Received Date : 06-Jan-2016

Revised Date : 29-Feb-2016

Accepted Date : 13-Mar-2016

Article type : Unsolicited Systematic Review

Aetiology of Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation – A Systematic Review

Mihiri J Silva

Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Department of Paediatrics,
University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital,
Melbourne, Australia.

Katrina J Scurrah

School of Population and Global Health
The University of Melbourne,
Melbourne, Australia.

Murdoch Childrens Research Institute

University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital,
Melbourne, Australia.

Jeffrey M Craig

Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Department of Paediatrics,
University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital,
Melbourne, Australia.

David Manton

Oral Health CRC,
Melbourne Dental School,
The University of Melbourne,

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](https://doi.org/10.1111/CDOE.12229). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/CDOE.12229](https://doi.org/10.1111/CDOE.12229)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Melbourne, Australia.

Nicky Kilpatrick

Murdoch Childrens Research Institute, Department of Paediatrics,
University of Melbourne, Royal Children's Hospital,
Melbourne, Australia.

Corresponding author:

Dr Mihiri Silva, Plastic Surgery Research, Murdoch Children's Research Institute,
Royal Children's Hospital, 70 Flemington Rd, Parkville 3052, Australia. Ph:
+61402417289 Email: mihiri.silva@mcri.edu.au

Word Count: 4034

Running Title: Aetiology of Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation

Abstract

Objectives: Molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) is a common developmental dental defect of permanent teeth, which can **increase the risk of** dental caries, infection, and hospitalisation. The aetiology is currently unclear although prenatal or early childhood health factors are suspected. The aim of this systematic review was to assess the strength of evidence linking aetiological factors with MIH.

Methods: A systematic search was conducted using the Medline and Embase electronic databases for studies investigating environmental aetiological factors of MIH. Two reviewers assessed the eligibility of studies. The level of evidence and bias was determined for all eligible studies according to Australian National Health and Medical Research Council guidelines for systematic reviews of aetiology and the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

Results: From a total of 2254 studies identified through electronic and hand searching, 28 were eligible for inclusion. Twenty-five of these investigated MIH and three investigated a related condition in primary teeth, Hypomineralised second primary molars (HSPM) and these were analysed separately.

A limited number of studies reported significant associations between MIH and pre- and perinatal factors such as maternal illness and medication use in pregnancy, prematurity and birth complications. Early childhood illness was implicated as an aetiological factor in MIH in several studies, in particular fever, asthma and pneumonia. The studies investigating HSPM revealed an association with maternal alcohol consumption, infantile fever and ethnicity. However, the validity of these findings is impaired by study design, lack of adjustment for confounders, lack of detail and consistency of exposures investigated and poor reporting.

Conclusions: Childhood illness is likely to be associated with MIH. Further prospective studies of the aetiology of MIH/HSPM are needed.

Word count: 268

Introduction

Molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) is characterised by demarcated, qualitative defects of enamel of systemic origin affecting one or more first permanent molars with or without incisor involvement (1). More recently, the term hypomineralised second primary molars (HSPM) has been used to describe similar lesions in the second primary molars (2). The affected enamel in both conditions is porous and weak, often leading to rapid breakdown upon eruption and exposure to masticatory forces (3).

The prevalence of MIH has been reported to range from 2.4% to 40.2% (4). From a limited number of studies, the prevalence of HSPM is reported to be 4.9 – 6.9% (2, 5). Both MIH and HSPM are associated with a high burden of disease, due to a range of unique clinical challenges that predispose to treatment failure (6). Given their high prevalence and burden of disease, the cost of these conditions to the general community is substantial.

Based on the distribution and structure of MIH lesions, disruption to ameloblast function during the late maturation stage of amelogenesis is thought to contribute to

qualitative defects that characterise these conditions (7). Since the criteria for MIH were developed, a number of observational studies have tried to determine the associated aetiological factors (8). Although pre-, perinatal or early life illnesses or events have been implicated, the systemic causes have not been identified. There have been two systematic reviews conducted on this topic, both of which reported a lack of evidence to enable any clear conclusion, **with lack of standardised outcome measurement being a major limitation** (9, 10). The use of alternative criteria such as the modified Developmental Defects of Enamel (mDDE) Index has led **to inaccuracies in the determination of the** prevalence of the condition because these criteria do not include severe presentations of MIH such as post-eruptive enamel breakdown, atypical restorations and atypical extractions (11). **However as there have been a number of recent studies using MIH-specific criteria** (8), **creating some comparability between studies, an updated systematic review is warranted.**

Therefore, the aim of this systematic review **was** to assess the strength of evidence linking aetiological factors for MIH and HSPM.

Methods

Eligibility criteria

Cohort and case-control studies performed on children that were reported in English were eligible for inclusion in this review. **Based on NHMRC levels of evidence, study designs below level III evidence such as cross-sectional studies, case series, case reports, and studies without control groups were excluded** (12).

All exposures were eligible for inclusion in the review.

The outcome measure of interest was binary: presence or absence of MIH and/or HSPM for each patient. To this end, studies using MIH/HSPM-specific criteria and any studies that reported on demarcated opacities on the FPM were included. Studies that combined demarcated and diffuse opacities as the outcome measure or that **combined** teeth other than the FPM, permanent incisors or SPM **in the outcome measure** were excluded. The severity of MIH/HSPM was not considered in this review.

Search strategy

An electronic search was conducted in February 2015 using the Medline (Ovid and PubMed) and Embase (Ovid) Databases. The search terms were developed with the assistance of an experienced librarian and were sufficiently broad to include studies reporting on MIH under a range of other names (Supplementary Appendix 1 and 2). In addition, hand searching of the reference lists of selected studies and two paediatric dental journals (International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry, European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry) was performed. Unpublished studies were sought by contacting experts in the field and by searching the Proquest Dissertations and Theses global database.

Potentially eligible studies were uploaded onto a reference management system (EndNote X4, The Thompson Corporation, New York, NY, USA) and duplicate records of the same study were then removed. The first stage of study selection was conducted by two reviewers (MS and NK), to eliminate obviously irrelevant studies based on title and abstract. The same reviewers then independently and in duplicate, performed the second stage of study selection after reading through the full reports (Figure 1).

Data extraction was performed by one reviewer (MS) and checked by a second reviewer (KS), with any disagreements resolved by consensus. Subsequently, a total of three amendments were made. Information about study methodology, participant characteristics and findings was entered into a pro-forma spreadsheet. Adjusted (aOR) and unadjusted odds ratios (uOR), *P*-values and 95% Confidence Intervals (CI) were obtained from the studies and if not provided, were calculated where possible using Stata 14 (StataCorp. 2015. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 14*. TX, USA). The Woolf method with a continuity correction $\delta=0.5$ (also known as the Gart method) was applied to calculate these when a group had a cell count of zero (13). The studies on MIH and HSPM were analysed separately. Stratified outcomes, where applicable, were reported separately.

Corresponding authors of 18 of the 28 studies were contacted by email to resolve matters requiring clarification with replies received from 16. The authors of a further four studies could not be contacted.

A meta-analysis was not performed because too few studies **had** adjusted for confounding.

Level of evidence and risk of bias

The level of evidence was determined using Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) guidelines for reviews of aetiology (12). Risk of bias assessment was conducted in duplicate and independently by two reviewers (MS and NK) using a modified version of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (Supplementary Appendix 3) (14). Discrepancies were resolved by consensus. The NOS is a star rating system that allocates a maximum of nine stars across three categories – participant selection (four stars), comparability (two stars) and measurement of exposure in case-control studies or outcome in cohort studies (three stars). Studies with fewer than six stars were considered to be at high risk of bias, however no studies were excluded.

Results

The initial search yielded a total of 2254 results, which was ultimately reduced to a total of 28 studies (Figure 1).

Level of evidence and risk of bias

Of the 28 studies, 25 reported on MIH and three on HSPM. Of the studies on MIH, 16 were cohort studies and nine were case-control (Table 1). Four cohort studies (15-18) and one case-control study (19) were prospective. One report (16) included two studies, a prospective cohort (Study 1) and a case-control (Study 2). All prospective studies were linked with existing medical cohorts that had been established for other studies. Overall, three case-control (20-22) and four cohort studies (15, 18, 23, 24) had a high risk of bias, as indicated by the NOS rating system in Table 1. **Two of the three studies on HSPM were based on the same cohort, with data collected prospectively (25, 26). The third study was a retrospective cohort study and was based on the same cohort as one of the MIH studies (27, 28).**

Measurement of exposures and outcomes

Most studies investigated a broad range of exposures with information about those exposures obtained mostly from parental report through interviews or questionnaires. Only a small number of studies used registries, databases or medical records (22, 24, 29-33). MIH-specific criteria were clearly cited by 13 studies as the tool for identifying participants affected by MIH (17, 23, 24, 27, 29, 30, 32-38). A further seven studies used similar criteria (demarcated opacities and post-eruptive breakdown) without clearly stating inclusion of atypical restorations and/or extractions (15, 16, 18, 21, 31, 39). Two studies added further restrictions to the criteria, with one reporting only on severe demarcated opacities and another including only patients with two or more teeth with demarcated opacities (19, 20). Two studies reported on demarcated opacities only (40, 41) and one study (22) did not explain the index used. All studies on HSPM modified the criteria to apply these to second primary molars with two studies (25, 26) including atypical caries as an additional measure of HSPM. Dental examinations were conducted either in dental clinics or school settings by one to seven examiners. Six studies did not report on the number of examiners nor on training or calibration (15, 16, 20, 22, 39).

Prenatal exposures

As indicated in Figure 2, there was little evidence of an association between the most frequently-investigated prenatal factors and MIH. The association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and MIH was investigated in six studies (16, 17, 19, 36, 38, 40), three of which were prospective (16, 17, 19). All six studies failed to find a significant association. For three of the four studies that performed multiple logistic regression, maternal smoking was not eligible for inclusion in the model due to high *P*-values (low significance) in the simple model (19, 36, 40). No studies examined dose-response effects of smoking. Ten studies assessed the association between maternal illness during pregnancy and MIH, one (19) of which was prospective. Although four studies found a crude (or unadjusted) association (27, 37-39), the only study to perform multiple logistic regression (37) found that once adjusted for confounding, the association was not significant (aOR 1.13, 95% CI 0.84 – 2.12, *p*=0.221). There was considerable variability in the terms used to describe maternal illness during pregnancy, with some specific to pregnancy-related disease and others reporting more broadly in terms of maternal illness (Table S2). Maternal medication use was investigated in seven studies, six of which were retrospective (19, 23, 27, 34,

38, 40, 41). All studies failed to find an association although multiple logistic regression was not performed in any of the studies. In addition, maternal stress was associated with **higher odds** of MIH in one study (aOR 3.24, 95% CI 1.33-7.88) but has not been investigated by other studies to enable any comparison (27).

Perinatal exposures

Similarly, there was little evidence of an association between **MIH and** perinatal factors such as prematurity, low birth weight, caesarean delivery and birth complications (Figure 3). Of the seven studies (19, 27, 36, 38-41) investigating the relationship between caesarean delivery and MIH, one (36), which conducted multiple logistic regression, found a significant positive association. Clarification of the definition of “birth mode” was sought from several authors as this variable was often reported ambiguously under term such as delivery type or normal delivery. Nine studies (19-21, 23, 27, 34, 36, 37, 39) evaluated the association between birth complications and MIH, with one (36) of the two that used a multiple logistic regression model finding **higher odds** of MIH after adjustment for confounding. Reporting of birth complications varied **among** studies, with some reporting on specific delivery complications (36) and others combining both complications during delivery and the neonatal period into one variable (27) (Table S5). The relationship between birth weight and MIH was assessed in 10 studies, with three reporting on the association with mean birth weight (20, 29, 40) and a further seven (19, 23, 27, 36-39) specifically evaluating low birth weight. Apart from Ghanim et al (27), who found **higher odds** of MIH with low birth weight after adjusting for confounding, there was little evidence of an association between MIH and low birth weight. In addition, Brogardh-Roth et al (29) found that per 100g increase in birth weight, the odds of MIH reduced by 0.955 fold (95% CI 0.924-0.987). Despite being investigated widely in 14 studies, only three studies reported an association between prematurity and MIH, with one showing a strongly positive link (29) and the other two (33, 38) reporting prematurity to **reduce the risk of** MIH. One other study (27) (not tabulated) reported no association with gestational age without any further details and without specifically reporting on premature birth.

Early childhood illness

Illness in early childhood, up to three or four years of age, was widely investigated (Figure 4). In addition to assessing the relationship between a number of specific conditions such as asthma and fever, several retrospective studies evaluated general health or illness in the first three or four years of life. Of these, six (20, 23, 24, 27, 36, 41) reported a crude association, which persisted in the one study that conducted multiple logistic regression (36). There was considerable variability in the definition of general health/illness (Table S8).

Several other studies found associations between specific illnesses and MIH. A number of retrospective studies found an association with higher odds of MIH and early childhood fever, including both studies that adjusted for confounding (27, 37) (Figure 4). In addition to fever, Ghanim et al (27) reported a significant association in cases where fever was combined with other symptoms such as chest and/or ear infections (aOR 3.27, 95% CI 1.09-9.82, $p=0.03$). Although three retrospective studies (23, 36, 41) found a positive association between MIH and asthma, the one prospective study and another retrospective study that adjusted for confounding did not find such an association (17, 37) (Figure 4). However, Kuhnisch et al. (17) did determine an association (aOR 2.48; 95% CI 1.35-4.56, $p<0.05$) between respiratory disease and a more severe variant of MIH where incisor involvement was also present. There was variability in how asthma was defined, with some reporting on asthma in the first, first three and first four years of childhood and others combining asthma with other conditions such as allergy (Table S10). In addition to Asthma, Pneumonia is another respiratory condition has been found to increase the odds of MIH in two studies that conducted multiple logistic regression (27, 37) (Table S11). In addition, several other illnesses including measles (37), chicken pox (37, 39), renal disease (24), gastrointestinal disease (37), bronchitis (24), tonsillitis (23, 27), adenoiditis (23) and otitis media (20) have been implicated in the aetiology of MIH, but lack support from other studies.

Early childhood medication (not tabulated)

Of the studies evaluating the association between antibiotic use and MIH, in two retrospective studies (27, 41) a significant association with antibiotic use in the first year of life was reported. Although Allazam et al (23) reported an association between antibiotic use any time in early childhood and MIH (uOR 5.91, 95% CI 1.85-

18.86), Pitiphat et al (36) found that this association did not remain significant when adjusted for confounding (uOR 2.5, 95% CI 1.2-5.2, aORs not provided). Both Laisi (31) (in a prospective study, uOR 2.06, 95% CI 1.01-4.17) and Whatling and Fearn (39) (retrospective study, uOR 5.22, 95% CI 1.11-5.89) reported a strong association with the use of amoxicillin. However, Arrow (40) did not find such an association when the data was stratified according to consumption at 0-1 years and 1-3 years. Souza et al (38) reported a significant association when amoxicillin was combined with other antibiotics, albeit only in rural locations (uOR 1.92, 95% CI 1.02-3.62). They did not assess the association with amoxicillin alone. Ghanim et al (27) reported that the type of antibiotic did not demonstrate an association although there was no further information provided in this regard.

In a study using a Danish medication prescription database, Wogelius et al (33) found that although anti-asthma medication was not associated with MIH (uOR 0.82, 95% CI 0.39-1.65), there may be an association with a subset of cases involving post-eruptive breakdown (uOR 2.42, 95% CI 0.70-7.43). Using drug histories, Loli et al (22) reported an association with aerosol therapy for respiratory diseases (uOR 3.19 95% CI 1.72 – 5.9). In neither study was it possible to control for the illness itself, implying that asthma medication may actually be a proxy measure of disease. In the only other study to assess the relationship with asthma medication Arrow failed to find an association (uOR 1.17, 95% CI 0.51-2.26) (40).

Breastfeeding (not tabulated)

In 1996, Finnish researchers suggested an association between breastfeeding and MIH as part of a study investigating the adverse effects of dioxins (16). The same group subsequently published studies showing that an association no longer existed, attributing this to reduction in the levels of dioxin pollution (18). All but one of the more recent studies, including both prospective and retrospective studies, have also failed to find any significant association. The only exception is a Swedish case-control study but as multiple regression was not conducted the association may be due to confounding by other factors (19).

Hypomineralised second primary molars

As only three reports (25, 26, 28) (two of which were from the same study) investigating the aetiology of HSPM were available, there is considerably less evidence regarding its aetiology than for MIH (Table 1). However, **because** both studies have relatively low risk of bias and adjust for confounding and **because** one is prospective in nature (25, 26), the findings are relatively robust. The Dutch studies conducted by Elfrink et al indicated that while maternal antibiotic use during pregnancy is unlikely to be associated with HSPM, maternal alcohol intake may be (25, 26). In addition, Dutch ethnicity and fever in the first year of life were associated with HSPM. Ghanim et al reported possible associations with a large number of factors, with perinatal factors and neonatal illness being most common, followed by prenatal factors (28).

Discussion

Observational studies provide the only means of assessing the association between suspected aetiological factors and MIH in the population, **because** randomisation is impossible. **However, the absence of randomisation means that confounders may mask the true relationship between a factor and MIH.** In the present review, three different tools for assessing risk of bias of individual studies were trialled, including the NHMRC quality criteria, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network critical appraisal checklist and NOS (14, 42, 43). Consistent with the findings of Deekes et al, the latter was found to be the most appropriate for the subject (44). Modification of the ratings for some items was required to suit the nature of the research question. Although demonstration that the outcome was not present at the start of the study is an item for the NOS in cohort studies, this is not appropriate in studies of MIH where the presence or absence of the disease can only be confirmed on eruption of the teeth, many years after the exposure. As such, this category was removed. In addition, the rating system for ascertainment of exposure was changed to two stars for secure records and one star for ascertainment through questionnaire, interview (self-report) or medical record. Similarly, the rating system for ascertainment of exposure was changed for case-control studies so that a star was allocated not just for exposures from secure records but also questionnaires, interviews and medical records. Finally, **because** this review examined the role of many aetiological factors, it was not possible to identify a main confounder and a secondary confounder for rating of comparability. **Accordingly**, the scoring was changed to provide two stars for

controlling for confounding using multiple logistic regression and one star for adjusting for at least one potential confounder, including matching cases and controls for factors such as age and gender. The NOS bias score moves away from providing a single bias score for each study, but instead should be considered in the context of each of the criteria. This minimises the chance that a study with a major flaw in one aspect could be judged to be low bias because it performs well in all other aspects of the assessment criteria. Despite clear guidelines for reporting of observational studies, many studies, including several recently published, were let down by poor reporting, in particular regarding non-participation and loss to follow-up, blinding and power calculations (45).

Overall, there are three major problems with the studies of MIH. The first is the lack of adjustment for confounding. **Since** randomisation is impossible, the ability of confounders to exaggerate or diminish the importance of some factors is a recognised flaw of observational studies. This is generally overcome through various statistical methods, most commonly multiple regression, which are almost mandatory in such studies. However, a large number of studies in this review made no attempt to adjust for potential confounders. Even where some form of adjusting was performed, this was reported poorly with confounders often not listed nor explained, and unadjusted and adjusted *P*-values not provided. Ideally, **selection of** confounders should be based on existing evidence and the plausibility of **a putative** association with both the exposure of interest and the outcome, in this case, MIH.

The retrospective nature of most studies is another major problem with studies of MIH. As most are case-control or retrospective cohort studies, the level of evidence for the findings in this review is low. As discussed previously by Alaluusua (2010), retrospective studies rely on parent recall, often many years after the event (9). There is strong evidence to indicate that mothers accurately recall perinatal factors such as gestational age, birth weight and mode of delivery, even many years after the events (46). However, some aspects of maternal health during pregnancy, recall of breastfeeding duration, child illness and medication use is less likely to be reliable (47, 48). A number of studies cited the difficulty in obtaining medical records and even these, unless kept in a standardised way, may lack the consistency and detail needed. There are many barriers to conducting prospective studies, including cost,

loss to follow-up, and non-participation. As such, using existing medical cohorts provides a practical means of conducting prospective studies. Nevertheless, the compatibility of such cohorts, and specifically their inclusion and exclusion criteria, with a study of MIH needs to be considered to ensure that all or at least most relevant exposures are included. These problems could be overcome with closer collaboration between oral and medical research and early engagement in both the planning and implementation of birth cohorts.

Finally, the lack of detail and consistency with the exposures investigated limits comparisons between studies. Further, it is likely that the study participants, often the mothers completing questionnaires regarding exposure to various environmental factors, were also given similarly basic details about the exposures and so the accuracy of their responses are questionable. This may be partly due to the large number of exposures assessed in many studies, however in order to ensure the accuracy of the data collected, clear definitions of exposures investigated are recommended.

In addition to the limitations of the studies included in this review, the exclusion of studies written in languages other than English may have resulted in important findings being omitted. Despite the limitations of the present review, there appears to be considerable evidence for an association between early childhood illness and MIH. Further prospective studies are needed to investigate the role of medications, and whether the relationship changes with the type, number and severity of illnesses. A number of biological mechanisms for the association have been suggested, and are supported by laboratory and animal studies. Ameloblast function is highly sensitive to changes in their surrounding environment, including changes induced by systemic illness (49). The mechanism for how such factors lead to the specific changes in ameloblasts that then result in MIH is still unclear although several possibilities have been suggested. Recent *in vitro* rat studies show that pre-natal exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) can result in MIH-like lesions (50). The authors suggest that EDCs may increase expression of enamel proteins, reduce expression of the kallikrein 4 gene and lead to the accumulation of albumin, which hampers crystal growth. However, there are no observational studies investigating this link. Altered expression of genes important in enamel formation has also been suggested as the link

between fever and enamel defects (51). Alternatively, the aetiology may relate to a metabolic disturbance such as described in rat studies showing that acidic conditions (a result of both localised inflammation and hypoxia) can prevent crystal growth due to the build-up of hydrogen ions (52). Another possible mechanism may be morphological alterations in ameloblasts that then lead to changes in enamel prism structure, although the lesions in MIH are more complex (49). Further laboratory studies regarding the protein composition, structure and ultrastructure of hypomineralised enamel will compliment observational studies in establishing a clear pathogenesis for MIH. Further to this, genetic predisposition and epigenetic influences are also likely to play a part in the putative multifactorial aetiology of the condition, and further investigations of these factors are also needed.

Conclusion

Prenatal and perinatal factors are infrequently associated with MIH. However, despite a lack of prospective studies, early childhood illness (in particular fever) appear to be associated with MIH. Further prospective studies that adjust for confounding based on biological principles, as well as genetic and epigenetic studies are needed because the aetiology is likely to be multifactorial.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Ms Poh Chua in developing the search strategy for this review. MS is supported by a MCRI Postgraduate Health Research Scholarship. KS is supported by a Centre of Research Excellence Grant in Twin Research and a project grant (# 1084197) from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

1. Weerheijm KL, Jalevik B, Alaluusua S. Molar-incisor hypomineralisation. *Caries Res* 2001;35:390-1.
2. Elfrink MEC, Schuller AA, Weerheijm KL, Veerkamp JSJ. Hypomineralized second primary molars: prevalence data in Dutch 5-year-olds. *Caries Res* 2008;42:282-5.

3. Crombie FA, Manton DJ, Palamara JE, Zalizniak I, Cochrane NJ, Reynolds EC. Characterisation of developmentally hypomineralised human enamel. *J Dent* 2013;41:611-8.
4. Jalevik B. Prevalence and Diagnosis of Molar-Incisor- Hypomineralisation (MIH): A systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2010;11:59-64.
5. Ghanim A, Manton D, Marino R, Morgan M, Bailey D. Prevalence of demarcated hypomineralisation defects in second primary molars in Iraqi children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2013;23:48-55.
6. Jalevik B, Klingberg GA. Dental treatment, dental fear and behaviour management problems in children with severe enamel hypomineralization of their permanent first molars. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2002;12:24-32.
7. Farah RA, Monk BC, Swain MV, Drummond BK. Protein content of molar-incisor hypomineralisation enamel. *J Dent* 2010;38:591-6.
8. Weerheijm KL, Duggal M, Mejare I, Papagiannoulis L, Koch G, Martens LC, et al. Judgement criteria for molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) in epidemiologic studies: a summary of the European meeting on MIH held in Athens, 2003. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2003;4:110-3.
9. Alaluusua S. Aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation: a systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2010;11:53-8.
10. Crombie F, Manton D, Kilpatrick N. Aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralization: a critical review. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2009;19:73-83.
11. Clarkson J, O'Mullane D. A modified DDE Index for use in epidemiological studies of enamel defects. *J Dent Res* 1989;68:445-50.
12. Merlin T, Weston A, Tooher R. Extending an evidence hierarchy to include topics other than treatment: revising the Australian 'levels of evidence'. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2009;9:34.
13. Agresti A. On logit confidence intervals for the odds ratio with small samples. *Biometrics* 1999:597-602.
14. Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomised studies in meta-analyses: Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa, Canada; 2005 [cited 2015]. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp.

15. Alaluusua S, Lukinmaa P-L, Vartiainen T, Partanen M, Torppa J, Tuomisto J. Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans via mother's milk may cause developmental defects in the child's teeth. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 1996;1:193-7.
16. Alaluusua S, Lukinmaa PL, Koskimies M, Pirinen S, Hölttä P, Kallio M, et al. Developmental dental defects associated with long breast feeding. *Eur J Oral Sci* 1996;104:493-7.
17. Kuhnisch J, Mach D, Thiering E, Brockow I, Hoffmann U, Neumann C, et al. Respiratory diseases are associated with molar-incisor hypomineralizations. *Swiss Dent J* 2014;124:286-93.
18. Laisi S, Kiviranta H, Lukinmaa PL, Vartiainen T, Alaluusua S. Molar-incisor-hypomineralisation and dioxins: new findings. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2008;9:224-7.
19. Fagrell TG, Ludvigsson J, Ullbro C, Lundin S-A, Koch G. Aetiology of severe demarcated enamel opacities--an evaluation based on prospective medical and social data from 17,000 children. *Swed Dent J* 2010;35:57-67.
20. Beentjes V, Weerheijm K, Groen H. Factors involved in the aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation (MIH). *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2002;3:9-13.
21. Dietrich G, Sperling S, Hetzer G. Molar incisor hypomineralisation in a group of children and adolescents living in Dresden (Germany). *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2003;4:133-7.
22. Loli D, Costacurta M, Maturo P, Docimo R. Correlation between aerosol therapy in early childhood and Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2015;16:73-7.
23. Allazzam SM, Alaki SM, El Meligy OAS. Molar Incisor Hypomineralization, Prevalence, and Etiology. *International journal of dentistry* 2014;2014.
24. Kusu OO, Caglar E, Sandalli N. The prevalence and aetiology of molar-incisor hypomineralisation in a group of children in Istanbul. *Eur J Paediatr Dent* 2008;9:139-44.
25. Elfrink ME, Moll HA, Kiefte-de Jong JC, El Marroun H, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, et al. Is Maternal Use of Medicines during Pregnancy Associated with Deciduous Molar Hypomineralisation in the Offspring? A Prospective, Population-Based Study. *Drug Saf* 2013;36:627-33.

26. Elfrink ME, Moll HA, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A, Jacob M, et al. Pre-and Postnatal Determinants of Deciduous Molar Hypomineralisation in 6-Year-Old Children. The Generation R Study. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e91057.
27. Ghanim A, Manton D, Bailey D, Marino R, Morgan M. Risk factors in the occurrence of molar-incisor hypomineralization amongst a group of Iraqi children. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2013;23:197-206.
28. Ghanim AM, Morgan MV, Marino RJ, Bailey DL, Manton DJ. Risk factors of hypomineralised second primary molars in a group of Iraqi schoolchildren. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2012;13:111-8.
29. Brogårdh - Roth S, Matsson L, Klingberg G. Molar - incisor hypomineralization and oral hygiene in 10 - to - 12 - yr - old Swedish children born preterm. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2011;119:33-9.
30. Kuscu OO, Caglar E, Aslan S, Durmusoglu E, Karademir A, Sandalli N. The prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) in a group of children in a highly polluted urban region and a windfarm-green energy island. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2009;19:176-85.
31. Laisi S, Ess A, Sahlberg C, Arvio P, Lukinmaa PL, Alaluusua S. Amoxicillin may cause molar incisor hypomineralization. *J Dent Res* 2009;88:132-6.
32. Lygidakis NA, Dimou G, Marinou D. Molar-incisor-hypomineralisation (MIH). A retrospective clinical study in Greek children. II. Possible medical aetiological factors. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2008;9:207-17.
33. Wogelius P, Haubek D, Nechifor A, Nørgaard M, Tvedebrink T, Poulsen S. Association between use of asthma drugs and prevalence of demarcated opacities in permanent first molars in 6 - to - 8 - year - old Danish children. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2010;38:145-51.
34. Durmus B, Abbasoglu Z, Peker S, Kargul B. Possible medical aetiological factors and characteristics of molar incisor hypomineralisation in a group of turkish children. *Acta Stomatol Croat* 2013;47:297-305.
35. Muratbegovic A, Markovic N, Selimovic MG. Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation in Bosnia and Herzegovina: prevalence, aetiology and clinical consequences in medium caries activity population. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2007;8:189-94.

36. Pitiphat W, Luangchaichaweng S, Pungchanchaikul P, Angwaravong O, Chansamak N. Factors associated with molar incisor hypomineralization in Thai children. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2014;122:265-70.
37. Sönmez H, Yıldırım G, Bezgin T. Putative factors associated with molar incisor hypomineralisation: an epidemiological study. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2013;14:375-80.
38. Souza JF, Costa-Silva CM, Jeremias F, Santos-Pinto L, Zuanon AC, Cordeiro RC. Molar incisor hypomineralisation: possible aetiological factors in children from urban and rural areas. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent* 2012;13:164-70.
39. Whatling R, Fearne JM. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a study of aetiological factors in a group of UK children*. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2008;18:155-62.
40. Arrow P. Risk factors in the occurrence of enamel defects of the first permanent molars among schoolchildren in Western Australia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2009;37:405-15.
41. Jalevik B, Noren JG, Klingberg G, Barregard L. Etiologic factors influencing the prevalence of demarcated opacities in permanent first molars in a group of Swedish children. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2001;109:230-4.
42. National Health and Medical Research Council. Aetiology and Risk Factors. How to review the evidence: systematic identification and review of the scientific literature. Canberra: Biotext; 2000. p. 69-78.
43. The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Critical Appraisal: Notes and Checklists [cited 2015]. Available from: <http://www.sign.ac.uk/methodology/checklists.html>.
44. Deeks JJ, Dinnes J, D'amico R, Sowden A, Sakarovitch C, Song F, et al. Evaluating non-randomised intervention studies. *Health Technol Assess* 2003;7:1-179.
45. Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Prev Med* 2007;45:247-51.

46. Rice F, Lewis A, Harold G, van den Bree M, Boivin J, Hay DF, et al. Agreement between maternal report and antenatal records for a range of pre and peri-natal factors: the influence of maternal and child characteristics. *Early Hum Dev* 2007;83:497-504.
47. Liu J, Tuvblad C, Li L, Raine A, Baker LA. Medical record validation of maternal recall of pregnancy and birth events from a twin cohort. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2013;16:845-60.
48. Tienboon P, Rutishauser IH, Wahlqvist ML. Maternal recall of infant feeding practices after an interval of 14 to 15 years. *Aust J Nutr Diet* 1994;51:25-.
49. Tung K, Fujita H, Yamashita Y, Takagi Y. Effect of turpentine-induced fever during the enamel formation of rat incisor. *Arch Oral Biol* 2006;51:464-70.
50. Jedeon K, De la Dure-Molla M, Brookes SJ, Loiodice S, Marciano C, Kirkham J, et al. Enamel defects reflect perinatal exposure to bisphenol A. *Am J Pathol* 2013;183:108-18.
51. Ryyänänen H, Sahlberg C, Lukinmaa P-L, Alaluusua S. The effect of high temperature on the development of mouse dental enamel in vitro. *Arch Oral Biol* 2014;59:400-6.
52. Sui W, Boyd C, Wright J. Altered pH regulation during enamel development in the cystic fibrosis mouse incisor. *J Dent Res* 2003;82:388-92.

Figure legends

Figure 1: PRISMA Flow Chart

Figure 2: Forest plot of the association between prenatal factors and MIH. A meta-analysis was not conducted as too few studies adjusted for confounding. *Adjusted odds ratio. N/A= odds ratio not provided and could not be calculated

Figure 3: Forest plot of the association between perinatal factors and MIH. A meta-analysis was not conducted as too few studies adjusted for confounding. *Adjusted odds ratio. N/A= odds ratio not provided and could not be calculated

Figure 4: Forest plot of the association between childhood factors and MIH. A meta-analysis was not conducted as too few studies adjusted for confounding. *Adjusted odds ratio. N/A= odds ratio not provided and could not be calculated

Table 1: Level of evidence and risk of bias of included studies

Figure 1: PRISMA Flow Chart

Reference	Country	Number of participants	Newcastle- Ottawa Scale Selection	Comparability	Outcome
MIH - Prospective Cohort Studies					

Study 1, Alaluusua et al., 1996a (16)	Finland	102	★★★★		★★
Alaluusua et al., 1996b (15)	Finland	97	★★★		★★
Kuhnisch et al., 2014 (17)	Germany	692	★★★	★★	★★
Laisi et al., 2008 (18)	Finland	167	★★★		★★
MIH - Retrospective cohort studies					
Allazzam et al., 2014 (23)	Saudi Arabia	267	★★		★★★
Arrow, 2009 (40)	Australia	550	★★★	★★	★★★
Brogardh- Roth et al., 2011 (29)	Sweden	164	★★★	★	★★★
Ghanim et al., 2013 (27)	Iraq	832	★★★	★★	★★★
Jalevik et al., 2001 (41)	Sweden	516	★★★	★★	★★★
Kuscu et al., 2009 (30)	Turkey	153	★★★		★★★
Kuscu et al., 2008 (24)	Turkey	147	★★		★★★
Laisi et al., 2009 (31)	Finland	141	★★★		★★★
Pitiphat et al., 2014 (36)	Thailand	282	★★★	★★	★★★
Sonmez et al., 2013 (37)	Turkey	3827	★★★	★★	★★★
Souza et al., 2012 (38)	Brazil	903	★★★	★	★★★

Wogelius et al., 2010 (33)	Denmark	647	★★★★★	★	★★★★
MIH – Case-Control Studies					
Study 2, Alaluusua et al., 1996a (16)	Finland	40 cases 40 controls	★★★	★	★★★
Beentjes et al., 2002 (20)	Holland	24 cases 21 controls	★	★	★★★★
Dietrich et al., 2003 (21)	Germany	31 cases 31 controls	★★		★★
Durmus et al., 2013 (34)	Turkey	54 cases 53 controls	★★★		★★★★
Fagrell et al., 2011 (19)	Sweden	224 cases 253 controls	★★★	★★	★★★★
Loli et al., 2015 (22)	Italy	91 cases 91 controls	★	★	★★★★
Lygidakis et al., 2008 (32)	Greece	360 cases 360 controls	★★★	★	★★★★
Muratbegovic et al., 2007 (35)	Bosnia and Herzegovina	34 cases 41 controls	★★★		★★★★
Whatling and Fearn, 2008 (39)	UK	57 cases 52 controls	★★★	★	★★★★
HSPM – Prospective cohort studies					
Elfrink et al., 2013 (25)	Holland	6690	★★★★★	★★	★★★★
Elfrink et al., 2014 (26)	Holland	6690	★★★	★★	★★★★
HSPM - Retrospective cohort studies					
Ghanim et al., 2012 (28)	Iraq	691	★★★	★★	★★★★

Author Manuscript

Maternal Medicine Use

Maternal illness

Maternal smoking

Study

Study Design

cdoe_12229_f2.pdf Odds Ratio, 95% CI

Risk of Bias (NOS)

Fagrell et al., 2011

Case-Control

N/A

*** ** *

Ghanim et al., 2013

Retrospective Cohort

N/A

*** ** *

Durmus et al., 2013

Case-Control

1.2 1.5

*** *

RA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

1.1 1.3

*** *

Allazzam et al., 2014

Retrospective Cohort

0.5 0.8 1.1

** *

Jalevik et al., 2001

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

UA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

0.9 1.1 1.3

*** *

Arrow, 2009

Retrospective Cohort

0.9 1.1 1.3

*** ** *

Fagrell et al., 2011

Case-Control

N/A

*** ** *

Sonmez et al., 2013*

Retrospective Cohort

1.0 1.1 1.2

*** ** *

Whatling and Fearn, 2003

Case-Control

1.2 1.5 1.8

*** *

Durmus et al., 2013

Case-Control

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

RA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

1.0 1.2 1.4

*** *

Ghanim et al., 2013

Retrospective Cohort

1.1 1.2 1.3

*** *

Sonmez et al., 2013

Retrospective Cohort

1.1 1.2 1.3

*** *

Pitiphat et al., 2014

Retrospective Cohort

0.9 1.1 1.3

*** *

Allazzam et al., 2014

Retrospective Cohort

0.6 0.9 1.2

*** *

Jalevik et al., 2001

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

** *

UA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

Arrow, 2009

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

Fagrell et al., 2011

Case-Control

N/A

*** ** *

Kuhnisch et al., 2014*

Prospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** ** *

Arrow, 2009

Retrospective Cohort

0.9 1.1 1.3

*** ** *

Kuhnisch et al., 2014

Prospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** ** *

UA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

RA Souza et al., 2012

Retrospective Cohort

0.8 1.0 1.2

*** *

Pitiphat et al., 2014

Retrospective Cohort

0.03 0.1 0.3 1.0 3.0

*** *

(Study 1) Alaluusua et al., 1996a

Prospective Cohort

0.003 0.01 0.03 0.1 0.3 1.0 3.0

*** *

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

0.001 0.01 0.1 1 10

cdoe_12229_f3.jpg

Study

Study Design

cdoe_12229_f4.pdf
Odds Ratio, 95% CI

Risk of Bias (NOS)

Pneumonia

Ghanim et al., 2013* Retrospective Cohort
 Sonmez et al., 2013* Retrospective Cohort
 Kuhnisch et al., 2014* Prospective Cohort
 Beentjes et al., 2003 Case-Control
 Ghanim et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 RA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Jalevik et al., 2001 Retrospective Cohort
 Sonmez et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 UA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Pitiphat et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuhnisch et al., 2014 Prospective Cohort

N/A

*** **
 *** **
 *** **
 * *
 *** **
 *** *
 *** **
 *** **
 *** *
 *** **
 *** **

Asthma

Sonmez et al., 2013* Retrospective Cohort
 Kuhnisch et al., 2014* Prospective Cohort
 Jalevik et al., 2001 Retrospective Cohort
 Allazzam et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Tavsancil) Retrospective Cohort
 Beentjes et al., 2003 Case-Control
 Durmus et al., 2013 Case-Control
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Bozcaada) Retrospective Cohort
 Pitiphat et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 Sonmez et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 Dietrich et al., 2003 Case-Control
 Kuhnisch et al., 2014 Prospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2008 Retrospective Cohort
 Whatling and Fearn, 2003 Case-Control

N/A

*** **
 *** **
 *** *
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** *

Fever

Fagrell et al., 2011 Case-Control
 Ghanim et al., 2013* Retrospective Cohort
 Sonmez et al., 2013* Retrospective Cohort
 Beentjes et al., 2003 Case-Control
 Allazzam et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 Ghanim et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 Durmus et al., 2013 Case-Control
 RA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Bozcaada) Retrospective Cohort
 Sonmez et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2008 Retrospective Cohort
 Pitiphat et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 UA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Whatling and Fearn, 2003 Case-Control
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Tavsancil) Retrospective Cohort

N/A

*** **
 *** **
 * *
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** *

General Childhood illness

Beentjes et al., 2003 Case-Control
 Pitiphat et al., 2014* Retrospective Cohort
 Allazzam et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2008 Retrospective Cohort
 Ghanim et al., 2013 Retrospective Cohort
 Pitiphat et al., 2014 Retrospective Cohort
 RA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Jalevik et al., 2001 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Tavsancil) Retrospective Cohort
 Arrow, 2009 Retrospective Cohort
 UA Souza et al., 2012 Retrospective Cohort
 Kuscu et al., 2009 (Bozcaada) Retrospective Cohort

* *
 *** **
 **

 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **
 *** **

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

0.01 0.1 1 10 100 1000

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Silva, MJ;Scurrah, KJ;Craig, JM;Manton, DJ;Kilpatrick, N

Title:

Etiology of molar incisor hypomineralization - A systematic review

Date:

2016-08-01

Citation:

Silva, M. J., Scurrah, K. J., Craig, J. M., Manton, D. J. & Kilpatrick, N. (2016). Etiology of molar incisor hypomineralization - A systematic review. COMMUNITY DENTISTRY AND ORAL EPIDEMIOLOGY, 44 (4), pp.342-353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12229>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/291225>