Case report: Severe allergic contact dermatitis to topical bufexamac requiring hospitalization

Gwyneth N. Wong¹, Sarah Shen¹, Rosemary Nixon²

¹Department of Dermatology, St Vincent's Hospital, Fitzroy, VIC, Australia

²Skin and Cancer Foundation Inc, Carlton, VIC, Australia

Correspondence:

St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne - Dermatology

41 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy Melbourne 3065

Australia

g.natalie.wong@gmail.com

Conflicts of interests: None declared.

Key words: bufexamac, allergic contact dermatitis, case report

Bufexamac is a topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) used as a local treatment for various dermatoses despite a propensity to cause severe allergic contact dermatitis (ACD) and a reported lack of efficacy. Bufexamac is still included in medicaments in countries such as Australia and Switzerland despite being banned in the European Union, the United States, New Zealand and Japan.

CASE REPORT

A 41-year-old administrative worker developed facial oedema and a widespread polymorphic eruption within two hours of applying Apohealth First Aid Cream (Apohealth) containing bufexamac (5%), lignocaine (1%) and chlorhexidine (0.1%) to a superficial abrasion on her right foot (only). The eruption first appeared as erythematous papules and plaques at the site of application, followed by painful facial erythema and oedema that was exacerbated by sun exposure. Associated symptoms

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/cod.13207](https://doi.org/10.1111/cod.13207)

included pruritus and a burning sensation of involved skin. The patient was otherwise well; she had a history of atopy and recurrent vesicular hand eczema. Skin biopsies performed 3 days after the eruption showed moderate spongiosis, superficial perivascular lymphocytic inflammation and moderate numbers of interstitial eosinophils. Direct immunofluorescence was negative. There was a mild peripheral eosinophilia of 0.5.

Despite commencing on a slow weaning course of prednisolone and topical mometasone 0.1% cream, her symptoms worsened and the eruption extended to include a well-demarcated violaceous erythema on her lower limbs and urticarial papules coalescing into plaques on her torso (*Figure 1*). The patient was admitted for inpatient care 7 days after the eruption begun and improved after 5 days of regular wet dressings and topical betamethasone dipropionate 0.05% ointment to her body and methylprednisolone aceponate 0.1% ointment to her face. Anti-H1 antihistamines were given as adjuvant therapy.

Once the eruption had settled, the patient was patch tested to the Australian baseline series and bufexamac, chlorhexidine and lignocaine. She developed a +++ reaction to bufexamac 5.0% pet. confirming the diagnosis of ACD. She also developed a ++ reaction to *Myroxylon pereriae*, + to fragrance mix I, hydroperoxides of linalool 1.0% pet. and hydroperoxides of limonene 0.3% pet. , methylchloroisothiazolinone and methylisothiazolinone (MCI/MI) and Amerchol L101 50.0% pet. These other reactions were not thought to be relevant to her current presentation. The patient was advised to avoid any further contact with products containing bufexamac.

DISCUSSION

Bufexamac is an NSAID used for the local treatment of various dermatoses despite its lack of efficacy¹. It has never been approved for use in the USA and UK and in 2010 the European Medicines Agency Committee recommended that marketing authorisation for

medicines containing bufexamac be revoked throughout the European Union ². However, in some countries such as Australia and Switzerland, bufexamac is still readily available for purchase in pharmacies, most commonly as an ingredient in 'first aid creams'.

There have been numerous reports of ACD caused by bufexamac, with some patients not recalling prior exposure³. Gniazdowska et al reported 3.2% of patients exhibiting sensitivity to bufexamac ⁴. ACD to bufexamac has a variable clinical presentation mimicking other dermatoses, often with erythema multiforme, purpuric and photosensitive elements. It is often misdiagnosed as erysipelas or cellulitis ³. Interestingly, the eruption often worsens and spreads even following cessation of bufexamac use.

This case highlights that there is no reason to justify the continued use of topical bufexamac, given its propensity to cause severe ACD and lack of efficacy. We caution clinicians to be aware of the variable presentation of ACD to bufexamac and recommend that regulatory bodies in countries such as Australia and Switzerland reconsider its registration for use.

REFERENCES

1. Christiansen JV, Gadborg E, Kleiter I, et al. Efficacy of bufexamac (NFN) cream in skin diseases. A double-blind multicentre trial. *Dermatologica*. 1977;154:177-184.
2. European Medicines Agency. Questions and answers on the revocation of the marketing authorisations for medicines containing bufexamac. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/Referrals_document/Bufexamac_107/WC500089665.pdf. Accessed February 24, 2018.
3. Pan Y, Nixon R. Allergic contact dermatitis to topical preparations of bufexamac. *Australas J Dermatol*. 2012;53:207-210.
4. Gniazdowska B, Ruëff F, Przybilla B. Delayed contact hypersensitivity to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Contact Dermatitis*. 1999;40:63-65.

Figure 1. A polymorphous eruption

- a) Widespread facial erythema and oedema, exacerbated by photo-exposure
- b) Well-demarcated violaceous erythema appearing symmetrically on both lower limbs. A similar eruption appeared on the upper extremities (not shown)
- c) The dermographic nature of the lesions was demonstrated on the left thigh, with oedematous erythema corresponding to the imprint of the patient's hand after pressure
- d) Urticarial papules coalescing into plaques on the torso

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Wong, GN;Shen, S;Nixon, R

Title:

Severe allergic contact dermatitis caused by topical bufexamac requiring hospitalization

Date:

2019-06-01

Citation:

Wong, G. N., Shen, S. & Nixon, R. (2019). Severe allergic contact dermatitis caused by topical bufexamac requiring hospitalization. CONTACT DERMATITIS, 80 (6), pp.395-+. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cod.13207>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/285470>