Bronchiolitis at a Specialist Paediatric centre – the Electronic Medical Record helps to evaluate low value care

Original Article

Lawrence JG^{1,2,3}, Andrew L¹, Bracken J⁴, Voskoboynik A¹, Oakley E^{2,3,5}, South M^{1,2,3}, Middleton K^{1,2,3}, Scanlan B^{1,2,3}, Marshall T⁶, Hiscock H^{2,3,7}.

1. Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
2. Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne VIC Australia
3. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
4. Medical Imaging Department, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
5. Emergency Department, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
6. Strategy and Improvement, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
7. Health Services Research Unit, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia

Corresponding Author: Joanna Lawrence
RCH, Flemington Rd, Parkville 3052, VIC, Australia
Joanna.lawrence@rch.org.au
Ph (03) 93456479

Acknowledgements;

MCRI is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program.
Prof Harriet Hiscock is supported by an NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship 1136222
Dr Joanna Lawrence and Tim Marshall are supported by a Choosing Wisely Grant through Better Care Victoria

Conflicts of Interest; Nil identified

Bronchiolitis at a Specialist Paediatric centre – the Electronic Medical Record helps to evaluate low value care

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/jpc.14602](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.14602)

Brief Points (3 of each)

What is already known on this topic

Bronchiolitis is the commonest reason for hospital admission in infants in the first year of life.

Bronchiolitis is a clinical diagnosis with no effective treatment beyond supportive care. Guidelines recommend against use of routine investigations such as chest xray (CXR) or the use of medications such as bronchodilators and antibiotics.

There is increasing concern worldwide about the need to reduce low value care practices and the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, Paediatric and Child Health Division have nominated CXR and medications in bronchiolitis as one of the Evolve criteria, the top 5 'not to do' practices.

What this paper adds

Despite international guidelines recommending against routine CXR and bronchodilators for infants with a clinical diagnosis of bronchiolitis, high rates of low value care (CXR 11.2%, bronchodilators 9.2%) continue in a large paediatric teaching hospital.

CXRs were most commonly ordered to rule out consolidation however, CXR findings did not correlate with decision to treat with antibiotics.

Antibiotics were used more frequently in those who were ordered a CXR (28% compared to 5.1%), with rates rising to 59% in those whose indication for CXR was to rule out consolidation or collapse.

Abstract

Background and Aims

Low value care (LVC) is common. We aimed, in infants presenting to a major tertiary paediatric hospital with bronchiolitis between April 2016 and July 2018, to (1) assess rates of CXR and medication use, (2) identify associated factors and (3) measure the harm of not performing these practices.

Methods

We extracted data from the Electronic Medical Record (EMR) for all children aged 1-12 months given a diagnosis of bronchiolitis in the emergency department (ED). Factors potentially associated with LVC practices were extracted including patient demographics, ordering physician characteristics, order indication, medications prescribed and admission ward. To assess for harm, a radiologist, blinded to CXR indication, reviewed all CXRs ordered over winter 2017 for infants with bronchiolitis.

Results

439 (11.2%) of infants were ordered a CXR, most commonly to rule out consolidation and collapse (65%). CXRs were more likely to be ordered for admitted infants (40.9% admitted to the General Medical Ward) and 62% were ordered by ED staff. Salbutamol was prescribed for 9.3% (n=199). Amongst those who had a CXR, 28% were prescribed an antibiotic compared to 2.1% in those who did not. In an audit of 98 CXRs ordered over winter 2017, there were no CXR findings that meaningfully affected patient outcomes.

Conclusion

Using EMR data, we found that CXR and medication use in bronchiolitis were higher than expected given our hospital guideline advice. Future research needs to understand why and develop interventions to reduce LVC.

Introduction

Bronchiolitis is the leading cause of hospital admission in infants.¹ In 2017, the Paediatric and Child Health Division of the Royal Australasian College of Physicians, nominated use of chest x-ray (CXR) and medications in bronchiolitis in their Evolve Top 5 practices in paediatrics,² in response to the Choosing Wisely campaign to increase recognition of the harm and costs associated with investigations and treatment that provide little benefit to the patient.³ CXRs in bronchiolitis do not discriminate well between bronchiolitis and pneumonia, with a Canadian study,⁴ demonstrating that 133 CXRs needed to be performed for one alternative diagnosis. In this study antibiotic usage increased five-fold if a CXR was performed. Not only is this a concern in an era of increasing antibiotic resistance, but one in 15 infants experience side effects related to antibiotic use.⁴

International Guidelines⁵⁻⁷ recommend against routine use of CXR in bronchiolitis however, a recent US study demonstrated rates of at least 42%.⁸ A multi-site study in 2017 involving 38 Paediatric Emergency Departments across 8 countries has shown varying rates of CXR from 1.6% to 81%, with the lowest rates being in the UK, and use in Australasia having an odds ratio of 1.8 compared to the UK.⁹ In US centres where interventions to reduce the rate of CXR ordering practices have been implemented, rates remain in excess of 20%.¹⁰ In the UK, following implementation of guidelines reinforced with a clinician educational intervention, one paediatric hospital managed to reduce CXR rates from 12% to 4%.¹¹

Worldwide guidelines, updated in 2016, also recommend strongly against the use of bronchodilators in bronchiolitis. Evidence, based on over 2000 participants in 31 randomised controlled trials, who presented with bronchiolitis based on clinical symptoms such as cough, wheeze and coryza under the age of 1 year, demonstrates that harms outweigh benefit.¹² Previous guidelines have recommended trials of bronchodilator therapy, however, subgroup analysis has been unable to identify a cohort likely to respond, and subsequent recommendations advise against use of bronchodilators in any patient.⁵⁻⁷ There is no evidence that these treatments improve important outcomes such as oxygen saturation, hospital admission or time to resolution of illness. In contrast, the use of bronchodilators is

associated with significant adverse effects such as tachycardia, oxygen desaturation and tremors.¹² An Australasian study showed rates of bronchodilator use in patients admitted to hospital with bronchiolitis varying from 27% to 48.7%, increasing with age.¹³

Our institution is a major specialist paediatric hospital with over 85,000 emergency presentations per year and 50,000 inpatient episodes. We produce widely accessed, evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. The introduction of an EMR in 2016 has provided access to reliable medication and medical imaging ordering data. We aimed to determine rates of CXR, bronchodilator and antibiotic use in children who received an Emergency Department (ED) diagnosis of bronchiolitis and factors associated with this LVC. We hypothesised that rates of CXR use would be low as this has been a long-standing recommendation at our hospital. Given the association between use of CXR and higher rates of antibiotics, we expected antibiotic use to also be low. We expected to see ongoing use of bronchodilators, particularly in children over the age of 6 months, given this is the cohort in which previous guidelines recommended a trial of medication. We expected to see higher rates of interventions (both medications and imaging) in children who were considered more unwell and therefore admitted to the hospital ward, compared to those admitted to short stay units or discharged home from ED.

Methods

This retrospective study was conducted at The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, Australia.

Infants between 1-12 months who were assigned a diagnosis of bronchiolitis in the ED between April 2016 to July 2018 (2 years 3 months) were included. Patients under 28 days were excluded due to CXR being recommended by local guidelines for this age group with a fever and respiratory signs. Patients admitted to the intensive care unit (either neonatal or paediatric) were also excluded.

Outcome measures: The primary outcomes were the percentage of patients who had a CXR ordered or an anti-asthmatic medication or antibiotic prescribed. Our EMR has a classifier for anti-asthmatic medications which includes salbutamol, adrenaline, ipratropium, prednisolone, dexamethasone, aminophylline, magnesium – the presence of one of these medications resulted in a positive finding for ‘anti-asthmatic’ medication. However, all infants who received an anti-asthmatic medication, also received salbutamol and thus we report on salbutamol use in our Results. The EMR contains a classifier for antibiotics, and the presence of any antibiotic prescribed during the hospital episode resulted in a positive finding for ‘antibiotics’.

Factors associated with ordering behaviours were assessed through extracting data on patient demographics, the main indication for CXR, the ordering department, ordering physician, whether the order was placed within working hours (8-5pm Monday to Friday) or after hours (5pm-8am Monday to Friday and weekends) and admission ward. Length of stay was calculated from the ED presentation time to the hospital discharge time.

A more detailed chart review was performed by the principal investigator (JL) on 98 CXRs ordered over Winter (June-Aug) 2017. JL reviewed radiology reports from this period and grouped them into two categories; consistent with bronchiolitis or consistent with consolidation. These categories were compared to prescription of antibiotics to determine if there was any association between radiologist reported consolidation and decision to prescribe antibiotics. Order indications (free-text in our EMR) for CXR were grouped into 7 themes. CXRs were subsequently reviewed for significant findings by a paediatric radiologist. The radiologist was blinded to the indication for the CXR and any other order specific information.

Data analysis

Rates of interventions (CXR, anti-asthmatics, antibiotics) and demographic characteristics were calculated from raw data. These were also analysed according to discharge disposition. For categorical data two-tailed Fisher Exact test was used and for continuous data Student t test was used. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were

calculated to determine whether patient or clinical factors or timing of ordering were associated with low value care practices. For hypothesis testing, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

There were 3897 diagnoses of bronchiolitis made in the ED between April 2016 and July 2018 in a total of 3135 infants. Males accounted for 64.2% of infants, with the average age of presentation being 5.9 months (Standard Deviation (SD) 3.2 months). The median length of stay in the ED for all patients was 3.0 hours (Inter Quartile Range (IQR) 4) with a median length of stay for admitted patients of 35 hours (IQR 39.0 hours). Most infants (56%, $n = 2189$) were discharged home directly from the ED.

CXR use in bronchiolitis

11.2% (439/ 3897) of presentations with a diagnosis of bronchiolitis in the ED were ordered at least 1 CXR. Of these, 45 were ordered two xrays and 18 ordered three or more.

In our hospital the discharge destination for patients with bronchiolitis could be home, the ED-Short Stay Unit (ED-SSU) for children expected to go home within 24 hours, the General Medical Short Stay Unit (SSU) for patients expected to stay 48 hours or less, an inpatient ward, or Hospital in the Home (HITH) for hydration monitoring. The use of CXR by discharge destination is outlined in Table 1 with the lowest proportion in those discharged home and the highest in those admitted to the hospital ward.

Junior Medical Staff ordered 86.5% (380/439) of CXRs, consultants ordered 13.1% (57/439) and Nurse Practitioners ordered 0.05% (2/439). Emergency staff ordered 61.9% (272/439) with the remainder ordered by ward staff.

Infant age and gender did not differ between those who were ordered a CXR and those who were not ($p = 0.57$). Sixty-two percent (2439/3897) of presentations were outside core

working hours of Monday to Friday 8am-5pm. The percentage of CXRs ordered after hours was also 62.4% (274/439) suggesting time of presentation was not associated with the decision to order CXR.

Rates of CXR use varied month to month with the lowest reported rate 5.7% (March 2018) and the highest reported month 17.5% (January 2018). There was no clear pattern to the variation. There was no difference before and after guidelines were updated in March 2017.

Indications for CXR ordering

Order indications in our EMR are free text. As there are legitimate reasons for ordering a CXR in this population, we investigated CXR use by indication. An audit of indications for CXR ordered for infants with an ED diagnosis of bronchiolitis during winter 2017 (n=98 CXRs) allowed grouping free text requests into 7 main themes (see Table 2).

Antibiotic Use in Bronchiolitis

5.1% (n=199) of all infants with bronchiolitis received antibiotics. For those who received antibiotics versus those who did not, length of stay overall was significantly longer (69.1 hours (SD 79.2 hrs) versus 16.1 hours (SD 23.9 hrs), $p < 0.001$) as was duration of stay in ED (7.2 hours (SD 4.8) versus 4.6 hours (SD 4.1), $p < 0.001$.)

62.8% (125/199) of antibiotics prescriptions were preceded by a CXR. Amongst those receiving a CXR, 28.6% (125/439) received antibiotics (rising to 59% in those for whom the indication for CXR was to rule out consolidation/ collapse), compared to 2.1% (74/3458) in those without a CXR ($p < 0.001$).

Influence of CXR on Antibiotic Prescribing

A chart review of stated indications for CXR over Winter (June-Aug 2017) included 70 infants with a total of 98 CXRs ordered (1-3 CXRs per patient). Of the 29 infants prescribed antibiotics, 31% (n=9) of CXRs were reported by a radiologist as suggestive of consolidation

with the remainder (69%, n=20) reported as normal or consistent with viral infection. Of the 41 infants who were not prescribed antibiotics, 19.5% (n=8) were reported as consistent with pneumonia.

There was no significant difference ($p=0.40$) in treatment decisions between those whose CXR was suggestive of pneumonia and those who had normal findings reported.

Of the 17 with reported consolidation on CXR, 48.1% (8/17) did not receive antibiotics and no adverse outcomes (deterioration on the ward/ repeat admission) occurred.

Risk of Harm

A review of the 98 CXRs by the independent study radiologist (JB) found only three CXRs with significant findings. One was a CXR consistent with consolidation. This finding was ignored by the treating team as the child was clinically improving and the consolidation was thought to be viral. The second was a nasogastric tube coiled in the oesophagus. This CXR was ordered to confirm nasogastric tube placement which is part of our hospital's protocol. The final finding was in a well baby where the parents requested further investigations and the treating doctor complied. This CXR demonstrated an incidental finding of posterior rib fractures. The child was subsequently admitted for a full investigation for non-accidental injury (5 day admission, skeletal survey, bone scan, CT brain, ophthalmology review, blood tests, forensic team involved) with no cause or further abnormalities found.

Salbutamol Use in Bronchiolitis

9.2% of infants received anti-asthmatic medications, with use increasing from 7 months of age and peaking at 11-12 months at 40% (see Figure 1). All patients receiving anti-asthmatics received salbutamol. Other anti-asthma medications were prescribed as adjunctive therapy in 13% but were not prescribed in isolation. The length of stay in the infants receiving salbutamol was 29.5 hours (SD 33.6 hrs) compared to 18.8 hours (SD 34 hrs) for those who did not receive salbutamol ($p=0.001$)

Monthly rates varied from 3.75% (July 2018) to 20.9% (Feb 2018) with peaks in use each summer. There was no difference in usage after March 2017 when guidelines were updated.

Discussion

Our study highlights ongoing use of low value practices in bronchiolitis despite established guidelines in a tertiary teaching hospital. Our hospital publishes evidence based Clinical Practice Guidelines. Guidelines were updated with new evidence and recommendations in March 2017 against routine CXR and bronchodilator after publication of the PREDICT guidelines. We report an overall rate of 11.2% of patients receiving a CXR, 9.2% receiving anti-asthmatic medication and 5.1% receiving antibiotics. CXR use was associated with a higher rate of antibiotic prescribing. Approximately half of the infants with CXRs reported as 'probable consolidation' did not receive antibiotics with no adverse outcomes. Use of LVC was associated with longer length of stay.

Our rates of CXR use compare favourably with reported benchmarks in the USA of 20-40%^{10, 14} but do not meet those achieved by a UK paediatric centre of 4%.¹¹ Although target rates are difficult to establish, this benchmark study suggests that rates of 4% are achievable and realistic. Our study has demonstrated that reducing rates of CXR to this level would be unlikely to lead to any significant missed diagnoses but requires replication in other settings.

CXRs ordered for consolidation/ collapse are primarily to exclude secondary bacterial pneumonia. In reality, CXR is poor at discriminating between bacterial and viral infections for a number of reasons. Firstly there is overlap between the findings on CXR in viral and bacterial infections. Specifically viral infections frequently result in atelectasis secondary to airway obstruction, which can be difficult to distinguish from focal consolidation due to bacterial pneumonia^{15, 16}. Secondly it may be challenging to obtain a true inspiratory CXR in some infants and suboptimal inspiratory effort can result in findings on CXR which mimic lung pathology¹⁷. Thirdly there is a degree of subjectivity associated with interpretation of CXRs, even amongst experienced radiologists^{16, 18, 19}.

Our results highlight earlier findings⁴ of increased antibiotic prescription following CXR with a greater 10-fold rise in antibiotic use after CXR. This has implications for antibiotic stewardship as well as potential unnecessary side effects.

In addition, CXRs in bronchiolitis contribute to unnecessary radiation in a cohort of children who are at the beginning of their lifetime cumulative dosage, and create workload for radiographers, radiologists, medical staff and ancillary staff, as well as considerable cost.

Our rates of salbutamol use are lower than previous reports in the Australasian context¹³ but remain higher than expected given the strong recommendation. Although evidence in subgroups remains weak¹², the number needed to treat and lack of evidence for reduction in needing oxygen use or improvement in hospital length of stay has led to the strong recommendation not to use in any subgroup.

Our study is strengthened by the ability to analyse LVC practices in a large volume of patients. As it is reproducible, change over time can be easily measured and incorporated into audit and feedback tools to frontline clinicians as a key intervention to reduce LVC. We also considered potential harm arising from missed diagnoses through the review of 98 CXRs by a radiologist blinded to the order indication or report. This provides reassurance that a change in practice is unlikely to result in significant missed diagnoses.

However, our study has some limitations. All EMR data rely upon accurate input from clinicians. We used the EMR ED discharge diagnosis of 'bronchiolitis' to identify the patient cohort. Other ED diagnosis categories such as 'viral infection', 'wheeze', 'poor feeding' may have been applied by the clinician. Because of this, our data are likely to under-represent the true population of infants presenting to our hospital with bronchiolitis. A further limitation of this study design is the inability to draw casual associations given the cross-sectional nature of the data.

This study demonstrates significant variation from evidence-based practice in a tertiary academic paediatric centre. Next steps for our institution will include an audit and feedback tool to frontline clinicians providing their own data against benchmarks to promote more reflective ordering practices. As with any guideline, implementation must be supported by education and peer support to junior doctors to ensure evidence based medicine is provided to all patients. Given the strong recommendation around bronchodilator use, a pop-up decision support message in the EMR will be instituted and monitored for effect. Popup decision aids

in the EMR have proven successful and cost-effective interventions in other emergency presentations²⁰ and are widely used in many systems to support safe prescribing practices²¹. The main risk to this intervention is alert fatigue resulting from a high frequency of alerts and leading to clinician frustration and a tendency to ignore all alerts, even those which present critical safety information²¹. Alert usage will be closely monitored following implementation. Clinicians at our hospital tend to underuse the bronchiolitis EMR workflow and as such, we would be reliant on an alert for all infants under 1 year. Such an alert will be difficult to implement in order to reduce CXR ordering, because there are many valid indications for CXR in infants outside of bronchiolitis (eg cardiac, congenital defects, neuromuscular conditions).

Interventions targeting parental expectations (e.g. shared care decision supports around LVC practices) and clinician fear of litigation (e.g. statements from the hospital executive supporting clinicians to not conduct LVC practices) may also need to be implemented and evaluated if we are to reduce LVC practices in our hospitals. Importantly, this project has demonstrated the power of EMR to analyse large datasets. Moreover, the ability to continuously audit by running the same report monthly will allow us to easily measure change and effectiveness of interventions. The EMR has proven itself invaluable in analysing low value care practices at our institution.

References

1. Roche P, Lambert S, Spencer J. Surveillance of viral pathogens in Australia: respiratory syncytial virus. *Commun Dis Intell Q Rep*. 2003;27(1):117-22.
2. Royal Australasian College of Physicians PaCHd. [Available from: <https://evolve.edu.au/docs/default-source/default-document-library/download-the-paediatric-and-child-health-division---general-paediatrics-top-5-list.pdf?sfvrsn=0>.
3. Levinson W, Kallewaard M, Bhatia RS, Wolfson D, Shortt S, Kerr EA, et al. 'Choosing Wisely': a growing international campaign. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2015;24(2):167-74.
4. Schuh S, Lalani A, Allen U, Manson D, Babyn P, Stephens D, et al. Evaluation of the utility of radiography in acute bronchiolitis. *J Pediatr*. 2007;150(4):429-33.
5. National Institute for H, Care E. Bronchiolitis: Diagnosis and Management of Bronchiolitis in Children. Clinical Guideline NG 9. Bronchiolitis: Diagnosis and Management of Bronchiolitis in Children. 2015.
6. Ralston SL, Lieberthal AS, Meissner HC, Alverson BK, Baley JE, Gadomski AM, et al. Clinical practice guideline: the diagnosis, management, and prevention of bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(5):e1474-502.
7. O'Brien S, Wilson S, Gill FJ, Cotterell E, Borland ML, Oakley E, et al. The management of children with bronchiolitis in the Australasian hospital setting: development of a clinical practice guideline. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2018;18(1):22.
8. Burstein B, Plint AC, Papenburg J. Use of Radiography in Patients Diagnosed as Having Acute Bronchiolitis in US Emergency Departments, 2007-2015. *JAMA*. 2018;320(15):1598-600.
9. Schuh S, Babl FE, Dalziel SR, Freedman SB, Macias CG, Stephens D, et al. Practice Variation in Acute Bronchiolitis: A Pediatric Emergency Research Networks Study. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(6).
10. Tyler A, Krack P, Bakel LA, O'Hara K, Scudamore D, Topoz I, et al. Interventions to Reduce Over-Utilized Tests and Treatments in Bronchiolitis. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(6).
11. Breakell R, Thorndyke B, Clennett J, Harkensee C. Reducing unnecessary chest X-rays, antibiotics and bronchodilators through implementation of the NICE bronchiolitis guideline. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2018;177(1):47-51.
12. Gadomski AM, Scribani MB. Bronchodilators for bronchiolitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014(6):CD001266.
13. Oakley E, Brys T, Borland M, Neutze J, Phillips N, Krieser D, et al. Medication use in infants admitted with bronchiolitis. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2018;30(3):389-97.
14. Parikh K, Hall M, Teach SJ. Bronchiolitis management before and after the AAP guidelines. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(1):e1-7.
15. Dawson KP, Long A, Kennedy J, Mogridge N. The chest radiograph in acute bronchiolitis. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 1990;26(4):209-11.
16. Neuman MI, Monuteaux MC, Scully KJ, Bachur RG. Prediction of pneumonia in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(2):246-53.
17. M Hardy SB. *Paediatric Radiography*. . Blackwell Science Ltd. ; 2003. p. 57.
18. Hopstaken RM, Witbraad T, van Engelshoven JM, Dinant GJ. Inter-observer variation in the interpretation of chest radiographs for pneumonia in community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections. *Clin Radiol*. 2004;59(8):743-52.

19. Halsted MJ, Kumar H, Paquin JJ, Poe SA, Bean JA, Racadio JM, et al. Diagnostic errors by radiology residents in interpreting pediatric radiographs in an emergency setting. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2004;34(4):331-6.
20. Mills E, Craig S, Oakley E. Effect of a Computerized Reminder on Splinting of Pediatric Upper Limb Fractures in the Emergency Department. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2016;32(10):717-22.
21. Cash JJ. Alert fatigue. *Am J Health Syst Pharm*. 2009;66(23):2098-101.

Table 1 – proportions of CXR use by discharge disposition.

Discharge Disposition	Number receiving CXR	Total Presentations	% of Presentations ordered a CXR
Home	71	2189	3.2
ED Short Stay Unit	37	334	11.1
General Medical Short Stay	112	808	13.8
General Medical Ward	218	557	39.1
Hospital in the Home	0	4	0
Transfer to another Hospital	1	5	20
TOTAL	439	3892	

Table 2 – Order indications by theme

Indication	Number	%
Consolidation/ collapse	67	65
Nasogastric tube placement	14	13.5

Foreign body/ aspiration	8	7.8
Pneumothorax	4	3.9
Cardiac size/ pathology	4	3.9
Underlying structural concern	3	2.9
Other	3	2.9

JPC_14602_JCPH Figure 1.png

Bronchiolitis at a Specialist Paediatric centre – the Electronic Medical Record helps to evaluate low value care

Original Article

Lawrence JG^{1,2,3}, Andrew L¹, Bracken J⁴, Voskoboynik A¹, Oakley E^{2,3,5}, South M^{1,2,3}, Middleton K^{1,2,3}, Scanlan B^{1,2,3}, Marshall T⁶, Hiscock H^{2,3,7}.

1. Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
2. Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne VIC Australia
3. Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
4. Medical Imaging Department, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
5. Emergency Department, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
6. Strategy and Improvement, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia
7. Health Services Research Unit, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne VIC Australia

Corresponding Author: Joanna Lawrence
RCH, Flemington Rd, Parkville 3052, VIC, Australia
Joanna.lawrence@rch.org.au
Ph (03) 93456479

Acknowledgements;

MCRI is supported by the Victorian Government's Operational Infrastructure Support Program.
Prof Harriet Hiscock is supported by an NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship 1136222
Dr Joanna Lawrence and Tim Marshall are supported by a Choosing Wisely Grant through Better Care Victoria

Conflicts of Interest; Nil identified

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Lawrence, JG;Andrew, L;Bracken, J;Voskoboynik, A;Oakley, E;South, M;Middleton, K;Scanlan, B;Marshall, T;Hiscock, H

Title:

Bronchiolitis at a specialist paediatric centre: The electronic medical record helps to evaluate low-value care

Date:

2019-08-25

Citation:

Lawrence, J. G., Andrew, L., Bracken, J., Voskoboynik, A., Oakley, E., South, M., Middleton, K., Scanlan, B., Marshall, T. & Hiscock, H. (2019). Bronchiolitis at a specialist paediatric centre: The electronic medical record helps to evaluate low-value care. JOURNAL OF PAEDIATRICS AND CHILD HEALTH, 56 (2), pp.304-308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.14602>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/286327>