Article type : Letters to the editor (comment)

Article begins on page three of this document.

Title	Unintended and unwanted pregnancy in Australia: a cross-sectional, national random telephone survey of prevalence and outcomes
--------------	--

Authors:

	Title	First name	Mid initials	Last name	Postnom (eg, PhD)	Position1	Address1	Position2	Address2	Tel	Email
1	Dr.	Sophie		Yeates	MBBS	Hospital medical officer	1			94965000	sophie.yeates11@gmail.com
2	Dr.	Charlotte		Elder	MBBS(Hons), BMedSci, FRANZCOG, IFEPAG, CCPU(AdvEarlyPreg)	Gynaecologist	1				charlotte.elder@gmail.com
3	Prof.	Sonia	R	Grover	MBBS, FRANZCOG, MD, FFPMANZCA	Head of Unit/Gynaecologist	1				s.grover@bigpond.net.au
4											

Number of corresponding author:	1
Number of alternative corresponding author:	

Addresses:

	Institution		City	State		
1	Austin Health		Melbourne	VIC		
2						
3						
4						

Postal address of first corresponding author (if different from the institutional address given above)	
--	--

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/MJA2.50136](#)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Primary Keywords [Office use only]	Women's health
Secondary keywords [Office use only]	Contraception; Abortion, induced
Notes:	

Article details (press ctrl – 9 to enter details):

Article type	Letter
Blurb	

Office use

<i>Ms. Number</i>	mja18.01152. R1
<i>Medical editor</i>	Zoe Silverstone
<i>Medical editor email</i>	zsilverstone@mja.com.au
<i>Structural editor</i>	Graeme Prince
<i>Structural editor email</i>	gprince@mja.com.au
<i>Section/Category</i>	Letters to the editor (comment)
<i>Strapheading</i>	Letters
<i>Substrap</i>	

Elsevier – file data:

Filename for copyediting	yea_mja18.01152_ms
Accompanying graphics	
Stock images	
Appendices	

Office use – history:

Event	Date
Original submission received	08/11/2018

Event	Date
Accept	18/12/2018

Proof sent to author	
Proof returned by author	
Published (date format xx/xx/xx)	06/05/19
Issue	8
Vol	210
DOI	10.5694/mja18.01152
Journal	The Medical Journal of Australia
Original article DOI (for response)	

Unintended and unwanted pregnancy in Australia: a cross-sectional, national random telephone survey of prevalence and outcomes

TO THE EDITOR: We note with interest the research letter by Taft and colleagues regarding unplanned pregnancy in Australia.¹ An audit of terminations of pregnancy in our Australian metropolitan hospital service (Austin Health, Melbourne) supports the findings of the national survey while highlighting additional areas of educational need.

Of 309 women (aged 15–46 years) who underwent terminations for unwanted pregnancy from January 2016 to October 2018, 145 chose surgical termination and 164 women chose medical termination. Compared with the national survey, our cohort had similar rates of not using contraception (48.2% v 56.5%) and contraception failure (51.8% v 41.4%).¹ Failed barrier and oral contraception respectively accounted for 52.1% and 29.3% of contraception failures. This differs from the survey findings, where the most common contraception failure was oral contraception, followed by condoms.¹

There is a paucity of Australian literature reporting long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) usage following termination; however, a Swedish study found a rate of 34%² and a New Zealand public hospital service reported a rate of 44.5%.³ Our post-termination LARC rate was 58.2% (72.5% following surgical termination and 43.2% following medical termination). We attribute the higher rate to our conscientious use of tiered counselling⁴ (ie, presenting the most efficacious method first) to enable women to make informed decisions regarding contraception. The most common choice of contraception following surgical termination was a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device (Mirena [Bayer]) (56.0%); however, oral contraception was more popular (38.8%) following medical termination, followed by Mirena (25.9%). A British study also found that women more often chose an intrauterine device or implant after surgical termination,⁵ suggesting that ease of insertion under anaesthetic influences choice.

Of the 134 women documented as not using contraception in our audit, three had received inaccurate medical advice regarding contraception, or had been inappropriately labelled as infertile due to age, endometriosis or polycystic ovarian syndrome. A further three pregnancies occurred as a result of removing LARC without arranging alternative contraception.

We support the researchers' conclusion regarding the need to explore reasons for poor contraception uptake and determine where education would be most helpful.¹ In addition to increasing access to and promoting informed choice of LARC in primary health care settings,⁶ greater efforts to improve practitioner knowledge of contraception management, as well as common gynaecological conditions to aid appropriate diagnosis and evaluation of fertility impact, are vitally important.

Author details

Sophie Yeates

Charlotte Elder
Sonia R Grover
Austin Health, Melbourne, VIC.
sophie.yeates11@gmail.com

Competing interests: No relevant disclosures.

doi: 10.5694/mja18.01152

References

- 1 Taft AJ, Shankar M, Black KI, et al. Unintended and unwanted pregnancy in Australia: a cross-sectional, national random telephone survey of prevalence and outcomes. *Med J Aust* 2018; 209: 407-408
<https://www.mja.com.au/journal/2018/209/9/unintended-and-unwanted-pregnancy-australia-cross-sectional-national-random>
- 2 Kilander H, Alehagen S, Svedlund L, et al. Likelihood of repeat abortion in a Swedish cohort according to the choice of post-abortion contraception: a longitudinal study. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2016; 95: 565-571.
- 3 Rose SB, Lawton B, Brown S. Uptake and adherence to long-acting reversible contraception post-abortion. *Contraception* 2010; 82: 345-353.
- 4 Stanback J, Steiner M, Dorfinger L, et al. WHO tiered-effectiveness counseling is rights-based family planning. *Glob Health Sci Pract* 2015; 3: 352-357.
- 5 Aiken ARA, Lohr PA, Aiken CE, et al. Contraceptive method preferences and provision after termination of pregnancy: a population-based analysis of women obtaining care with the British Pregnancy Advisory Service. *BJOG* 2017; 124: 815-824.
- 6 Mazza D, Bateson D, Frearson M, Goldstone P. Current barriers and potential strategies to increase the use of long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) to reduce the rate of unintended pregnancies in Australia: an expert roundtable discussion. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2017; 57: 206-212.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Yeates, SL;Elder, CV;Grover, SR

Title:

Unintended and unwanted pregnancy in Australia: a cross-sectional, national random telephone survey of prevalence and outcomes.

Date:

2019-05

Citation:

Yeates, S. L., Elder, C. V. & Grover, S. R. (2019). Unintended and unwanted pregnancy in Australia: a cross-sectional, national random telephone survey of prevalence and outcomes.. Med J Aust, 210 (8), pp.382-382.e1. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.50136>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/285725>