and the Australian Research Council Linkage program (LP150100682) with MW, Parks Victoria, Zoos Victoria, and Greening Australia.

Literature cited

- Anderson M (2001) A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecology* 26:32-46
- Blanch SJ, Ganf GG, Walker KF (1999) Tolerance of riverine plants to flooding and exposure indicated by water regime. *Regulated Rivers-Research & Management* 15:43-62
- Brock MA, Casanova MT (1997) Plant life at the edge of wetlands: ecological responses to wetting and drying patterns In: Klomp N and Lunt I, (eds) *Frontiers in ecology: building the links*. Elsevier Science, Oxford, UK
- Casanova MT, Brock MA (2000) How do depth, duration and frequency of flooding influence the establishment of wetland plant communities? *Plant Ecology* 147:237-250
- Catford JA, Downes BJ, Gippel CJ, Vesk PA (2011) Flow regulation reduces native plant cover and facilitates exotic invasion in riparian wetlands. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 48:432-442
- Catford JA, Jansson R (2014) Drowned, buried and carried away: effects of plant traits on the distribution of native and alien species in riparian ecosystems. *New Phytologist* 204:19-36
- Catford JA, Morris WK, Vesk PA, Gippel CJ, Downes BJ (2014) Species and environmental characteristics point to flow regulation and drought as drivers of riparian plant invasion. *Diversity and Distributions* 20:1084-1096
- Cribari-Neto F, Zeileis A (2010) Beta regression in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 42:1-24
- Davidson NC (2014) How much wetland has the world lost? Long-term and recent trends in global wetland area. *Marine & Freshwater Research* 65:934-941
- Davis JA, Froend R (1999) Loss and degradation of wetlands in southwestern Australia: underlying causes, consequences and solutions. *Wetlands Ecology and Management* 7:13-23
- Dawson SK, Kingsford RT, Berney P, Keith DA, Hemmings FA, Warton DI, Waters C, Catford JA (2017) Frequent inundation helps counteract land use impacts on wetland propagule banks. *Applied Vegetation Science* 20:459-467
- De Caceres M, Legendre P (2009) Associations between species and groups of sites: indices and statistical inference. *Ecology* 90:3566-3574

- De Steven D, Sharitz RR, Singer JH, Barton CD (2006) Testing a passive revegetation approach for restoring coastal plain depression wetlands. *Restoration Ecology* 14:452-460
- Downes BJ, Barmuta LA, Fairweather PG, Faith DP, Keough MJ, Lake PS, Mapstone BD, Quinn GP. (2002) *Monitoring Ecological Impacts: Concepts and Practice in Flowing Waters*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Glenn EP, Nagler PL, Shafroth PB, Jarchow CJ (2017) Effectiveness of environmental flows for riparian restoration in arid regions: a tale of four rivers. *Ecological Engineering* 106:695-703
- Greet J, Webb JA, Cousens RD (2015) Floods reduce the prevalence of exotic plant species within the riparian zone: evidence from natural floods. *Applied Vegetation Science* 18:503-512
- Harley DKP, Worley MA, Harley TK (2005) The distribution and abundance of Leadbeater's Possum *Gymnobelideus leadbeateri* in lowland swamp forest at Yellingbo Nature Conservation Reserve. *Australian Mammalogy* 27:7-15
- Junk WJ, Bayley PB, Sparks RE (1989) The flood pulse concept in river-floodplain systems. Pages 110-127 *Proceedings of the International Large River Symposium Can. Spec. Publ. Fish. Aquat. Sci*
- King AJ, Ward KA, O'connor P, Green D, Tonkin Z, Mahoney J (2010) Adaptive management of an environmental watering event to enhance native fish spawning and recruitment. *Freshwater Biology* 55:17-31
- Kingsford RT (2000) Ecological impacts of dams, water diversions and river management on floodplain wetlands in Australia. *Austral Ecology* 25:109-127
- Lake PS, Bond N, Reich P (2007) Linking ecological theory with stream restoration. *Freshwater Biology* 52:597-615
- Lytle DA, Poff NL (2004) Adaptation to natural flow regimes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19:94-100
- Mueller-Dombois D, Ellenberg H. (1974) *Aims and Methods in Vegetation Ecology*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, US
- Pearce J, Minchin PR (2001) Vegetation of the Yellingbo Nature Conservation Reserve and its relationship to the distribution of the Helmeted Honeyeater, Bell Miner and White-eared Honeyeater. *Wildlife Research* 28:41-52

- Poff NL, Allan JD, Bain MB, Karr JR, Prestegard KL, Richter BD, Sparks RE, Stromberg JC (1997) The natural flow regime. *Bioscience* 47:769-784
- Reid MA, Quinn GP (2004) Hydrologic regime and macrophyte assemblages in temporary floodplain wetlands: implications for detecting responses to environmental water allocations. *Wetlands* 24:586-599
- Reid MA, Reid MC, Thoms MC (2016) Ecological significance of hydrological connectivity for wetland plant communities on a dryland floodplain river, MacIntyre River, Australia. *Aquatic Sciences* 78:139-158
- Siebentritt MA, Ganf GG, Walker KF (2004) Effects of an enhanced flood on riparian plants of the River Murray, South Australia. *River Research and Applications* 20:765-774
- Stokes K, Ward K, Colloff M (2010) Alterations in flood frequency increase exotic and native species richness of understorey vegetation in a temperate floodplain eucalypt forest. *Plant Ecology* 211:219-233
- Thompson RM, King AJ, Kingsford RM, Mac Nally R, Poff NL (2017) Legacies, lags and long-term trends: Effective flow restoration in a changed and changing world. *Freshwater Biology*:DOI: 10.1111/fwb.13029
- Turner V. (2003) Action Statement Sedge-rich *Eucalyptus camphora* Swamp. Report by Arthur Rylah Institute for the Department of Sustainability and Environment, Melbourne, Australia
- Van Asselen S, Verburg PH, Vermaat JE, Janse JH (2013) Drivers of wetland conversion: a global meta-analysis. *PloS one* 8:1-13
- Van Eck W, Lenssen JPM, Van De Steeg HM, Blom C, De Kroon H (2006) Seasonal dependent effects of flooding on plant species survival and zonation: a comparative study of 10 terrestrial grassland species. *Hydrobiologia* 565:59-69
- Walsh NG, Stajic V. (2007) A Census of the vascular plants of Victoria Royal Botanic Gardens, Melbourne, Australia
- Webb JA, Wallis EM, Stewardson MJ (2012) A systematic review of published evidence linking wetland plants to water regime components. *Aquatic Botany* 103:1-14

Wilde WH, Hooton J, Andrews B. (2005) The Oxford Companion to Australian Literature. Oxford University Press,

Yang W, Sun T, Yang Z (2016) Does the implementation of environmental flows improve wetland ecosystem services and biodiversity? A literature review. *Restoration Ecology* 24:731–742

Zedler JB, Kercher S (2005) Wetland resources: status, trends, ecosystem services, and restorability. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* 30:39-74

Table 1. Wetland plant functional groups (*sensu* Brock and Casanova 1997; 2000) used to group plants to assess responses to flooding duration.

Functional group	Criteria
Amphibious (includes both amphibious and aquatic species)	Species that germinate in damp or flooded conditions, grow or tolerate variation in water-level, and can grow with their basal portions under water and reproduce out of water (amphibious); species that can germinate, grow and reproduce under water (aquatic).
Damp terrestrial	Species that germinate, grow and reproduce on damp soil.
Terrestrial	Species that germinate, grow and reproduce where there's no surface water and the water table is below the soil surface.

Figure 1. Locations of four study sites within Yellingbo Nature Conservation Reserve. Light grey area indicates the Yellingbo NCR, dark grey areas indicate the study sites (first letter indicates site, second letter indicates whether it was an impact, I, or control, C, site), black lines indicates streams, grey lines indicate roads.

Figure 2. Hydrographs for the four study sites, the horizontal grey lines indicate the elevations of the subplots at each site relative to recorded water levels, and the grey shading indicates the period of inundation for each subplot. Approximate times of the 'before' and 'after' surveys are indicated. The managed flood at McColls is evident between these survey dates.

Figure 3. NMDS ordination of species composition in subplots of the four study sites for the 'before' (- B) and 'after' (- A) surveys. Site codes: MI = McColls; BC = BOCA; VC = Vermmalforts; WC = Waterpoint; first letter indicates site, second letter indicates whether it was an impact, I, or control, C, site. Species vectors correlated best ($P < 0.001$ and Pearson's $R > 0.3$) with the ordination are shown, with their WPFG indicated in parentheses (A = amphibious; D = damp terrestrial; T = terrestrial).

Figure 4. Change in percentage cover of (A) amphibious, (B) damp terrestrial and (C) terrestrial species at the deliberately flood billabong (McColls) and the two control billabongs (BOCA and Vermmalforts) that were

variably flooded between the 'before' and 'after' surveys against duration of inundation. For each plot the line of best fit from the linear model is shown; estimated coefficients and confidence intervals of effects are presented in Figure 5.

Figure 5. Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals from regression analysis of the effect of inundation duration on the change in cover of amphibious, damp terrestrial, and terrestrial species.

Figure 6. Mean cover of (A) amphibious, (B) damp terrestrial, and (C) terrestrial species for the 'before' and 'after' surveys for the four billabong sites (e.g. MI-B, McColls, 'before' survey). Symbols represent data at subplot level with black filled circles indicating subplots inundated for >20days between surveys and unfilled circles indicating subplots inundated for <20 days between surveys. Mean cover values are randomly jittered to reveal overlapping values.

Figure 7. Change in percentage cover of (A) native and (B) exotic species at the deliberately flooded billabong (McColls) and the two control billabongs (BOCA and Vermmalforts) between the 'before' and 'after' surveys against duration of inundation. For exotic species, the line of best fit from the linear model is shown (the effect of duration of inundation on change in cover of native species was not significant); estimated coefficients and confidence intervals of effects are presented in Figure 8.

Figure 8. Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals from regression analysis of the effect of inundation duration on the change in cover of native and exotic species.

Table S1. Summary of plant species recorded in either the ‘before’ or ‘after’ surveys. Species characteristics presented are: water plant functional group (A – amphibious, D – damp terrestrial or T – terrestrial); origin (native or exotic); and average percentage cover recorded in either the before or after survey averaged across all subplots and sites.

Species	Functional group	Origin	Average cover before survey (%)	Average cover after survey (%)
<i>Acacia melanoxylon</i>	T	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Acaena novae-zelandiae</i>	T	native	15.28	5.80
<i>Acacia</i> sp.	T	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Adiantum aethiopicum</i>	D	native	15.00	0.00
<i>Agrostis stolonifera</i>	D	exotic	0.00	8.75
<i>Alisma plantago-aquatica</i>	A	native	2.50	6.67
<i>Alternanthera denticulata</i>	D	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	T	exotic	6.07	2.50
<i>Austrocynoglossum latifolium</i>	D	native	18.50	26.94
<i>Azolla pinnata</i>	A	native	2.50	10.00
<i>Blechnum nudum</i>	D	native	18.33	2.50
<i>Calystegia sepium</i>	T	native	2.50	27.13
<i>Callitriche stagnalis</i>	A	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Carex appressa</i>	A	native	19.17	18.68
<i>Carex fascicularis</i>	A	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Carex gaudichaudiana</i>	A	native	8.82	15.91
<i>Cardamine hirsuta</i>	D	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Carex</i> sp.	A	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Centella cordifolia</i>	A	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Cerastium glomeratum</i>	T	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Cerastium vulgare</i>	T	exotic	2.50	0.00
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	T	exotic	3.24	5.63
<i>Coprosma quadrifida</i>	T	native	6.67	2.50
<i>Crassula helmsii</i>	A	native	8.75	8.33
<i>Cyperus eragrostis</i>	A	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Cyperus gunnii</i>	A	native	0.00	15.00
<i>Cyperus lucidus</i>	A	native	29.25	33.50
<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	D	exotic	2.50	0.00
<i>Eleocharis sphacelata</i>	A	native	38.64	53.91
<i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i>	T	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Galium aparine</i>	T	exotic	3.21	0.00
<i>Galium leiocarpum</i>	T	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Galium murale</i>	T	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Geranium</i> sp.	T	native	2.50	3.64
<i>Glyceria australis</i>	D	native	62.50	6.07
<i>Glyceria maxima</i>	D	exotic	37.50	0.00
<i>Gynatrix pulchella</i>	T	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Helminthotheca echioides</i>	T	exotic	0.00	15.00
<i>Holcus lanatus</i>	D	exotic	14.66	23.43
<i>Hypochaeris radicata</i>	T	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Hypolepis rugosula</i>	D	native	12.62	19.36
<i>Hypericum tetrapterum</i>	D	exotic	5.91	9.52
<i>Isolepis hookeriana</i>	A	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Isolepis inundata</i>	A	native	4.17	6.07
<i>Juncus articulatus</i>	D	exotic	0.00	2.50
<i>Juncus bufonius</i>	D	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Juncus pallidus</i>	D	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Juncus planifolius</i>	D	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Juncus procerus</i>	D	native	2.50	2.50

<i>Juncus</i> sp.	D	native	5.63	5.63
<i>Lachnagrostis filiformis</i>	D	native	0.00	3.16
<i>Lemna disperma</i>	A	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Lotus uliginosus</i>	T	exotic	12.63	26.85
<i>Lycopus australis</i>	D	native	6.88	13.82
<i>Lythrum hyssopifolia</i>	D	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>	A	native	2.50	0.00
<i>Melaleuca</i> sp.	D	native	0.00	2.50
<i>Microlaena stipoides</i>	T	native	2.50	8.75
<i>Myriophyllum caput-medusae</i>	A	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Oxalis perennans</i>	T	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Persicaria decipiens</i>	A	native	7.50	11.11
<i>Persicaria praetermissa</i>	A	native	18.33	28.01
<i>Persicaria subsessilis</i>	A	native	2.50	5.63
<i>Phalaris aquatica</i>	D	exotic	0.00	16.94
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	A	exotic	8.80	6.67
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	A	native	4.71	14.58
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	T	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Poa annua</i>	T	exotic	2.50	0.00
<i>Poa sieberiana</i>	T	native	17.50	5.00
<i>Prunus</i> sp.	T	exotic	2.50	0.00
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i>	D	exotic	0.00	2.50
<i>Pteridium esculentum</i>	T	native	18.33	2.50
<i>Ranunculus flammula</i>	A	exotic	0.00	2.50
<i>Ranunculus inundatus</i>	A	native	2.50	2.50
<i>Ranunculus repens</i>	A	exotic	7.62	7.87
<i>Rorripa palustris</i>	D	exotic	0.00	2.50
<i>Rubus anglocandicans</i>	T	exotic	5.68	25.00
<i>Rubus parvifolius</i>	T	native	2.50	7.86
<i>Senecio linearifolius</i>	T	native	2.50	12.00
<i>Senecio minimus</i>	T	native	2.50	4.29
<i>Solanum nigrum</i>	T	exotic	10.83	6.67
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	T	exotic	2.50	2.50
<i>Stellaria flaccida</i>	T	native	3.71	3.84
<i>Stellaria media</i>	T	exotic	2.50	6.67
<i>Tetrarrhena juncea</i>	T	native	2.50	4.58
<i>Tradescantia fluminensis</i>	D	exotic	2.50	15.00
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	T	exotic	8.23	3.46
<i>Triglochin procerum</i>	A	native	15.00	2.50

S2. Details of indicator species analyses results. See Fig. 3 for site codes. See S2 for full species names. Data and code for analyses available freely online at Open Science Framework: <https://osf.io/TSY8U/>

Association function: IndVal.g
Significance level (alpha): 0.05

Total number of species: 79
Selected number of species: 42
Number of species associated to 1 group: 16
Number of species associated to 2 groups: 26
Number of species associated to 3 groups: 0
Number of species associated to 4 groups: 0
Number of species associated to 5 groups: 0
Number of species associated to 6 groups: 0
Number of species associated to 7 groups: 0

List of species associated to each combination:

Group MI-A #sps. 6
stat p.value
LAC-FIL 0.935 0.005 **
PER-DEC 0.853 0.005 **
JUN-ART 0.707 0.005 **
ALT-DEN 0.612 0.015 *
MEL-SP 0.612 0.010 **
ACA-SP 0.530 0.040 *

Group BC-A #sps. 4
stat p.value
CAL-SEP 0.976 0.005 **
LYT-HYS 0.707 0.010 **
PHA-AQU 0.707 0.005 **
CRA-HEL 0.588 0.015 *

Group WC-A #sps. 2
stat p.value
SEN-LIN 0.667 0.010 **
RUB-PAR 0.558 0.015 *

Group MI-B #sps. 2
stat p.value
MYR-CAP 0.722 0.01 **
CAL-STA 0.577 0.02 *

Group BC-B #sps. 1
stat p.value
JUN-BUF 0.866 0.005 **

Group VC-B #sps. 1
stat p.value
COP-QUA 0.577 0.025 *

Group MI-A+VC-A #sps. 1
stat p.value
PER-PRA 0.688 0.005 **

Group MI-A+MI-B #sps. 3
stat p.value
ELE-SPH 0.866 0.005 **
ISO-INU 0.788 0.005 **
CEN-COR 0.583 0.010 **

```

Group BC-A+BC-B #sps. 7
      stat p.value
PHR-AUS 0.862 0.005 **
LOT-ULI 0.833 0.005 **
RAN-REP 0.751 0.005 **
AZO-PIN 0.661 0.005 **
HYP-RAD 0.661 0.005 **
HOL-LAN 0.648 0.010 **
PLA-LAN 0.559 0.020 *

Group BC-A+WC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
GLY-AUS 0.551 0.05 *

Group VC-A+WC-A #sps. 1
      stat p.value
LYC-AUS 0.771 0.005 **

Group VC-A+BC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
CAR-GAU 0.575 0.02 *

Group VC-A+VC-B #sps. 2
      stat p.value
CYP-LUC 0.750 0.005 **
GER-SP 0.682 0.005 **

Group VC-A+WC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
MIC-STI 0.619 0.015 *

Group WC-A+WC-B #sps. 6
      stat p.value
POA-SIE 0.905 0.005 **
HYP-RUG 0.837 0.005 **
CAR-APP 0.791 0.005 **
AUS-LAT 0.781 0.005 **
ACA-NOV 0.746 0.005 **
HYP-TET 0.600 0.045 *

Group MI-B+BC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
PHA-ARU 0.8 0.005 **

Group BC-B+VC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
CAR-HIR 0.667 0.005 **

Group VC-B+WC-B #sps. 1
      stat p.value
GAL-APA 0.775 0.005 **
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Duong, A;Greet, J;Walsh, CJ;Sammonds, MJ

Title:

Managed flooding can augment the benefits of natural flooding for native wetland vegetation

Date:

2019-01-01

Citation:

Duong, A., Greet, J., Walsh, C. J. & Sammonds, M. J. (2019). Managed flooding can augment the benefits of natural flooding for native wetland vegetation. RESTORATION ECOLOGY, 27 (1), pp.38-45. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.12816>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/284013>