Does Cognitive Behavioural Therapy have a role in improving problem solving and coping in adolescents with suicidal ideation?

Journal:	<i>The Cognitive Behaviour Therapist</i>
Manuscript ID:	CBT-00174-13.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Reports
Keywords:	suicide, cognitive behavioural therapy, problem solving, young people

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Review

Title: Does Cognitive Behavioural Therapy have a role in improving problem solving and coping in adolescents with suicidal ideation?

Running title: The role of CBT to improve problem solving and coping?

Authors and affiliations: Sarah Hetrick¹, Hok Pan Yuen¹, Georgina Cox¹, Sarah Bendall¹, Alison Yung^{1,2}, Jane Pirkis³, Jo Robinson¹.

¹ Orygen Youth Health Research Centre, Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Locked Bag 10, Parkville, Vic 3052, Australia

² Centre for Psychosis and Mood Disorders, Institute of Brain, Behaviour and Mental Health, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

³ Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Level 4, 207 Bouverie Street, Victoria 3010, Australia

Corresponding author:

Sarah Hetrick

Orygen Youth Health Research Centre,
Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne

Locked Bag 10, Parkville

Vic 3052, Australia

Tel: +61 3 9342 2866

Fax: +61 3 9342 2941

Email: shetrick@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract***Background***

Problem solving and coping skills deficits have been shown in adolescents who experience suicide-related behaviours, including suicidal ideation. Little evidence exists about effective interventions for this population. We undertook a pilot study of an Internet-based CBT program that included problem solving skill training to investigate its impact on skills deficits.

Methods

The study employed a pre-test / post-test design. Outcomes of interest were negative problem orientation, emotion and task focused coping, and adolescent's perception of helpfulness of the intervention. Participants, recruited via the school wellbeing team, were assessed at baseline, at weekly intervention sessions and immediately post-intervention.

Results

Twenty-one adolescents completed the intervention. Over the course of the intervention, negative problem solving orientation improved and students relied less on emotion focused coping strategies. Because there was no control group, we cannot be certain that the changes seen between baseline and post-intervention can be attributed to the intervention. Adolescents rated the problem solving and cognitive restructuring modules as particularly helpful.

Conclusions

Interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, as well as cognitive restructuring to address adolescents appraisal of problems and their ability to solve them appear promising for adolescents with suicidal ideation. Further investigation is warranted.

Key words: Cognitive Behavioural Therapy, suicidal ideation, adolescents, Internet.

Background

Worldwide, suicide ranks in the top five causes of mortality among 15 to 19 year olds (WHO, 2000). In Australia, suicide is the most frequent cause of death among young, accounting for approximately one quarter of deaths for those aged 15-24 (Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 2011). Suicide-related behaviours are more common than suicide in Australia and worldwide. These behaviours include suicidal ideation and suicide attempt (often referred to as deliberate self-harm; DSH), both with, and without, intention of dying (K. Hawton, Rodham, Evans, & Weatherall, 2002). For example, the ratio of suicide attempts to completed suicides is 25:1. Suicide attempts have a 7-11% 12-month prevalence rate (Nock et al., 2008), and at any point in time 15-25% of young people may be experiencing suicidal ideation (Grunbaum et al., 2004). Suicidal ideation, and threats or gestures of suicide attempt have been shown to predict future suicide attempt (Prinstein et al., 2008), making these phenomena an important target for intervention.

Several potential targets for intervention have been identified from research. Deficits in problem solving in individuals with suicide-related behaviours have been consistently shown in both adults and adolescents (Grover et al., 2009; Labelle, Breton, Pouliot, Dufresne, & Berthiaume, 2013; Pollock & Williams, 1998, 2004) with social problem solving deficits highlighted in adolescents (Arie, Apter, Orbach, Yefet, & Zalzman, 2008). Studies of adolescents and young adults have shown difficulties both in terms of being able to generate sufficient, and effective, solutions to problem situations ((Sadowski & Kelley, 1993; Schotte & Clum, 1982, 1987)). Similarly studies of young adults have shown a tendency for these individuals to focus on the potential negative outcomes of solutions, as opposed to potential positive outcomes ((Dixon, Heppner, & Rudd, 1994; Dixon, Heppner, & Anderson, 1991; Rudd, Rajab, & Dahm, 1994).

Recent work in adolescents has compared the specific nature of problem solving deficits between individuals with suicide-related behaviour and those with depression. Research indicates that those exhibiting suicide-related behaviour may make a poor choice of solution and fail to consider long term negative consequences of their actions (Oldershaw et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 1995). Adult studies have shown they are also more likely to choose solutions that are deemed 'passive' (in that they rely on chance or the actions of another person) (Pollock & Williams, 2004), compared to those experiencing depression. In addition, both adults and adolescents with suicide-related behaviours demonstrate a negative appraisal of problems and their ability to resolve them (i.e. negative problem orientation) (D'Zurilla, Chang, Nottingham, & Faccini, 1998; Wilson, et al., 1995).

Coping, defined as efforts to deal with stress, is intricately linked to problem solving (Spence, Sheffield, & Donovan, 2002) and coping strategies, including problem solving can be protective against suicide-related behaviours (Khurana & Romer, 2012; Mathew & Nanoo, 2013; Piquet & Wagner, 2003). Poor coping skills, in particular emotion focused (i.e. directed toward managing the negative emotions associated with the stressor) and a lack of problem focused coping strategies (i.e. directed toward the source of the stress) (as defined by Lazarus and Folkman; (1984)) have been associated with past suicide attempt and to be predictive of future attempts in adolescents (Lewinsohn, Rohde, & Seeley, 1996) For example, studies have shown that adolescents who had made suicide attempts were more likely to utilise emotion focused coping strategies compared with problem focused strategies (Puskar, Hoover, & Miewald, 1992; Wilson, et al., 1995). Similarly, an association has been shown between emotion focused coping and suicidal ideation (Horwitz, Hill, & King, 2011). The converse has also been confirmed; with task focused coping being reduced in young people who repeatedly attempted suicide (Curry, Miller, Waugh, & Anderson, 1992; Mathew & Nanoo, 2013; Nruham, Holen, & Sund, 2012).

While these potential targets for intervention have been highlighted in research, the evidence base for effective interventions for young people demonstrating suicide-related behaviour is limited (Robinson, Hetrick, & Martin, 2011a). Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) has been identified in a recent systematic review as the most promising approach investigated in young people thus far (Robinson, et al., 2011a). In adults, there is evidence to suggest that cognitive behavioural problem solving (PST) interventions are beneficial for reducing repeated suicide attempts (Salkovskis, Atha, & Storer, 1990), promising in terms of preventing repetitive self-harm (K. K. E. Hawton et al., 1999), and effective with regard to improving depression and hopelessness (Townsend et al., 2001). In addition, a recent study of adolescents showed that those who received PST experienced a significant reduction in ‘suicide potential’ (measured on a scale that included items related to hopelessness, suicidal ideation, negative self-evaluation and hostility (Cull & Gill, 1988), and depression scores, and a higher rate of recovery from depression when compared with those in the waitlist comparison, (Eskin, Ertekin, & Demir, 2008).

Therefore, based on the extant literature at the present time, the best approach to clinical interventions for youth with suicide-related behaviours may be cognitive behavioural approach. Interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, with a particular focus on enhancing skills in order to modify of negative problem orientation, as well as cognitive interventions that specifically target the negative cognitions related to problems and one’s ability to solve these problems, may be beneficial. Together these should improve problem solving orientation and coping skills, which are associated with suicidal ideation.

We have developed a CBT-based intervention called ‘Reframe-IT’ for youth experiencing suicidal ideation (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). Problem solving is frequently incorporated in CBT (V.R. Weersing, M. Rozenman, & A.

Gonzalez, 2009) and given the evidence regarding problem solving deficits in individuals with suicide-related behaviours we ensured this was incorporated.

We developed our intervention to be delivered over the Internet given increasing evidence of: 1. The popularity of this means of communication among youth (Subrahmanyam & Lin, 2007); 2. The increasing use amongst youth of the Internet as a means of acquiring health information (Gould, Munfakh, Lubell, Kleinman, & Parker, 2002); and, 3. Emerging evidence suggesting it is an effective form of treatment delivery for depression and anxiety in adolescents (Calear & Christensen, 2010; Richardson, Stallard, & Velleman, 2010). The intervention was not open access but delivered in a monitored environment, which has been shown to improve rates of adherence and treatment outcomes (Cavanagh, 2010; H. Christensen, K. M. Griffiths, & L. Farrer, 2009; Neil, Batterham, Chrisensen, Bennett, & Griffiths, 2009; Spek et al., 2007).

The primary aims of the pilot study were to test the effects of this intervention on suicidal ideation, depression and hopelessness among secondary school students, and this has been reported in another publication (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). We also examined, and reported in an additional paper, the levels of distress and suicidal ideation on a weekly basis in order to monitor the acceptability and safety of the intervention (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yung, et al., 2014). However, we also felt it was important to examine how the intervention works to improve those problem solving and coping deficits that have been associated with suicide-related behaviours, and to explore which aspects of CBT young people find most helpful, in order to further refine the intervention and facilitate translation of research findings into everyday clinical practice (V.R. Weersing, et al., 2009). Therefore, the current study aims to: 1. Examine whether or not the program leads to increased problem solving and increased coping skills; and 2. To examine which aspects of the intervention are considered to be most helpful by participants and to

what extent. The specific hypotheses were that the Reframe-IT intervention would improve problem solving and coping skills. Additional questions were:

1. Do young people find the intervention helpful?
2. What specific CBT or problem solving skills taught within the intervention do young people find helpful?
3. Is there any evident pattern of improvement in distress over the course of the intervention period, and if so does it tell us anything about the helpfulness of the modules delivered as part of the intervention?

Methods

Study design

This was a small pilot study that employed a pre-test/post-test design with an 8-week intervention phase. Participants were assessed immediately before beginning the intervention (baseline), weekly (distress scores and helpfulness ratings) and immediately after the intervention was complete (post-intervention).

Sample

Eleven secondary schools from the Northwestern suburbs of Melbourne and in the Barwon area, just outside of Melbourne agreed to participate in the pilot study.

Students were eligible to participate if they were: 1. Aged 14 to 18 years; 2. Had presented to a member of their school wellbeing team; and, 3. Reported experiencing suicidal ideation during the past month. Exclusion criteria were any intellectual disability, the presence of psychotic symptoms and/or an inability to speak English.

Students who met the inclusion criteria were asked at the discretion of the school wellbeing team if they were interested in participating in the study. If they said 'yes', the school wellbeing staff member gave them a consent form to take home. Once the consent

form was signed by the student and their parent or legal guardian, the school wellbeing team contacted the study team and an appointment was made to conduct the baseline assessment. Details about the limits of confidentiality (that all information would remain confidential unless the young person there was deemed to be a risk of harm to themselves or others, in which case this information would be passed to their school welfare co-ordinator and/or emergency contact; this would be done with their full knowledge) were provided in the written information and verbally by the research team undertaken the assessments and delivering the intervention (see below). No incentives for participation in the study were provided. Following the baseline assessment a safety plan was also completed and uploaded onto the Reframe-IT website.

Intervention

The Reframe-IT intervention was developed by the research team and comprises eight modules, designed to be administered once a week at school. Each participant had access to his or her own personalized webpage accessed via a secure login. Only the participant and the research team could access the page. There was no social networking function.

The site comprised an adult 'host' character who delivered the therapy verbally, and a series of video diaries made by young people (actors), that told a different 'story' each week, to demonstrate the skill that was being taught by the host. There were also two activities to be completed each week related to the same skill being taught. The site also included a message board, a series of factsheets covering a range of related topics, downloadable MP3s and a list of local and national helplines and services that participants could access if they wished. As the weeks progressed, additional items were added to the site, (e.g., an activity diary).

The eight modules incorporated standard CBT approaches commonly used with young people (V. Robin Weersing, Michelle Rozenman, & Araceli Gonzalez, 2009). These were: engagement and agenda setting; emotional recognition and distress tolerance;

identification of negative automatic thinking; behavioural activation - help-seeking and activity scheduling (including relaxation techniques); problem solving, with a specific focus on managing suicidal ideation; detecting and challenging problematic thinking, and cognitive restructuring.

Researcher involvement was two-fold. Two researchers (JR and GC) conducted the face-to-face assessments and facilitated delivery of the program, which included setting up appointments; managing Internet issues; and sitting with the young person while they watched each module of Reframe-IT on a lap top . The assessments and the delivery of the intervention took place at the participants' school. Two other researchers provided clinical supervision and moderated the website (SH and SB) by checking the responses to a weekly 'distress-check', which was completed online at the end of each module. If scores on this were high, this information was fed-back to the school staff. She also checked completed activities and responded with personalised but standardised messages and checked the message board daily responding as appropriate.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was problem orientation as measured at baseline and post-intervention by the Negative Problem Orientation Questionnaire (NPOQ), which has been demonstrated to have good psychometric properties in young adults (Internal consistency $\alpha=.92$; test-retest reliability over 5 weeks $r=.80$, $p<.01$) (Robichaud & Dugas, 2005) and, while not validated in adolescents, has been used in in this age group (Spence, et al., 2002). The NPOQ has 12 items assessing the individuals perceived threat of problems to their wellbeing, their confidence in being able to solve problems and how much they focus on the potential negative outcomes of solutions that are implemented with some items indicative of self-blame and worry, (McWilliams, Cox, & Enns, 2003). Each item has a scale of 1) 'Not

at all true of me' to 5) 'Extremely true of me' with the total score of NPOQ ranging from 12 to 60, and a lower score indicating a less negative problem solving orientation.

Secondly, coping was measured at baseline and post-intervention using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS) (Endler & Parker, 1990), which has not been validated in adolescents but has been shown to have good psychometric properties in clinical and non-clinical, adult and young adult populations (internal consistency $\alpha=.76$; test-retest reliability =0.51 to 0.73) (Endler & Parker, 1994) (McWilliams, et al., 2003). Participants are asked to rate how often they would utilize a particular behavioural response to a difficult, stressful, or upsetting situation on a scale from 1) 'Not at all' to 5) 'Very Much'. It has three subscales, namely Task Focused Coping, Emotion Focused Coping and Avoidance Focused Coping; in this study we have used the Task (equivalent to the problem focused coping concept of Lazarus and Folkman (1984)) and Emotion focused coping, given the literature pointing to these as important in adolescents with suicide-related behaviours. For the Task focused subscale, higher scores indicate better coping; for the Emotional focused subscale, lower scores indicate better coping.

The perceived helpfulness of each individual module was measured using a specifically designed questionnaire administered weekly. Participants were asked to rate on how helpful they found the module that they had just completed on a scale of 1) Very unhelpful to 5) Very helpful.

Psychological distress was measured at baseline and post-intervention as well as each week immediately following delivery of the module, using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10), which has been shown to have strong psychometric properties (Andrews & Slade, 2001; Kessler RC, Andrews G, & al, 2002) and has been validated in adolescents (Chan & Fung, 2014). The K10 has 10 items each with a scale of 1) 'None of the time' to 5)

‘All of the time’, resulting in a total score which ranges from 10 to 50. The lower the score, the lower the level of distress.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and means and standard deviations were calculated with paired samples t-tests were carried out to examine the impact of the intervention on coping (CISS) and problem solving skills (NPOQ).

Time trend over the study period for the K10 scores was examined by looking at mean change between successive weeks (the baseline, week 1 to week 7 and the post-intervention data were used). The time trend was further examined by fitting a mixed effects model that included both a linear term as well as a quadratic term and using baseline K10 as a covariate on the K10 scores from baseline to post-intervention. Pearson correlations were undertaken for the K10 changes scores against the helpfulness total scores (calculated from the sum of the ratings of each of the 8 module for each individual).

Type I error was set at 0.05 for all analyses.

Safety, supervision and ethics

Several safety measures were in place and have been reported elsewhere (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee and the Victorian Department of Education and Early Childhood Development Ethics Committee. Written consent was required from all students and their parents/guardian.

Results

We were unable to collect reliable data from school staff regarding the number of students and parents approached for participation in the study; nor how many declined

participation and their reasons. Thirty-four students were referred to the study, from nine schools (the remaining two schools did not refer any students to the program). Of these 34 students, baseline assessments were conducted with 32, and 27 students began the intervention. Of the five students who completed a baseline assessment but did not begin the intervention, one reported feeling better and not requiring treatment, one participant required in-patient treatment before the intervention was able to begin, and despite numerous attempts, the research team were unable to contact the remaining three students in order to begin the intervention. Twenty-one students completed all eight modules and a post-intervention assessment. Of the 6 participants who dropped out during the intervention, one reported feeling better and did not feel they needed to continue the program, one moved school, one moved interstate, and the research team were unable to contact three participants to ascertain why they did not want to continue. There were no statistically significant differences in demographic and baseline characteristics between those who dropped out and those completed all eight modules in any of the measures. A statistically significant reduction in the primary outcomes, including suicidal ideation, clinician and self-rated depression and hopelessness was observed over the intervention period (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014).

Demographic and baseline characteristics of the sample

The main results of the study, including demographics and baseline characteristics, are reported elsewhere (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). In summary, the majority of participants were female, had a mean age of 15.6, lived with a family member and identified as Australian. No participants identified as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander. Twelve of the twenty-one participants reported a previous suicide attempt. Eight of the participants reported being engaged with outside mental health services prior to receiving the Reframe-IT program, three were referred to outside services at the same time as starting the

program, one was referred on for additional support on completion of the program, and the remaining nine participants were either only engaged with their school wellbeing team, or did not report receiving any additional support.

Did the CBT-based Internet-based program (Reframe-IT) impact on problem-solving appraisal and coping?

A statistically significant result was seen for the NPOQ and for the Emotion Focused Coping subscale of the CISS, a coping strategy that was used less over time (see Table 1) with large effect sizes for both (η^2 0.96 and η^2 1.2 respectively).

===Insert Table 1===

What aspects of the CBT based Internet-based program (Reframe-IT) were perceived to be most helpful?

The majority of participants found all the modules included in Reframe-IT helpful (see Table 2), with more than two-thirds rating each module, except module 4 as either ‘somewhat’, or ‘very’, helpful. There was some variation in helpfulness ratings. The two modules that had the highest percentage of young people rating them as either somewhat or very helpful were the problem solving (86.4%) and cognitive restructuring modules (85.7%). In contrast, the ratings for module 4 (which is about recognising unhelpful thoughts), suggested it was less helpful than module 8, (which is about replacing unhelpful thoughts with helpful thoughts).

===Insert Table 2===

What was the nature of improvement in distress and does this relate to the helpfulness of modules?

There was an overall decreasing time trend over the study period, with the biggest decrease in distress seen between baseline and week 1, continuous decreases up to week 4,

and minimal (although still continuous) decreases from week 4 to post-intervention, with the exception of the change between week 6 (scheduling pleasurable activities) and 7 (see Table 3).

===Insert Table 3===

Using a fixed effects model there was a negative linear term for time that was significant. However, the quadratic term was not significant confirming that there was a significant decreasing time trend over the intervention period (see Table 4).

====Insert Table 4====

Figure 1 shows a slight tendency for higher helpfulness score to be associated with bigger drops in K10. However, this downward trend was mainly due to two individuals who had the highest helpfulness score and the biggest drops in K10. The corresponding Pearson correlation coefficient was -0.32 and was not statistically significant ($p = 0.17$).

Discussion

Key findings

The Reframe-IT program appears to show promise as an intervention to improve negative problem solving orientation and to reduce Emotion focused coping. While there were improvements in Task focused coping, these were not significant.

Including a targeted problem solving skills development intervention within Reframe-IT was considered important in terms of enhancing participants' skills. The problem solving skills that were taught aimed to enable adolescents to weigh up the pros and cons of potential solutions to identified problems, thus addressing a specific deficit identified in previous research. We hypothesise that a reduction in negative problem orientation, an important component of which is self-efficacy (D'Zurilla, 1986), would also mean a greater inclination to actively address problems or daily stressors. This was not reflected in our results, but it

may be that as problem solving skills are consolidated over time, Task focused coping strategies would be increasingly utilised.

Reframe-IT also included a focus on cognitive restructuring. Specific targeting of negative cognitions related to one's ability to solve problems may help to address the tendency to view problems negatively that individuals with suicide related behaviours demonstrate (D'Zurilla, et al., 1998). The scale used to measure this construct (NPOQ) includes items indicative of self-blame and worry, (McWilliams, et al., 2003), so that the findings of this study in regard to reduced Emotion focused coping also potentially reflect a reduction in these phenomena. Cognitive restructuring skills are instrumental in teaching young people to recognise unhelpful thinking, such as self-blame and worry, and consciously substitute unhelpful thoughts with alternative and helpful thoughts.

Overall, these results suggest that problem solving skill development, as well as cognitive restructuring should be considered as potential techniques for an intervention package for young people with suicidal ideation. Indeed, from the perspective of the adolescents in our study, these modules were reported to be particularly helpful. Further, there was some indication that a higher level of perceived helpfulness of modules is associated with larger reductions in levels of distress.

It is interesting to note that while the first group of modules focus on identifying and recognising difficult emotions, situations and unhelpful thinking rather than on teaching skills to deal with these (these skills are taught in the second group of modules), improvements in psychological distress are nevertheless and, in fact, more evident in the first four weeks of delivery of the intervention. This may simply be due to the fact that these young people were recruited into the study at the point where they were most in crisis and there was a natural resolution of the crisis. However, this finding does reassure us that while the initial modules did not provide young people with any tangible skills to deal with the difficulties they were

facing, it appears that these orientation modules can be safely administered in this way (i.e. in isolation from skill development modules). Our findings with regard to the safety of delivering this intervention, reported in another publication (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yung, et al., 2014) support this contention. These early modules are important modules to deliver as they form the basis of the future work in the final modules.

Limitations

This was a small pilot study with no control group; as such we cannot be certain that the changes seen between baseline and post-intervention can be attributed to the intervention. While our primary outcome measures (NPOQ; CISS) have not been validated in adolescents, they have been validated with young adults and used widely in adolescent populations. The small study size also meant that in some cases formal statistical testing was not meaningful; and for example we did not control for the amount and type of additional mental health treatment being received by young people in the study in this analysis. However, there were consistent effects demonstrated in terms of the effect on problem solving orientation and coping, with the components of therapy designed to address these deficits perceived as the most helpful by the adolescent participants in the study. This has generated meaningful hypotheses that need to be tested in a fully powered randomised trial to substantiate the findings of this study.

We were unable to collect reliable data regarding the number of students approached for participation in the study, and therefore do not have a precise consent rate nor reasons for declining participation. It must also be noted that rates of attrition were relatively high with 13 students dropping out of the study. Whilst this is not unusual in studies testing Internet-based interventions (H. Christensen, K. Griffiths, & L. Farrer, 2009), it did reduce our sample size by approximately one third and introduced the potential for a degree of bias in the sample. It was reassuring that those who dropped out were no different at baseline from those

who completed the study on our outcomes of interest.

In this study we were not able to undertake mediation analysis due to the lack of measurement at multiple time points. This type of analysis should be undertaken in future studies to investigate whether the changes in problem solving skills and coping strategies mediate changes seen in suicidal ideation.

Conclusions

Little research has been undertaken to explore how interventions might work to impact on the processes associated with the manifestation of symptoms such as suicidal ideation. Nor has there been much research investigating the helpfulness of interventions from the perspective of young people, in particular among those with suicide-related behaviours. This pilot study has provided some direction for future studies that could examine the mechanisms of change in interventions with young people with suicidal ideation; such studies should investigate how interventions impact on problem solving and coping to influence treatment outcomes.

Key points

1. Little research has been undertaken to identify effective interventions for adolescents with suicidal ideation
2. Deficits in problem solving and coping skills appear to be associated with suicidal ideation
3. Adolescents report finding the component of the intervention that taught problem solving skills and cognitive restructuring skills particularly helpful
4. Further investigation of interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, as well as cognitive restructuring to address adolescents' appraisal of problems and their ability to solve them, are warranted

Acknowledgements

This study received funding from the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention and from an NHMRC Program Grant. JP is on a National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship. SH and SB are both on a National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship. OYHRC is affiliated with Melbourne Health and the University of Melbourne and receives funding from the Colonial Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

For Peer Review

Corresponding author:

Sarah Hetrick

Orygen Youth Health Research Centre,

Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne

Locked Bag 10, Parkville

Vic 3052, Australia

Tel: +61 3 9342 2866

Fax: +61 3 9342 2941

Email: shetrick@unimelb.edu.au

References

- Andrews, G., & Slade, T. (2001). Interpreting scores on the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (k10). *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 25, 494-497.
- Arie, M., Apter, A., Orbach, I., Yefet, Y., & Zalzman, G. (2008). Autobiographical memory, interpersonal problem solving, and suicidal behavior in adolescent inpatients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 49(1), 22-29.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2011). *Causes of Death*. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Calear, A. L., & Christensen, H. (2010). Review of internet-based prevention and treatment programs for anxiety and depression in children and adolescents. [; Review]. *Med J Aust*, 192(11 Suppl), S12-14.
- Cavanagh. (2010). Turn on, tune in and (don't) drop out: engagement, adherence, attrition, and alliance with internet-based interventions. In Bennett-Levy, Rishcards, Farrand, Christensen, Griffiths, Kavanagh, Klein, Lau, Proudfoot, Ritterband, White & Williams (Eds.), *Oxford Guide to Low Intensity CBT Interventions* (pp. 227-232). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chan, S. M., & Fung, T. C. T. (2014). Reliability and validity of K10 and K6 in screening depressive symptoms in Hong Kong adolescents. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies* 9(1), 75-85.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K., & Farrer, L. (2009). Adherence in Internet Interventions for Anxiety and Depression: Systematic Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 11(2), e13.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Farrer, L. (2009). Adherence in internet interventions for anxiety and depression. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 11(2), e13.
- Cull, J. G., & Gill, W. S. (1988). *Suicide Probability Scale (SPS) manual*. Los Angeles : Western Psychological Services.
- Curry, J. F., Miller, Y., Waugh, S., & Anderson, W. B. (1992). Coping responses in depressed, socially maladjusted, and suicidal adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 71, 80-82.
- D'Zurilla, T. J. (1986). *Problem-solving therapy: A social competence approach to clinical intervention*. New York: Springer.
- D'Zurilla, T. J., Chang, E. C., Nottingham, E. J. I. V., & Faccini, L. (1998). Social problem-solving deficits and hopelessness, depression, and suicidal risk in college students and psychiatric inpatients. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 54, 1091-1107.
- Dixon, W. A., Heppener, P. P., & Rudd, M. D. (1994). Problem solving appraisal, hopelessness and suicide ideation: Evidence of a mediational model. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 41(1), 91-98.
- Dixon, W. A., Heppner, P. P., & Anderson, W. P. (1991). Problem-solving appraisal, stress, hopelessness, and suicide ideation in a college population. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38(1), 51.
- Endler, N. S., & Parker, D. A. (1994). Assessment of multidimensional coping: task, emotion and avoidance strategies. *Psychological Assessment*, 6(1), 50-60.
- Endler, N. S., & Parker, J. D. A. (1990). *Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS): Manual*. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.
- Eskin, M., Ertekin, K., & Demir, H. (2008). Efficacy of a Problem-Solving Therapy for Depression and Suicide Potential in Adolescents and Young Adults. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 32(2), 227-245.
- Gould, M. S., Munfakh, J. L. H., Lubell, K., Kleinman, M., & Parker, S. (2002). Seeking help from the Internet during adolescence. *Journal of the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(10), 1182-1189.
- Grover, K. E., Green, K. L., Pettit, J. W., Monteith, L. L., Garza, M. J., & Venta, A. (2009). Problem Solving Moderates the Effects of Life Event Stress and Chronic Stress on Suicidal Behaviors in Adolescence. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 65(12), 1281-1290.

- Grunbaum, J. A., Kann, L., Kinchen, S., Ross, J., Hawkins, J., & Lowry, R. (2004). Youth risk behavior surveillance – United States 2003. *MMWR*
- Surveillance Summaries: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Surveillance Summaries/CDC*, 53(2), 1-96.
- Hawton, K., Rodham, K., Evans, E., & Weatherall, R. (2002). Deliberate self harm in adolescents: self report survey in schools in England. . *British Medical Journal*, 325, 1207-1211.
- Hawton, K. K. E., Townsend, E., Arensman, E., Gunnell, D., Hazell, P., House, A., et al. (1999). Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self-harm. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 4(CD001764).
- Horwitz, A. G., Hill, R. M., & King, C. A. (2011). Specific coping behaviors in relation to adolescent depression and suicidal ideation. *Journal of Adolescence*, 34(5), 1077-1085.
- Kessler RC, Andrews G, & al, C. e. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. . *Psychological Medicine*, 32, 959-956.
- Khurana, A., & Romer, D. (2012). Modeling the Distinct Pathways of Influence of Coping Strategies on Youth Suicidal Ideation: A National Longitudinal Study. *Prevention Science*, 13(6), 644-654.
- Labelle, R., Breton, J. J., Pouliot, L., Dufresne, M. J., & Berthiaume, C. (2013). Cognitive correlates of serious suicidal ideation in a community sample of adolescents. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 145(3), 370-377.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Rohde, P., & Seeley, J. R. (1996). Adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts: prevalence, risk factors, and clinical implications. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 3(1), 25-46.
- Mathew, A., & Nanoo, S. (2013). Psychosocial stressors and patterns of coping in adolescent suicide attempters. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 35(1), 39-46.
- McWilliams, L. A., Cox, B. J., & Enns, M. W. (2003). Use of the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations in a clinically depressed sample: factor structure, personality correlates, and prediction of distress. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 59(4), 423-437.
- Neil, A. L., Batterham, P., Chrisensen, H., Bennett, K., & Griffiths, K. M. (2009). Predictors of adherence by adolescents to a cognitive behavior therapy website in school and community-based settings. *Journal Of Medical Internet Research*, 11(1), e6.
- Nock, M. K., Borges, G., Bromet, E. J., Cha, C. B., Kessler, R. C., & Lee, S. (2008). Suicide and Suicidal Behavior. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 30(1), 133-154.
- Nrugham, L., Holen, A., & Sund, A. (2012). Suicide attempters and repeaters: depression and coping. A prospective study of early adolescents followed up as young adults. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 200(3), 197-203.
- Oldershaw, A., Grima, E., Jollanta, F., Richards, C., Simica, M., Taylora, L., et al. (2009). Decision making and problem solving in adolescents who deliberately self-harm. *Psychological Medicine*, 39(1), 95-104.
- Piquet, M. L., & Wagner, B. M. (2003). Coping responses of adolescent suicide attempters and their relation to suicidal ideation across a 2-year follow-up: A preliminary study. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 33, 288-301.
- Pollock, L. R., & Williams, J. M. G. (1998). Problem solving and suicidal behavior. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behavior*, 28(4), 375-387.
- Pollock, L. R., & Williams, J. M. G. (2004). Problem-solving in suicide attempters. *Psychological Medicine* 34(1), 163 -167.
- Prinstein, M. J., Nock, M. K., Simon, V., Aikins, J. W., Cheah, C. S. L., & Spirito, A. (2008). Longitudinal trajectories and predictors of adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts following inpatient hospitalization. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76, 92-103.
- Puskar, K., Hoover, C., & Miewald, C. (1992). Suicidal and nonsuicidal coping methods of adolescents. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 28, 15-20.

- Richardson, T., Stallard, P., & Velleman, S. (2010). Computerised cognitive behavioural therapy for the prevention and treatment of depression and anxiety in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 13(3), 275-290.
- Robichaud, M., & Dugas, M. J. (2005). Negative problem orientation (Part I): psychometric properties of a new measure. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 43(3), 391-401.
- Robinson, Hetrick, & Martin. (2011a). Preventing suicide in young people: systematic review. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 45(1), 3-26.
- Robinson, J., Hetrick, S., Cox, G., Bendall, S., Yuen, H. P., Yung, A., et al. (2014). Can an Internet-based intervention reduce suicidal ideation, depression and hopelessness among secondary school students: results from a pilot study. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, *In press (accepted 5 Feb)*.
- Robinson, J., Hetrick, S., Cox, G., Bendall, S., Yung, A., & Perks, J. (2014). The safety and acceptability of delivering an online intervention to secondary students at risk of suicide: findings from a pilot study. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, *In press (accepted 5 Feb)*.
- Rudd, M. D., Rajab, M. H., & Dahm, P. F. (1994). Problem solving appraisal in suicide ideators and attempters. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 64(1), 136-149.
- Sadowski, C., & Kelley, M. L. (1993). Social problem solving in suicidal adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61(1), 121 -127.
- Salkovskis, P. M., Atha, C., & Storer, D. (1990). Cognitive-behavioural problem solving in the treatment of patients who repeatedly attempt suicide. A controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 157(6), 871 -876.
- Schotte, D. E., & Clum, G. A. (1982). Suicide ideation in a college population: A test of a model. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 50(5), 690-696.
- Schotte, D. E., & Clum, G. A. (1987). Problem-solving skills in suicidal psychiatric patients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 55(1), 49-54.
- Spek, V., Cuijpers, P. I. M., Nykl, Iacuta, Cek, I., Riper, H., et al. (2007). Internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy for symptoms of depression and anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(03), 319-328.
- Spence, S., Sheffield, J., & Donovan, C. (2002). Problem-Solving Orientation and Attributional Style: Moderators of the Impact of Negative Life Events on the Development of Depressive Symptoms in Adolescence? . *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 31(2), 219 -229.
- Subrahmanyam, K., & Lin, G. (2007). Adolescents on the Net: Internet use and well-being. *Adolescence*, 42(158), 659-677.
- Townsend, E., Hawton, K., Altman, D. G., Arensen, E., Gunnell, D., Hazell, P., et al. (2001). The efficacy of problem-solving treatments after deliberate self-harm: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials with respect to depression, hopelessness and improvement in problems. *Psychological Medicine*, 31, 979-988.
- Weersing, V. R., Rozenman, M., & Gonzalez, A. (2009). Core Components of Therapy in Youth. *Behavior Modification*, 33(1), 24-47.
- Weersing, V. R., Rozenman, M., & Gonzalez, A. (2009). Core components of therapy in youth: Do we know what to disseminate? *Behavior Modification*, 33, 24-47.
- WHO. (2000). *Preventing Suicide. A Resource for teachers and other school staff*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- Wilson, K. G., Stelzer, J., Bergman, J. N., Kral, M. J., Inayatullah, M., & Elliott, C. A. (1995). Problem solving, stress, and coping in adolescent suicide attempts. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behavior*, 25(2), 241-252.

Table 1. Pre and post-test negative problem orientation and Task and Emotion focused coping scores and paired t-test results

	Pre-treatment scores Mean (SD) N=32	Post-treatment scores Mean (SD) N=21	df	t	p
NPOQ	39.2 (11.3)	31.7 (11.6)	20	4.38	<.0005
CISS (Task Focused Coping)	37.1 (11.9)	43.3 (16.9)	20	- 1.26	0.22
CISS (Emotion Focused Coping)	55.0 (10.2)	41.5 (11.8)	20	5.64	<.0005

Table 2. Perceived helpfulness of each module – responses in percentages.

	Very unhelpful	Somewh at unhelpful	Neither helpful nor unhelpful	Somewh at helpful	Very helpful	Somewh at + Very helpful	n*
Recognising my emotions	0	3.7	22.2	55.6	18.5	74.1	27
Identifying my ‘problem situations’	0	7.7	19.2	57.7	15.4	73.1	26
Identifying my ‘tipping point’	0	4.5	27.3	63.6	4.5	68.1	22
Recognising my ‘unhelpful thoughts’	0	17.4	26.1	47.8	8.7	56.5	23
Learning who I can go to for help	0	4.3	26.1	52.2	17.4	69.6	23
Scheduling activities I enjoy	0	13.6	9.1	63.6	13.6	77.2	22
Problem solving	0	4.5	9.1	68.2	18.2	86.4	22
Replacing unhelpful thoughts with helpful thoughts	0	0	14.3	61.9	23.8	85.7	21

*n: Number of respondents

Table 3. Summary statistics of difference in K10 total scores between adjacent time-points.

Difference*	Mean	Median	Min	Max	SD	n
W1-BI	-4.9	-4.5	-17	6	5.6	28
W2-W1	-1.9	-1.5	-13	11	6.1	26
W3-W2	-1.9	-1.5	-15	10	6.0	24
W4-W3	-1.4	-2	-11	12	6.2	24
W5-W4	0.0	0	-16	11	6.6	23
W6-W5	0.2	0	-12	14	6.8	20
W7-W6	-2.6	-1	-13	5	5.2	21
PI-W7	0.3	0	-11	13	6.1	21

* BI: Baseline, PI: Post-intervention, W1: Week 1, W2: Week 2, etc.

Table 4. Results of mixed effects model analysis on the K10 scores from baseline to post-intervention.

	Estimate	S.E.	df	t-value	p-value
Intercept	13.21	4.81	160	2.75	0.007
Baseline score	0.53	0.13	26	4.11	0.0004
Time - linear term	-1.70	0.72	160	-2.37	0.019
Time - quadratic term	0.08	0.08	160	1.01	0.31

Figure 1. Plot of K10 change score against Helpfulness total score.

Title: Does Cognitive Behavioural Therapy have a role in improving problem solving and coping in adolescents with suicidal ideation?

Running title: The role of CBT to improve problem solving and coping?

Authors and affiliations: Sarah Hetrick¹, Hok Pan Yuen¹, Georgina Cox¹, Sarah Bendall¹, Alison Yung^{1,2}, Jane Pirkis³, Jo Robinson¹.

¹ Orygen Youth Health Research Centre, Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne, Locked Bag 10, Parkville, Vic 3052, Australia

² Centre for Psychosis and Mood Disorders, Institute of Brain, Behaviour and Mental Health, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

³ Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne, Level 4, 207 Bouverie Street, Victoria 3010, Australia

Corresponding author:

Sarah Hetrick

Orygen Youth Health Research Centre,
Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne

Locked Bag 10, Parkville

Vic 3052, Australia

Tel: +61 3 9342 2866

Fax: +61 3 9342 2941

Email: shetrick@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Background

Problem solving and coping skills deficits have been shown in adolescents who experience suicide-related behaviours, including suicidal ideation. Little evidence exists about effective interventions for this population. We undertook a pilot study of an Internet-based CBT program that included problem solving skill training to investigate its impact on skills deficits.

Methods

The study employed a pre-test / post-test design. Outcomes of interest were negative problem orientation, emotion and task focused coping, and adolescent's perception of helpfulness of the intervention. Participants, recruited via the school wellbeing team, were assessed at baseline, at weekly intervention sessions and immediately post-intervention.

Results

Twenty-one adolescents completed the intervention. Over the course of the intervention, negative problem solving orientation improved and students relied less on emotion focused coping strategies. Because there was no control group, we cannot be certain that the changes seen between baseline and post-intervention can be attributed to the intervention. Adolescents rated the problem solving and cognitive restructuring modules as particularly helpful.

Conclusions

Interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, as well as cognitive restructuring to address adolescents appraisal of problems and their ability to solve them appear promising for adolescents with suicidal ideation. Further investigation is warranted.

Key words: Cognitive Behavioural Therapy, suicidal ideation, adolescents, Internet.

Background

Worldwide, suicide ranks in the top five causes of mortality among 15 to 19 year olds (WHO, 2000). In Australia, suicide is the most frequent cause of death among young, accounting for approximately one quarter of deaths for those aged 15-24 (Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 2011). Suicide-related behaviours are more common than suicide in Australia and worldwide. These behaviours include suicidal ideation and suicide attempt (often referred to as deliberate self-harm; DSH), both with, and without, intention of dying (K. Hawton, Rodham, Evans, & Weatherall, 2002). For example, the ratio of suicide attempts to completed suicides is 25:1. Suicide attempts have a 7-11% 12-month prevalence rate (Nock et al., 2008), and at any point in time 15-25% of young people may be experiencing suicidal ideation (Grunbaum et al., 2004). Suicidal ideation, and threats or gestures of suicide attempt have been shown to predict future suicide attempt (Prinstein et al., 2008), making these phenomena an important target for intervention.

Several potential targets for intervention have been identified from research. Deficits in problem solving in individuals with suicide-related behaviours have been consistently shown in both adults and adolescents (Grover et al., 2009; Labelle, Breton, Pouliot, Dufresne, & Berthiaume, 2013; Pollock & Williams, 1998, 2004) with social problem solving deficits highlighted in adolescents (Arie, Apter, Orbach, Yefet, & Zalzman, 2008). Studies of adolescents and young adults have shown difficulties both in terms of being able to generate sufficient, and effective, solutions to problem situations ((Sadowski & Kelley, 1993; Schotte & Clum, 1982, 1987)). Similarly studies of young adults have shown a tendency for these individuals to focus on the potential negative outcomes of solutions, as opposed to potential positive outcomes ((Dixon, Heppener, & Rudd, 1994; Dixon, Heppner, & Anderson, 1991; Rudd, Rajab, & Dahm, 1994).

Recent work in adolescents has compared the specific nature of problem solving deficits between individuals with suicide-related behaviour and those with depression. Research indicates that those exhibiting suicide-related behaviour may make a poor choice of solution and fail to consider long term negative consequences of their actions (Oldershaw et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 1995). Adult studies have shown they are also more likely to choose solutions that are deemed 'passive' (in that they rely on chance or the actions of another person) (Pollock & Williams, 2004), compared to those experiencing depression. In addition, both adults and adolescents with suicide-related behaviours demonstrate a negative appraisal of problems and their ability to resolve them (i.e. negative problem orientation) (D'Zurilla, Chang, Nottingham, & Faccini, 1998; Wilson, et al., 1995).

Coping, defined as efforts to deal with stress, is intricately linked to problem solving (Spence, Sheffield, & Donovan, 2002) and coping strategies, including problem solving can be protective against suicide-related behaviours (Khurana & Romer, 2012; Mathew & Nanoo, 2013; Piquet & Wagner, 2003). Poor coping skills, in particular emotion focused (i.e. directed toward managing the negative emotions associated with the stressor) and a lack of problem focused coping strategies (i.e. directed toward the source of the stress) (as defined by Lazarus and Folkman; (1984)) have been associated with past suicide attempt and to be predictive of future attempts in adolescents (Lewinsohn, Rohde, & Seeley, 1996) For example, studies have shown that adolescents who had made suicide attempts were more likely to utilise emotion focused coping strategies compared with problem focused strategies (Puskar, Hoover, & Miewald, 1992; Wilson, et al., 1995). Similarly, an association has been shown between emotion focused coping and suicidal ideation (Horwitz, Hill, & King, 2011). The converse has also been confirmed; with task focused coping being reduced in young people who repeatedly attempted suicide (Curry, Miller, Waugh, & Anderson, 1992; Mathew & Nanoo, 2013; Nruham, Holen, & Sund, 2012).

While these potential targets for intervention have been highlighted in research, the evidence base for effective interventions for young people demonstrating suicide-related behaviour is limited (Robinson, Hetrick, & Martin, 2011a). Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) has been identified in a recent systematic review as the most promising approach investigated in young people thus far (Robinson, et al., 2011a). In adults, there is evidence to suggest that cognitive behavioural problem solving (PST) interventions are beneficial for reducing repeated suicide attempts (Salkovskis, Atha, & Storer, 1990), promising in terms of preventing repetitive self-harm (K. K. E. Hawton et al., 1999), and effective with regard to improving depression and hopelessness (Townsend et al., 2001). In addition, a recent study of adolescents showed that those who received PST experienced a significant reduction in ‘suicide potential’ (measured on a scale that included items related to hopelessness, suicidal ideation, negative self-evaluation and hostility (Cull & Gill, 1988), and depression scores, and a higher rate of recovery from depression when compared with those in the waitlist comparison, (Eskin, Ertekin, & Demir, 2008).

Therefore, based on the extant literature at the present time, the best approach to clinical interventions for youth with suicide-related behaviours may be cognitive behavioural approach. Interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, with a particular focus on enhancing skills in order to modify of negative problem orientation, as well as cognitive interventions that specifically target the negative cognitions related to problems and one’s ability to solve these problems, may be beneficial. Together these should improve problem solving orientation and coping skills, which are associated with suicidal ideation.

We have developed a CBT-based intervention called ‘Reframe-IT’ for youth experiencing suicidal ideation (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). Problem solving is frequently incorporated in CBT (V.R. Weersing, M. Rozenman, & A.

Gonzalez, 2009) and given the evidence regarding problem solving deficits in individuals with suicide-related behaviours we ensured this was incorporated.

We developed our intervention to be delivered over the Internet given increasing evidence of: 1. The popularity of this means of communication among youth (Subrahmanyam & Lin, 2007); 2. The increasing use amongst youth of the Internet as a means of acquiring health information (Gould, Munfakh, Lubell, Kleinman, & Parker, 2002); and, 3. Emerging evidence suggesting it is an effective form of treatment delivery for depression and anxiety in adolescents (Calear & Christensen, 2010; Richardson, Stallard, & Velleman, 2010). The intervention was not open access but delivered in a monitored environment, which has been shown to improve rates of adherence and treatment outcomes (Cavanagh, 2010; H. Christensen, K. M. Griffiths, & L. Farrer, 2009; Neil, Batterham, Chrisensen, Bennett, & Griffiths, 2009; Spek et al., 2007).

The primary aims of the pilot study were to test the effects of this intervention on suicidal ideation, depression and hopelessness among secondary school students, and this has been reported in another publication (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). We also examined, and reported in an additional paper, the levels of distress and suicidal ideation on a weekly basis in order to monitor the acceptability and safety of the intervention (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yung, et al., 2014). However, we also felt it was important to examine how the intervention works to improve those problem solving and coping deficits that have been associated with suicide-related behaviours, and to explore which aspects of CBT young people find most helpful, in order to further refine the intervention and facilitate translation of research findings into everyday clinical practice (V.R. Weersing, et al., 2009). Therefore, the current study aims to: 1. Examine whether or not the program leads to increased problem solving and increased coping skills; and 2. To examine which aspects of the intervention are considered to be most helpful by participants and to

what extent. The specific hypotheses were that the Reframe-IT intervention would improve problem solving and coping skills. Additional questions were:

1. Do young people find the intervention helpful?
2. What specific CBT or problem solving skills taught within the intervention do young people find helpful?
3. Is there any evident pattern of improvement in distress over the course of the intervention period, and if so does it tell us anything about the helpfulness of the modules delivered as part of the intervention?

Methods

Study design

This was a small pilot study that employed a pre-test/post-test design with an 8-week intervention phase. Participants were assessed immediately before beginning the intervention (baseline), weekly (distress scores and helpfulness ratings) and immediately after the intervention was complete (post-intervention).

Sample

Eleven secondary schools from the Northwestern suburbs of Melbourne and in the Barwon area, just outside of Melbourne agreed to participate in the pilot study.

Students were eligible to participate if they were: 1. Aged 14 to 18 years; 2. Had presented to a member of their school wellbeing team; and, 3. Reported experiencing suicidal ideation during the past month. Exclusion criteria were any intellectual disability, the presence of psychotic symptoms and/or an inability to speak English.

Students who met the inclusion criteria were asked at the discretion of the school wellbeing team if they were interested in participating in the study. If they said 'yes', the school wellbeing staff member gave them a consent form to take home. Once the consent

form was signed by the student and their parent or legal guardian, the school wellbeing team contacted the study team and an appointment was made to conduct the baseline assessment. Details about the limits of confidentiality (that all information would remain confidential unless the young person there was deemed to be a risk of harm to themselves or others, in which case this information would be passed to their school welfare co-ordinator and/or emergency contact; this would be done with their full knowledge) were provided in the written information and verbally by the research team undertaken the assessments and delivering the intervention (see below). No incentives for participation in the study were provided. Following the baseline assessment a safety plan was also completed and uploaded onto the Reframe-IT website.

Intervention

The Reframe-IT intervention was developed by the research team and comprises eight modules, designed to be administered once a week at school. Each participant had access to his or her own personalized webpage accessed via a secure login. Only the participant and the research team could access the page. There was no social networking function.

The site comprised an adult 'host' character who delivered the therapy verbally, and a series of video diaries made by young people (actors), that told a different 'story' each week, to demonstrate the skill that was being taught by the host. There were also two activities to be completed each week related to the same skill being taught. The site also included a message board, a series of factsheets covering a range of related topics, downloadable MP3s and a list of local and national helplines and services that participants could access if they wished. As the weeks progressed, additional items were added to the site, (e.g., an activity diary).

The eight modules incorporated standard CBT approaches commonly used with young people (V. Robin Weersing, Michelle Rozenman, & Araceli Gonzalez, 2009). These were: engagement and agenda setting; emotional recognition and distress tolerance;

identification of negative automatic thinking; behavioural activation - help-seeking and activity scheduling (including relaxation techniques); problem solving, with a specific focus on managing suicidal ideation; detecting and challenging problematic thinking, and cognitive restructuring.

Researcher involvement was two-fold. Two researchers (JR and GC) conducted the face-to-face assessments and facilitated delivery of the program, which included setting up appointments; managing Internet issues; and sitting with the young person while they watched each module of Reframe-IT on a lap top . The assessments and the delivery of the intervention took place at the participants' school. Two other researchers provided clinical supervision and moderated the website (SH and SB) by checking the responses to a weekly 'distress-check', which was completed online at the end of each module. If scores on this were high, this information was fed-back to the school staff. She also checked completed activities and responded with personalised but standardised messages and checked the message board daily responding as appropriate.

Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was problem orientation as measured at baseline and post-intervention by the Negative Problem Orientation Questionnaire (NPOQ), which has been demonstrated to have good psychometric properties in young adults (Internal consistency $\alpha=.92$; test-retest reliability over 5 weeks $r=.80$, $p<.01$) (Robichaud & Dugas, 2005) and, while not validated in adolescents, has been used in in this age group (Spence, et al., 2002). The NPOQ has 12 items assessing the individuals perceived threat of problems to their wellbeing, their confidence in being able to solve problems and how much they focus on the potential negative outcomes of solutions that are implemented with some items indicative of self-blame and worry, (McWilliams, Cox, & Enns, 2003). Each item has a scale of 1) 'Not

at all true of me' to 5) 'Extremely true of me' with the total score of NPOQ ranging from 12 to 60, and a lower score indicating a less negative problem solving orientation.

Secondly, coping was measured at baseline and post-intervention using the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS) (Endler & Parker, 1990), which has not been validated in adolescents but ~~which~~ has been shown to have good psychometric properties in clinical and non-clinical, adult and young adult populations (internal consistency $\alpha=.76$; test-retest reliability =0.51 to 0.73) (Endler & Parker, 1994) (McWilliams, et al., 2003).

Participants are asked to rate how often they would utilize a particular behavioural response to a difficult, stressful, or upsetting situation on a scale from 1) 'Not at all' to 5) 'Very Much'. It has three subscales, namely Task Focused Coping, Emotion Focused Coping and Avoidance Focused Coping; in this study we have used the Task (equivalent to the problem focused coping concept of Lazarus and Folkman (1984)) and Emotion focused coping, given the literature pointing to these as important in adolescents with suicide-related behaviours. For the Task focused subscale, higher scores indicate better coping; for the Emotional focused subscale, lower scores indicate better coping.

The perceived helpfulness of each individual module was measured using a specifically designed questionnaire administered weekly. Participants were asked to rate on how helpful they found the module that they had just completed on a scale of 1) Very unhelpful to 5) Very helpful.

Psychological distress was measured at baseline and post-intervention as well as each week immediately following delivery of the module, using the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10), which has been shown to have strong psychometric properties (Andrews & Slade, 2001; Kessler RC, Andrews G, & al, 2002) and has been validated in adolescents (Chan & Fung, 2014). The K10 has 10 items each with a scale of 1) 'None of the time' to 5)

‘All of the time’, resulting in a total score which ranges from 10 to 50. The lower the score, the lower the level of distress.

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and means and standard deviations were calculated with paired samples t-tests were carried out to examine the impact of the intervention on coping (CISS) and problem solving skills (NPOQ).

Time trend over the study period for the K10 scores was examined by looking at mean change between successive weeks (the baseline, week 1 to week 7 and the post-intervention data were used). The time trend was further examined by fitting a mixed effects model that included both a linear term as well as a quadratic term and using baseline K10 as a covariate on the K10 scores from baseline to post-intervention. Pearson correlations were undertaken for the K10 changes scores against the helpfulness total scores (calculated from the sum of the ratings of each of the 8 module for each individual).

Type I error was set at 0.05 for all analyses.

Safety, supervision and ethics

Several safety measures were in place and have been reported elsewhere (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee and the Victorian Department of Education and Early Childhood Development Ethics Committee. Written consent was required from all students and their parents/guardian.

Results

We were unable to collect reliable data from school staff regarding the number of students and parents approached for participation in the study; nor how many declined

participation and their reasons. Thirty-four students were referred to the study, from nine schools (the remaining two schools did not refer any students to the program). Of these 34 students, baseline assessments were conducted with 32, and 27 students began the intervention. Of the five students who completed a baseline assessment but did not begin the intervention, one reported feeling better and not requiring treatment, one participant required in-patient treatment before the intervention was able to begin, and despite numerous attempts, the research team were unable to contact the remaining three students in order to begin the intervention. Twenty-one students completed all eight modules and a post-intervention assessment. Of the 6 participants who dropped out during the intervention, one reported feeling better and did not feel they needed to continue the program, one moved school, one moved interstate, and the research team were unable to contact three participants to ascertain why they did not want to continue. There were no statistically significant differences in demographic and baseline characteristics between those who dropped out and those completed all eight modules in any of the measures. A statistically significant reduction in the primary outcomes, including suicidal ideation, clinician and self-rated depression and hopelessness was observed over the intervention period (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014).

Demographic and baseline characteristics of the sample

The main results of the study, including demographics and baseline characteristics, are reported elsewhere (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yuen, et al., 2014). In summary, the majority of participants were female, had a mean age of 15.6, lived with a family member and identified as Australian. No participants identified as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander. Twelve of the twenty-one participants reported a previous suicide attempt. Eight of the participants reported being engaged with outside mental health services prior to receiving the Reframe-IT program, three were referred to outside services at the same time as starting the

program, one was referred on for additional support on completion of the program, and the remaining nine participants were either only engaged with their school wellbeing team, or did not report receiving any additional support.

Did the CBT-based Internet-based program (Reframe-IT) impact on problem-solving appraisal and coping?

A statistically significant result was seen for the NPOQ and for the Emotion Focused Coping subscale of the CISS, a coping strategy that was used less over time (see Table 1) with large effect sizes for both (η^2 0.96 and η^2 1.2 respectively).

===Insert Table 1===

What aspects of the CBT based Internet-based program (Reframe-IT) were perceived to be most helpful?

The majority of participants found all the modules included in Reframe-IT helpful (see Table 2), with more than two-thirds rating each module, except module 4 as either ‘somewhat’, or ‘very’, helpful. There was some variation in helpfulness ratings. The two modules that had the highest percentage of young people rating them as either somewhat or very helpful were the problem solving (86.4%) and cognitive restructuring modules (85.7%). In contrast, the ratings for module 4 (which is about recognising unhelpful thoughts), suggested it was less helpful than module 8, (which is about replacing unhelpful thoughts with helpful thoughts).

===Insert Table 2===

What was the nature of improvement in distress and does this relate to the helpfulness of modules?

There was an overall decreasing time trend over the study period, with the biggest decrease in distress seen between baseline and week 1, continuous decreases up to week 4,

and minimal (although still continuous) decreases from week 4 to post-intervention, with the exception of the change between week 6 (scheduling pleasurable activities) and 7 (see Table 3).

===Insert Table 3===

Using a fixed effects model there was a negative linear term for time that was significant. However, the quadratic term was not significant confirming that there was a significant decreasing time trend over the intervention period (see Table 4).

====Insert Table 4====

Figure 1 shows a slight tendency for higher helpfulness score to be associated with bigger drops in K10. However, this downward trend was mainly due to two individuals who had the highest helpfulness score and the biggest drops in K10. The corresponding Pearson correlation coefficient was -0.32 and was not statistically significant ($p = 0.17$).

Discussion

Key findings

The Reframe-IT program appears to show promise as an intervention to improve negative problem solving orientation and ~~reducing to reduce~~ Emotion focused coping. While there were improvements in Task focused coping, these were not significant.

Including a targeted problem solving skills development intervention within Reframe-IT was considered important in terms of enhancing participants' skills. The problem solving skills that were taught aimed to enable adolescents to weigh up the pros and cons of potential solutions to identified problems, thus addressing a specific deficit identified in previous research. We hypothesise that a reduction in negative problem orientation, an important component of which is self-efficacy (D'Zurilla, 1986), would also mean a greater inclination to actively address problems or daily stressors. ~~While this was not reflected in our results, there was greater use of Task focused coping, and but~~ it may be that as problem solving skills

are consolidated over time, ~~this~~ Task focused coping strategies would be increasingly utilised.

Reframe-IT also included a focus on cognitive restructuring. Specific targeting of negative cognitions related to one's ability to solve problems may help to address the tendency to view problems negatively that individuals with suicide related behaviours demonstrate (D'Zurilla, et al., 1998). The scale used to measure this construct (NPOQ) includes items indicative of self-blame and worry, (McWilliams, et al., 2003), so that the findings of this study in regard to reduced Emotion focused coping also potentially reflect a reduction in these phenomena. Cognitive restructuring skills are instrumental in teaching young people to recognise unhelpful thinking, such as self-blame and worry, and consciously substitute unhelpful thoughts with alternative and helpful thoughts.

Overall, these results suggest that problem solving skill development, as well as cognitive restructuring should be considered as potential techniques for an intervention package for young people with suicidal ideation. Indeed, from the perspective of the adolescents in our study, these modules were reported to be particularly helpful. Further, there was some indication that a higher level of perceived helpfulness of modules is associated with larger reductions in levels of distress.

It is interesting to note that while the first group of modules focus on identifying and recognising difficult emotions, situations and unhelpful thinking rather than on teaching skills to deal with these (these skills are taught in the second group of modules), improvements in psychological distress are nevertheless and, in fact, more evident in the first four weeks of delivery of the intervention. This may simply be due to the fact that these young people were recruited into the study at the point where they were most in crisis and there was a natural resolution of the crisis. However, this finding does reassure us that while the initial modules did not provide young people with any tangible skills to deal with the difficulties they were

facing, it appears that these orientation modules can be safely administered in this way (i.e. in isolation from skill development modules). Our findings with regard to the safety of delivering this intervention, reported in another publication (J. Robinson, Hetrick, Cox, Bendall, Yung, et al., 2014) support this contention. These early modules are important modules to deliver as they form the basis of the future work in the final modules.

Limitations

This was a small pilot study with no control group; as such we cannot be certain that the changes seen between baseline and post-intervention can be attributed to the intervention. While our primary outcome measures (NPOQ; CISS) have not been validated in adolescents, they have been validated with young adults and used widely in adolescent populations. The small study size also meant that in some cases formal statistical testing was not meaningful; and for example we did not control for the amount and type of additional mental health treatment being received by young people in the study in this analysis. However, there were consistent effects demonstrated in terms of the effect on problem solving orientation and coping, with the components of therapy designed to address these deficits perceived as the most helpful by the adolescent participants in the study. This has generated meaningful hypotheses that need to be tested in a fully powered randomised trial to substantiate the findings of this study.

We were unable to collect reliable data regarding the number of students approached for participation in the study, and therefore do not have a precise consent rate nor reasons for declining participation. It must also be noted that rates of attrition were relatively high with 13 students dropping out of the study. Whilst this is not unusual in studies testing Internet-based interventions (H. Christensen, K. Griffiths, & L. Farrer, 2009), it did reduce our sample size by approximately one third and introduced the potential for a degree of bias in the sample. It was reassuring that those who dropped out were no different at baseline from those

who completed the study on our outcomes of interest.

In this study we were not able to undertake mediation analysis due to the lack of measurement at multiple time points. This type of analysis should be undertaken in future studies to investigate whether the changes in problem solving skills and coping strategies mediate changes seen in suicidal ideation.

Conclusions

Little research has been undertaken to explore how interventions might work to impact on the processes associated with the manifestation of symptoms such as suicidal ideation. Nor has there been much research investigating the helpfulness of interventions from the perspective of young people, in particular among those with suicide-related behaviours. This pilot study has provided some direction for future studies that could examine the mechanisms of change in interventions with young people with suicidal ideation; such studies should investigate how interventions impact on problem solving and coping to influence treatment outcomes.

Key points

1. Little research has been undertaken to identify effective interventions for adolescents with suicidal ideation
2. Deficits in problem solving and coping skills appear to be associated with suicidal ideation
3. Adolescents report finding the component of the intervention that taught problem solving skills and cognitive restructuring skills particularly helpful
4. Further investigation of interventions that include enhancement of problem solving skills, as well as cognitive restructuring to address adolescents' appraisal of problems and their ability to solve them, are warranted

Acknowledgements

This study received funding from the American Foundation for Suicide Prevention and from an NHMRC Program Grant. JP is on a National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship. SH and SB are both on a National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship. OYHRC is affiliated with Melbourne Health and the University of Melbourne and receives funding from the Colonial Foundation.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

For Peer Review

Corresponding author:

Sarah Hetrick

Orygen Youth Health Research Centre,

Centre for Youth Mental Health, University of Melbourne

Locked Bag 10, Parkville

Vic 3052, Australia

Tel: +61 3 9342 2866

Fax: +61 3 9342 2941

Email: shetrick@unimelb.edu.au

References

- Andrews, G., & Slade, T. (2001). Interpreting scores on the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (k10). *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 25, 494-497.
- Arie, M., Apter, A., Orbach, I., Yefet, Y., & Zalzman, G. (2008). Autobiographical memory, interpersonal problem solving, and suicidal behavior in adolescent inpatients. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 49(1), 22-29.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS). (2011). *Causes of Death*. Canberra, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Calear, A. L., & Christensen, H. (2010). Review of internet-based prevention and treatment programs for anxiety and depression in children and adolescents. [; Review]. *Med J Aust*, 192(11 Suppl), S12-14.
- Cavanagh. (2010). Turn on, tune in and (don't) drop out: engagement, adherence, attrition, and alliance with internet-based interventions. In Bennett-Levy, Rishcards, Farrand, Christensen, Griffiths, Kavanagh, Klein, Lau, Proudfoot, Ritterband, White & Williams (Eds.), *Oxford Guide to Low Intensity CBT Interventions* (pp. 227-232). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Chan, S. M., & Fung, T. C. T. (2014). Reliability and validity of K10 and K6 in screening depressive symptoms in Hong Kong adolescents. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies* 9(1), 75-85.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K., & Farrer, L. (2009). Adherence in Internet Interventions for Anxiety and Depression: Systematic Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 11(2), e13.
- Christensen, H., Griffiths, K. M., & Farrer, L. (2009). Adherence in internet interventions for anxiety and depression. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 11(2), e13.
- Cull, J. G., & Gill, W. S. (1988). *Suicide Probability Scale (SPS) manual*. Los Angeles : Western Psychological Services.
- Curry, J. F., Miller, Y., Waugh, S., & Anderson, W. B. (1992). Coping responses in depressed, socially maladjusted, and suicidal adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 71, 80-82.
- D’Zurilla, T. J. (1986). *Problem-solving therapy: A social competence approach to clinical intervention*. New York: Springer.
- D’Zurilla, T. J., Chang, E. C., Nottingham, E. J. I. V., & Faccini, L. (1998). Social problem-solving deficits and hopelessness, depression, and suicidal risk in college students and psychiatric inpatients. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 54, 1091-1107.
- Dixon, W. A., Heppener, P. P., & Rudd, M. D. (1994). Problem solving appraisal, hopelessness and suicide ideation: Evidence of a mediational model. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 41(1), 91-98.
- Dixon, W. A., Heppner, P. P., & Anderson, W. P. (1991). Problem-solving appraisal, stress, hopelessness, and suicide ideation in a college population. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 38(1), 51.
- Endler, N. S., & Parker, D. A. (1994). Assessment of multidimensional coping: task, emotion and avoidance strategies. *Psychological Assessment*, 6(1), 50-60.
- Endler, N. S., & Parker, J. D. A. (1990). *Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations (CISS): Manual*. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.
- Eskin, M., Ertekin, K., & Demir, H. (2008). Efficacy of a Problem-Solving Therapy for Depression and Suicide Potential in Adolescents and Young Adults. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 32(2), 227-245.
- Gould, M. S., Munfakh, J. L. H., Lubell, K., Kleinman, M., & Parker, S. (2002). Seeking help from the Internet during adolescence. *Journal of the Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 41(10), 1182-1189.
- Grover, K. E., Green, K. L., Pettit, J. W., Monteith, L. L., Garza, M. J., & Venta, A. (2009). Problem Solving Moderates the Effects of Life Event Stress and Chronic Stress on Suicidal Behaviors in Adolescence. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 65(12), 1281-1290.

- Grunbaum, J. A., Kann, L., Kinchen, S., Ross, J., Hawkins, J., & Lowry, R. (2004). Youth risk behavior surveillance – United States 2003. *MMWR*
- Surveillance Summaries: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report Surveillance Summaries/CDC*, 53(2), 1-96.
- Hawton, K., Rodham, K., Evans, E., & Weatherall, R. (2002). Deliberate self harm in adolescents: self report survey in schools in England. . *British Medical Journal*, 325, 1207-1211.
- Hawton, K. K. E., Townsend, E., Arensman, E., Gunnell, D., Hazell, P., House, A., et al. (1999). Psychosocial and pharmacological treatments for deliberate self-harm. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 4(CD001764).
- Horwitz, A. G., Hill, R. M., & King, C. A. (2011). Specific coping behaviors in relation to adolescent depression and suicidal ideation. *Journal of Adolescence*, 34(5), 1077-1085.
- Kessler RC, Andrews G, & al, C. e. (2002). Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. . *Psychological Medicine*, 32, 959-956.
- Khurana, A., & Romer, D. (2012). Modeling the Distinct Pathways of Influence of Coping Strategies on Youth Suicidal Ideation: A National Longitudinal Study. *Prevention Science*, 13(6), 644-654.
- Labelle, R., Breton, J. J., Pouliot, L., Dufresne, M. J., & Berthiaume, C. (2013). Cognitive correlates of serious suicidal ideation in a community sample of adolescents. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 145(3), 370-377.
- Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Lewinsohn, P. M., Rohde, P., & Seeley, J. R. (1996). Adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts: prevalence, risk factors, and clinical implications. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 3(1), 25-46.
- Mathew, A., & Nanoo, S. (2013). Psychosocial stressors and patterns of coping in adolescent suicide attempters. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, 35(1), 39-46.
- McWilliams, L. A., Cox, B. J., & Enns, M. W. (2003). Use of the Coping Inventory for Stressful Situations in a clinically depressed sample: factor structure, personality correlates, and prediction of distress. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 59(4), 423-437.
- Neil, A. L., Batterham, P., Chrisensen, H., Bennett, K., & Griffiths, K. M. (2009). Predictors of adherence by adolescents to a cognitive behavior therapy website in school and community-based settings. *Journal Of Medical Internet Research*, 11(1), e6.
- Nock, M. K., Borges, G., Bromet, E. J., Cha, C. B., Kessler, R. C., & Lee, S. (2008). Suicide and Suicidal Behavior. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 30(1), 133-154.
- Nrugham, L., Holen, A., & Sund, A. (2012). Suicide attempters and repeaters: depression and coping. A prospective study of early adolescents followed up as young adults. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 200(3), 197-203.
- Oldershaw, A., Grima, E., Jollanta, F., Richards, C., Simica, M., Taylora, L., et al. (2009). Decision making and problem solving in adolescents who deliberately self-harm. *Psychological Medicine*, 39(1), 95-104.
- Piquet, M. L., & Wagner, B. M. (2003). Coping responses of adolescent suicide attempters and their relation to suicidal ideation across a 2-year follow-up: A preliminary study. *Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior*, 33, 288-301.
- Pollock, L. R., & Williams, J. M. G. (1998). Problem solving and suicidal behavior. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behavior*, 28(4), 375-387.
- Pollock, L. R., & Williams, J. M. G. (2004). Problem-solving in suicide attempters. *Psychological Medicine* 34(1), 163 -167.
- Prinstein, M. J., Nock, M. K., Simon, V., Aikins, J. W., Cheah, C. S. L., & Spirito, A. (2008). Longitudinal trajectories and predictors of adolescent suicidal ideation and attempts following inpatient hospitalization. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 76, 92-103.
- Puskar, K., Hoover, C., & Miewald, C. (1992). Suicidal and nonsuicidal coping methods of adolescents. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 28, 15-20.

- Richardson, T., Stallard, P., & Velleman, S. (2010). Computerised cognitive behavioural therapy for the prevention and treatment of depression and anxiety in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, 13(3), 275-290.
- Robichaud, M., & Dugas, M. J. (2005). Negative problem orientation (Part I): psychometric properties of a new measure. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 43(3), 391-401.
- Robinson, Hetrick, & Martin. (2011a). Preventing suicide in young people: systematic review. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 45(1), 3-26.
- Robinson, J., Hetrick, S., Cox, G., Bendall, S., Yuen, H. P., Yung, A., et al. (2014). Can an Internet-based intervention reduce suicidal ideation, depression and hopelessness among secondary school students: results from a pilot study. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, *In press (accepted 5 Feb)*.
- Robinson, J., Hetrick, S., Cox, G., Bendall, S., Yung, A., & Perks, J. (2014). The safety and acceptability of delivering an online intervention to secondary students at risk of suicide: findings from a pilot study. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, *In press (accepted 5 Feb)*.
- Rudd, M. D., Rajab, M. H., & Dahm, P. F. (1994). Problem solving appraisal in suicide ideators and attempters. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 64(1), 136-149.
- Sadowski, C., & Kelley, M. L. (1993). Social problem solving in suicidal adolescents. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 61(1), 121 -127.
- Salkovskis, P. M., Atha, C., & Storer, D. (1990). Cognitive-behavioural problem solving in the treatment of patients who repeatedly attempt suicide. A controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 157(6), 871 -876.
- Schotte, D. E., & Clum, G. A. (1982). Suicide ideation in a college population: A test of a model. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 50(5), 690-696.
- Schotte, D. E., & Clum, G. A. (1987). Problem-solving skills in suicidal psychiatric patients. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 55(1), 49-54.
- Spek, V., Cuijpers, P. I. M., Nykl, Iacuta, Cek, I., Riper, H., et al. (2007). Internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy for symptoms of depression and anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(03), 319-328.
- Spence, S., Sheffield, J., & Donovan, C. (2002). Problem-Solving Orientation and Attributional Style: Moderators of the Impact of Negative Life Events on the Development of Depressive Symptoms in Adolescence? . *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 31(2), 219 -229.
- Subrahmanyam, K., & Lin, G. (2007). Adolescents on the Net: Internet use and well-being. *Adolescence*, 42(158), 659-677.
- Townsend, E., Hawton, K., Altman, D. G., Arensen, E., Gunnell, D., Hazell, P., et al. (2001). The efficacy of problem-solving treatments after deliberate self-harm: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials with respect to depression, hopelessness and improvement in problems. *Psychological Medicine*, 31, 979-988.
- Weersing, V. R., Rozenman, M., & Gonzalez, A. (2009). Core Components of Therapy in Youth. *Behavior Modification*, 33(1), 24-47.
- Weersing, V. R., Rozenman, M., & Gonzalez, A. (2009). Core components of therapy in youth: Do we know what to disseminate? *Behavior Modification*, 33, 24-47.
- WHO. (2000). *Preventing Suicide. A Resource for teachers and other school staff*. Geneva: World Health Organisation.
- Wilson, K. G., Stelzer, J., Bergman, J. N., Kral, M. J., Inayatullah, M., & Elliott, C. A. (1995). Problem solving, stress, and coping in adolescent suicide attempts. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behavior*, 25(2), 241-252.

Table 1. Pre and post-test negative problem orientation and Task and Emotion focused coping scores and paired t-test results

	Pre-treatment scores Mean (SD) N=32	Post-treatment scores Mean (SD) N=21	df	t	p
NPOQ	39.2 (11.3)	31.7 (11.6)	20	4.38	<.0005
CISS (Task Focused Coping)	37.1 (11.9)	43.3 (16.9)	20	- 1.26	0.22
CISS (Emotion Focused Coping)	55.0 (10.2)	41.5 (11.8)	20	5.64	<.0005

Table 2. Perceived helpfulness of each module – responses in percentages.

	Very unhelpf ul	Somewh at unhelpf ul	Neither helpful nor unhelpf ul	Somewh at helpful	Very helpf ul	Somewh at + Very helpful	n*
Recognising my emotions	0	3.7	22.2	55.6	18.5	74.1	27
Identifying my ‘problem situations’	0	7.7	19.2	57.7	15.4	73.1	26
Identifying my ‘tipping point’	0	4.5	27.3	63.6	4.5	68.1	22
Recognising my ‘unhelpful thoughts’	0	17.4	26.1	47.8	8.7	56.5	23
Learning who I can go to for help	0	4.3	26.1	52.2	17.4	69.6	23
Scheduling activities I enjoy	0	13.6	9.1	63.6	13.6	77.2	22
Problem solving	0	4.5	9.1	68.2	18.2	86.4	22
Replacing unhelpful thoughts with helpful thoughts	0	0	14.3	61.9	23.8	85.7	21

*n: Number of respondents

Table 3. Summary statistics of difference in K10 total scores between adjacent time-points.

Difference*	Mean	Median	Min	Max	SD	n
W1-BI	-4.9	-4.5	-17	6	5.6	28
W2-W1	-1.9	-1.5	-13	11	6.1	26
W3-W2	-1.9	-1.5	-15	10	6.0	24
W4-W3	-1.4	-2	-11	12	6.2	24
W5-W4	0.0	0	-16	11	6.6	23
W6-W5	0.2	0	-12	14	6.8	20
W7-W6	-2.6	-1	-13	5	5.2	21
PI-W7	0.3	0	-11	13	6.1	21

* BI: Baseline, PI: Post-intervention, W1: Week 1, W2: Week 2, etc.

Table 4. Results of mixed effects model analysis on the K10 scores from baseline to post-intervention.

	Estimate	S.E.	df	t-value	p-value
Intercept	13.21	4.81	160	2.75	0.007
Baseline score	0.53	0.13	26	4.11	0.0004
Time - linear term	-1.70	0.72	160	-2.37	0.019
Time - quadratic term	0.08	0.08	160	1.01	0.31

Figure 1. Plot of K10 change score against Helpfulness total score.

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

HETRICK, S;Yuen, HP;Cox, G;Bendall, S;Pirkis, J;Robinson, J

Title:

Hetrick SH, Yuen HP, Cox G, Bendall A, Pirkis J, Robinson J. (2014) Does cognitive behavioural therapy have a role in improving problem solving and coping in adolescents with suicidal ideation? . 7:e13

Date:

2014

Citation:

HETRICK, S., Yuen, H. P., Cox, G., Bendall, S., Pirkis, J. & Robinson, J. (2014). Hetrick SH, Yuen HP, Cox G, Bendall A, Pirkis J, Robinson J. (2014) Does cognitive behavioural therapy have a role in improving problem solving and coping in adolescents with suicidal ideation? . 7:e13. The Cognitive Behaviour Therapist, 7, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1754470X14000129>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/58452>