DR. GAYAN BOWATTE (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-9577-9752)

DR. IRINA LEHMANN (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-8875-5587)

Article type : Letter to the Editor

Editor : Robyn O'Hehir

Hygienic behavior and allergic sensitization in German adolescents

Key words: allergic sensitization; shower; bath; cream; children

To the Editor:

According to the “hygiene hypothesis”, frequent baths/showers and antimicrobial components in personal care products can alter skin microflora (1), leading to immune system impairment and allergic sensitization (2). The human skin provides a vital protection against the external environment. While frequent washing of hands, frequent baths/showers and use of soap and detergents aggravate the degradation of skin epithelium barrier (3), excess moisturizing of the skin makes it more sensitive to breakdown and increases permeability to foreign agents. Furthermore, almost all personal care products contain antimicrobial agents such as triclosan and/or parabens, which have been reported to have immune modulating properties in skin tissues and have the potential to induce or augment allergic disease (4). A degraded skin barrier through frequent baths/showers may result in a higher absorption of these chemicals into the body. To our knowledge, to date, no study has investigated the association between personal hygiene habits, such as frequent baths/showers and use of skin creams, and allergic sensitization.

For the current analysis, we used data collected mainly at the 15-year follow-up of the German GINIplus and LISA cohorts. Ethical approval for both cohorts was granted by the local ethics committees and informed consent was obtained from all families. Study methods are described in Online Supplement S1 and a flow chart of study participants is provided in Figure S1. We investigated cross-sectional associations of 1) having frequent baths/showers and 2) use of facial or 3) body cream on allergic sensitization to aero- and food-allergens while adjusting for potential confounders. Allergic sensitization was defined as a specific IgE value above 0.35 kU/L against a
 This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/all.13492

battery of 14 allergens. Our main analysis included subjects without “current allergies”, defined as parent report of doctor diagnosis of asthma, eczema or allergic rhinitis during the last 12 months at the age of 15 years. The reason behind exclusion of participants with “current allergy” was to reduce impact of reverse causation. It is likely that people who already had “current allergies” may have changed their bathing habits and use of cream. For example, someone already has eczema may use excessive amount of body creams compared to a person without eczema. Additionally, we repeated the analyses for subjects who did not report ever allergy and for the complete population. We also checked whether the associations were modified by sex.

The majority of participants (52.0%) were living in the Munich area. Three percent reported having less frequent (once per week or more rarely) baths/showers, 26% - never using facial cream, and 35.2% - never using body cream. The prevalence of current aero- and food-allergen sensitization was 45.7% and 10.9%, respectively (Table 1). Of the 15-year participants 13.9% reported current allergy.

In our main analysis concerning adolescents without current allergies we observed a protective effect for having baths/showers less than or equal to once per week and aeroallergen sensitization, compared to having baths/showers every day (aOR 0.51 95%CI 0.27, 0.98) (Table 2). However, these associations were no longer significant after participants with ever allergy were excluded. Results for the complete cohort is given in Table S2. There was no evidence of an association between use of facial or body cream and allergic sensitization (Table 2). Further, we did not observe an association between having frequent baths/showers and food allergen sensitization (Table 1). Even though females were more likely to have frequent baths/showers and use facial/body creams, we observed no evidence for a modifying effect by sex for the effects of having frequent baths/showers or use of creams on allergic sensitization (Table S 3, 4 & 5).

We observed a significant protective effect of having less frequent baths/showers and aero allergen sensitization in adolescents who did not report current allergies. This may be explained by less damage to the skin barrier and maintenance of healthy population of commensal microbiome on the skin in adolescents having less frequent showers/baths. Hygiene habits, including use of soap and detergents in baths/showers, accelerate epidermal barrier breakdown and results in an elevated pH level in the *stratum corneum*. A sustained increase of skin pH can cause damage to skin proteins and lipids. This may cause tightness, dryness, barrier damage, irritation and itching, allowing entry of foreign agents such as environmental allergens into the skin, which can then increase skin immune responses (5). Animal studies that used mechanical disruption of skin and allergen exposure have shown elevated antigen-specific IgE and IgG1 responses in skin (6); similar mechanisms can be expected in damaged human skin. Frequent baths/showers can influence microbiome diversity on skin epithelial cells, which leads to an altered immune response. These effects have been seen in some

67 infant studies, but there is limited evidence for the immunoregulation and skin microbiome
68 association in adults (2).

69 To our knowledge, there is no evidence in the published literature of the association between frequent
70 baths/showers, as well as use of creams, and allergic sensitization. Nevertheless, some studies have
71 investigated urine levels of parabens and triclosan in relation to allergic sensitization. Two US studies
72 found that higher triclosan concentrations were associated with increased odds of food sensitization in
73 children; one found the association only in children with eczema (7) and other only in males (8). A
74 Norwegian study reported that urinal triclosan was associated with allergic sensitization against
75 aeroallergens, but not against food allergen (9). Although some studies reported a link between
76 triclosan and food allergen sensitization we did not find any associations between frequent baths or
77 use of creams, and food allergen sensitization.

78 The strengths of our analysis include the large population size, prospectively collected data on allergic
79 outcomes and the availability of detailed information on potential confounders. Although the
80 GINIplus and LISA cohorts are both prospective birth cohort studies, we do not have prospectively
81 collected data on personal hygiene habits and use of creams. Given this limitation and even though we
82 excluded participants with current allergy, we cannot completely rule out reverse causation as a driver
83 of the observed associations. Similarly, the effects we observed cannot be ascribed to the frequency of
84 baths/showers alone, since we do not have information on the use of personal care products such as
85 soap, shampoo, etc. Also, there is a possibility of chance findings of significant associations.

86 Our study is the first to shed light onto the associations between having frequent baths/showers and
87 use of creams, and allergic sensitization. In the general population, adolescents without allergies who
88 have less frequent baths/showers had a protective effect against aeroallergen sensitization. Use of
89 facial or body creams was not associated with allergic sensitization. Further, sex was not an effect
90 modifier of these associations.

91
92
93
94
95
96 **Table 1:** Study characteristics of the subjects from GINIplus and LISA cohorts used for this statistical
97 modeling (n = 2,755)

Characteristics of the study sample		Frequency	%
Study area	Munich	1,433	52.01
	Leipzig	272	9.87
	Bad Honnef	113	4.10
	Wesel	937	34.01
Study	GINI plus observation	897	32.56
	GINI plus intervention	891	32.34
	LISA	967	35.10
Sex	male	1,385	50.27
	female	1,370	49.73
Current allergy at 15 years [†]	no	2,256	86.11
	yes	364	13.89
Ever reported allergy [§]	no	1,483	53.83
	yes	1,272	46.17
Socio-economic status*	low	166	6.03
	medium	869	31.54
	high	1,720	62.43
Parent atopy [§]	no	1,099	39.89
	yes	1,656	60.11
Having baths/showers	never/ less than once per week/ once per week	68	2.47
	2-6 times per week	1,537	55.79
	every day	1,150	41.74
Use of facial cream	never	704	26.00
	often	1,206	44.00
	every day	825	30.16
Use of body cream	never	975	35.60
	often	1,489	54.36
	every day	275	10.04
Aeroallergen sensitization [‡]	no	1,497	54.34
	yes	1,258	45.66
Food allergen sensitization	no	2,455	89.11
	yes	300	10.89
Aero or food allergen sensitization [#]	no	1,457	52.89
	yes	1,298	47.11

98 * defined as the highest number of years of school education of either parent: <10 years vs =10 years
99 vs >10 years, according to the German educational system

100 § defined as parent's eczema, allergic rhinitis or asthma before birth of child

101 § defined as parent report of doctor diagnosis of asthma, eczema or allergic rhinitis ever during 3-15
102 years of age

Author Manuscript

[†] defined as parent-report of doctor diagnosis of asthma, eczema or allergic rhinitis during the last 12 months at 15 years of age

[‡] defined as specific IgE value above 0.35 kU/L against SX1 allergens: house dust mites, cats, dogs, mold, birch, rye, mugwort and timothy grass

^{||} defined as specific IgE value above 0.35 kU/L against FX5 allergens: milk, peanut, eggs, soya, cod, wheat flour

[#] defined as specific IgE value above 0.35 kU/L against SX1 or FX5 allergens

Table 2: Association between having baths/showers and use of creams, and allergic sensitization in adolescents without current allergies[§] (allergic sensitization N = 2,255; facial cream N = 2,241; body cream N = 2,244)

	Aero/food allergen sensitization		Aeroallergen sensitization		Food allergen sensitization		n
Baths/showers frequency	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	OR*	95% CI	
Every day	Reference category		Reference category		Reference category		912
2-6 times per week	1.12	0.94, 1.34	1.11	0.93, 1.32	1.07	0.78, 1.47	1,290
Never/ less than once per week/ once per week	0.54	0.28, 1.02	0.51	0.27, 0.98	1.27	0.49, 3.31	53
Facial cream	Reference category		Reference category		Reference category		573
Never	category		category		category		
Often	1.03	0.82, 1.27	1.02	0.82, 1.26	1.11	0.76, 1.62	988
Every day	1.00	0.77, 1.29	0.96	0.74, 1.25	0.98	0.62, 1.56	680
Body cream	Reference category		Reference category		Reference category		800
Never	category		category		category		
Often	0.98	0.79, 1.21	1.03	0.83, 1.27	0.82	0.57, 1.19	1,227
Every day	0.88	0.62, 1.25	0.85	0.59, 1.21	1.00	0.55, 1.83	217

[§] defined as parent report of doctor diagnosis of asthma, eczema or allergic rhinitis during the last 12 months at 15 years of age

*Adjusted for study center, cohort, sex, socio-economic status and parental history of allergy

OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Intervals, n = number of participants in each category

References

1. Elaine L. Hygiene of the Skin: When Is Clean Too Clean? *Emerging Infectious Disease journal* 2001;**7**(2):225.
2. Lambrecht BN, Hammad H. The immunology of the allergy epidemic and the hygiene hypothesis. *Nat Immunol* 2017;**18**(10):1076-1083.
3. Cork MJ, Danby SG, Vasilopoulos Y, Hadgraft J, Lane ME, Moustafa M, et al. Epidermal barrier dysfunction in atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2009;**129**(8):1892-1908.
4. Marshall NB, Lukomska E, Nayak AP, Long CM, Hettick JM, Anderson SE. Topical application of the anti-microbial chemical triclosan induces immunomodulatory responses through the S100A8/A9-TLR4 pathway. *J Immunotoxicol* 2017;**14**(1):50-59.
5. Ananthapadmanabhan KP, Moore DJ, Subramanyan K, Misra M, Meyer F. Cleansing without compromise: the impact of cleansers on the skin barrier and the technology of mild cleansing. *Dermatologic Therapy* 2004;**17**:16-25.
6. De Benedetto A, Kubo A, Beck LA. Skin barrier disruption: a requirement for allergen sensitization? *J Invest Dermatol* 2012;**132**(3 Pt 2):949-963.
7. Spanier AJ, Fausnight T, Camacho TF, Braun JM. The associations of triclosan and paraben exposure with allergen sensitization and wheeze in children. *Allergy and Asthma Proceedings* 2014;**35**(6):475-481.
8. Savage JH, Matsui EC, Wood RA, Keet CA. Urinary levels of triclosan and parabens are associated with aeroallergen and food sensitization. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2012;**130**(2):453-460.e457.
9. Bertelsen RJ, Longnecker MP, Lovik M, Calafat AM, Carlsen KH, London SJ, et al. Triclosan exposure and allergic sensitization in Norwegian children. *Allergy* 2013;**68**(1):84-91.

Authors - Gayan Bowatte, PhD¹, Iana Markevych, PhD^{2,3}, Marie Standl, PhD³, Shyamali C Dharmage, PhD^{1,4}, Sibylle Koletzko, Prof.⁵, Irina Lehmann, PhD⁶, Carl-Peter Bauer, Prof.⁷, Tamara Schikowski, PhD⁸, Andrea von Berg, MD⁹, Dietrich Berdel, Prof.⁹, Joachim Heinrich, PhD^{2,3*}

¹Allergy and Lung Health Unit, School of Population and Global health, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

²Institute and Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

³Institute of Epidemiology I, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

⁴Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia

⁵Division of Paediatric Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Dr. von Hauner Children's Hospital Munich, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Germany

⁶Department of Environmental Immunology/Core Facility Studies, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Leipzig, Germany

⁷Department of Pediatrics, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

⁸IUF-Leibniz Research Institute for Environmental medicine, Düsseldorf, Germany

⁹Research Institute, Department of Pediatrics, Marien-Hospital Wesel, Wesel, Germany

Word count: 1033

*Corresponding author:

Dr. Joachim Heinrich

Institute and Clinic for Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University Hospital, LMU Munich

Ziemssenstraße 1

81337 Munich

Germany

Phone: +4989440053251

Email: Joachim.Heinrich@med.uni-muenchen.de

Funding

The GINIplus study was mainly supported for the first 3 years by the Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research and Technology (interventional arm) and Helmholtz Zentrum Munich (former GSF) (observational arm). The 4, 6 and 10-year follow-up examinations of the GINIplus study were covered from the respective budgets of the 5 study centres (Helmholtz Zentrum Munich (former GSF), Marien-Hospital Wesel, LMU Munich, TU Munich and from 6 years onward also from IUF—Leibniz Research-Institute for Environmental Medicine) and a grant from the Federal Ministry for Environment (IUF, FKZ 20462296). The LISA study was mainly supported by grants from the Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research and Technology and in addition from Helmholtz Zentrum Munich (former GSF), Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research—UFZ, Leipzig, Marien-Hospital Wesel, Pediatric Practice, Bad Honnef for the first 2 years. The 4, 6 and 10-year follow-up examinations of the LISA study were covered from the respective budgets of the involved partners (Helmholtz Zentrum Munich (former GSF), Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research—UFZ, Leipzig, Marien-Hospital Wesel, Pediatric Practice, Bad Honnef, IUF—Leibniz-Research Institute for Environmental Medicine) and in addition by a grant from the Federal Ministry for Environment (IUF, FKZ 20462296). The recent 15-year follow-up examinations of the GINIplus and LISA studies were supported by the Commission of the European Communities, the 7th Framework Program (MeDALL project) and the Mead Johnson and Nestlé companies (GINIplus only). Gayan Bowatte is supported by Centre for Air pollution, energy and health Research (CAR) and National Health and Medical Research Council Australia funded Centre for Research Excellence. The funding sources were not involved in the design of the study, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report and decision to submit the article for publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank all children and parents for their cooperation, and all technical and administrative support staff and medical and field work teams. We are also grateful to all members of the GINIplus and LISA Study Groups.

Author contributions

GB conducted the analyses, interpreted the data, drafted the initial manuscript, and revised the manuscript. IM preprocessed the data. IM, MS, SD and JH contributed to the design of the analysis and interpretation of the data. MS, SK, IL, CPB, TS, AvB and DB contributed to the data collection and reviewed the manuscript. JH initiated and supervised the analysis. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for this work.

Conflict of interests

None.

Author Manuscript

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Bowatte, G;Markevych, I;Standl, M;Dharmage, SC;Koletzko, S;Lehmann, I;Bauer, C-P;Schikowski, T;von Berg, A;Berdel, D;Heinrich, J

Title:

Hygienic behavior and allergic sensitization in German adolescents

Date:

2018-09-01

Citation:

Bowatte, G., Markevych, I., Standl, M., Dharmage, S. C., Koletzko, S., Lehmann, I., Bauer, C. -P., Schikowski, T., von Berg, A., Berdel, D. & Heinrich, J. (2018). Hygienic behavior and allergic sensitization in German adolescents. *ALLERGY*, 73 (9), pp.1915-1918. <https://doi.org/10.1111/all.13492>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/284075>