Follicular Lymphoma: State of the art ICML Workshop in Lugano 2015

Randy D. Gascoyne¹, Bertrand Nadel², Laura Pasqualucci³, Jude Fitzgibbon⁴, Jacqueline E. Payton⁵, Ari Melnick⁶, Oliver Weigert⁷, Karin Tarte⁸, John G. Gribben⁴, Jonathan W. Friedberg⁹, John F. Seymour¹⁰, Franco Cavalli¹¹ and Emanuele Zucca¹¹

¹ Department of Pathology and the Centre for Lymphoid Cancer, British Columbia Cancer Centre and University of BC, Vancouver, BC, Canada

² Centre d'Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy, Aix Marseille Université UM2, Inserm, U1104, CNRS UMR7280, 13288 Marseille, France

³ Institute of Cancer Genetics, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

⁴ Centre for Haemato-Oncology, Barts Cancer Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London EC1M 6BQ, UK

⁵ Department of Pathology and Immunology and Siteman Cancer Center, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

⁶ Weill Cornell Cancer Center and Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY

⁷ Department of Medicine III, Laboratory for Experimental Leukemia and Lymphoma Research (ELLF), Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

⁸ UMR INSERM U917, Equipe Labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Université Rennes 1, Rennes, France

⁹ Wilmot Cancer Institute, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

¹⁰ Department of Haematology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, and University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

¹¹ Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Ospedale San Giovanni, CH-6500, Bellinzona, Switzerland

State-of-the-art workshop report from a meeting held in Lugano, Switzerland on June 16, 2015

Address correspondence to:

Dr. Emanuele Zucca, MD
Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland
Ospedale San Giovanni
CH-6500 Bellinzona
Switzerland
Phone: +41 (0)91 811 9040
Email: Emanuele.Zucca@eoc.ch

Running title: State-of-the-art in follicular lymphoma

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1002/hon.2411](https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.2411)

Word count: 4,963

Figures: 1

Tables: 2

Author Manuscript

Abstract:

The 13th international Conference on Malignant Lymphoma held in Lugano in June 2015 was preceded by a closed workshop (organized in collaboration with the American Association for Cancer Research and the European School of Oncology) with the aim of developing an up-to-date understanding of the biology of follicular lymphoma and the clinical implications of new findings in the field. Discussed topics included the mutational spectrum at diagnosis, the clinical correlates of genetic and epigenetic alterations, the mechanisms of clonal evolution and histological transformation, the crosstalk between tumor cells and microenvironment and the development of novel treatments. This report represents a summary of the workshop.

Introduction:

A closed workshop was held in Lugano on June 16, 2015, Switzerland prior to the opening of the International Congress on Malignant Lymphomas (ICML). The purpose of the workshop was to bring together experts in the field of follicular lymphoma (FL) spanning basic biology, clinical translational research and clinical medicine and included a number of researchers active in the area of clinical trial design and implementation. The meeting was co-chaired by professors Emanuele Zucca and Randy Gascoyne. The meeting first addressed key topics involved in FL biology and pathogenesis, including molecular ontogeny, clonal heterogeneity and mechanisms underlying histological transformation, altered regulatory circuits in FL gene expression and signaling, epigenetic modifications, the role of the tumoral microenvironment and the clinical implications of driver genetic alterations impacting FL treatment outcomes. The afternoon session focused on state-of-the-art therapies for FL, opportunities to target the microenvironment as a novel treatment paradigm, the role of chemotherapy-free regimens and the promise of novel targeted therapies in the future of FL therapeutic strategies with emphasis on clinical trial design and international collaboration. What follows is an overview of the presentations, much of which introduced unpublished work, and discussions by experts in the field.

Our understanding of the biology and pathogenesis of FL is rapidly evolving, in part following the application of next-generation sequencing strategies to this cancer ¹⁻¹⁴ (see Table 1, recurrent

genetic events in FL). Several sequencing studies published over the last few years have served to highlight the genetic landscape of FL including potentially targetable recurrent mutations involving oncogenic pathways characterized by predominantly loss-of-function mutations. More work is still needed to determine the functional consequences of many of the mutations and related genetic alterations. From a clinical perspective, a clear realization has emerged that the majority of patients with FL (approximately 80%) have a relatively indolent clinical course and manageable disease with currently available therapies, while ~20% of cases will show early progression of disease (POD) or transformation within 24 months following initial diagnosis^{15,16}. The 80% of indolent FL patients might benefit from reduced dose intensity and subsequent toxicities. The early POD group requires a focus of intensive research efforts, as it identifies the subset of patients with FL where the disease substantially compromises life expectancy and those with the greatest need for novel treatment approaches designed to achieve the goal of precision medicine.

Follicular lymphoma ontogeny:

The Nadel laboratory in Marseilles has focused on studying the early molecular events involved in FL pathogenesis. The vast majority of cases of advanced stage FL harbor a t(14;18)(q32;q21.3), placing the *BCL2* oncogene under control of the *IGH* enhancer element on chromosome 14¹⁷. This leads to the deregulated and constitutive expression of BCL2, an anti-apoptotic protein. The normal default program for germinal center B cells is apoptosis, so over expression of BCL2 maintains the viability of these cells that would otherwise die. Early work by Nadel and colleagues established that rare cells in the peripheral blood of otherwise normal individuals harbor a t(14;18)¹⁸⁻²¹. The translocation, which occurs early on in B cell development, as a by-product of the VDJ recombination process in the bone marrow, is found predominantly in the memory B cell compartment and these cells account for ~1 in 10⁶ cells in ~ 70% of healthy adults and increases with age²²⁻²⁴. Despite the presence of these cells, the majority of healthy adults never develop clinical FL. Subsequent work established that those apparently normal individuals with an increased frequency of t(14;18)-positive

cells were more likely to develop FL later in life ²⁵⁻²⁷. Moreover, rare reports of clonally related FL following transplant in donor and recipient pairs further established the long latency period and highlight the complexity of additional (shared) alterations years before the development of FL ²⁸. These findings were insightful and helped establish the concept that the t(14;18) was necessary, but insufficient on its own, for the development of clinically overt FL.

Important work from this group established that the B cells harboring the t(14;18) were not naïve B cells. They clearly established that circulating *BCL2*-rearranged cells had undergone the germinal center reaction, revealing evidence of immunoglobulin gene somatic hypermutation ¹⁹. These cells were termed FL-like cells (FLLC) because they showed some specific features of “frozen FL” cells such as imprints of genomic instability and an allelic paradox (whereby a surface IgM is expressed despite active class-switch recombination on the translocated allele). In aggregate, these findings indicate that t(14;18)-positive cells in healthy individuals are mainly post-germinal center memory B cells. Further molecular characterization of FLLCs established an iterative process whereby these cells undergo multiple germinal center re-entries likely driven by antigen exposure or possibly lectin interactions ²⁹. As a result, they are prone to acquire additional mutations in the highly mutagenic germinal center milieu, characterized by the action of activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) introducing multiple mutations into *IG* and non-*IG* loci, some of which possibly represent further early oncogenic hits. Surface IgM may favor multiple germinal center re-entries, while *BCL2* over-expression allows these mainly non-proliferating, centrocyte-like FLLCs to survive ^{30,31}.

In 2002, Cong *et al* described FL *in-situ* (FLIS) where small clusters of t(14;18)-positive cells colonize germinal centers of otherwise reactive appearing benign lymph nodes ³². FLIS is to be distinguished from partial involvement by FL ³³. When lymph nodes are removed for reasons other than establishing a diagnosis of lymphoma; ~2% are found to show FLIS on careful histologic examination ³⁴. Although by standard morphology appearing unremarkable, these nodes reveal a characteristic appearance with immunohistochemical staining, as scattered germinal centers show strong staining of cells with both *BCL2* and CD10, distinguishing them from surrounding reactive germinal centers ³³.

Fewer than 5% of people with FLIS have been observed to subsequently develop clinical FL³³. FLLCs refer to the circulating t(14;18)-positive cells found in most healthy adults and Tellier *et al* using innovative techniques was able to establish that these cells colonize the germinal centers of benign nodes, exposing these cells to repeated rounds of physiologic DNA damage where subsequent genetic hits alter specific pathways that eventually lead to overt FL in only a small subset of people³⁵. These findings established a link between FLLCs and FLIS, helping to clarify early steps in FL ontogeny. Finally, Nadel and colleagues established a BCL2^{tracer} mouse model that recapitulates circulating t(14;18)-positive FLLCs in humans. This technological innovation has proven valuable as a model of early FL development^{29,36} (see Figure 1).

In aggregate, these data have been instrumental to our improved understanding of the early events in FL pathogenesis, but equally raise a number of unanswered questions³⁷. Is the process of germinal center re-entries driven by a specific antigen or chronic antigen exposure in general; does the nature of the antigen exposure evolve over the course of FL development; does the nature of the antigen exposure or host genetics explain the relatively low prevalence of FL in the Japanese population; how does overexpression of BCL2 contribute; if FLLCs are reminiscent of non-proliferative centrocytes in the light zone, how are mutations introduced into cells with a diminished replicative capacity; what is the role of *IGH* mutations introducing N-glycosylation sites and what lectins and/or cell types in the germinal center help support survival of FLLCs in FLIS; and finally what critical mutations or combination of hits on a background of the t(14;18) lead to overt FL in a subset of affected patients?

Follicular lymphoma biology:

A fundamental understanding of the biology of the normal germinal center (GC) has been critical to improving our knowledge regarding the underlying pathogenesis of FL. Under normal conditions, reactive GCs combine two potentially genetically reckless properties, genomic damage in the form of double stranded DNA breaks and rapid cellular proliferation, in the absence of proper DNA

damage responses due to the suppression of p53 and ATR transcription by BCL6^{38,39}. Both are necessary for the generation of antibody diversity. A number of seminal papers have been recently published that provide insight into GC biology, including light zone-dark zone transitions, the role of MYC and regulatory pathways that bestow properties of “stem-ness” on GC B cells⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶. FL is a GC-derived neoplasm and thus neoplastic B cells reveal a gene expression program characteristic of the normal GC, and resembling that of light zone B cells, altered by a background of constitutive expression of BCL2 resulting from the t(14;18) and subsequently by acquired, somatic mutations⁴⁷.

Recently published work from Payton and Oltz provides further insight into FL pathogenesis^{48,49}. A combination of genetic and epigenetic perturbations conspires to alter gene expression programs in FL B cells. Some of these changes are mediated by point mutations in gene regulatory elements that disrupt transcriptional factor (TF) binding. More global changes to chromatin structure may be secondary to acquired alterations in histone modifying genes including *EZH2*, *KMT2D*, *CREBBP*, *EP300* and others^{1,2,50}. Using an experimental approach comparing purified neoplastic B cells from FL to normal control GC B cells, Payton and Oltz used genome-wide strategies to highlight the distinguishing features of GC B cell transformation⁴⁸. In non-transformed FL, gene expression more closely resembles normal centrocytes and can be distinguished from centroblasts⁴⁷. Detailed comparisons of the “regulome” (the landscape of regulatory elements in the genome that control gene expression in concert with TF binding) further defined two subtypes of FL; one resembling centrocytes and the other reminiscent of activated peripheral blood B cells. Their work further defined the abnormal circuitry of gene expression patterns in FL, revealing that some regulatory elements characteristically active in GC B cells are decommissioned, while others are co-opted as part of oncogenesis. The latter include general cancer related features such as proliferation and survival pathways. They also determined that lineage-inappropriate gene expression results from commandeered TF binding to enhancers not normally active in GC B cells. Moreover, they identified both acquired and inherited single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in regulatory elements that perturb TF binding and thus downstream gene expression in FL⁴⁸. These findings suggest that more in-depth

analysis of the non-coding genomic space in FL might be of significant value. Such an effort will be resource intensive, given the need to produce whole genomes at reasonable depth and quality. Moreover, it will likely be technically complicated owing to reduced tumor cell content in whole FL biopsies and lower DNA quality metrics. Importantly, Payton and Oltz demonstrated that these disruptive non-coding SNVs can be identified by epigenome profiling (e.g. ChIP-sequencing)⁴⁸.

Ari Melnick and colleagues have performed seminal work exploring the role of genetic alterations and epigenetic switches and their contribution to FL pathogenesis^{39,51-53}. The genes implicated in these pathways include *EZH2*, *BCL6* and *KMT2D* (also known as *MLL2*). Recurrent somatic mutations in *EZH2* occur in ~20-25% of FL and target a hotspot at Y641^{50,54}. *EZH2*, the enzymatic component of the polycomb repressor complex 2, is a H3K27 methyltransferase that adds methyl groups to lysine 27 (H3K27) to promote transcriptional silencing^{55,56}. Balancing gene expression in cells is another histone mark (H3K4me3) that promotes gene expression. *EZH2* mutations in FL are characterized as gain-of-function alterations, are always heterozygous, and show enhanced methylation of the dimethylated lysine tails (H3K27me2)^{55,56}. Thus mutated *EZH2* cooperates with wild type *EZH2* to shift the steady state in cells to trimethylated H3K27me3 and the resultant gene silencing of polycomb targets. *EZH2* function is required for germinal center formation^{52,57}. It enables B cell proliferation by silencing DNA checkpoint genes and also transiently silences GC exit programs. *EZH2* helps establish bivalent chromatin marks in GC B cells (genes with both H3K27me3 and H3K4me3 marks) that render target genes poised (i.e. genes that can be rapidly toggled on or off by removal of one or the other histone mark). Conditional expression of the *EZH2* activating mutants in mice causes GC hyperplasia and accelerates lymphomagenesis in cooperation with *BCL2*⁵².

BCL6 is a transcriptional repressor and is also required for GC formation^{58,59}. Forced expression of *BCL6* promotes lymphomagenesis⁶⁰. For *BCL6* to drive proliferation and survival of lymphoma cells it must recruit corepressors (SMRT, NCOR, and BCOR) to form complexes that target gene promoters and enhancer regions^{61,62}. Down regulation of *BCL6* and post-translational modification of corepressors promotes GC exit by restoring expression of *BCL6* target genes^{59,63}. Small molecule inhibitors to both

EZH2 and BCL6 have been developed and together might represent lead compounds for rational combinatorial therapies for GC derived lymphomas^{51,64-67}.

KMT2D or MLL2 is a histone methyltransferase responsible for introducing H3K4 mono- and dimethylation marks associated with gene enhancers⁶⁸. It is frequently mutated in FL and appears to be a driver mutation occurring early during follicular lymphomagenesis¹. The pattern of mutations is consistent with a loss-of-function tumor suppressor gene and homozygous loss resulting from either deletion or mutation of the 2nd allele is not uncommon. Two elegant studies published recently in *Nature Medicine* establish *KMT2D* as a *bona fide* tumor suppressor gene^{69,70}. Mutations in *KMT2D* impair enzymatic activity leading to loss of H3K4 methylation marks at gene enhancers and essentially remodel the epigenetic landscape in FL. Conditional loss of *KMT2D* early during B cell development leads to increased GC formation, and in a B cell context, cooperates with BCL2 deregulation to promote lymphoma development. This may be achieved in part, by silencing other tumor suppressor genes, and genes involved in cell cycle regulation, BCR signaling and termination of the GC reaction.

Tumor microenvironment in FL:

The role of the tumor microenvironment (TME) in FL is an intense area of research focused on interactions between non-neoplastic immune-related cells, stromal cells and extracellular matrix components that are involved in crosstalk with malignant B cells. These cells provide a number of proliferation and survival signals necessary for FL growth and also help to foster immune escape mechanisms. Tarte and colleagues have contributed greatly to our understanding of this important biological phenomenon by studying the two main niches that contribute to FL pathogenesis; the bone marrow (BM) and the lymph node (LN)^{71,72}. BM involvement in FL is very common and typically shows lower grade cytology in comparison to the LN⁷³. Mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) in the BM directly support the growth of neoplastic FL B cells and foster a tumor-supportive TME through monocyte recruitment and M2 polarization mediated by their increased secretion of CCL2 triggered by direct contact with malignant B cells⁷⁴. In the LN, FL B cells derive growth and survival signals from follicular

dendritic cells (FDCs), fibroblastic reticular cells (FRCs) and follicular T-helper cells (TFH) through receptor-ligand interactions and cytokines⁷⁵. A specific TFH subset, defined by expression of CD10, is significantly expanded in FL and provides both survival drug resistance signals to FL B cells within the GC niche⁷⁶. Cytotoxic T cells are rendered dysfunctional through a number of mechanisms that produce an “exhausted” and unresponsive phenotype⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰. Moreover, these cells have defective immune synapse formation and altered motility, rendering them less able to kill malignant B cells^{81,82}. Purified CD4 and CD8 expressing cellular populations from LNs involved by FL in comparison to reactive lymph nodes from healthy individuals show altered gene expression patterns induced by the FL B cells⁸². FL cells also produce, as a result of crosstalk with TFH cells, chemokines recruiting Treg cells that further dampen the immune response to the tumor^{83,84}. The tumor cells express CD70 and the FL tumor-associated macrophages express PD-1 ligands, both leading to an exhausted phenotype for tumor infiltrating lymphocytes⁸⁵. Specific mutations in the neoplastic cells also foster immune privilege. *CREBBP* mutations lead to reduced MHC class II expression by FL B cells that decreases the number and activation state of infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells¹³. Other somatically acquired mutations in FL may also contribute to direct genetic mechanisms of immune escape and indirect polarization of a tumor-supportive microenvironment.

Most cases of FL have acquired N-glycosylation sites in their *IG* variable region resulting from somatic hypermutation^{86,87}. These are not found in normal B cells and only occasionally in other B cell lymphomas. Lectin binding to sIg stimulates the B cell receptor (BCR) and induces downstream intracellular calcium fluxes^{88,89}. In FL, M2 macrophages can induce a dendritic cell-specific intracellular adhesion molecule-3 grabbing non-integrin (DC-SIGN) dependent adhesion of highly mannosylated IgM+ FL B cells and similarly trigger BCR activation that can be blocked by either SYK or BTK inhibitors⁹⁰. Other lectins within the FL niche can also function similarly. These somatically acquired genetic alterations introduced into the *IG* variable regions appear to be selected for and thus likely contribute to a growth advantage. Moreover, these collective data suggest that lectins in the FL TME contribute

growth and survival signals through BCR activation by antigen-independent means and might represent the critical 2nd hit needed to manifest clinically overt FL⁸⁹.

Cells of the innate immune system, including neutrophils, have also been implicated as active elements in the TME in FL through the activation of supportive stromal cells⁹¹. A number of additional cell types and pathway perturbations within the TME have also been implicated as contributors to FL transformation^{82,92,93}. The TME in FL is biologically complex, with many of the functional interactions and cell involved yet to be fully defined. None the less, crosstalk between the malignant B cells and immune cells and stromal elements within the TME suggests a number of potential therapies in FL that are further discussed below.

Transformation of follicular lymphoma:

Histologic transformation of FL (TFL) is a prognostically dominant clinical event in the course of FL, often heralded by new onset systemic or local symptoms and an increased pace of disease progression. It occurs at a rate of 2-3%/year over the first 12 - 15 years and is usually associated with significantly reduced survival^{16,94-98}. The histology most often encountered at the time of re-biopsy is diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL), but other histologies can also be seen⁹⁹. Previous studies of paired diagnostic and transformed disease had implicated a number of dominant oncogenic alterations, including acquired *MYC* translocations, *TP53* mutations and/or copy number loss and alterations of chromosome 9p21 involving *CDKN2A*¹⁰⁰⁻¹⁰³. More recently, the application of next-generation sequencing combined with high-density copy-number analysis has given us an unbiased insight into the genetic landscape of events that underpin transformation of FL. Two elegant studies, published in 2014 by Okosun and Pasqualucci, respectively, provided a base-pair resolution analysis of the genetic perturbations associated with TFL^{5,9}. Transformation in most cases arises from a common ancestral precursor cell harboring founder mutations of key genes including chromatin modifying genes *CREBBP*, *EZH2* and *KMT2D* and anti-apoptotic genes such as *BCL2* translocations, while later events implicate cell cycle deregulation, DNA damage response, JAK-STAT pathway hits and NF-κB

signaling. The evolutionary pathway from disease initiation to transformed clone is in most cases is divergent, with phylogenetic histories revealing either a sparse (few mutations) or rich (more numerous mutations) clonal progenitor⁵. Immune evasion as a transformation event was also seen, implicating genes such as *CD58* and *B2M*⁹. Mutations involving linker histone core genes and *STAT6* identify chromatin remodeling and JAK-STAT pathway perturbations in TFL. The mutation profile of TFL is most frequently analogous to germinal center (GCB)-type DLBCL, but also unique with respect to hits in *TNFAIP3*, *CD79B*, *MYD88* and *PRDM1* that are more characteristic of the *de novo* activated B cell-like (ABC) DLBCL⁹. Accompanying gene expression studies indicate that most TFL are GCB-like, but approximately 16% of cases are ABC-like¹⁰⁴. These data have possible therapeutic implications. Recently, mutations involving the coding regions of *BCL2* have been implicated as contributing to both diminished survival in FL as well as an increased risk of transformation⁸. In the supplemental data from the m7FLIPI study and unpublished data from analysis of the PRIMA trial (G. Salles, unpublished), these findings could not be confirmed; thus additional studies of the role of *BCL2* mutations in FL are required. Further studies to define the evolutionary trajectories of FL ancestral precursors under the influence of specific treatments may also provide leads that inform both overall prognosis and risk of transformation.

Clinical translation:

The mutational landscape of FL is largely characterized as a result of a number of next-generation sequencing studies. Recurrent mutations include *KMT2D* (*MLL2*), *EZH2*, *CREBBP*, *EP300*, *STAT6*, *TNFRSF14*, *HIST1H1E*, *RRAGC* and other less common mutations including *GNA13*, *SGK1*, *MEF2B* and *FOXO1* (see Table 1). Importantly, the clinical implications of any of these recurrent mutations were essentially unknown. In an international collaborative effort spanning three countries and two continents recently published by Weigert and colleagues, a prognostic model that incorporated the mutational status of seven genes together with the FL International Prognostic Index (FLIPI) and the ECOG performance status (m7FLIPI) was constructed using failure-free survival (FFS) as the primary

outcome measure from data derived from a randomized, phase III clinical trial of R-CHOP in FL^{105,106}. In total, 74 genes were analyzed across 151 patients in the training set with seven genes contributing to FFS in the final model. The seven mutated genes included four associated with treatment outcome (*EP300*, *FOXO1*, *CREBBP* and *CARD11*) and three associated with favorable outcome (*MEF2B*, *ARID1A* and *EZH2*). Importantly, the final model reproducibly identified a subset of ~ 20% of FL patients with a markedly inferior survival. The FLIPI alone had far inferior specificity in identifying these truly poor risk patients. The enhanced performance of the m7FLIPI was accomplished because of migration of high-risk profile based on the FLIPI into the low-risk category by m7FLIPI, most frequently due to the presence of an *EZH2* mutation. The model was carried forward into an independent validation cohort of 107 FL patients treated with a different rituximab-containing regimen (R-CVP) with similar performance, the majority also receiving rituximab maintenance.

These findings demonstrate that mutational data combined with clinical findings can contribute to refined prognostication and might represent a useful clinical tool to identify high-risk FL patients and hold promise to be useful for risk-adapted treatment strategies. However, before it can be routinely adopted, the model needs to be thoroughly tested in additional cohorts including asymptomatic FL patients or those with low-volume disease and those treated with different chemoimmunotherapy regimens such as bendamustine/rituximab. In addition, further refinements to improve its performance seem warranted. If successful, this might be a useful tool in clinical practice, as it was designed using routinely available formalin fixed paraffin embedded biopsy material and thus could represent an important step forward towards risk-adapted treatment strategies for many patients with FL. In time, together with incremental improvements in our knowledge of fundamental FL biology, transition to biology-adapted treatment strategies may be possible.

Clinical questions and novel therapies in follicular lymphoma:

In the current era, no universally accepted standard-of-care therapy for symptomatic, advanced-stage FL has been identified¹⁰⁷. Asymptomatic patients with low volume disease not-

fulfilling GELF criteria can still be reasonably approached with a watch & wait strategy¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰. The available treatment options for symptomatic patients or those with substantial disease volume warranting treatment are broad and span a spectrum from single agent rituximab through to chemo-immunotherapy employing a number of different chemotherapy regimens. Recent studies clearly show that median overall survival for patients with FL is now beyond 15 years, with a real possibility that some patients may currently be over-treated with more aggressive regimens¹⁵. Given the median age of diagnosis for FL and the more routine use of biologic agents, a growing number of patients appear to be dying of causes other than FL. Moreover, progression-free survival as an endpoint may not be an ideal surrogate of clinical benefit given the very long time required to accumulate sufficient events. Some have suggested that sustained complete response (CR) rates at 30 months could represent a better and more efficient surrogate measure of treatment efficacy to allow more rapid evaluation of new therapies. Others advocate for a composite endpoint that incorporates quality of life and toxicity evaluations, given the often asymptomatic nature of this indolent disease.

A recent analysis from the National LymphoCare Study (NLCS) cohort in the United States led by Friedberg and colleagues enrolled over 2,700 patients with follicular lymphoma between the years 2004-2007 and followed them for 10 years, the most common induction chemo-immunotherapy treatment used was R-CHOP¹⁵. Twenty percent of patients treated with R-CHOP had disease which either did not respond or progressed within two years; these patients had a markedly increased hazard ratio for death, and the median overall survival for this subgroup was only 5 years. With almost 9 years of follow-up, two thirds of the deaths in R-CHOP treated NLCS patients occurred in these twenty percent of patients with early disease progression. These results have been validated in an independent cohort from the Iowa-Mayo SPORE, who has also preliminarily demonstrated in collaboration with the LySA lymphoma group that patients with follicular lymphoma without early PFS events have similar overall survival to age-matched controls¹⁵. Taken together, these datasets indicate that the current largest unmet clinical need in FL is the “high-risk” subset of patients who progress early (i.e. early POD), where it remains imperative to change the natural history of FL.

A clear path forward for the research agenda in FL was described by Dr. Friedberg and supported by most other clinicians in attendance. The roughly 20% of FL patients dying early are the unmet clinical group in need of better therapeutic approaches and also represent the patients who should be identified and subsequently prioritized for enrollment on clinical trials. We require concerted effort brought to bear on developing predictive tests that can be deployed at the time of diagnosis to reproducibly identify these high-risk patients. It is not yet known whether these patients with early progression of disease are those identified as high risk by the m7FLIPI. Ideally, a strategy to identify those patients at-risk for transformation would also have considerable traction in the clinical trial setting. Some leads, such as the m7FLIPI show promise, but more work is required to identify the majority of early POD patients ¹⁰⁶. Low density gene expression that integrates pathway perturbations downstream of recurrent mutations and/or approaches to identify patients with increased immune response-2 expression profiles might also be useful, but the latter requires validation in the current era of therapy. Clearly, we need to develop robust biomarkers to be used in conjunction with cutting-edge clinical trials testing promising new agents alone or in combination for high-risk patients with FL. To accomplish this, diagnostic specimens from patients enrolled in clinical trials worldwide must be stored for future interrogation when response and remission duration are determined.

Dr. Seymour reviewed the large number of new agents being evaluated for the treatment of FL (see Table 2) Recent data were discussed including new CD20 monoclonal antibodies ofatumumab and obinutuzumab as well as novel antibody drug conjugates; IMiDs such as lenalidomide; BTK inhibitors including ibrutinib and other drugs in this class, PI3K inhibitors (isotype selective and broadly acting), BCL2 inhibitors including both the more broadly acting navitoclax and the BCL2-specific venetoclax; immune checkpoint inhibitors involving the PD1/PD-L1 axis and novel small molecule inhibitors of specific targets such as EZH2. Despite convincing data regarding the importance of the BCR in FL, ibrutinib single-agent activity in relapsed/refractory or untreated FL is somewhat disappointing ¹¹¹. Chronic active BCR signaling is thought to be an important pathway in FL, likely through IGH-mannose lectin interactions ¹¹². Responses to PI3K δ inhibition following single agent or combination therapies

with idelalisib suggest that FL cells may be more dependent upon this pathway ¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵. Previous discussions highlighted how new knowledge concerning the underlying biology of FL informs epigenetic therapy considerations including HDAC inhibitors and small molecule BCL6 and EZH2 inhibitors. Moreover, the rationale behind efforts to target the crosstalk between FL and the TME was a talk unto itself presented by Professor John Gribben from Bart's in London. Both Dr.'s Gribben and Tarte discussed overcoming the T cell exhaustion phenotype in FL through the use of lenalidomide, including evidence that the defect in immune synapse formation can be overcome ⁸¹. The rationale behind the use of anti-PD-L1 antibodies (nivolumab) or antibodies to PD-1 (pamidolizumab) were discussed including promising data in the relapsed/refractory setting in FL ¹¹⁶. A number of other approaches targeting aspects of the TME were briefly highlighted, including anti-CD47 and CD137 antibodies, CSF1-R antagonists and CAR T cells.

Dr. Seymour reviewed mostly phase I and II data regarding the aforementioned agents. He also discussed the need for caution regarding new, seemingly rational combination therapies, using the toxicity profile that resulted from the combination of lenalidomide, idelalisib and rituximab that produced unacceptable hepatic toxicity and death ¹¹⁷. To move some of these strategies into the clinical setting will require further testing in the context of carefully monitored clinical trials.

Conclusions and future directions:

The meeting ended with an overview of the conclusions drawn from both presentations and discussions, but also a list of priorities for future studies. There was uniform agreement that we need robust biomarkers to identify the roughly 20% of patients with advanced-stage FL that could be considered high-risk (early POD) in order to identify these patients for inclusion in clinical trials testing new agents and novel strategies. In addition, it was recognized that to deliver on the promise of improvements for this subset of patients we would need to establish international collaborations using

standardized lab-based biomarker studies combined with uniform treatment approaches required to enroll and more rapidly analyze outcome correlates in FL. As improvements in our understanding of the fundamental biology unfold together with additional genome-wide genomic landscape studies and biomarker development, carefully constructed clinical trials can be designed that will allow us to finally deliver on the promise of precision medicine for patients with FL.

Acknowledgements

This ICML-workshop was co-sponsored by the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) and the European School of Oncology (ESO).

We are indebted with Cristiana Brentan for her valuable secretarial support.

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration.

Disclosure

JF: Grants/research support: Epizyme; Honoraria or consultation fees: Celgene; Speaker's bureau: Gilead, Janssen, Roche. AM: Grants/research support: Eli Lilly, Janssen, Roche and GSK; Honoraria or consultation fees: Boehringer-Ingelheim, Epizyme, Roche. JG: Honoraria or consultation fees: Roche, Celgene, Pharmcycles, Acerta, Janssen, Unum, Abbvie, Gilead. EZ: Grants/research support: Roche-Genentech, Celgene, Janssen, Mundipharma; Honoraria or consultation fee: Gilead, Roche-Genentech, Bayer, Abbvie.

References:

1. Morin RD, Mendez-Lago M, Mungall AJ, et al. Frequent mutation of histone-modifying genes in non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Nature*. Aug 18 2011;476(7360):298-303.

2. Pasqualucci L, Dominguez-Sola D, Chiarenza A, et al. Inactivating mutations of acetyltransferase genes in B-cell lymphoma. *Nature*. Mar 10 2011;471(7337):189-195.
3. Li H, Kaminski MS, Li Y, et al. Mutations in linker histone genes HIST1H1 B, C, D, and E; OCT2 (POU2F2); IRF8; and ARID1A underlying the pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Mar 6 2014;123(10):1487-1498.
4. Loeffler M, Kreuz M, Haake A, et al. Genomic and epigenomic co-evolution in follicular lymphomas. *Leukemia*. Feb 2015;29(2):456-463.
5. Okosun J, Bodor C, Wang J, et al. Integrated genomic analysis identifies recurrent mutations and evolution patterns driving the initiation and progression of follicular lymphoma. *Nat Genet*. Feb 2014;46(2):176-181.
6. Okosun J, Wolfson RL, Wang J, et al. Recurrent mTORC1-activating RRAGC mutations in follicular lymphoma. *Nat Genet*. Feb 2016;48(2):183-188.
7. Yildiz M, Li H, Bernard D, et al. Activating STAT6 mutations in follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Jan 22 2015;125(4):668-679.
8. Correia C, Schneider PA, Dai H, et al. BCL2 mutations are associated with increased risk of transformation and shortened survival in follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Jan 22 2015;125(4):658-667.
9. Pasqualucci L, Khiabani H, Fangazio M, et al. Genetics of follicular lymphoma transformation. *Cell Rep*. Jan 16 2014;6(1):130-140.
10. Cheung KJ, Delaney A, Ben-Neriah S, et al. High resolution analysis of follicular lymphoma genomes reveals somatic recurrent sites of copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity and copy number alterations that target single genes. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*. Aug 2010;49(8):669-681.
11. Cheung KJ, Johnson NA, Affleck JG, et al. Acquired TNFRSF14 mutations in follicular lymphoma are associated with worse prognosis. *Cancer Res*. Nov 15 2010;70(22):9166-9174.
12. Oricchio E, Nanjangud G, Wolfe AL, et al. The eph-receptor a7 is a soluble tumor suppressor for follicular lymphoma. *Cell*. Oct 28 2011;147(3):554-564.
13. Green MR, Kihira S, Liu CL, et al. Mutations in early follicular lymphoma progenitors are associated with suppressed antigen presentation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Mar 10 2015;112(10):E1116-1125.
14. Green MR, Gentles AJ, Nair RV, et al. Hierarchy in somatic mutations arising during genomic evolution and progression of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Feb 28 2013;121(9):1604-1611.
15. Casulo C, Byrtek M, Dawson KL, et al. Early Relapse of Follicular Lymphoma After Rituximab Plus Cyclophosphamide, Doxorubicin, Vincristine, and Prednisone Defines Patients at High Risk for Death: An Analysis From the National LymphoCare Study. *J Clin Oncol*. Aug 10 2015;33(23):2516-2522.
16. Mozesohn L, Cheung MC, Crump M, et al. Chemoimmunotherapy resistant follicular lymphoma: predictors of resistance, association with transformation and prognosis. *Leuk Lymphoma*. Nov 2014;55(11):2502-2507.
17. Kridel R, Sehn LH, Gascoyne RD. Pathogenesis of follicular lymphoma. *J Clin Invest*. Oct 1 2012;122(10):3424-3431.
18. Roulland S, Faroudi M, Mamessier E, Sungalee S, Salles G, Nadel B. Early steps of follicular lymphoma pathogenesis. *Adv Immunol*. 2011;111:1-46.
19. Roulland S, Navarro JM, Grenot P, et al. Follicular lymphoma-like B cells in healthy individuals: a novel intermediate step in early lymphomagenesis. *J Exp Med*. Oct 30 2006;203(11):2425-2431.

20. Roulland S, Lebailly P, Lecluse Y, Heutte N, Nadel B, Gauduchon P. Long-term clonal persistence and evolution of t(14;18)-bearing B cells in healthy individuals. *Leukemia*. Nov 24 2006;20:158-162.
21. Agopian J, Navarro JM, Gac AC, et al. Agricultural pesticide exposure and the molecular connection to lymphomagenesis. *J Exp Med*. Jul 6 2009;206(7):1473-1483.
22. Mamessier E, Broussais-Guillaumot F, Chetaille B, et al. Nature and importance of follicular lymphoma precursors. *Haematologica*. May 1, 2014 2014;99(5):802-810.
23. Schuler F, Dolken L, Hirt C, et al. Prevalence and frequency of circulating t(14;18)-MBR translocation carrying cells in healthy individuals. *Int J Cancer*. Feb 15 2009;124(4):958-963.
24. Rabkin CS, Hirt C, Janz S, Dolken G. t(14;18) Translocations and risk of follicular lymphoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr*. 2008(39):48-51.
25. Bretherick KL, Bu R, Gascoyne RD, Connors JM, Spinelli JJ, Brooks-Wilson AR. Elevated circulating t(14;18) translocation levels prior to diagnosis of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Dec 23 2010;116(26):6146-6147.
26. Carlotti E, Wrench D, Matthews J, et al. Transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma may occur by divergent evolution from a common progenitor cell or by direct evolution from the follicular lymphoma clone. *Blood*. April 9, 2009 2009;113(15):3553-3557.
27. Roulland S, Kelly RS, Morgado E, et al. t(14;18) Translocation: A Predictive Blood Biomarker for Follicular Lymphoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. May 1, 2014 2014;32(13):1347-1355.
28. Weigert O, Kopp N, Lane AA, et al. Molecular Ontogeny of Donor-Derived Follicular Lymphomas Occurring after Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. *Cancer Discovery*. January 1, 2012 2012;2(1):47-55.
29. Sungalee S, Mamessier E, Morgado E, et al. Germinal center reentries of BCL2-overexpressing B cells drive follicular lymphoma progression. *J Clin Invest*. Dec 2014;124(12):5337-5351.
30. Swaminathan S, Muschen M. Follicular lymphoma: too many reminders for a memory B cell. *J Clin Invest*. Dec 2014;124(12):5095-5098.
31. Kluin PM. The missing link in early follicular lymphoma development. *Blood*. 2014;123(22):3371-3372.
32. Cong P, Raffeld M, Teruya-Feldstein J, Sorbara L, Pittaluga S, Jaffe ES. In situ localization of follicular lymphoma: description and analysis by laser capture microdissection. *Blood*. May 1 2002;99(9):3376-3382.
33. Jegalian AG, Eberle FC, Pack SD, et al. Follicular lymphoma in situ: clinical implications and comparisons with partial involvement by follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Sep 15 2011;118(11):2976-2984.
34. Henopp T, Quintanilla-Martinez L, Fend F, Adam P. Prevalence of follicular lymphoma in situ in consecutively analysed reactive lymph nodes. *Histopathology*. Jul 2011;59(1):139-142.
35. Tellier J, Menard C, Roulland S, et al. Human t(14;18)positive germinal center B cells: a new step in follicular lymphoma pathogenesis? *Blood*. May 29 2014;123(22):3462-3465.
36. Milpied P, Nadel B, Roulland S. Premalignant cell dynamics in indolent B-cell malignancies. *Curr Opin Hematol*. Jul 2015;22(4):388-396.
37. Mamessier E, Song JY, Eberle FC, et al. Early lesions of follicular lymphoma: a genetic perspective. *Haematologica*. Mar 2014;99(3):481-488.
38. Phan RT, Dalla-Favera R. The BCL6 proto-oncogene suppresses p53 expression in germinal-centre B cells. *Nature*. Dec 2 2004;432(7017):635-639.

39. Ranuncolo SM, Polo JM, Dierov J, et al. Bcl-6 mediates the germinal center B cell phenotype and lymphomagenesis through transcriptional repression of the DNA-damage sensor ATR. *Nat Immunol.* Jul 2007;8(7):705-714.
40. Victora GD, Nussenzweig MC. Germinal centers. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2012;30:429-457.
41. Gitlin AD, Shulman Z, Nussenzweig MC. Clonal selection in the germinal centre by regulated proliferation and hypermutation. *Nature.* May 29 2014;509(7502):637-640.
42. Calado DP, Sasaki Y, Godinho SA, et al. The cell-cycle regulator c-Myc is essential for the formation and maintenance of germinal centers. *Nat Immunol.* Nov 2012;13(11):1092-1100.
43. Dominguez-Sola D, Victora GD, Ying CY, et al. The proto-oncogene MYC is required for selection in the germinal center and cyclic reentry. *Nat Immunol.* Nov 2012;13(11):1083-1091.
44. Allen CD, Okada T, Cyster JG. Germinal-center organization and cellular dynamics. *Immunity.* Aug 2007;27(2):190-202.
45. Allen CD, Okada T, Tang HL, Cyster JG. Imaging of germinal center selection events during affinity maturation. *Science.* Jan 26 2007;315(5811):528-531.
46. Muppidi JR, Schmitz R, Green JA, et al. Loss of signalling via Galpha13 in germinal centre B-cell-derived lymphoma. *Nature.* Dec 11 2014;516(7530):254-258.
47. Victora GD, Dominguez-Sola D, Holmes AB, Deroubaix S, Dalla-Favera R, Nussenzweig MC. Identification of human germinal center light and dark zone cells and their relationship to human B-cell lymphomas. *Blood.* 2012-09-13 00:00:00 2012;120(11):2240-2248.
48. Koues OI, Kowalewski RA, Chang LW, et al. Enhancer sequence variants and transcription-factor deregulation synergize to construct pathogenic regulatory circuits in B-cell lymphoma. *Immunity.* Jan 20 2015;42(1):186-198.
49. Koues OI, Oltz EM, Payton JE. Short-Circuiting Gene Regulatory Networks: Origins of B Cell Lymphoma. *Trends Genet.* Dec 2015;31(12):720-731.
50. Morin RD, Johnson NA, Severson TM, et al. Somatic mutations altering EZH2 (Tyr641) in follicular and diffuse large B-cell lymphomas of germinal-center origin. *Nat Genet.* Feb 2010;42(2):181-185.
51. Cerchiatti LC, Hatzi K, Caldas-Lopes E, et al. BCL6 repression of EP300 in human diffuse large B cell lymphoma cells provides a basis for rational combinatorial therapy. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation.* 2010;120(12):4569-4582.
52. Beguelin W, Popovic R, Teater M, et al. EZH2 is required for germinal center formation and somatic EZH2 mutations promote lymphoid transformation. *Cancer Cell.* May 13 2013;23(5):677-692.
53. Jiang Y, Melnick A. The epigenetic basis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Semin Hematol.* Apr 2015;52(2):86-96.
54. Bodor C, Grossmann V, Popov N, et al. EZH2 mutations are frequent and represent an early event in follicular lymphoma. *Blood.* Oct 31 2013;122(18):3165-3168.
55. Yap DB, Chu J, Berg T, et al. Somatic mutations at EZH2 Y641 act dominantly through a mechanism of selectively altered PRC2 catalytic activity, to increase H3K27 trimethylation. *Blood.* Feb 24 2011;117(8):2451-2459.
56. Sneeringer CJ, Scott MP, Kuntz KW, et al. Coordinated activities of wild-type plus mutant EZH2 drive tumor-associated hypertrimethylation of lysine 27 on histone H3 (H3K27) in human B-cell lymphomas. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* Dec 7 2010;107(49):20980-20985.

57. Caganova M, Carrisi C, Varano G, et al. Germinal center dysregulation by histone methyltransferase EZH2 promotes lymphomagenesis. *J Clin Invest*. Dec 2013;123(12):5009-5022.
58. Basso K, Dalla-Favera R. BCL6: master regulator of the germinal center reaction and key oncogene in B cell lymphomagenesis. *Adv Immunol*. 2010;105:193-210.
59. Hatzi K, Melnick A. Breaking bad in the germinal center: how deregulation of BCL6 contributes to lymphomagenesis. *Trends Mol Med*. Jun 2014;20(6):343-352.
60. Cattoretti G, Pasqualucci L, Ballon G, et al. Deregulated BCL6 expression recapitulates the pathogenesis of human diffuse large B cell lymphomas in mice. *Cancer Cell*. May 2005;7(5):445-455.
61. Hatzi K, Jiang Y, Huang C, et al. A hybrid mechanism of action for BCL6 in B cells defined by formation of functionally distinct complexes at enhancers and promoters. *Cell Rep*. Aug 15 2013;4(3):578-588.
62. Huang C, Hatzi K, Melnick A. Lineage-specific functions of Bcl-6 in immunity and inflammation are mediated by distinct biochemical mechanisms. *Nat Immunol*. Apr 2013;14(4):380-388.
63. Shaffer AL, Yu X, He Y, Boldrick J, Chan EP, Staudt LM. BCL-6 represses genes that function in lymphocyte differentiation, inflammation, and cell cycle control. *Immunity*. 2000;13(2):199-212.
64. Cerchietti LC, Yang SN, Shaknovich R, et al. A peptomimetic inhibitor of BCL6 with potent antilymphoma effects in vitro and in vivo. *Blood*. April 9, 2009 2009;113(15):3397-3405.
65. Knutson SK, Wigle TJ, Warholc NM, et al. A selective inhibitor of EZH2 blocks H3K27 methylation and kills mutant lymphoma cells. *Nat Chem Biol*. Nov 2012;8(11):890-896.
66. McCabe MT, Ott HM, Ganji G, et al. EZH2 inhibition as a therapeutic strategy for lymphoma with EZH2-activating mutations. *Nature*. Dec 4 2012;492(7427):108-112.
67. Qi W, Chan H, Teng L, et al. Selective inhibition of Ezh2 by a small molecule inhibitor blocks tumor cells proliferation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Dec 26 2012;109(52):21360-21365.
68. Hu D, Gao X, Morgan MA, Herz HM, Smith ER, Shilatifard A. The MLL3/MLL4 branches of the COMPASS family function as major histone H3K4 monomethylases at enhancers. *Mol Cell Biol*. Dec 2013;33(23):4745-4754.
69. Zhang J, Dominguez-Sola D, Hussein S, et al. Disruption of KMT2D perturbs germinal center B cell development and promotes lymphomagenesis. *Nat Med*. Oct 2015;21(10):1190-1198.
70. Ortega-Molina A, Boss IW, Canela A, et al. The histone lysine methyltransferase KMT2D sustains a gene expression program that represses B cell lymphoma development. *Nat Med*. Oct 2015;21(10):1199-1208.
71. Ame-Thomas P, Maby-El Hajjami H, Monvoisin C, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cells isolated from bone marrow and lymphoid organs support tumor B-cell growth: role of stromal cells in follicular lymphoma pathogenesis. *Blood*. January 15, 2007 2007;109(2):693-702.
72. Pangault C, Ame-Thomas P, Ruminy P, et al. Follicular lymphoma cell niche: identification of a preeminent IL-4-dependent T(FH)-B cell axis. *Leukemia*. Dec 2010;24(12):2080-2089.
73. Arber DA, George TI. Bone marrow biopsy involvement by non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: frequency of lymphoma types, patterns, blood involvement, and discordance with other sites in 450 specimens. *Am J Surg Pathol*. Dec 2005;29(12):1549-1557.
74. Guilloton F, Caron G, Ménard C, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells orchestrate follicular lymphoma cell niche through the CCL2-dependent recruitment and polarization of monocytes. *Blood*. March 15, 2012 2012;119(11):2556-2567.

75. Scott DW, Gascoyne RD. The tumour microenvironment in B cell lymphomas. *Nat Rev Cancer*. Aug 2014;14(8):517-534.
76. Ame-Thomas P, Le Priol J, Yssel H, et al. Characterization of intratumoral follicular helper T cells in follicular lymphoma: role in the survival of malignant B cells. *Leukemia*. May 2012;26(5):1053-1063.
77. Yang ZZ, Novak AJ, Ziesmer SC, Witzig TE, Ansell SM. Attenuation of CD8(+) T-cell function by CD4(+)CD25(+) regulatory T cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Cancer research*. Oct 15 2006;66(20):10145-10152.
78. Yang Z-Z, Novak AJ, Ziesmer SC, Witzig TE, Ansell SM. CD70+ non-Hodgkin lymphoma B cells induce Foxp3 expression and regulatory function in intratumoral CD4+CD25 T cells. *Blood*. October 1, 2007 2007;110(7):2537-2544.
79. Yang ZZ, Novak AJ, Ziesmer SC, Witzig TE, Ansell SM. Malignant B cells skew the balance of regulatory T cells and TH17 cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Cancer Res*. Jul 1 2009;69(13):5522-5530.
80. Yang ZZ, Grote DM, Xiu B, et al. TGF-beta upregulates CD70 expression and induces exhaustion of effector memory T cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Leukemia*. Sep 2014;28(9):1872-1884.
81. Ramsay AG, Clear AJ, Kelly G, et al. Follicular lymphoma cells induce T-cell immunologic synapse dysfunction that can be repaired with lenalidomide: implications for the tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy. *Blood*. Nov 19 2009;114(21):4713-4720.
82. Kiaii S, Clear AJ, Ramsay AG, et al. Follicular Lymphoma Cells Induce Changes in T-Cell Gene Expression and Function: Potential Impact on Survival and Risk of Transformation. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. July 20, 2013 2013;31(21):2654-2661.
83. Yang ZZ, Novak AJ, Stenson MJ, Witzig TE, Ansell SM. Intratumoral CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell-mediated suppression of infiltrating CD4+ T cells in B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. May 1 2006;107(9):3639-3646.
84. Rawal S, Chu F, Zhang M, et al. Cross talk between follicular Th cells and tumor cells in human follicular lymphoma promotes immune evasion in the tumor microenvironment. *J Immunol*. Jun 15 2013;190(12):6681-6693.
85. Myklebust JH, Irish JM, Brody J, et al. High PD-1 expression and suppressed cytokine signaling distinguish T cells infiltrating follicular lymphoma tumors from peripheral T cells. *Blood*. Feb 21 2013;121(8):1367-1376.
86. Zhu D, McCarthy H, Ottensmeier CH, Johnson P, Hamblin TJ, Stevenson FK. Acquisition of potential N-glycosylation sites in the immunoglobulin variable region by somatic mutation is a distinctive feature of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Apr 1 2002;99(7):2562-2568.
87. McCann KJ, Johnson PW, Stevenson FK, Ottensmeier CH. Universal N-glycosylation sites introduced into the B-cell receptor of follicular lymphoma by somatic mutation: a second tumorigenic event? *Leukemia*. Mar 2006;20(3):530-534.
88. Coelho V, Krysov S, Ghaemmaghami AM, et al. Glycosylation of surface Ig creates a functional bridge between human follicular lymphoma and microenvironmental lectins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Oct 26 2010;107(43):18587-18592.
89. Linley A, Krysov S, Ponzoni M, Johnson PW, Packham G, Stevenson FK. Lectin binding to surface Ig variable regions provides a universal persistent activating signal for follicular lymphoma cells. *Blood*. Oct 15 2015;126(16):1902-1910.

90. Amin R, Mourcin F, Uhel F, et al. DC-SIGN-expressing macrophages trigger activation of mannosylated IgM B-cell receptor in follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Oct 15 2015;126(16):1911-1920.
91. Gregoire M, Guilloton F, Pangault C, et al. Neutrophils trigger a NF-kappaB dependent polarization of tumor-supportive stromal cells in germinal center B-cell lymphomas. *Oncotarget*. Jun 30 2015;6(18):16471-16487.
92. Farinha P, Al-Tourah A, Gill K, Klasa R, Connors JM, Gascoyne RD. The architectural pattern of FOXP3-positive T cells in follicular lymphoma is an independent predictor of survival and histologic transformation. *Blood*. January 14, 2010 2010;115(2):289-295.
93. Smeltzer JP, Jones JM, Ziesmer SC, et al. Pattern of CD14+ Follicular Dendritic Cells and PD1+ T Cells Independently Predicts Time to Transformation in Follicular Lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res*. Jun 1 2014;20(11):2862-2872.
94. Al-Tourah AJ, Gill KK, Chhanabhai M, et al. Population-based analysis of incidence and outcome of transformed non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol*. Nov 10 2008;26(32):5165-5169.
95. Casulo C, Burack WR, Friedberg JW. Transformed follicular non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. Jan 1 2015;125(1):40-47.
96. Wagner-Johnston ND, Link BK, Byrtek M, et al. Outcomes of transformed follicular lymphoma in the modern era: a report from the National LymphoCare Study (NLCS). *Blood*. Aug 13 2015;126(7):851-857.
97. Montoto S, Davies AJ, Matthews J, et al. Risk and clinical implications of transformation of follicular lymphoma to diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Journal of clinical oncology*. Jun 10 2007;25(17):2426-2433.
98. Link BK, Maurer MJ, Nowakowski GS, et al. Rates and outcomes of follicular lymphoma transformation in the immunochemotherapy era: a report from the University of Iowa/MayoClinic Specialized Program of Research Excellence Molecular Epidemiology Resource. *J Clin Oncol*. Sep 10 2013;31(26):3272-3278.
99. Gascoyne RD. XIV. The pathology of transformation of indolent B cell lymphomas. *Hematol Oncol*. Jun 2015;33 Suppl 1:75-79.
100. Sander CA, Yano T, Clark HM, et al. p53 mutation is associated with progression in follicular lymphomas. *Blood*. 1993;82(7):1994-2004.
101. Johansson B, Mertens F, Mitelman F. Cytogenetic evolution patterns in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Blood*. Nov 15 1995;86(10):3905-3914.
102. Elenitoba-Johnson KS, Gascoyne RD, Lim MS, Chhanabai M, Jaffe ES, Raffeld M. Homozygous deletions at chromosome 9p21 involving p16 and p15 are associated with histologic progression in follicle center lymphoma. *Blood*. 1998;91(12):4677-4685.
103. Lo Coco F, Gaidano G, Louie DC, Offit K, Chaganti RS, Dalla-Favera R. p53 mutations are associated with histologic transformation of follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Oct 15 1993;82(8):2289-2295.
104. Kridel R, Mottok A, Farinha P, et al. Cell of origin of transformed follicular lymphoma. *Blood*. Oct 29 2015;126(18):2118-2127.
105. Hiddemann W, Kneba M, Dreyling M, et al. Frontline therapy with rituximab added to the combination of cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) significantly improves the outcome for patients with advanced-stage follicular lymphoma compared with therapy with CHOP alone: results of a prospective randomized study of the German Low-Grade Lymphoma Study Group. *Blood*. Dec 1 2005;106(12):3725-3732.
106. Pastore A, Jurinovic V, Kridel R, et al. Integration of gene mutations in risk prognostication for patients receiving first-line immunochemotherapy for follicular lymphoma: a retrospective analysis of a

- prospective clinical trial and validation in a population-based registry. *Lancet Oncol.* Sep 2015;16(9):1111-1122.
107. Steffanoni S, Ghielmini M, Moccia A. Chemotherapy and treatment algorithms for follicular lymphoma: a look at all options. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* Nov 2015;15(11):1337-1349.
 108. Ardeshtna KM, Smith P, Norton A, et al. Long-term effect of a watch and wait policy versus immediate systemic treatment for asymptomatic advanced-stage non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* Aug 16 2003;362(9383):516-522.
 109. Ardeshtna KM, Qian W, Smith P, et al. Rituximab versus a watch-and-wait approach in patients with advanced-stage, asymptomatic, non-bulky follicular lymphoma: an open-label randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Apr 2014;15(4):424-435.
 110. Brice P, Bastion Y, Lepage E, et al. Comparison in low-tumor-burden follicular lymphomas between an initial no-treatment policy, prednimustine, or interferon alfa: a randomized study from the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires. Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte. *J Clin Oncol.* Mar 1997;15(3):1110-1117.
 111. Advani RH, Buggy JJ, Sharman JP, et al. Bruton Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Ibrutinib (PCI-32765) Has Significant Activity in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory B-Cell Malignancies. *Journal of Clinical Oncology.* January 1, 2013 2013;31(1):88-94.
 112. Young RM, Staudt LM. Targeting pathological B cell receptor signalling in lymphoid malignancies. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* Mar 1 2013;12(3):229-243.
 113. Gopal AK, Kahl BS, de Vos S, et al. PI3Kdelta inhibition by idelalisib in patients with relapsed indolent lymphoma. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 13 2014;370(11):1008-1018.
 114. Graf SA, Gopal AK. Idelalisib for the treatment of non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* Feb 2016;17(2):265-274.
 115. Flinn IW, Kahl BS, Leonard JP, et al. Idelalisib, a selective inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-delta, as therapy for previously treated indolent non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* May 29 2014;123(22):3406-3413.
 116. Westin JR, Chu F, Zhang M, et al. Safety and activity of PD1 blockade by pidilizumab in combination with rituximab in patients with relapsed follicular lymphoma: a single group, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Jan 2014;15(1):69-77.
 117. Cheah CY, Nastoupil LJ, Neelapu SS, Forbes SG, Oki Y, Fowler NH. Lenalidomide, idelalisib, and rituximab are unacceptably toxic in patients with relapsed/refractory indolent lymphoma. *Blood.* May 21 2015;125(21):3357-3359.
 118. Honma K, Tsuzuki S, Nakagawa M, et al. TNFAIP3/A20 functions as a novel tumor suppressor gene in several subtypes of non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *Blood.* September 17, 2009 2009;114(12):2467-2475.
 119. Thelander EF, Ichimura K, Corcoran M, et al. Characterization of 6q deletions in mature B cell lymphomas and childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Leuk Lymphoma.* Mar 2008;49(3):477-487.
 120. Fowler NH, Advani RH, Sharman J, et al. The Bruton's Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Ibrutinib (PCI-32765) Is Active and Tolerated in Relapsed Follicular Lymphoma. *Blood.* 2012-11-16 00:00:00 2012;120(21):156-156.
 121. Bartlett NL, LaPlant BR, Qi J, et al. Ibrutinib Monotherapy in Relapsed/Refractory Follicular Lymphoma (FL): Preliminary Results of a Phase 2 Consortium (P2C) Trial. *Blood.* 2014-12-06 00:00:00 2014;124(21):800-800.

122. Walter HS, Rule SA, Dyer MJ, et al. A phase 1 clinical trial of the selective BTK inhibitor ONO/GS-4059 in relapsed and refractory mature B-cell malignancies. *Blood*. Jan 28 2016;127(4):411-419.
123. Flinn I, Oki Y, Patel M, et al. a Phase 1 Evaluation of Duvelisib (IPI-145), a PI3K- δ,γ Inhibitor, in Patients with Relapsed/Refractory iNHL. *Blood*. 2014-12-06 00:00:00 2014;124(21):802-802.

Table 1: Recurrent genetic alterations in FL

Genetic alteration	Frequency	Function	References
Gene regulatory element mutations	100%	Transcriptional control	41
t(14;18)(q32;q21.3)	85-90%	Anti-apoptosis	17
<i>KMT2D</i> mutations	80-90%	Histone modification	1, 3, 5, 9, 15, 106
Mutations of <i>IGH</i> epitopes that promote N-glycosylation	85-95%	BCR signaling	86
<i>CREBBP</i> mutations	40-65%	Histone modification and immune escape	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 106
<i>BCL2</i> mutations*	40-65%	Anti-apoptosis?	1, 8, 106
Deletion 6q including <i>EPH7A</i> deletion/methylation, <i>TNFAIP3</i> mutation/deletion and <i>PRDM1</i> deletions ^{118,119}	60-70%	Tumor suppressor	12, 118, 119
<i>TNFRSF14</i> mutations/deletions, LOH	50%	Direct proliferative signal? Immune escape?	4, 10, 11, 14, 106
<i>EZH2</i> mutations	20-30%	Histone modification	1, 4, 47, 48, 106
Core histone genes e.g. <i>HIST1H1E</i>	20-30%	Histone modification	1, 3, 5, 106
<i>RRAGC</i> mutations	~17%	mTORC1 signaling	6
<i>MEF2B</i> mutations	10-15%	Transcription factor	1, 106
<i>STAT6</i> mutations	10-15%	JAK-STAT signaling	7, 106
<i>EP300</i> mutations	~ 10%	Histone acetylation	1, 2, 5, 106
<i>ARID1A</i> mutations	~10%	Chromatin remodelling	1, 3, 5, 106
<i>OCT2</i> mutations	5-10%	Transcription factor	3, 106
<i>CARD11</i> mutations	5-10%	BCR signaling	1, 5, 106
<i>FOXO1</i> mutations	5-10%	BCR signaling	1, 5, 14, 106
<i>GNA13</i> mutations	5-10%	Focal adhesion/mobility	1, 5, 9, 14, 106
<i>B2M</i> mutations	5-10%	Immune escape	1, 5, 9, 14
<i>SGK1</i> mutations	5-10%	Protein kinase	1, 5, 7, 106

*Includes *BCL2* coding region and other mutation types. LOH = loss of heterozygosity.

Table 2: Response rates to novel agents in FL

Agent	# of patients	Prior therapies Median (range)	ORR/CR (%)	Median PFS	References
Obinutuzumab: Phase 1 Phase 1 with maintenance Phase 2 dose finding Randomised phase 2	13 10 34 74	 3 (1–11) 2 (1–7)	 69 / 38 40 / 10 50 / 31 45 / 5	Not reported Not reported 11.9 months in high-dose cohort 17.6 months	120-123
Polatuzumab vedotin Polatuzumab & rituximab Polatuzumab 1.8 mg and rituximab (RP2D)	25 20 20		28 / 12 70 / 40 70 / 20	5.7 months 11.5 months 61% at 12 months	124-126
BTK Inhibitors: Ibrutinib – phase 1 Ibrutinib – phase 2 ONO-4059 ^{111,120-122}	16 40 5	3 (1–5) 3 (1–11)	38 / 19 30 / 3 0	13.4 months at ≥2.5 mg/kg 9.9 months N/A	127-129
PI3K inhibitors: Idelalisib - phase 1 Idelalisib - phase 2 Duvelisib ^{113,115,123}	38 72 32*		45 / 0 54 / 14 65 / 16	7.6 months 11 months	113, 115, 130
BCL2 inhibitors: Navtioclax Navitoclax & rituximab Venetoclax	16 12 29	5 (1–11) 3 (1–10)	6 / 0 75 / 42 38 / 14	~ 3 months 11 months	131-133
PD1 axis inhibitors Nivolumab	10		40 / 10	68% at ~ 6 months	134
BiTE antibodies Blinatumomab	28	3 (1–10)	43 / 21	N/A	135
EZH2 inhibitors	4		1 PR	N/A	136

ORR = overall response rate, CR = complete remission, PFS = progression-free survival, N/A = not available

* mixed indolent histologies

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Gascoyne, RD;Nadel, B;Pasqualucci, L;Fitzgibbon, J;Payton, JE;Melnick, A;Weigert, O;Tarte, K;Gribben, JG;Friedberg, JW;Seymour, JF;Cavalli, F;Zucca, E

Title:

Follicular lymphoma: State-of-the-art ICML workshop in Lugano 2015

Date:

2017-12-01

Citation:

Gascoyne, R. D., Nadel, B., Pasqualucci, L., Fitzgibbon, J., Payton, J. E., Melnick, A., Weigert, O., Tarte, K., Gribben, J. G., Friedberg, J. W., Seymour, J. F., Cavalli, F. & Zucca, E. (2017). Follicular lymphoma: State-of-the-art ICML workshop in Lugano 2015. *HEMATOLOGICAL ONCOLOGY*, 35 (4), pp.397-407. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hon.2411>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/292747>