Article type : Letters to the editor (reply)

Article begins on page three of this document.

Title	Predictors of inpatient rehabilitation after total knee replacement: an analysis of private hospital claims data
-------	--

Authors:

	Title	First name	Mid initials	Last name	Postnom (eg, PhD) [3 only for publication]	Position1	Address1	Position2	Address2	Tel	Email
1	Dr.	Chris		Schilling	PhD	Associate Director	1				cschilla@gmail.com
2	Dr.	Anna		Barker	B.Phty, M.Phty(Geriatrics), PhD	Head of Member Health Innovation	2				anna.barker@medibank.com.au
3	Dr.	Stephen	F	Wilson	MBBS, PhD, FRACGP, FAFRM(RACP)	Associate Professor	3			041614 4105	swil@me.com
4											
5											

Number of corresponding author:	1
Number of alternative corresponding author:	

Addresses:

	Institution		City	State	Post Code	
1	KPMG Australia		Melbourne	VIC	3008	
2	Medibank Private		Melbourne	VIC	3008	
3	University of Sydney		Sydney	NSW	2065	
4						
5						

Postal address of first corresponding author (if different from the institutional address given above)	
--	--

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/mja2.12065](#)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Primary Keywords [Office use only]	Rehabilitation; Health services administration; Musculoskeletal diseases
Secondary keywords [Office use only]	Economics, medical; Knee injuries
Notes:	

Article details (press ctrl – 9 to enter details):

Article type	Letter
Blurb	
Pullquote	

Office use

<i>Ms. Number</i>	mja18.01025
<i>Medical editor</i>	Francis Geronimo
<i>Medical editor email</i>	fgeronimo@mja.com.au
<i>Structural editor</i>	Laura Teruel
<i>Structural editor email</i>	lteruel@mja.com.au
<i>Section/Category</i>	Letters to the editor (reply)
<i>Strapheading</i>	Letter
<i>Substrap</i>	

Elsevier – file data:

Filename for copyediting	sch_mja18.01025_ms
Accompanying graphics	
Stock images	
Appendices	

Office use – history:

Event	Date	Event	Date
Original submission received	02/10/2018	Accept	04/10/2018
Proof sent to author			
Proof returned by author			
Published (date format xx/xx/xx)	04/02/19		
Issue	2		
Vol	210		
DOI	10.5694/mja18.01025		
Journal	The Medical Journal of Australia		
Original article DOI (for response)			

Predictors of inpatient rehabilitation after total knee replacement: an analysis of private hospital claims data

IN REPLY: We welcome the debate about the choice of rehabilitation at home as an effective treatment option. Our study¹ supports the Rehabilitation Medicine Society of Australia and New Zealand (RMSANZ) position statement² and the comments of the Australasian Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians that recommend inpatient rehabilitation be based on patient need. We considered many clinically relevant patient factors identified in the position statement, including age, socio-economic status, comorbidities, living alone and surgical complications.^{1,2} Large interhospital variation in inpatient rehabilitation persisted after adjustment for these factors. It seems implausible, given the large sample, that unobserved patient factors could explain the wide variation (76% in one large volume private hospital; 10% in another¹), but we acknowledge our study could be improved with function and obesity measures in particular.

Same day inpatient rehabilitation was not classified as inpatient rehabilitation. The average inpatient rehabilitation stay in our study was 11 days.¹ The saving estimate was not based on the previous year's private hospital claims. It represents the potential savings if inpatient rates were reduced from 45% to 31% using a cost-differential from a recent Australian study.³ We maintain it is relevant to highlight where other jurisdictions have achieved similar outcomes across settings. However, we note these samples are often biased to uncomplicated patients.

The work of the Australasian Rehabilitation Outcomes Centre (AROC) is commended for supporting quality collection and reporting of rehabilitation outcomes. Our article referred to a lack of corresponding data across settings¹ functional independence measure for inpatients and the Lawton Scale for ambulatory patients.⁴ We support AROC expanding outcome-reporting for ambulatory care. The use of consistent measures across settings would yield additional insights into low value care. While clinicians rightly focus on patient outcomes, from a system perspective, ignoring cost is not sustainable.

We concluded that rehabilitation decisions are not primarily driven by patient factors, and concur with the RMSANZ that:²

"In an environment where non-clinical drivers such as commercial interests, business models, consumerism and transport costs will often dictate the settings for rehabilitation care, the RMSANZ feel that there is a need to state the clinical indicators and minimum safety standards for rehabilitation settings post-[total knee replacement]."

We look forward to all stakeholders working together to advocate for best practice.

Acknowledgements: Catherine Keating and Dennis Petrie contributed to the research that is discussed in this letter. Catherine Keating is employed by Medibank Private. Dennis Petrie is supported by Monash University and an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award.

Competing interests: Anna Barker is employed by Medibank Private and receives salary support from Monash University. Chris Schilling is employed by KPMG and received consultancy fees from Medibank Private to undertake the analysis discussed in this article. Stephen Wilson has previously received consultancy fees from Medibank Private in relation to development of rehabilitation in the home.

Author details

Chris Schilling¹

Anna Barker²

Stephen F Wilson³

1 KPMG Australia, Melbourne, VIC.

2 Medibank Private, Melbourne, VIC.

3 University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW.

cschilla@gmail.com

doi: 10.5694/mja18.01025

References

- 1 Schilling C, Keating C, Barker A, et al. Predictors of inpatient rehabilitation after total knee replacement: an analysis of private hospital claims data. *Med J Aust* 2018; 209: 222-227. <https://www.mja.com.au/journal/2018/209/5/predictors-inpatient-rehabilitation-after-total-knee-replacement-analysis>
- 2 Rehabilitation Medicine Society of Australia and New Zealand Private Practice Special Interest Group. Position statement on rehabilitation following total knee replacement. Cairns: RMSANZ; 2018. <https://rmsanz.net/uploads/Updates/180503%20FINAL%20Positon%20Statement%20on%20Rehabilitation%20following%20TKR.pdf> (viewed Nov 2018).
- 3 Naylor JM, Hart A, Mittal R, et al. The value of inpatient rehabilitation after uncomplicated knee arthroplasty: a propensity score analysis. *Med J Aust* 2017; 207: 250-255. <https://www.mja.com.au/journal/2017/207/6/value-inpatient-rehabilitation-after-uncomplicated-knee-arthroplasty-propensity>
- 4 Australasian Rehabilitation Outcomes Centre. The AROC Dataset. AROC; 2016. <https://ahsri.uow.edu.au/aroc/index.html> (viewed Oct 2018).

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Schilling, C;Barker, A;Wilson, SF

Title:

Predictors of inpatient rehabilitation after total knee replacement: an analysis of private hospital claims data.

Date:

2019-02

Citation:

Schilling, C., Barker, A. & Wilson, S. F. (2019). Predictors of inpatient rehabilitation after total knee replacement: an analysis of private hospital claims data.. Med J Aust, 210 (2), pp.101-.
<https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.12065>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/285120>