DR. AMY L. MACNEILL (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-1690-3787)

Article type : Review Article

Title: The Utility of Diagnostic Tests for Immune-mediated Hemolytic Anemia

Short Title: Diagnosis of IMHA

Authors: Members of the Veterinary and Comparative Clinical Immunology Society Diagnostic Task Force: Amy L. MacNeill,¹ Julien Dandrieux,² George Lubas³ Davis Seelig,⁴ Balázs Szladovits⁵

¹Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

²Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, Translational Research and Animal Clinical Trial Study (TRACTS) Group, The University of Melbourne, Werribee, Victoria, Australia

³Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

⁴Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

⁵Department of Pathobiology and Population Sciences, The Royal Veterinary College, University of London, Hertfordshire, UK

Correspondence: Amy L. MacNeill, 300 W. Drake Rd., Fort Collins, CO, USA 80523.

amy.macneill@colostate.edu

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/VCP.12771](https://doi.org/10.1111/VCP.12771)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Abstract

Background: A definitive diagnosis of immune-mediated hemolytic anemia (IMHA) can be difficult to make. However, it is critical to differentiate IMHA from other causes of anemia due to the impact on prognosis and outcome for IMHA patients. Recently published American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine recommendations for the diagnosis of IMHA should be followed to concurrently confirm ongoing anemia, verify *in vivo* hemolysis, and detect anti-erythrocyte antibodies. The reliability of immunologic IMHA tests varies depending on which test is used and how it is performed.

Objectives: Our aims were to determine which tests are currently used in veterinary medicine to diagnose IMHA and to review the utility of assays that have historically been used to diagnose IMHA.

Methods: A short survey was designed to see which diagnostic tests for IMHA were currently being used by veterinary practices. The survey was distributed *via* list-serves to veterinarians and veterinary technologists. A literature review was performed to report the utility of diagnostic tests for the diagnosis of IMHA.

Results: Survey respondents indicated variability in test protocols used to diagnose IMHA. Most respondents perform saline agglutination or Coombs' tests to detect anti-erythrocyte antibodies. Additional tests that can be used to support a diagnosis of IMHA are discussed in this review.

Conclusions: A standardized diagnostic approach should be followed to differentiate IMHA from other causes of anemia. Test methodology can vary from one laboratory to another, and clinicians should be familiar with the procedures used by their laboratory.

Key Words: Agglutination, Anti-erythrocyte antibody, Coombs', Diagnosis, Spherocytosis

Introduction

Immune-mediated hemolytic anemia (IMHA) is commonly diagnosed in canine patients with hemolytic anemia. It is a less prevalent, but an equally important cause of hemolytic anemia in cats, horses, and cattle.^{1,2} While there are certain clinicopathologic findings supportive of an IMHA diagnosis (e.g., peripheral blood spherocytosis, RBC agglutination, demonstration of immunoglobulins attached to RBC membranes), the diagnosis of IMHA is often presumptive. Misdiagnosis of IMHA is problematic because of important differences in treatment decisions and prognostic consequences for patients with IMHA as compared with other causes of anemia.³ Additionally, it is critical to evaluate patients that have IMHA for underlying diseases that drive the immune-mediated response. This article summarizes the results of

a short survey on the use of diagnostic tests for IMHA in veterinary medicine and reviews the utility of diagnostic tests for IMHA.

Diagnosis of IMHA

In anemic patients, the mechanism causing the anemia (decreased RBC production, hemorrhage, or hemolysis) needs to be determined. A CBC (including quantitative data and a qualitative blood film review) provides fundamental information needed for the assessment of anemia. Anemia can be classified as either regenerative or nonregenerative based on quantification of the reticulocytosis using an absolute reticulocyte concentration or corrected reticulocyte percentage in the sample. If the anemia is regenerative, blood loss or hemolytic anemia should be considered. Blood loss anemia can often be differentiated from hemolytic anemia using clinical examination findings and additional clinicopathologic data. Specifically, acute hemorrhage results in loss of serum proteins and, consequently, decreased serum albumin and globulin concentrations. Although blood loss typically results in a strongly regenerative anemia, chronic hemorrhage can cause a poorly regenerative anemia if blood loss leads to iron depletion, since the resultant iron-restricted erythropoiesis impedes reticulocyte production. It is important to assess patients for occult blood loss as gastrointestinal and urinary blood loss can be easily missed. While the majority of IMHA patients present with a regenerative anemia, this initial classification is not a certainty. IMHA can be nonregenerative or pre-regenerative if there has been insufficient time for a clearly regenerative response to occur (anemia present < 5-7 days)⁴ or if immune-mediated destruction of erythroid precursors is occurring (“precursor-targeted immune-mediated anemia”).⁵

It is vital to document that true *in vivo* hemolysis is occurring in patients with IMHA. Accordingly, proper collection of blood samples is critical to avoid *ex vivo* hemolysis, which can lead to false decreases in RBC concentration, hematocrit (HCT), and packed cell volume (PCV); false increases in mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC); variable alterations in serum bilirubin concentrations (depending on the methodology used); and can also interfere with several other serum chemistry values.⁶ Diagnostic test results that support *in vivo* hemolysis are provided in **Table 1**.

To distinguish IMHA from other causes of anemia, an American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine (ACVIM) consensus statement recommends documenting all three of the following diagnostic findings as minimum criteria to diagnose IMHA in dogs:⁷

- 1) Decreased packed cell volume (PCV)
- 2) At least one of the following abnormalities supportive of hemolysis: erythrocyte ghost cells, hyperbilirubinemia, bilirubinuria, icterus, hemoglobinemia, or hemoglobinuria
- 3) A positive saline agglutination test that persists with washing or at least two of the following:
 - a) A positive saline agglutination test without washing
 - b) ≥ 5 spherocytes per 1000 $\times$ microscopic field
 - c) Detection of anti-erythrocyte antibodies by a Coombs' test or flow cytometry

Note that erythrocyte ghost cell numbers can markedly increase *ex vivo*, so examination of a freshly made smear (at the time of blood collection) is recommended to assess this morphologic abnormality.⁶

A short survey (Appendix A) to determine how tests are being used to diagnose IMHA was sent to an undetermined number of people in Australia, Canada, Europe, and the United States *via* list-serves and email messages. Veterinary practitioners in both general practice and specialty hospitals, veterinary clinical pathologists, and medical technologists and technicians in clinical pathology laboratories were asked to reply. Respondents were not asked to self-identify. Ninety-four people completed at least the first question of the survey.

The hematology parameters that > 75% of the respondents said were provided with a CBC are listed in Figure 1. Seventy-two people (77%) indicated that, when needed, a saline agglutination test was included with CBC results. An additional 13 respondents (14%) did not include a saline agglutination test as part of a CB, but as an additional test. Seventy-two people (77%) recommended a test to detect anti-erythrocyte antibodies (saline agglutination test, Coombs test, and/or flow cytometry). Additional tests that were recommended by > 15 % of respondents included a biochemistry panel (66%), screening for tick-borne diseases (60%), urinalysis (52%), and diagnostic imaging (34%).

Several tests have been used to aid in the diagnosis of IMHA, none of which are 100% sensitive and specific. Differences in the protocols used to perform diagnostic tests for IMHA can dramatically affect the performance of the test.^{8,9} Tests for IMHA must account for physical properties associated with antibody-antigen interactions, one of which is temperature-dependent antibody-antigen binding.¹⁰ Therefore, the Coombs' test is often carried out at both 37°C and 4°C.¹¹ Additionally, inclusion criteria for IMHA patients vary between references, which can alter the assessment of test performance. Most studies evaluating diagnostic tests for canine IMHA list auto-agglutination or spherocytosis as inclusion

criteria. However, Reimer *et al.* (1999) concluded that 2/70 (3%) canine patients with IMHA did not have auto-agglutination or spherocytosis, nor did they have polychromasia.¹²

Genetics

Several dog breeds have a higher incidence of IMHA than the general canine population including; cocker spaniels,^{12–15} English springer spaniels,¹² miniature schnauzers,^{13,15} and old English sheepdogs¹⁶; however, a specific gene association with IMHA has not been found. A few studies have associated the presence of specific major histocompatibility complex alleles in dogs with the occurrence of immune-mediated disease, but the predictive value of these associations remains uncertain.^{17–19} The frequency of specific dog erythrocyte antigens found during blood typing was not significantly different in 33 dogs with IMHA as compared to 1,014 dogs without IMHA.²⁰ Therefore, expectedly, genetic testing was not recommended as an additional test for IMHA by survey respondents.

Non-specific Tests for Antibodies

Two proteins, staphylococcal protein A (SpA) and papain, have been used in several papers to document increased immunoglobulin in serum samples. SpA is an immunoglobulin binding protein produced by *Staphylococcus aureus* that has been shown to be more sensitive than indirect antiglobulin tests in dogs with IMHA.²¹ Papain is an enzyme that digests antibodies into three 50 kDa segments comprised of one fragment crystallizable (Fc) and two fragment antigen-binding (Fab) regions. When using a papain test to detect anti-erythrocyte antibodies, false-positive results occur in up to 3/16 (19%) of dogs.²² One study tested 23 papain positive anemic dogs with direct and indirect antiglobulin tests; 8/23 (35%) dogs were positive using a direct test and none were positive using an indirect test.²³ Due to the non-specific nature of SpA and papain reactions, they are no longer recommended as diagnostic tests for IMHA and unsurprisingly were not listed as additional tests used by survey respondents.

Auto-agglutination

Red blood cell agglutination should raise suspicion for IMHA (**Fig. 2**). To determine whether agglutination is likely related to the presence of antibody or complement on the surface of the red blood cell (i.e., auto-agglutination), a saline agglutination test should be performed. It is important to note that artifactual agglutination/rouleaux is not dispersed in all patients using a 1:1 dilution. However, if agglutination persists after washing erythrocytes at least three times in isotonic or phosphate-buffered saline, it is likely that the erythrocyte clumping is true agglutination rather than rouleaux formation. The

occurrence of auto-agglutination in dogs with IMHA varies from 42% to 86%.^{12–16} The number of patients with a positive saline agglutination test is decreased when erythrocytes are washed extensively.^{24,25} There is some evidence that the decrease in positive saline agglutination tests with washing is due to a reduction in false-positive results,²⁵ but increased numbers of false-negative results should also be considered.

Seventy respondents indicated whether they evaluated saline agglutination tests macroscopically or microscopically: 34 looked for microscopic agglutination, 29 looked for macroscopic and microscopic agglutination, and seven looked at the sample macroscopically only. Sixty-three people indicated how they dilute blood samples for a saline agglutination test: 31 performed a 1:4 dilution, 24 performed a 1:1 dilution, and eight performed both a 1:1 and a 1:4 dilution of the sample. Of the 28 respondents who indicated whether they wash RBCs for the saline agglutination test, 16 used unwashed RBCs, 11 used washed RBCs, and one used both.

Erythron

Spherocytosis is often used as an inclusion criterion for studies of dogs with IMHA and was included in CBC data by 90/94 (96%) of survey respondents (Fig. 1). However, IMHA also can be non-spherocytic, as the occurrence of spherocytosis in dogs with IMHA ranges from 61% to 95%.^{12,13,15,16,24,26} Spherocytes are generally only recognized in dogs (owing to the pronounced central pallor of their erythrocytes). In contrast, spherocytes are rarely identified with certainty in cats, horses, and cattle since erythrocytes from these animals lack central pallor on blood smears.

Spherocytosis and some other RBC membrane abnormalities can cause increased erythrocyte fragility.²⁷ The osmotic fragility of erythrocytes can be tested by diluting whole blood in progressively decreasing concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl), incubating the samples for 30 minutes at room-temperature, recording absorbance of the samples at 540 nm, and then creating a data curve assuming that the lowest NaCl concentration causes 100% hemolysis.²⁷ RBC hemolysis occurs as a result of a loss of osmotic regulation and volume control, which is exacerbated in a number of RBC disorders. Increased RBC hemolysis during osmotic fragility testing is commonly reported in spherocytic conditions (e.g., IMHA), but can be seen in spectrin deficiency,²⁸ hereditary stomatocytocytosis,²⁹ intestinal parasite-associated microcytosis, *Babesia canis* infection,³⁰ or non-hemolytic samples that are lipemic. Erythrocyte fragility testing is not commonly available to practitioners but can provide support for

ongoing hemolysis.³¹ One study observed increased erythrocyte fragility in 15/15 (100%) direct antiglobulin test (DAT) positive and 4/12 (33%) DAT negative anemic dogs.²⁵ None (0/91) of the survey respondents indicated that they recommended osmotic fragility testing for patients suspected of having IMHA.

Most patients with IMHA have a moderate to marked regenerative anemia. A reticulocyte count was listed as a component of a CBC by 77/94 (82%) of the survey respondents (Fig. 1). The occurrence of reticulocytosis in dogs with IMHA ranges from 67% to 82%.^{13,16,26} IMHA patients often have increased numbers of circulating nucleated RBCs and/or Howell-Jolly bodies. Interestingly, reticulocyte hemoglobin (HGB) content was shown to be decreased in 5/14 (36%) dogs with IMHA suggesting that iron-restricted erythropoiesis can be present in some canine IMHA patients.³²

A diagnosis of erythroid hyperplasia in bone marrow samples is a definitive indication of erythrocyte regeneration. It is noteworthy that Weinkle *et al.* (2005) found 23/45 (51%) dogs with IMHA that underwent bone marrow analysis had erythroid hyperplasia.¹³ Similarly, another study that analyzed bone marrow samples from dogs with IMHA observed erythroid hyperplasia in 6/11 (55%) samples.¹⁵ Extramedullary hematopoiesis³³ and secondary myelodysplasia³⁴ also have been reported in dogs with IMHA. Bone marrow evaluation is typically recommended for suspected IMHA patients that have a nonregenerative anemia. Low numbers of survey respondents [6/91 (7%)] indicated that they recommend bone marrow aspiration or biopsy to aid in the diagnosis of IMHA. Two of these respondents specified that this recommendation was warranted in patients with persistent nonregenerative anemia.

Nonregenerative anemia has been reported in 6/23 (26%) canine IMHA patients in one study²⁴ and 6/20 (30%) in another.¹⁵ In a retrospective analysis of dogs with nonregenerative anemia in which a bone marrow sample was clinically indicated, 55/82 (67%) were determined to have IMHA (based on the presence of either Coombs' positivity, auto-agglutination, or > 30% spherocytes).³⁵ In dogs with nonregenerative IMHA, 38/55 (69%) had erythroid hyperplasia, and 17/55 (31%) showed incomplete maturation of the erythroid line.³⁵ In another study of canine patients with a nonregenerative anemia present for more than 5 days, 41/43 (95%) had erythroid hyperplasia in bone marrow samples, 23/43 (54%) had a spherocytosis, and 20/35 (57%) were positive by DAT.³⁶ To determine if dogs with a nonregenerative anemia > 5 days duration have precursor-targeted immune-mediated anemia, bone

marrow should be evaluated for macrophage phagocytosis of erythroid precursors.⁵ Weiss (2008) also evaluated 57 cats with nonregenerative anemia in which bone marrow analysis was indicated. Approximately half of the cats 28/57 (49%) were determined to have IMHA (based upon measurement of a HCT < 20% and either Coombs' positivity or auto-agglutination).³⁵ In cats with IMHA and nonregenerative anemia, 24/28 (86%) had erythroid hyperplasia and 4/28 (14%) showed maturation arrest of the erythroid line.³⁵ In another study, phagocytosis of erythroid precursors and abnormal presence of stainable iron was documented in the bone marrow of cats with both primary and secondary IMHA.³⁷

In cases of IMHA with RBC agglutination in the sample, many of the measured or calculated values of the erythron are often erroneous [e.g., RBC concentration, mean cell volume (MCV), HCT, mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), MCHC, red cell distribution width (RDW)] as impedance counters will count erythrocyte clumps as single and large erythrocytes, which leads to a significant reduction in the numbers of RBCs counted and an increase in the mean size of the cells.⁶ In cases of intravascular hemolysis, HGB concentration is not clinically reliable, as it represents a combination of free (plasma) and RBC HGB. If it is available, the determination of the cell hemoglobin concentration mean (CHCM) using an advanced laser cell counter could help assess RBC HGB.

Leukon

Abnormalities in leukocytes are commonly observed in patients with IMHA. Leukocytosis was reported in 43% to 99% of dogs with IMHA (WBC concentrations in these reports ranged from 5,300 cells/ μ L to 105,700 cells/ μ L).^{12,15,38,39} In one study, decreased survival time of dogs with IMHA was associated with leukocytosis and lymphopenia,⁴⁰ while lymphocytosis was a positive prognostic factor in cats with IMHA.³⁷ Neutrophil left shifts were noted in up to 16/20 (80%) of dogs with IMHA.¹⁵ One paper observed decreased survival rates in dogs with IMHA with band neutrophil concentrations $\geq$ 3000 cells/ μ L.¹³

IMHA patients with inflammatory leukograms typically have acute patterns such as a neutrophilia with a left shift, lymphopenia, eosinopenia, and monocytosis.¹⁵ In severe inflammatory and erythroid regenerative conditions, a leukoerythroblastic pattern can be observed with a highly acute inflammatory leukogram and a high percentage of nucleated RBCs in different stages of maturation.¹⁵ Rubricytosis causes a false increase in the automated WBC concentration that must be corrected mathematically

after the enumeration of nucleated RBCs by blood smear review. This further emphasizes the need for blood smear examination, which can also help with the detection of neutrophil left-shifting and toxicity that can be present in IMHA cases. Sixty-five/94 (69%) survey respondents indicated that blood smear evaluation by a board-certified clinical pathologist was included in CBCs they performed or received (Fig. 1).

Serum Biochemistry and Urinalysis

Abnormalities in biochemical parameters have been associated with the clinical outcomes of IMHA patients (**Table 2**), but not all studies report the same findings. An increase in total bilirubin concentrations was observed in 60% to 100% of dogs with IMHA.^{12,15,26} It is important to note that increased conjugated bilirubin can interfere with phosphorus measurements leading to pseudohypophosphatemia in patients with IMHA.⁴¹ Although not linked to decreased survival, Klag et al. (1993) observed hemoglobinemia and/or hemoglobinuria in 4/42 (10%) dogs with IMHA.²⁶ There are also a few case studies of dogs with IMHA with biochemical abnormalities consistent with distal renal tubular acidosis.⁴² In cats with IMHA, hyperglobulinemia is reported to be a positive prognostic factor.³⁷ Biochemistry profiles were recommended as additional tests for suspected IMHA patients by 60/91 (66%) of survey respondents.

Additional serum protein parameters have been reported to be altered in dogs with IMHA. For example, cardiac troponin I was > 0.1 ng/mL in 20/27 (74%) dogs with IMHA (authors indicated that < 0.1 ng/mL is expected in healthy dogs, but a true reference interval was not provided).⁴³ C-reactive protein was increased in dogs with IMHA at presentation.^{44–46} Alpha-1 acid glycoprotein also was increased in dogs with IMHA, while albumin can be decreased at presentation.⁴⁵ Increased serum concentrations of several cytokines have been reported in dogs with IMHA (n = 20) as compared with six healthy dogs.⁴⁶ Interleukin-15 (IL-15), IL-18, granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor, and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 concentrations were increased in animals with IMHA that died ≤ 30 days after hospital admission.⁴⁶ Similarly, IL-2, IL-6, and tumor necrosis factor-α were present at higher concentrations in dogs with primary IMHA (n = 19) when compared with dogs that had other inflammatory diseases (n = 22) or healthy dogs (n = 32).⁴⁷ In question 4, none of the survey respondents indicated that they recommended these protein assays to help diagnose patients with IMHA.

Thrombon and Coagulation

Thrombocytopenia is reported to occur in 29-70% of dogs with IMHA.^{12,14,15,26,38,39} In a study of 151 dogs, a platelet concentration < 150,000 platelets/ μ L correlated with decreased survival rates.¹³ Also, a decreased mean platelet component concentration was found in dogs with IMHA (n = 95) as compared with healthy dogs (n = 95) or sick canine patients (n = 95)⁴⁸ which could indicate increased platelet activation in IMHA patients.⁴⁹

Considerations for severe thrombocytopenia include a consumptive process [e.g., disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), pulmonary thromboembolism (PTE)] or a concurrent immune-mediated thrombocytopenia (IMT). In humans, concurrent IMHA and IMT have been termed Evan's Syndrome. This disease process likely occurs in dogs; however, the presence of concurrent anti-erythrocyte and anti-platelet antibodies has rarely been documented in veterinary patients.^{50,51} In 38 dogs with both anemia and thrombocytopenia, 18/38 (47%) of patients were positive by DAT for anti-erythrocyte antibodies.⁵² In a similar study of 21 dogs with concurrent anemia and thrombocytopenia, auto-agglutination that persisted after washing was observed 6/21 (29%) dogs, and two of three dogs tested by DAT were positive.⁵³

Several studies have assessed coagulation parameters in dogs with IMHA (**Table 3**). Importantly, increased mortality was observed in dogs with IMHA that had thrombocytopenia, prolonged prothrombin time (PT), prolonged activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), decreased fibrinogen, or DIC.⁴⁰ Reports using thromboelastography determined that 85-100% of dogs with IMHA were hypercoagulable.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ Development of DIC is observed in between 10/31 (28%)¹⁴ and 9/20 (45%)¹⁵ dogs with IMHA. One study reported that thromboemboli were found in 20/25 (80%) IMHA dogs at necropsy.¹⁴ The analysis of coagulation parameters is typically recommended in IMHA patients that have clinical signs of coagulopathy. One survey respondent indicated in Question 4 that they recommended measurement of D-dimers in patients suspected of having IMHA.

Indirect Antiglobulin Tests

Indirect antiglobulin tests are not recommended in veterinary species due to low sensitivities and specificities. When these studies were first evaluated for utility in canine patients with IMHA, the sensitivity and specificity of an indirect antiglobulin test were 62.5% and 96.6%, respectively.⁵⁷ The DAT performed by the same laboratory had a 83.3% sensitivity and 98.8% specificity.⁵⁷ None of the survey participants recommended indirect antiglobulin tests in Question 4.

Direct Antiglobulin Tests (DATs)

Various methods for directly detecting RBC surface-bound anti-erythrocyte immunoglobulin (Ig) and opsonizing complement protein (C3) are available. Of the 72 respondents who recommended a test to diagnose IMHA with anti-erythrocyte antibodies, 66 recommended a Coombs' test, three recommended flow cytometry and a Coombs' test, two recommended flow cytometry alone, and one recommended flow cytometry and a Coombs' test at 4°C.

Coombs' Tests

Coombs' tests are often performed using a microtiter plate format. Additional methods include gel-based microcolumn, immunochromatographic strip, and capillary DAT assays. Good agreement has been reported between results of the Coombs' test and these methods.²⁵ False-positive DAT results have been reported in anemic dogs. In theory, false-positive results could be due to technical difficulties (e.g., nonspecific absorption of the antibody, incomplete washing, contamination, assignment of an inappropriate cut off) but patient factors are critical to consider. Dogs that recently received a transfusion can have a positive DAT.^{8,58} Also, dogs with an autoimmune disease that are positive for antinuclear antibodies have been reported to be DAT positive without conclusive evidence of IMHA.⁵⁹ Additionally, horses with equine infectious anemia can have a positive Coombs' test.⁶⁰

The Coombs' test uses species-specific antibodies to detect Ig and/or C3 bound to erythrocytes in a patient blood sample. A positive test results in RBC agglutination. Sixty-five of the people surveyed specified if they recommended a Coombs' test when auto-agglutination was observed, 50 people recommended a Coombs' test if no auto-agglutination was seen (7 specified use of a microtiter plate, and 2 recommended an immunochromatographic strip DAT assay). Fifteen people recommended a Coombs' test with or without auto-agglutination (1 specified the use of a microtiter plate, and another recommended the use of a strip DAT assay). The authors agree with the recent ACVIM consensus statement,⁷ which indicates that a Coombs' test is unnecessary if true auto-agglutination that persists after washing is present.

When a Coombs' test is warranted, polyvalent and monovalent test antibodies are available. These antibodies are pre-adsorbed onto RBCs from healthy dogs before use in the test. False-negative results can occur with either type of test antibody; therefore, including both polyvalent and monovalent

antibodies in a Coombs' test can be beneficial.¹¹ Including both polyvalent and monovalent antibodies increased test performance in a study that reported a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 95% when antibodies were combined.⁸

The antibody binding reaction is temperature-dependent, so it is recommended that testing is performed at both 37°C and 4°C.¹¹ Thirty people specified that they ran a Coombs' test at 37°C, 11 respondents performed a Coombs' test at 37°C and 4°C, 1 respondent performed the test at 37°C and room temperature, and (as mentioned above) one person performed flow cytometry plus a Coombs' test at 4°C.

Also, prozone effects are commonly reported when agglutination is not observed at low serum dilutions (i.e., high antibody concentrations) but is observed at higher serum dilutions (i.e., low antibody concentrations). This is due to the presence of excess immunoglobulins that interfere with agglutination induced by the interactions of the test antibodies with Ig and C3 on the RBCs.⁶¹ This improper test antibody to anti-RBC Ig ratio leads to false-negative results if there are not enough serial serum dilutions tested. A prozone effect was observed in 17/126 (13%) samples tested by Piek *et al.* (2012).⁶²

The reported performance of Coombs' tests vary, likely due to different samples, protocols, and test reagents that are used at different laboratories. Important positive control samples for the Coombs' test include Ig-coated and complement-coated canine RBCs, but these reagents are not readily available.⁹ It has been reported that the use of whole blood in EDTA or acid citrate dextrose (ACD) yields similar results; however, ACD anticoagulants were preferred in one study because of increased sample hemolysis in EDTA.⁹ False-negative results that reduce the sensitivity, and negative predictive value (NPV) of the Coombs' test can be caused by physical properties of the test antibodies (e.g., low antibody affinity, an inappropriate antibody ratio, steric hindrance), poor technique (e.g., excessive washing, delayed processing, assignment of an inappropriate cut-off), or patient factors (drug-dependent reactions, blood transfusions, steroid administration).⁸

In dogs with IMHA, one study reported that a low percentage, 17/46 (37%), of patients had positive Coombs' test results,¹² but other studies indicated that 77% of dogs with IMHA were positive.^{13,14} In a small study of 12 dogs, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and NPV of the Coombs' test was 58%, 100%, 100%, and 62%, respectively.⁶³ Similarly, Quigley *et al.* (2001) calculated a

PPV of 100% and an NPV of 68%.⁶⁴ In a study of cats with IMHA, 2/89 (2%) healthy cats were reported to have a strongly positive Coombs' test at 37°C.⁶⁵ Another study reported that 0/14 (0%) nonanemic cats and 18/55 (33%) anemic cats were Coombs' positive.⁶⁶ Of the 18 Coombs' positive cats, 15 were diagnosed with primary IMHA, two were feline leukemia virus positive (FeLV), and one had cholangiohepatitis.⁶⁶ An older manuscript indicated a weak positive Coombs' test at 4°C in 9/20 (45%) healthy cats and a positive Coombs' test at 4°C and 37°C in 16/20 (80%) anemic cats (12 of the Coombs' positive anemic cats were FeLV positive).⁶⁷

It is expected that transfusion reactions can cause positive DAT results.^{58,68,69} Honeckman *et al.* indicated that transfusions given 3 to 21 days before a Coombs' test could be particularly problematic.⁵⁸ However, only one study was found that reported DAT test results in seven dogs that had been given a recent transfusion; results of two DAT test kits were reported.⁸ Samples were interpreted as truly positive for five dogs (by at least one Coomb's test kit), falsely positive for one dog, and falsely negative for one dog. This study did not specify the length of time between when the transfusion was given and when the diagnostic testing was done. Interestingly, in humans, blood typing is recommended either prior to transfusion or a minimum of 3 months after transfusion to avoid erroneous results.⁷⁰

Ninety-one of the 94 survey respondents indicated if they would interpret any diagnostic results with caution following a transfusion. The timeframe of concern for people who interpreted results with more caution varied (Fig. 3). It is evident from this small survey that there is uncertainty if recent transfusion would cause false-positive results in CBC, agglutination, or DAT assays.

Flow Cytometry Methods

Flow cytometry can also be used to detect immunoglobulins bound to RBCs. One of the first evaluations of flow cytometry as a diagnostic test for IMHA compared data from 12 dogs and three horses with IMHA to 12 healthy animals from each respective species.⁶³ They reported low specificity of a goat anti-equine IgG but 100% specificity of goat anti-equine IgG F(ab')₂ fragment in their assay.⁶³ In dogs, by pooling anti-canine IgG, IgM, IgA, and C3 antibodies, the sensitivity of the test was 100%, specificity was 87.5%, PPV was 92%, and NPV was 100%.⁶³ There was no prozone effect with this assay.⁶³ Quigley *et al.* (2001) reported a PPV of 100%, and a NPV of 93% when they used flow cytometry to evaluate 13 dogs with IMHA and 13 healthy dogs.⁶⁴ In 2008, Morley *et al.* published an assessment of the utility of flow cytometry to detect anti-erythrocyte antibodies in dogs.⁷¹ They found 26/147 (18%) anemic patients

had detectable anti-erythrocyte antibodies. This included 17/22 (77%) IMHA patients, 5/14 (36%) IMT patients, and 3/71 (4%) cancer patients. However, 12/145 (8%) nonanemic dogs also were positive for anti-erythrocyte antibodies, which included 3/5 (60%) patients with infectious disease and 5/81 (6%) cancer patients, and the test had a PPV of 70% and NPV of 95%.

Direct Enzyme-Linked Antiglobulin Tests (DELATs)

Immunoglobulins and C3 bound to RBCs are detected by comparing the absorbance of patient samples to healthy control animals in the DELAT. Early evaluation of a DELAT indicated that 1 mg/mL p-nitrophenyl phosphate in carbonate buffer is the preferred substrate for the reaction, however false-positive results were observed in 31/60 (52%) dogs tested.⁷² Another evaluation of DELAT performance yielded comparable results with a Coombs' test; 12/23 (52%) samples were Coombs' positive, while 13/23 (57%) were DELAT positive.⁷³ To the authors' knowledge, this test for IMHA is no longer readily available.

Guidelines for Performing Diagnostic Tests for IMHA

As mentioned previously, technical difficulties and test protocols can profoundly affect the performance of diagnostic tests for IMHA. Tests performed at 4°C, room temperature, and 37°C can provide different results. This document includes two example protocols for saline agglutination testing (Appendix B) and an example of Coombs' testing (Appendix C). In different diagnostic laboratories, it is expected that these protocols will be performed differently but that proper procedures will yield adequate results.

Conclusions

Making a definitive diagnosis of IMHA can be difficult due to the variability in patient presentation and diagnostic test performance. Recommended tests for diagnosing IMHA in anemic patients include: 1) a CBC with verified reticulocyte count, manual PCV with assessment of plasma color, and microscopic examination of a (preferably fresh) blood smear, 2) serum chemistry profile, 3) urinalysis, 4) saline agglutination test (preferably with washing), 5) Coombs' test or flow cytometric analysis if the saline agglutination test is negative, 6) coagulation testing for thrombocytopenic patients and patients with clinical signs of coagulopathy, and 7) tests to determine if any underlying disease is present (e.g., drug or toxin exposure, infection, inflammation, neoplasia, other autoimmune diseases). Our survey proved that clinicians choose different tests to diagnose IMHA and that laboratories perform tests differently. Therefore, it is recommended that veterinarians contact a clinical pathologist or technicians or

technologist at the diagnostic laboratory they use to obtain details about the reliability of specific tests being performed to diagnose IMHA.

References:

1. Day MJ, Stokol T, Seino KK. Chapters 33-35. In: Weiss DJ, Wardrop KJ, eds. *Shalm's Veterinary Hematology*. 6th ed. Ames, Iowa: Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010.
2. McCullough S. Immune-mediated hemolytic anemia: Understanding the nemesis. *Vet Clin North Am - Small Anim Pract*. 2003;33(6):1295-1315. doi:10.1016/j.cvsm.2003.08.003
3. Skelly B, Swann J. Canine autoimmune hemolytic anemia: management challenges. *Vet Med Res Reports*. 2016;Volume 7:101-112. doi:10.2147/VMRR.S81869
4. Steele BYBF. The Effects of Blood Loss and Blood Destruction Upon the Erythroid Cells in the Bone Marrow of Rabbits. *Erythroid Cells Bone Marrow Lilly Lab Clin Res Indiana Univ Sch Med Indianap*. 1932:881-896.
5. Lucidi C de A, de Rezende CLE, Jutkowitz LA, Scott MA. Histologic and cytologic bone marrow findings in dogs with suspected precursor-targeted immune-mediated anemia and associated phagocytosis of erythroid precursors. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2017;46(3):401-415. doi:10.1111/vcp.12502
6. Stockham S, Scott M. *Fundamentals of Veterinary Clinical Pathology*. 2nd ed. Ames, Iowa: Blackwell Publishing; 2008.
7. Garden OAO, Kidd L, Mexas AAM, et al. ACVIM consensus statement on the diagnosis of immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs and cats. *J Vet Intern Med*. February 2019. doi:10.1111/jvim.15441
8. Overmann JA, Sharkey LC, Weiss DJ, Borjesson DL. Performance of 2 microtiter canine Coombs' tests. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2007;36(2):179-183. doi:10.1111/j.1939-165X.2007.tb00205.x
9. Jones DRE, Gruffydd-Jones TJ, Stokes CR, Bourne FJ. Investigation into factors influencing performance of the canine antiglobulin test. *Res Vet Sci*. 1990;48:53-58.
10. Reverberi R, Reverberi L. Factors affecting the antigen-antibody reaction. *Blood Transfus*. 2007;5(4):227-240. doi:10.2450/2007.0047-07
11. Warman SM, Murray JK, Ridyard A, Eastwood J, Silva S, Day MJ. Pattern of Coombs' test reactivity has diagnostic significance in dogs with immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *J Small Anim Pract*. 2008;49(10):525-530. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5827.2008.00641.x
12. Reimer ME, Troy GC, Warnick LD. Immune-mediated hemolytic anemia: 70 cases (1988-1996). *J*

- Am Anim Hosp Assoc. 1999;35(5):384-391. doi:10.5326/15473317-35-5-384
13. Weinkle TK, Center S a, Randolph JF, Warner KL, Barr SC, Erb HN. Evaluation of prognostic factors, survival rates, and treatment protocols for immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs: 151 cases (1993-2002). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2005;226(11):1869-1880. doi:10.2460/javma.2005.226.1869
14. Carr AR, Panciera DL, Kidd L. Prognostic factors for mortality and thromboembolism in canine immune-mediated hemolytic anemia: A retrospective study of 72 dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2002;16(5):504-509. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2002.tb02378.x
15. Scott-Moncrieff J, Treadwell N, McCullough S, Brooks M. Hemostatic abnormalities in dogs with primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2001;37(3):220-227. doi:10.5326/15473317-37-3-220
16. Mills JN, Day MJ, Shaw SE, Penhale WJ. Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia in dogs. *Aust Vet J*. 1985;62(4):121-123.
17. FriedenberG SG, Buhrman G, Chdid L, et al. Evaluation of a DLA-79 allele associated with multiple immune-mediated diseases in dogs. *Immunogenetics*. 2016;68(3):205-217. doi:10.1007/s00251-015-0894-6
18. Threlfall AJ, Boag AM, Soutter F, Glanemann B, Syme HM, Catchpole B. Analysis of DLA-DQB1 and polymorphisms in CTLA4 in Cocker spaniels affected with immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *Canine Genet Epidemiol*. 2015;2:8. doi:10.1186/s40575-015-0020-y
19. Kennedy LJ, Barnes A, Ollier WER, Day MJ. Association of a common dog leucocyte antigen class II haplotype with canine primary immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *Tissue Antigens*. 2006;68(6):502-508. doi:10.1111/j.1399-0039.2006.00715.x
20. Miller SA, Hohenhaus AE, Hale AS. Case-control study of blood type, breed, sex, and bacteremia in dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2004;224(2):232-235.
21. Kaplan A V, Quimby FW. A radiolabeled staphylococcal protein a assay for detection of anti-erythrocyte IgG in warm agglutinin autoimmune hemolytic anemia of dogs and man. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol*. 1983;4:307-317. doi:10.1016/0926-6410(93)90001-L
22. Barker RN, Jones DRE. Effects of papain on the agglutination of canine red cells with serum autoantibodies. *Res Vet Sci*. 1993;55(2):156-161. doi:10.1016/0034-5288(93)90075-Q
23. Jones DR. Use of an enzyme indirect antiglobulin test for the diagnosis of autoimmune haemolytic anaemia in the dog. *Res Vet Sci*. 1986;41(2):187-190.
24. Engelbrecht R, Kohn B, Leibold W, Giger U. Clinical findings, diagnostics and treatment results in

- primary and secondary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dog. *Kleintierpraxis*. 2002;47(5):265-278.
25. Caviezel LL, Raj K, Giger U. Comparison of 4 direct coombs' test methods with polyclonal antiglobulins in anemic and nonanemic dogs for in-clinic or laboratory use. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2014;28(2):583-591. doi:10.1111/jvim.12292
26. Klag AR, Giger U, Shofer FS. Idiopathic immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs: 42 cases (1986-1990). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 1993;202:783-788.
27. Paes G, Paepe D, Meyer E, et al. The use of the rapid osmotic fragility test as an additional test to diagnose canine immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *Acta Vet Scand*. 2013;55(1):74. doi:10.1186/1751-0147-55-74
28. Slappendel RJ, Van Zwieten R, Van Leeuwen M, Schneijdenberg CTWM. Hereditary spectrin deficiency in golden retriever dogs. *J Vet Intern Med*. 2005;19(2):187-192. doi:10.1892/0891-6640(2005)19<187:HSDIGR>2.0.CO;2
29. Bonfanti U, Comazzi S, Paltrinieri S, Bertazzolo W. Stomatocytosis in 7 related Standard Schnauzers. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2004;33(4):234-239.
30. Makinde MO, Bobade PA. Osmotic fragility of erythrocytes in clinically normal dogs and dogs infected with parasites. *Res Vet Sci*. 1994;57(3):343-348.
31. Paltrinieri S, Comazzi S, Agnes F. Haematological parameters and altered erythrocyte metabolism in anaemic dogs. *J Comp Pathol*. 2000;122(1):25-34. doi:10.1053/jcpa.1999.0339
32. Schaefer DMW, Stokol T. Retrospective study of reticulocyte indices as indicators of iron-restricted erythropoiesis in dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Diagnostic Invest*. 2016;28(3):304-308. doi:10.1177/1040638715618231
33. Brenner K, Pohlman L, Muldowney I, Petersen D, Schermerhorn T. Peritoneal EMH in a Dog with Immune-Mediated Hemolytic Anemia. *J Am Anim Hosp Assoc*. 2013;49(5):329-332. doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-5869
34. Weiss DJ, Aird B. Cytologic Evaluation of Primary and Secondary Myelodysplastic Syndromes in the Dog. *Vet Clin Pathol*. 2001;30(2):67-75. doi:10.1111/j.1939-165X.2001.tb00261.x
35. Weiss DJ. Bone Marrow Pathology in Dogs and Cats with Non-Regenerative Immune-Mediated Haemolytic Anaemia and Pure Red Cell Aplasia. *J Comp Pathol*. 2008;138(1):46-53. doi:10.1016/j.jcpa.2007.10.001
36. Stokol T, Blue JT, French TW. Nonregenerative Immune-Mediated Anemia in Dogs : 43 Cases (1988 – 1999). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2000;216(9):1429-1436.

37. Swann JW, Szladovits B, Glanemann B. Demographic Characteristics, Survival and Prognostic Factors for Mortality in Cats with Primary Immune-Mediated Hemolytic Anemia. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2016;30(1):147-156. doi:10.1111/jvim.13658
38. Day MJ. Serial monitoring of clinical, haematological and immunological parameters in canine autoimmune haemolytic anaemia. *J Small Anim Pract.* 1996;37(11):523-534. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5827.1996.tb02313.x
39. Ridyard AE, Shaw DJ, Milne EM. Evaluation of platelet activation in canine immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2010;51(6):296-304. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5827.2010.00935.x
40. Griebisch C, Arndt G, Kohn B. Evaluation of different prognostic markers in dogs with primary IMHA. *Berliner und Muenchener tieraerztliche Wochenschrift.* 2010;(123):160-168.
41. Harkin KR, Braselton WE, Tvedten H. Pseudohypophosphatemia in two dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Intern Med.* 1998;12(3):178-181. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.1998.tb02115.x
42. Shearer LR, Boudreau AE, Holowaychuk MK. Distal Renal Tubular Acidosis and Immune-Mediated Hemolytic Anemia in 3 Dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009;23:1284-1288. doi:10.1097/OPX.0000000000001132
43. Gow DJ, Gow AG, Bell R, et al. Serum cardiac troponin I in dogs with primary immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *J Small Anim Pract.* 2011;52(5):259-264. doi:10.1111/j.1748-5827.2011.01061.x
44. Griebisch C, Arndt G, Raila J, Schweigert FJ, Kohn B. C-reactive protein concentration in dogs with primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *Vet Clin Pathol.* 2009;38(4):421-425. doi:10.1111/j.1939-165X.2009.00146.x
45. Mitchell KD, Kruth SA, Wood RD, Jefferson B. Serum acute phase protein concentrations in dogs with autoimmune hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2009;23(3):585-591. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2009.0282.x
46. Kjelgaard-Hansen M, Goggs R, Wiinberg B, Chan DL. Use of serum concentrations of interleukin-18 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 as prognostic indicators in primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs. *J Vet.* 2011;25:76-82.
47. Swann JW, Woods K, Wu Y, Glanemann B, Garden OA. Characterisation of the immunophenotype of dogs with primary immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(12):1-18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168296

48. Zoia A, Gerou-Ferriani M, Drigo M, Caldin M. Case-control study of plasma mean platelet component concentration and survival analysis for dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2018;252(11). doi:10.2460/javma.252.11.1384
49. Macey MG, Carty E, Webb L, et al. Use of mean platelet component to measure platelet activation on the ADVIA 120 haematology system. *Cytometry*. 1999;38(5):250-255.
50. Dircks BH, Schuberth H-J, Mischke R. Underlying diseases and clinicopathologic variables of thrombocytopenic dogs with and without platelet-bound antibodies detected by use of a flow cytometric assay: 83 cases (2004–2006). *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2009;235(8):960-966. doi:10.2460/javma.235.8.960
51. Giannuzzi AP, Simone A De, Ricciardi M, Gernone F. Presumptive Ischemic Brain Infarction in a Dog with Evans' Syndrome. *Case reports Vet Med*. 2014;2014:1-8. doi:10.1155/2014/456524
52. Jackson ML, Kruth S a. Immune-mediated Hemolytic Anemia and Thrombocytopenia in the Dog: A retrospective study of 55 cases diagnosed from 1979 through 1983 at the Western College of Veterinary Medicine. *Can Vet J*. 1985;26(8):245-250.
53. Goggs R, Boag a K, Chan DL. Concurrent IMHA and severe thrombocytopenia in 21 dogs. *Vet Rec*. 2008;163:323-327. doi:10.1136/vr.163.11.323
54. Goggs R, Wiinberg B, Kjølgaard-Hansen M, Chan DL. Serial assessment of the coagulation status of dogs with immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia using thromboelastography. *Vet J*. 2012;191(3):347-353. doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2011.03.015
55. Fenty RK, deLaforcade AM, Shaw SE, O'Toole TE. Identification of hypercoagulability in dogs with primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia by means of thromboelastography. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 2011;238(4):463-467. doi:10.2460/javma.238.4.463
56. Sinnott VB, Otto CM. Use of thromboelastography in dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia: 39 cases (2000-2008): Retrospective Study. *J Vet Emerg Crit Care*. 2009;19(5):484-488. doi:10.1111/j.1476-4431.2009.00455.x
57. Quimby FW, Smith C, Brushwein M, Lewis RW. Efficacy of immunoserdiagnostic procedures in the recognition of canine immunologic diseases. *Am J Vet Res*. 1980;41:1622-1666.
58. Honeckman A, Knapp D, Reagan W. Diagnosis of canine immune-mediated hematologic disease. *Compend*. 1996;18(2):113-127.
59. Jacobs RM, Murtaugh RJ, Crocker DB. Use of a microtiter Coombs' test for study of age, gender, and breed distributions in immunohemolytic anemia of the dog. *J Am Vet Med Assoc*. 1984;185(1):66-69.

60. McGuire TC, Henson JB, Burger D. Complement (C'3)-coated red blood cells following infection with the virus of equine infectious anemia. *J I.* 1969;103(2):293-299.
61. Hubinont PO. Prozone Phenomenon in Haemagglutination Reactions. *Bmj.* 2009;2(4733):708-711. doi:10.1136/bmj.2.4733.708
62. Piek CJ, Teske E, van Leeuwen MW, Day MJ. Good agreement of conventional and gel-based direct agglutination test in immune-mediated haemolytic anaemia. *Acta Vet Scand.* 2012;54(1):10. doi:10.1186/1751-0147-54-10
63. Wilkerson MJ, Davis E, Shuman W, Harkin K, Cox J, Rush B. Isotype-specific antibodies in horses and dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2000;14(2):190-196. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2000.tb02235.x
64. Quigley KA, Chelack BJ, Haines DM, Jackson ML. Application of a direct flow cytometric erythrocyte immunofluorescence assay in dogs with immune-mediated hemolytic anemia and comparison to the direct antiglobulin test. *J Vet Diagnostic Investig.* 2001;13(4):297-300. doi:10.1177/104063870101300403
65. Abrams-Ogg ACG, Lim S, Kocmarek H, et al. Prevalence of antinuclear and anti-erythrocyte antibodies in healthy cats. *Vet Clin Pathol.* 2018;47(1):51-55. doi:10.1111/vcp.12570
66. Kohn B, Weingart C, Eckmann V, Ottenjann M, Leibold W. Primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in 19 cats: Diagnosis, therapy, and outcome (1998-2004). *J Vet Intern Med.* 2006;20(1):159-166. doi:10.1892/0891-6640(2006)20[159:PIHAIC]2.0.CO;2
67. Dunn JK, Searcy GP, Hirsch VM. The diagnostic significance of a positive direct antiglobulin test in anemic cats. *Can J Comp Med.* 1984;48(4):349-353.
68. Switzer JW, Jain NC. Autoimmune hemolytic anemia in dogs and cats. *Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract.* 1981;11(2):405-420.
69. Zantek ND, Koepsell SA, Tharp DRJ, Cohn CS. The direct antiglobulin test: A critical step in the evaluation of hemolysis. *Am J Hematol.* 2012;87(7):707-709. doi:10.1002/ajh.23218
70. Go RS, Winters JL, Kay NE. How I treat autoimmune hemolytic anemia. *Blood.* 2017;129(22):2971-2979. doi:10.1182/blood-2016-11-693689
71. Morley P, Mathes M, Guth A, Dow S. Anti-erythrocyte antibodies and disease associations in anemic and nonanemic dogs. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2008;22(4):886-892. doi:10.1111/j.1939-1676.2008.0112.x
72. Jones DR, Gruffydd-Jones TJ, Stokes CR, Bourne FJ. Use of a direct enzyme-linked antiglobulin test for laboratory diagnosis of immune-mediated hemolytic anemia in dogs. *Am J Vet Res.*

1992;53(4):457-465.

73. Campbell KL, George JW. Application of the Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect canine erythrocyte antibodies. *Am J Vet Res.* 1984;45(4):747-750.
74. Holahan ML, Brown AJ, Drobatz KJ. The association of blood lactate concentration with outcome in dogs with idiopathic immune-mediated hemolytic anemia: 173 cases (2003-2006). *J Vet Emerg Crit Care.* 2010;20(4):413-420. doi:10.1111/j.1476-4431.2010.00551.x
75. Weiss DJ, Brazzell JL. Detection of activated platelets in dogs with primary immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. *J Vet Intern Med.* 2006;20(3):682-686. doi:10.1892/0891-6640(2006)20[682:DOAPID]2.0.CO;2

Table 1. Clinical pathology findings that support the diagnosis of hemolytic anemia.⁶

CBC/Blood smear exam	Serum Biochemistry	Urinalysis
Increased polychromasia	Hyperbilirubinemia	Hyperbilirubinuria
Reticulocytosis ± rubricytosis ± Howell-Jolly bodies	Hemoglobinemia ⁱ	Hemoglobinuria ⁱ
Macrocytosis & anisocytosis	Decreased haptoglobin concentration ⁱ	Increased urobilinogen
Decreased MCHC ^e or increased MCHC ⁱ		
Spherocytes ^e ; ghost cells ⁱ – immune-mediated damage or other cause	Decreased hemopexin concentration ⁱ	
Heinz bodies ^e ; eccentrocytes ⁱ – oxidative damage		
Hemoparasites – direct physical &/or immune-mediated damage		
Schistocytes ⁱ ; acanthocytes ⁱ ; keratocytes ⁱ – direct physical damage		

e = specifically associated with extravascular hemolysis

i = specifically associated with intravascular hemolysis

MCHC = mean cell hemoglobin concentration

Table 2. Serum biochemistry results associated with decreased survival in IMHA patients.

Abnormality	Species	References
Hyperbilirubinemia	Dog	12–14,40
	Cat	37
Hyperlactatemia	Dog	74
Increased alanine aminotransferase	Dog	40
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	Dog	40

Increased urea nitrogen	Dog	40
Increased alkaline phosphatase	Dog	12
Hypoalbuminemia (< 3.0 mg/dL)	Dog	13
Hypophosphatemia (< 3.5 mEq/L)	Dog	13
Increased creatine kinase (> 250 U/L)	Dog	13

Table 3. Evidence of coagulation abnormalities in dogs with IMHA.

Abnormality	Dogs with IMHA affected	References
Increased prothrombin time	10-28%	14,15
Increased activated partial thromboplastic time	45-47%	14,15
Increased fibrin degradation products	57-60%	14,15
Increased fibrinogen	17/20 (85%)	15
Increased D-dimers	16/20 (80%)	15
Decreased anti-thrombin III	10/20 (50%)	15
Increased Russell viper venom time	7/20 (35%)	15
Increased von Willebrand factor associated antigen	9/20 (45%)	15
Increased Kaolin clotting time	3/20 (15%)	15
Increased P-selectin*	15/20 (75%)	75
Hypercoagulability using thromboelastography	85-100%	54-56
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	28-45%	14,15
Thromboemboli found at necropsy	20/25 (80%)	14

* A second paper saw no increase in P-selectin.¹³

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Proportions (above 75%) of hematologic parameters reported or received on the CBC results of patients suspected of having IMHA by survey respondents (n=94).

Figure 2. Microscopic evidence of agglutination in a wet-mount saline agglutination test. Peripheral blood in EDTA (0.15%) was diluted 1:4 in isotonic saline (0.9% NaCl). A drop of the mixture was placed on a glass slide, and a coverslip was placed over the drop. Grape-like aggregates of erythrocytes can be observed (unstained, 200× magnification).

Figure 3. Survey responses indicating the post-transfusion time-frame in days (d) during which respondents would cautiously interpret hematologic test results that support a diagnosis of IMHA (n=94).

vcp_12771_f1.tif

vcp_12771_f2.tif

vcp_12771_f3.tif

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

MacNeill, AL;Dandrieux, J;Lubas, G;Seelig, D;Szladvits, B

Title:

The utility of diagnostic tests for immune-mediated hemolytic anemia

Date:

2019-09-09

Citation:

MacNeill, A. L., Dandrieux, J., Lubas, G., Seelig, D. & Szladovits, B. (2019). The utility of diagnostic tests for immune-mediated hemolytic anemia. VETERINARY CLINICAL PATHOLOGY, 48 (S1), pp.7-16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vcp.12771>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/286393>