Similarity law for the streamwise turbulence intensity in zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layers

I. Marusic, A. K. M. Uddin,^{a)} and A. E. Perry

Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

(Received 3 January 1997; accepted 6 August 1997)

A similarity relationship is proposed to describe the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity in a zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer. The formulation is applicable to the entire region of the flow beyond the viscous buffer zone and is based on the attached eddy hypothesis, the Reynolds-number-similarity hypothesis and the assumed existence of Kolmogorov eddies with a universal inertial subrange. Experimental data of the authors and those from various published works covering a large Reynolds number range are investigated in light of this formulation.

© 1997 American Institute of Physics. [S1070-6631(97)00212-2]

I. INTRODUCTION

Even though the zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer is often referred to as the canonical boundary layer, comprehensive turbulence measurements still remain sparse, particularly over an extensive range of Reynolds numbers. However, over the past decade a considerable range of measurements have become available for, what is relatively the easiest component to measure of the kinematic Reynolds stresses, the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity ($\overline{u_1^2}$). In recent reviews of some of these experiments by Gad-el-Hak and Bandyopadhyay¹ and Fernholz and Finley² the $\overline{u_1^2}$ data has been described with special mention of strong Reynolds number effects when the data is presented normalised using inner-wall variables. Unfortunately, as yet no theoretical framework has been agreed on with which to describe these so-called Reynolds number effects and questions such as the applicability of inner and outer scaling regions still appear to be points of contention. By inner scaling we mean $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2 = f[z_+]$ while outer scaling involves normalisation with length scale δ_c , taken here to be the boundary layer thickness, i.e., $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2 = \phi[z/\delta_c]$. Here overbars denote temporal averages and u_1 is the streamwise component of the fluctuating velocity, U_τ is the wall shear velocity, $z_+ = zU_\tau/\nu$, where z is the distance normal to the wall and ν is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid.

With regard to the applicability of inner-flow scaling, Mochizuki and Nieuwstadt³ surveyed a large collection of experimental data in both conventional flat plate boundary layers and in pipes and ducts. Specific attention was given to whether the peak in $\overline{u_1^2}$ which occurs at approximately $z_+ = 15$ is Reynolds number independent. Variations between different experiments of this value for $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ were $\pm 10\%$ but the variation was concluded not to be statistically significant. Coles⁴ also surveyed a large numbers of wall-bounded flow experiments and arrived tentatively at the same conclusion. Fernholz and Finley² suggest that a weak Reynolds number effect may be present but like Coles, draw attention to the difficulty of reaching firm conclusions in the

presence of the significant scatter in the data. Mochizuki and Nieuwstadt further conclude that $\overline{u_1^2}$ does scale with inner-flow variables in the entire wall region (we understand this to be from $z_+ = 0$ to $z/\delta_c \leq 0.15$, say) which is in support of the approach taken by many conventional computational turbulence models which assume the existence of inner-layer scaling for the Reynolds stresses and mean-flow velocity. Smits and Dussauge⁵ and Dussauge *et al.*⁶ also reviewed the data presented by Fernholz and Finley² and arrived at the conclusion that for a high enough Reynolds number the $\overline{u_1^2}$ profiles display similarity in the viscous sublayer and buffer layer in inner scaling, while similarity in outer scaling is observed in the mean-flow logarithmic layer and the remainder of the outer region.

Doubts as to the validity of inner-flow scaling in the near-wall region have recently been raised by Bradshaw and Huang⁷ from studies of computational DNS results. Durst, Jovanovic and Sender⁸ made careful LDA measurements in a low-Reynolds-number pipe flow and also conclude that turbulence intensities in the wall region do not scale with inner variables. However, they do state that very close to the wall only a weak Reynolds number dependence exists. Below $z_+ = 15$ they believe their data, within experimental uncertainty, does scale with inner variables.

In this paper we will consider turbulence measurements covering a large range of Reynolds number including new data by the authors and experiments not reviewed previously. This new data fills in many gaps in the Reynolds number range covered by Fernholz and Finley² and Smits and Dussauge.⁵ We are primarily concerned with proposing a formulation to describe the flow beyond the viscous buffer zone, that is the outer-scaling region mentioned by Smits and Dussauge. Previous studies by Perry, Lim and Henbest⁹ and Perry and Li¹⁰ have argued in favor of this type of outer-scaling region and formulations were proposed to describe how the broadband turbulence intensities and Reynolds shear stress behave but this was restricted to the region of the layer where only the mean logarithmic profile applies, that is, in the turbulent wall region, defined tentatively here as $z_+ \geq 100$ and $z/\delta_c \leq 0.15$. In this paper we will extend this formulation to the entire outer region of the flow, i.e., $z_+ \geq 100$ and

^{a)}Present address: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh.

$z/\delta_c \leq 1$, and attempt to include Reynolds number effects in the Perry and Li formulation which is based effectively on the inviscid attached eddy model, together with Kolmogorov eddies and an anisotropic viscous cut-off. The final part of the paper will consider the implications of the proposed formulation on flow in the region $z_+ < 100$. Particular attention will be given to Townsend's^{11,12} attached eddy hypothesis and the consequent attached eddy model, most recently used by Perry and Marusic¹³ and Marusic and Perry¹⁴ to describe flows with arbitrary pressure gradients.

II. PREVIOUS FORMULATIONS FOR THE TURBULENT WALL REGION

Townsend¹² using the attached eddy hypothesis showed that $\overline{u_1^2}$ will have a logarithmic relationship in the region of the flow where $\delta_1 \leq z \leq \delta_c$, where δ_1 and δ_c are the length scales of the smallest and largest attached eddies in the flow, respectively. This effectively relies on a large Reynolds number existing since if we take $\delta_1 = 0(100\nu/U_\tau)$ (the Kline *et al.*¹⁵ scaling) then the ratio of the largest to smallest attached eddy is proportional to the Karman number $K_\tau = \delta_c U_\tau / \nu$. Townsend's hypothesis was formalised into a crude physical model for wall-turbulence initially by Perry and Chong.¹⁶ The salient feature of this model is that the boundary layer beyond the viscous buffer zone is described by an assemblage of statistically representative eddies of varying length scales with varying population density of eddies per unit eddy length scale. For full details of the model the reader is referred to sections 3 and 4 of Perry and Marusic¹³ where the above mentioned logarithmic behavior is also fully derived.

Perry, Henbest and Chong¹⁷ following the dimensional scaling arguments of Perry and Abell¹⁸ for spectra, which are consistent with the attached eddy hypothesis, deduced that for negligible contributions from small-scale viscosity dependent motions in the turbulent wall region,

$$\frac{\overline{u_1^2}}{U_\tau^2} = B_1 - A_1 \ln \left[\frac{z}{\delta_c} \right] - C(z_+)^{-1/2}, \quad (1)$$

where A_1 and C are universal constants and B_1 is a large-scale characteristic constant. The last term in (1) represents a viscous correction due to the viscous cut-off of the Kolmogorov motions and is valid for conditions of local isotropy, sufficiently high Reynolds number and sufficiently high z_+ and is also based on the assumption of Townsend¹¹ that local production and dissipation of energy are in balance in the turbulent wall region. Perry and Li¹⁰ incorporated a more general viscous correction term in (1) and obtained

$$\frac{\overline{u_1^2}}{U_\tau^2} = B_1 - A_1 \ln \left[\frac{z}{\delta_c} \right] - V[z_+], \quad (2)$$

where $V[z_+]$ is the viscous correction term and assumes local isotropy and can be applied down to moderate Reynolds numbers. By applying the Kovasznay¹⁹ spectrum formula beyond $k_1 z = 2.5$, Perry *et al.*²⁰ arrived at the following form:

$$V[z_+] = 5.58(z_+)^{-1/2} - 22.4(z_+)^{-1} + 22.0(z_+)^{-5/4} - 5.62(z_+)^{-2} + 1.27(z_+)^{-11/4}. \quad (3)$$

Spalart²¹ proposed a simpler expression of the form

$$V[z_+] = 4.37(z_+)^{-1/2}. \quad (4)$$

Both (3) and (4) have the correct asymptotic behavior in the turbulent wall region as the Reynolds number goes to infinity and z_+ is sufficiently large.

Using $V[z_+]$ as formulated by (3) with $A_1 = 1.03$ and $B_1 = 2.39$, Perry and Li¹⁰ found that their results were in a good agreement with (2) except for z_+ less than about 200 where the experimental data showed more spread than the predictive equation. Perry and Li¹⁰ attributed this to the approximation involved in the Kovasznay¹⁹ spectrum.

Perry and Li proposed an alternative numerical method for determining $V[z_+]$ which, recently, Hafez (private communication) described in an algebraic form as

$$V[z_+] = 5.58\{1 - z_+^{-0.9}\}z_+^{-1/2}. \quad (5)$$

In the subsequent analysis this functional form of $V[z_+]$, which is probably valid for $z_+ \geq 200$, will be used.

Hafez²² found that for low-Reynolds-number zero-pressure-gradient flows and for layers in favourable-pressure-gradient, (2) needs to be modified. It is further necessary to incorporate a liberally defined turbulent wall region (i.e., $z_+ \geq 50$ and $z/\delta_c \leq 0.30$) as opposed to the conservative definitions of Perry *et al.*^{17,9} ($z_+ \geq 100$; $z/\delta_c \leq 0.15$). From the work of Hafez,²² Perry *et al.*²³ and Perry and Marusic,¹³ it is known that the characteristic constant B_1 in (2) should be a function of Coles²⁴ wake factor Π for equilibrium and quasi-equilibrium layers. On the basis of a limited range of experimental data for zero and favourable pressure gradients at low Reynolds numbers, Hafez²² expressed (2) as

$$\frac{\overline{u_1^2}}{U_\tau^2} = B_1[\Pi] - A_1 \ln \left[\frac{z}{\delta_c} \right] - V[z_+], \quad (6)$$

where tentatively

$$B_1[\Pi] = 0.41 + 3.66\Pi - 0.76\Pi^2. \quad (7)$$

III. BROADBAND TURBULENCE INTENSITY RESULTS

The experiments were performed in two nominally zero streamwise pressure gradient flows with freestream velocities U_∞ set at nominally 10 m/s and 30 m/s giving R_θ values of 4140 and 11928, respectively ($R_\theta = \theta U_1 / \nu$, where θ is the momentum thickness and U_1 is the freestream velocity). Dynamically calibrated single wire hot-wires were used for the turbulence measurements as described by Perry.²⁵ Pitot-static tubes and hot-wires were used to measure mean-flow profiles and showed excellent agreement. All measurements techniques and procedures are similar to those used in Marusic and Perry¹⁴ and full details are given in Uddin.²⁶

The mean-flow integral parameters for the two flow cases are tabulated in Table I. The wall-shear velocity U_τ was evaluated using the Clauser chart method with the log-

TABLE I. Mean flow boundary layer parameters.

Flow case	U_∞ m/s	U_1 m/s	θ mm	δ^* mm	δ_c mm	U_τ m/s	Π	R_θ	K_τ
1	10	9.88	6.28	8.73	64.28	0.38	0.57	4140	1655
2	30	30.31	6.11	8.25	67.62	1.07	0.55	11928	4704

law constants 0.41 and 5.0. The boundary layer thickness δ_c was determined by an integral method as outlined by Perry and Li.¹⁰ Here

$$\delta_c = \frac{\delta^*}{C_1} \frac{U_1}{U_\tau},$$

where δ^* is the displacement thickness and

$$C_1 = \int_0^1 U_D^*[\eta] d\eta,$$

where $U_D^* = (U_1 - U)/U_\tau$ and $\eta = z/\delta_c$. C_1 is evaluated using an expression for Coles' ²⁴ law of the wall and law of the wake as given by Perry and Marusic¹³ for $U_D^*[\eta]$.

The experimental streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity profiles are shown with outer-flow scaling and inner-flow scaling in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The predicted $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ values using (6) along with (7) and (5) for calculating $B_1[\Pi]$ and $V[z_+]$, are also shown in the figure. Expression (6) shows agreement with the data nominally in the inclusive range $z_+ > 180$ and $z/\delta_c < 0.20$ for $R_\theta = 4140$ and $z_+ > 350$ and $z/\delta_c < 0.20$ for $R_\theta = 11928$. If we take the turbulent wall region to be in the range $z_+ \geq 100$ and $z/\delta_c \leq 0.15$ as determined from mean-flow data, then (6) agrees reasonably well with the outer limit but for $z_+ \approx 100$ the viscous cut-off function $V[z_+]$ seems to be inadequate in explaining the deviation from the log-law. This deviation could well be due to an "attached eddy cut-off" and this issue will be discussed further in the following section. Alternatively, or in addition to this, the deviation could also be attributed to a much larger $V[z_+]$ cut-off than predicted by (3) or (5) due to the dissipation range becoming highly anisotropic.

In the outer region of the flow, the two sets of data in Fig. 1(a) show good agreement suggesting that z/δ_c is the only important parameter. This behavior is consistent with Townsend's²⁷ Reynolds-number-similarity hypothesis, that is: all mean-relative motions and energy-containing components of the turbulence are independent of the fluid viscosity when expressed in terms of the variables in Fig. 1(a). Also it needs to be assumed that zero-pressure-gradient layers are self-preserving to a good approximation. Other studies have also supported this conjecture, including Fernholz and Finley² and Antonia *et al.*²⁸ who suggested that the Reynolds-number dependence of the large-scale structures diminishes beyond $R_\theta \approx 3000$. Perry *et al.*¹⁷ showed strong support for this outer-flow behavior in fully-developed turbulent pipe flow.

The extent of the outer-flow scaling collapse will depend on the Reynolds number of the flow, i.e. the higher the Reynolds number the lower the value of z/δ_c at which the deviation from the collapse occurs. Figure 2 shows a collection

FIG. 1. Streamwise broadband turbulence intensity profiles at $R_\theta = 4140$ and 11928 ($K_\tau = 1655$ and 4704). The dotted line and the solid line represent (6) for $R_\theta = 4140$ and 11928 respectively. (a) Outer-flow scaling, (b) inner-flow scaling. Note that $A_1 = 1.03$; and B_1 and $V[z_+]$ are calculated using (7) and (5), respectively.

of data covering a R_θ range of 1,000–13,000. The data shown in Fig. 2 are all for similar experimental conditions all using the same hot-wire techniques and dynamic calibration as described by Perry.²⁵ Note that in this figure the solid line and the dotted line represent (6) with $V[z_+] = 0$ for $R_\theta = 11928$ ($\Pi = 0.55$) and $R_\theta = 1003$ ($\Pi = 0.29$) respectively. In these cases, $A_1 = 1.03$ and B_1 is calculated using (7). The figure shows an outer-flow scaling collapse of the data for $R_\theta > 2788$ and a systematic peel-off from the "universal" curve occurs as the wall is approached. The extent of the collapse to the universal curve increases with increasing Reynolds number. Considering the value of z/δ_c at which this peel-off is occurring in wall units, i.e., z_+ , it appears that the departure from the universal curve occurs at z_+ less than approximately 500. This may explain why the data of Erm and Joubert²⁹ corresponding to $K_\tau = 547$ ($R_\theta = 1003$) does not agree with the universal curve. Overall, the figure does seem to indicate that as the Reynolds number increases, the streamwise broadband-turbulence-intensity profiles collapse with outer-flow scaling with the extent of collapse in-

FIG. 2. Comparison of the streamwise broadband turbulence intensity profiles for various R_θ . The symbols represent the experimental data from various sources (Please see the Appendix for the sources). The solid line and the dotted line represent (6) with $V[z_+] = 0$ for $R_\theta = 11928$ ($\Pi = 0.55$) and $R_\theta = 1003$ ($\Pi = 0.29$) respectively. Note that $A_1 = 1.03$ and B_1 is calculated using (7) to take into account the variation of B_1 with Π .

creasing and propagating towards the wall with increasing Reynolds number. Additional data from various sources to be presented in the following section, add weight to this conclusion.

IV. EXTENDED FORMULATION

In this section, an extended framework is presented which summarises previous findings and includes the entire region of the flow above the mean-flow buffer zone, i.e., $z_+ \geq 100$. This is done by first considering an analogy with the mean-flow velocity-defect and the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity at sufficiently large Reynolds numbers.

On a semi-logarithmic plot, both the mean-flow velocity defect and $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ show a straight line region in the middle part of the plot with deviations at both ends. In the case of the mean-flow velocity defect, examples of which can be found in the review of Fernholz and Finley,² the deviation near the wall is attributed to viscous effects while the outer part is referred to as the wake region. An analogous picture can be imagined for the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity. This is represented schematically in Fig. 3. As with the mean flow, the deviation from the logarithmic profile near the wall is attributed to viscous effects and will be referred to as a *viscous deviation* V_g . The deviation in the outer part of the boundary layer is due to wake effects and this deficit will be referred to as a *wake deviation* W_g which, from Townsend's Reynolds-number-similarity hypothesis, is a function of z/δ_c alone for constant Π .

With this analogy, (6) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\overline{u_1^2}}{U_\tau^2} = B_1 - A_1 \ln \left[\frac{z}{\delta_c} \right] - V_g \left[z_+, \frac{z}{\delta_c} \right] - W_g \left[\frac{z}{\delta_c} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Here, the viscous deviation V_g is proposed to be made up of two parts. One part is the viscous correction $V[z_+]$ which is assumed to be a Kolmogorov eddy-type cut-off and is thus isotropic in nature and the second part contributes to the

FIG. 3. A mean-flow velocity-defect analogous picture for the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity for flow above the viscous buffer zone ($z_+ \geq 100$).

anisotropic behavior as we approach the boundary. The anisotropic correction is believed to be made up of the cut-off of anisotropic dissipating motions and an attached eddy cut-off. The effect of the attached eddy cut-off is to give a levelling off of $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ and the beginning of the drop off in $-\overline{u_1 u_3}/U_\tau^2$ (see Perry and Marusic¹³). All of this occurs near the outer edge of the buffer zone ($z_+ \approx 60$) and is simply the consequence of there being no representative attached eddy scales below the smallest scale $\delta_1 = O(100\nu/U_\tau)$.

Therefore, considering the trends of $\overline{u_1^2}$ profiles at larger Reynolds numbers, a function of the form

$$V_g = \underbrace{S_A[z_+](E_1 - A_1 \ln[z_+])}_{\text{anisotropic cut-off}} + \underbrace{S_V[z_+]V[z_+](1 - z/\delta_c)}_{\text{isotropic Kolmogorov cut-off}} \quad (9)$$

is proposed, where E_1 is a constant and $S_A[z_+]$ and $S_V[z_+]$ are two switching functions. Note that the functional form of $V[z_+]$ is given by (5). The first function on the right hand side of (9) accounts for the anisotropic cut-off; whereas the second function takes care of the Kolmogorov cut-off and this will have a form and asymptotic behavior at large z_+ similar to the viscous correction function given by (5). As a first approximation, a linear factor $(1 - z/\delta_c)$ multiplies the $V[z_+]$ term. Strictly, the functional form of $V[z_+]$ given earlier is valid only in the turbulent wall region and we have no firm idea of what its functional form is in the outer part of the layer since the assumption of local production balancing local dissipation breaks down. From a study of the spectral data beyond the turbulent wall region measured by Perry and Li,¹⁰ it appears that $V[z_+]$ should be less than that predicted by the turbulent wall region value for the same z_+ . We have decided to incorporate the linear factor for convenience but suspect that the truth lies somewhere in between $V[z_+]$ and $V[z_+](1 - z/\delta_c)$.

The switching functions $S_A[z_+]$ and $S_V[z_+]$ ensure that

$$V_g \rightarrow \begin{cases} Cz_+^{-1/2} & \text{as } z_+ \rightarrow \infty \\ E_1 - A_1 \ln[z_+] & \text{as } z_+ \rightarrow O(100) \end{cases}, \quad (10)$$

TABLE II. Values of various constants in equations (8), (9), (11) and (12).

Constant	Numerical value	Referencing equation
A_1	1.03	9 and 8
B_1	2.39	8
m_A	0.0021	11
m_V	0.0059	12
E_1	6.25	9

where C is a universal constant. Given these constraints, a possible form of the switching functions may be given by

$$S_A[z_+] = \text{erfc}[m_A z_+], \quad (11)$$

$$S_V[z_+] = \text{erf}[m_V z_+], \quad (12)$$

where m_A and m_V are constants; the numerical values of these constants can be found from a curve-fitting procedure for one data set and then must apply to all the other flows since V_g is assumed to be universal. The form of the switching functions S_A and S_V chosen here are quite arbitrary but do seem to fit the data reasonably well.

In the case of W_g , this is taken to be a universal function of z/δ_c as required by Townsend's Reynolds-number-similarity hypothesis and the usual approximate self-preserving flow assumption for zero-pressure-gradient layers. W_g could be computed numerically using a model developed by Perry and Marusic¹³ and Marusic and Perry¹⁴ but this would be very complicated and would depend on the assumed shape of the representative eddies. For simplicity, an analytical functional form is chosen which involves the same nondimensional variables as the attached eddy model would give. This is

$$W_g[\eta] = B_1 \eta^2 (3 - 2\eta) - A_1 \eta^2 (1 - \eta)(1 - 2\eta). \quad (13)$$

The polynomial terms are identical to the expressions used by Lewkowicz³⁰ to describe the mean-flow wake function and the coefficients are determined from the boundary conditions at the edge of the layer and in the wall region, i.e., $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2 = 0$; $\partial(\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2)/\partial(z/\delta_c) = 0$ at $z/\delta_c = 1$ and $W_g = 0$ as $z/\delta_c \rightarrow 0$. A summary of the constants used in (8), (9), (11) and (12) are given in Table II. The values for A_1 and B_1 shown are as given by Perry and Li.¹⁰

It should be noted that the details of these curve fits are of secondary importance, which most likely will be subject to alteration and further refinement. What is important is the similarity scaling behavior which this formulation expresses, namely, a viscous region with lengths scaling with ν/U_τ which smoothly blends into a region where the lengths scale with δ_c alone if the Reynolds number is sufficiently high.

Figure 4 shows the curve fit to the data corresponding to $R_\theta = 11928$ ($\Pi \approx 0.55$) together with the individual components which make up equation (8). The figure illustrates the purpose of the switching functions in moving from one asymptotic relation to another. Using the constants thus obtained and summarised in Table II, equation (8) is compared to other experimental data in Fig. 5. The data sets in Fig. 5 have been chosen to present a large spread in Reynolds number and include DNS numerical data together with hot-wire (HWA) and LDA measurements. See the Appendix. The

FIG. 4. Individual components which make up equation (8) compared to experimental data at $R_\theta = 11928$.

similarity equation (8) is seen to fit the data reasonably well for the moderate and high Reynolds number flows. If the two length scales ν/U_τ and δ_c are sufficiently separated in value, then the formulation is applicable for $z_+ \geq 100$ to $z/\delta_c \leq 1$. For low Reynolds numbers, i.e., $K_\tau < 2500$ say, i.e., $R_\theta < 6000$ approximately, the two length scales are not sufficiently separated and the lower limit of applicability of z_+ increases as the Reynolds number decreases, as can be clearly seen in Fig. 5(a). We have no formulation for this low-Reynolds-number effect but for the data shown, the data still fits in the wake region rather well.

It is worth noting that Fig. 5(a) looks very similar to figure 5 of Phillips³¹ who deduced the functional form for the Reynolds stresses from matched asymptotic expansion methods. The authors agree broadly with the results of Phillips but not necessarily with details such as numerical values of constants or the behavior of the normal to the wall component of turbulence intensity (which is not treated here but is discussed in Perry *et al.*¹⁷ and Perry and Marusic¹³).

The results in Fig. 5(a) are re-plotted versus z/δ_c in Fig. 5(b). Here, the “peel-off” from the outer-flow scaling collapse is clearly seen with decreasing Reynolds number.

V. DISCUSSION

A. Near-wall region

The peak in intensity at $z_+ \approx 15$ can be clearly seen in Fig. 5(a) and we conjecture that this may have something to do with the early formation process of the attached eddies. Very little is understood about this region. As stated earlier, the similarity relation (8) does not extend into the viscous buffer zone ($z_+ < 100$) where as seen in Fig. 5(a) there would appear to be a trend towards inner-flow scaling. As discussed in Sec. I, the question of whether or not inner-flow scaling applies in this region is still a point of contention and most likely will remain so until reliable well-resolved near-wall measurements can be made. The pipe-flow LDA measurements of Durst *et al.*⁸ using a glass wall with a matched reflective-index fluid are a positive development and an error analysis shows these results to be accurate and agreement with DNS data gives further confidence in the measurements.

FIG. 5. A collection of experimental streamwise broadband turbulence intensity profiles together with similarity predictions given by equation (8) (solid lines). (a) Inner-flow scaling. (b) Outer-flow scaling. Corresponding K_τ values are given in the Appendix. Sources of the data are as follows: $R_\theta=1410$ —Spalart; 2190, 7080, 10290—McLean; 4140—Uddin; 13052—Smith; 20920, 57720—DNW; 35100—Petrie *et al.*

However, all of this was done at low Reynolds numbers and what is also needed are accurate measurements at moderate to high Reynolds numbers. Unfortunately, the size of the viscous zone becomes increasingly small if the Reynolds number variation is carried out by increasing U_∞ or by decreasing ν and this will introduce spatial and temporal resolution problems. Measurements in thick boundary layers (i.e., an increase in length scale) achieved through long development lengths may be a way to tackle these problems.

Apart from being more applicable in practice, studying high Reynolds number flows is important in order to adequately test theories such as the attached eddy hypothesis. This extends to discussions of what Bradshaw³² and Townsend¹¹ refer to as “inactive motions.” These are large-scale motions which contribute to the streamwise and spanwise broadband-turbulence intensities near the wall but not the wall-normal turbulence intensity and Reynolds shear

stress. Townsend¹¹ points out that these “motions” can be fully described by the presence of attached eddies. The attached eddy model^{17,13} describes the boundary layer by superimposing the contributions from a range of geometrically similar representative eddies, and the contribution of one scale of eddies of length scale δ to $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ is proportional to $I_{11}[z/\delta]$, the streamwise Townsend eddy intensity function. By Taylor series expanding the velocity field for inviscid boundary conditions, Townsend¹² deduced that I_{11} must approach a constant as $z/\delta \rightarrow 0$, whereas it rapidly decays to zero for increasing z/δ for $z/\delta > 1$. This directly implies that all eddies with length scale $\delta > O(z)$ will have contributions to $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ at this z position and this results in the “inactive motions.” This also applies to the intensity function $I_{22}[z/\delta]$, and hence $\overline{u_2^2}/U_\tau^2$, the spanwise intensity. This is not true for I_{33} and I_{13} which fall to zero as $z/\delta \rightarrow 0$. As the Reynolds number increases, the range of eddy length scales δ increases and so $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ and $\overline{u_2^2}/U_\tau^2$ keep increasing but $\overline{u_3^2}/U_\tau^2$ and $-\overline{u_1 u_3}/U_\tau^2$ asymptote to a finite universal limit above the buffer zone. The detailed mathematics behind this has been given in many places, e.g., Perry and Marusic,¹³ Perry *et al.*,¹⁷ Perry and Chong,¹⁶ Townsend¹² and Smits and Dussauge⁵ to mention a few and therefore will not be reproduced here. It is important to restate that this only applies for the region above the viscous buffer zone where inviscid boundary conditions apply and the motions can be thought of as a “meandering and swirling” of the fluid in the streamwise-spanwise plane.

Within the viscous sublayer and buffer zone, where the eddy formation processes are taking place, it is not possible to draw firm conclusions about the influence of these “inactive motions,” but it would seem reasonable to speculate the following. Since the intensities $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ and $\overline{u_2^2}/U_\tau^2$ increase with increasing Reynolds number above the buffer zone it is likely that these components will not show inner scaling in the viscous sublayer and buffer zone. Conversely, since

FIG. 6. The streamwise broadband turbulence intensity at $z_+ = 200$ for a range of different K_τ values (see the Appendix). Solid line is obtained from the similarity formulation (8).

$\overline{u_3^2}/U_\tau^2$ and $-\overline{u_1 u_3}/U_\tau^2$ retain universal values invariant with z_+ for $z/\delta_c \rightarrow 0$ above the buffer zone for increasing Reynolds numbers, it is likely that these quantities will show inner scaling in the viscous sublayer and buffer zone. None of this is likely to be valid if the Reynolds number is not sufficiently large, since the ratio of the largest to smallest attached eddies is proportional to $K_\tau = \delta_c U_\tau / \nu$, and restricting measurements to low-Reynolds-number flows may mean that an inadequate range of scales of attached eddies exists. This is probably the case for the low-Reynolds-number data reviewed by Bradshaw and Huang⁷ where they concluded that none of the Reynolds stresses show inner-scaling. Further experiments in moderate to high Reynolds numbers are needed to resolve the issue.

B. Outer region

In the region of the flow beyond the buffer zone, the results in Fig. 5(a) show clear Reynolds number effects for data plotted against z_+ . Coles⁴ also concluded that beyond the buffer zone $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ should increase with increasing Reynolds number at a fixed z_+ . To investigate this trend further, Fig. 6 shows how the streamwise broadband-turbulence intensity varies with $\delta_c U_\tau / \nu$ at $z_+ = 200$ for the similarity formulation and for various experimental studies. Reasonably good agreement is observed. The similarity formulation is seen to approach a log-linear relationship (i.e., negligible $V[z_+]$ effects) beyond $K_\tau \approx 2500$.

The results in Fig. 6 indicate that the similarity proposed will result in the plateau, observed in the $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ versus $\log[z_+]$ at $z_+ = O(100)$, to rise with Reynolds number without limit. How far this formulation can be pushed is not known. It would be interesting to see whether this level ultimately exceeds the peak turbulence intensity at $z_+ \approx 15$. Also if the trend shown in Fig. 6 were to continue with increasing K_τ then $(\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2)_{z_+=200}$ (where U is the local mean velocity) will increase without limit. This ultimately must lead to momentary flow reversals occurring. At a first glance, it would appear that the formulation might “self destruct” at sufficiently high Reynolds numbers because of this. Nevertheless, from work by Perry and Chong³³ which considers the flow topology of turbulent boundary layers near the wall, momentary flow reversals were shown to occur even in the Spalart²¹ zero-pressure-gradient flow case, at least at $z_+ \rightarrow 0$. These observations come from studying streamline and vortex line patterns. The same work also shows that in adverse-pressure-gradients layers such momentary flow reversals in localized regions are very common as seen in the data of Spalart and Watmuff³⁴ at least at $z_+ \rightarrow 0$ even though the flow in the mean is attached. It could well be that the similarity law is still valid even with such flow reversals.

Another interesting feature in Fig. 5 is the high Reynolds number data of Fernholz *et al.*³⁵ (labelled DNW). Here an additional peak appears to be present in the outer part of the flow. The data of Petrie *et al.*³⁶ at a similar Reynolds number do not show this trend. Unfortunately there is very little experimental data at these high Reynolds numbers with which to investigate this behavior further. For this issue and many

others it is certainly desirable to have systematic studies in very thick high Reynolds number boundary layers. The authors are presently engaged in constructing a facility for producing such layers.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A similarity formulation has been proposed providing a framework with which to describe Reynolds number effects on $\overline{u_1^2}/U_\tau^2$ for the entire region above the viscous buffer zone (i.e., $z_+ \geq 100$ and $z/\delta_c \leq 1$) for flows with zero pressure gradient, provided $R_\theta > 6000$ approximately. For lower Reynolds numbers, the lower limit of z_+ increases as R_θ decreases. The formulation, which is completely consistent with attached eddy model given by Perry and Marusic¹³ and Marusic and Perry,¹⁴ bears an analogy with the mean-flow velocity profile. It includes a logarithmic relationship in the turbulent wall region with deviations at the two ends: one near the buffer zone and the other in the wake region. These deviations are referred to as the viscous deviation and the wake deviation. The wake deviation is based on Townsend's Reynolds-number-similarity hypothesis and is thus a universal function of z/δ_c for a fixed Coles wake factor II. The viscous deviation is proposed to be made up of an isotropic Kolmogorov cut-off component and anisotropic components which could include a cut-off of anisotropic dissipating motions and an attached eddy cut-off which is consistent with the attached eddy model.^{17,13}

The formulation is compared to experimental data covering a large range of Reynolds number including new data presented here and other data not reviewed previously. Encouraging agreement is observed for flows with moderate to high Reynolds numbers thus supporting the attached eddy hypothesis and outer-flow Reynolds number similarity.

The formulation does not extend into the mean-flow viscous buffer zone and sublayer but discussion is given about inner-scaling and the need for further experiments in the near-wall region of moderate and high Reynolds number flows.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance of the Australian Research Council.

APPENDIX: R_θ AND K_τ VALUES FOR DIFFERENT FLOW CASES

Data source	R_θ	K_τ
Authors' data (Uddin ²⁶)		
(HWA)	4140	1655
	11928	4704
Bruns <i>et al.</i> ³⁷		
(HWA)	2573	1035
	5023	1970
	7140	2805
	16080	6200
Erm and Joubert ²⁹		
(HWA)	1003	547
	1568	749

	2226	995
	2788	1191
Fernholz <i>et al.</i> ³⁵ (DNW)		
(HWA)	20920	8008
	41260	15643
	57720	21603
	60810	23013
McLean ³⁸		
(HWA)	1500	610
	2190	1000
	2670	1050
	3860	1400
	4950	1750
	4980	2300
	7080	2600
	10290	3800
Perry and Li ¹⁰		
(HWA)	2828	1193
	4066	1681
	7357	3000
	9371	3780
	11097	4433
Data source	R_θ	K_τ
Macfarlane ³⁹		
(HWA)	2027	903
Petrie <i>et al.</i> ³⁶		
(LDA)	6570	2439
	7990	2864
	9130	3349
	9280	3497
	10000	4411
	10500	4096
	10740	4088
	10960	4301
	11200	4846
	13100	5244
	13540	4775
	14000	5771
	15400	6467
	16700	6915
	18300	8293
	21700	7346
	23400	9862
	24300	10148
	24301	11154
	26000	11235
	26200	10749
	28600	12732
	35100	14455
	39000	16850
Smith ⁴⁰		
(HWA)	5021	2030
	13052	5180
Spalart ²¹		
(DNS)	1410	689

¹M. Gad el Hak and P. R. Bandyopadhyay, "Reynolds number effects in wall-bounded turbulent flows," *Appl. Mech. Rev.* **47** (8), 307–365 (1994).

²H. H. Fernholz and P. J. Finley, "The incompressible zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layer: An assessment of the data," *Prog. Aerospace Sci.* **32**, 245–311 (1996).

³S. Mochizuki and F. T. M. Nieuwstadt, "Reynolds-number-dependence of

the maximum in the streamwise velocity fluctuations in wall turbulence," *Exp. Fluids* **21**, 218–226 (1996).

⁴D. Coles, "A model for flow in the viscous sublayer," in *Proc. Workshop on Coherent Structure of Turbulent Boundary Layers*, edited by C. R. Smith and D. E. Abbott (Lehigh University, 1978).

⁵A. J. Smits and J. P. Dussauge, *Turbulent Shear Layers in Supersonic Flow* (AIP, New York, 1996).

⁶J. P. Dussauge, H. Fernholz, P. J. Finley, R. W. Smith, A. J. Smits, and E. F. Spina, "Turbulent boundary layers in subsonic and supersonic flow," *AGARDograph*, 335 (1996).

⁷P. Bradshaw and G. P. Huang, "The law of the wall in turbulent flow," *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **451**, 165–188 (1995).

⁸F. Durst, J. Jovanovic, and J. Sender, "LDA measurements in the near-wall region of a turbulent pipe flow," *J. Fluid Mech.* **295**, 305–335 (1995).

⁹A. E. Perry, K. L. Lim, and S. M. Henbest, "An experimental study of the turbulence structure in smooth- and rough-wall boundary layers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **177**, 437–466 (1987).

¹⁰A. E. Perry and J. D. Li, "Experimental support for the attached eddy hypothesis in zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **218**, 405–438 (1990).

¹¹A. A. Townsend, "Equilibrium layers and wall turbulence," *J. Fluid Mech.* **11**, 97–120 (1961).

¹²A. A. Townsend, *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flow*, Vol. 2 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1976).

¹³A. E. Perry and I. Marusic, "A wall-wake model for the turbulence structure of boundary layers. Part 1. Extension of the attached eddy hypothesis," *J. Fluid Mech.* **298**, 361–388 (1995).

¹⁴I. Marusic and A. E. Perry, "A wall-wake model for the turbulence structure of boundary layers. Part 2. Further experimental support," *J. Fluid Mech.* **298**, 389–407 (1995).

¹⁵S. J. Kline, W. C. Reynolds, F. A. Schaub, and P. W. Rundstadler, "The structure of turbulent boundary layers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **30**, 741–773 (1967).

¹⁶A. E. Perry and M. S. Chong, "On the mechanism of wall turbulence," *J. Fluid Mech.* **119**, 173–217 (1982).

¹⁷A. E. Perry, S. M. Henbest, and M. S. Chong, "A theoretical and experimental study of wall turbulence," *J. Fluid Mech.* **165**, 163–199 (1986).

¹⁸A. E. Perry and C. J. Abell, "Asymptotic similarity of turbulence structures in smooth- and rough-walled pipes," *J. Fluid Mech.* **79**, 785–799 (1977).

¹⁹L. S. G. Kovaszny, "Spectrum of locally isotropic turbulence," *J. Aerosol Sci.* **15**, 745–753 (1948).

²⁰A. E. Perry, J. D. Li, S. M. Henbest, and I. Marusic, "The attached eddy hypothesis in wall turbulence," in *Near-Wall Turbulence (1988 Zoran Zaric Memorial Conference)*, Dubrovnik, Croatia (Hemisphere, New York, 1988).

²¹P. R. Spalart, "Direct simulation of turbulent boundary layer up to $R_\theta = 1410$," *J. Fluid Mech.* **187**, 61–98 (1988).

²²S. H. M. Hafez, "The structure of accelerated turbulent boundary layers," Ph.D. thesis, University of Melbourne, Australia, 1991.

²³A. E. Perry, I. Marusic, and J. D. Li, "Wall turbulence closure based on classical similarity laws and the attached eddy hypothesis," *Phys. Fluids* **2(6)**, 1024–1035 (1994).

²⁴D. E. Coles, "The law of the wake in the turbulent boundary layer," *J. Fluid Mech.* **1**, 191–226 (1956).

²⁵A. E. Perry, *Hot-wire Anemometry* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1982).

²⁶A. K. M. Uddin, "The structure of a turbulent boundary layer," Ph.D. thesis, University of Melbourne, Australia, 1994.

²⁷A. A. Townsend, *The Structure of Turbulent Shear Flow* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1956).

²⁸R. A. Antonia, S. Rajagopalan, C. S. Subramanian, and A. J. Chambers, "Reynolds number dependence of the structure of a turbulent boundary layer," *J. Fluid Mech.* **121**, 123–140 (1982).

²⁹L. P. Erm and P. N. Joubert, "Low Reynolds number turbulent boundary layers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **230**, 1–44 (1991).

³⁰A. K. Lewkowicz, "An improved universal wake function for turbulent boundary layers and some of its consequences," *Z. Flugwiss. Weltraumforsch.* **6**, 261–266 (1982).

³¹W. R. C. Phillips, "The wall region of the turbulent boundary layer," *Phys. Fluids* **30** (8), 2354–2361 (1987).

³²P. Bradshaw, "The turbulence structure of equilibrium boundary layers," *J. Fluid Mech.* **29**, 625–645 (1967).

³³A. E. Perry and M. S. Chong, "Topology of flow patterns in vortex mo-

- tions and turbulence,” *Appl. Sci. Res.* **53**, 357–374 (1994).
- ³⁴P. R. Spalart and J. H. Watmuff, “Experimental and numerical study of turbulent boundary layers with pressure gradients,” *J. Fluid Mech.* **249**, 271–298 (1992).
- ³⁵H. H. Fernholz, E. Krause, M. Nockemann, and M. Schober, “Comparative measurements in the canonical boundary layer at $Re_\theta \leq 6 \times 10^4$ on the wall of the DNW,” *Phys. Fluids* **7**, 1275–1281 (1995).
- ³⁶H. L. Petrie, A. A. Fontaine, S. T. Sommer, and T. A. Brungart, “Large flat plate turbulent boundary layer evaluation,” *Tech. Rep. TM89-207*, Appl. Res. Lab., Penn State University, 1990.
- ³⁷J. Bruns, P. Dengel, and H. H. Fernholz, “Mean flow and turbulence measurements in a an incompressible two-dimensional turbulent boundary layer. Part I: Data,” Technical Report Institutsbericht Nr. 02/92, Hermann-Föttinger-Institut für Thermound Fluidodynamik, Technische Universität Berlin, 1992.
- ³⁸I. R. McLean, “The near wall eddy structure in an equilibrium turbulent boundary layer,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Southern California, USA, 1990.
- ³⁹I. Macfarlane, “Turbulent boundary layers with system rotation,” Ph.D. thesis, University of Melbourne, Australia, 1996.
- ⁴⁰R. W. Smith, “The effect of Reynolds number on the structure of turbulent boundary layers,” Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1994.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Marusic, I.;Uddin, A. K. M.;Perry, A. E.

Title:

Similarity law for the streamwise turbulence intensity in zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layers

Date:

1997

Citation:

Marusic, I., Uddin, A. K. M. & Perry, A. E. (1997). Similarity law for the streamwise turbulence intensity in zero-pressure-gradient turbulent boundary layers. *Physics of Fluids*, 9(12), 3718-3726.

Publication Status:

Published

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/34793>