Ion release from calcium and fluoride containing dental varnishes
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Ion release from calcium and fluoride containing dental varnishes
ASTRACT

Background: A range of dental varnishes have been commercialized recently that contain calcium and inorganic phosphate in addition to fluoride. The aim of this study was to analyze the fluoride, calcium and inorganic phosphate ion release from: 1) MI Varnish containing casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate (CPP-ACP); 2) Clinpro White containing functionalized tricalcium phosphate (fTCP); 3) Enamel Pro containing amorphous calcium phosphate; 4) Bifluorid 5 containing calcium fluoride; and 5) Duraphat (no added calcium control).

Method: The varnishes were applied to a standardized surface area of polyvinyl chloride (n=7 per group) and immersed in 25g of distilled deionized water which was changed at 1, 4, 24, 72 and 168 hours. The ion release was determined by ion chromatography and expressed as µmol (cumulative) per g of varnish.

Results: All varnishes released measurable fluoride and calcium, however only MI Varnish and Enamel Pro released significant levels of inorganic phosphate. At 24 hours the order of cumulative fluoride release was: 1>3>4>2=5 with 1 significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the rest. At 72 and 168 hours the cumulative calcium release was: 1>4>3>2=5 with 1 significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the rest.

Conclusions: MI Varnish containing CPP-ACP had the highest release of calcium and fluoride ions.

Key words: ACP; CPP-ACP; calcium phosphate; calcium fluoride; fluoride varnish
INTRODUCTION

Recently a range of calcium phosphate technologies have been developed to enhance the ability of fluoride to prevent demineralization and promote remineralization. These technologies can be divided into the following types: i) crystalline, such as functionalized tricalcium phosphate (fTCP), ii) glasses, such as calcium sodium phosphosilicates (NovaMin), (iii) unstabilized, such as the Amorphous Calcium Phosphate technology (ACP) and (iv) stabilized, such as casein phosphopeptide amorphous calcium phosphate nanocomplexes (CPP-ACP). Many of these technologies have been incorporated into crèmes, dentifrices, bleaching agents, restorative materials and more recently into fluoride varnishes.

The integrity of the dental hard tissues relies on the oral fluids being saturated or supersaturated with respect to tooth mineral. Therefore, having sufficient levels of bioavailable calcium, phosphate and hydroxide or fluoride ions in the oral fluid bathing the teeth is essential. Fluoride varnishes were developed in the 1960's to provide an additional intra-oral reservoir of fluoride ions. Since then, substantial clinical testing has validated their caries preventive efficacy with a meta-analysis concluding that the D(M)FS pooled preventive fraction estimate was 46%. Fluoride varnishes are proposed to promote the formation of intra-oral fluoride reservoirs due to the formation of calcium fluoride (CaF₂), "calcium fluoride-like" or biologically/bacterially bound calcium fluoride (CaF⁻). As such, the formation of these reservoirs is limited by calcium ion and fluoride ion availability. Therefore, there is a sound rationale for the addition of calcium ions to fluoride containing varnishes in an attempt to produce an increased retention of fluoride and calcium ions in the oral environment. Additionally, the remineralization potential of saliva is also calcium limited so additional calcium and phosphate ions from sources such as varnish may lead to improved remineralization of early lesions. Hence, a number of manufacturers have modified
fluoride varnishes to include calcium and inorganic phosphate ions in an attempt to further improve efficacy.

Fluoride containing varnishes are now available containing fTCP consisting of tricalcium phosphate modified by fumaric acid (Clinpro White), ACP (Enamel Pro), CaF$_2$ (Bifluorid 5) and CPP-ACP (MI Varnish). Whereas the fluoride release from fluoride varnishes has been studied in detail$^{10,11}$ no studies have examined ion release from these new calcium and fluoride containing varnishes. This is particularly important given that fluoride in the presence of calcium and inorganic phosphate can react to form relatively poorly soluble phases and thereby reduce bioavailability.$^{12}$ Hence, the aim of this study was to determine the fluoride, calcium and inorganic phosphate ion release from a variety of calcium and fluoride containing varnishes. The null hypothesis was that there would be no significant differences in ion release from the different varnishes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Varnish selection

Five dental varnishes were selected for analysis (Table 1): 1. MI Varnish containing CPP-ACP with 2.26% (w/w) fluoride; 2. Clinpro White with fTCP containing 2.26% (w/w) fluoride; 3. Enamel Pro containing ACP and 2.26% (w/w) fluoride; 4. Bifluorid 5 containing 0.3% (w/w) calcium fluoride and 0.3% (w/w) sodium fluoride; and 5. Duraphat containing 2.26% (w/w) fluoride (positive control).

Ion release

Seven polyvinyl chloride plastic strips (Clear Binding Covers; Cumberland, NSW, Australia), 40 mm long by 20 mm wide, were completely coated with a single layer of one of the different varnishes. The amount of varnish painted on each strip was determined by weighing
the strip before and after applying the varnish. The weight of each of the varnishes that
covered the standardized area of the plastic strip (n=7) was: MI Varnish 194.5 ± 0.3 mg;
Clinpro White 233.5 ± 0.6 mg; Enamel Pro 218.0 ± 1.0 mg; Bifluorid 5 65.3 ± 1.4 mg; and
Duraphat 163.7 ± 1.1 mg. The painted strips were placed into 25 ml of distilled deionized
water and stored in an incubator at 37 °C. At each of the following time points: 1, 4 , 24 , 72,
and 168 hours each strip was removed from the water and placed in a new container with 25
ml of fresh water. The samples were analysed using ion chromatography to determine the
release of calcium, inorganic phosphate and fluoride ions. The ion chromatography system
was equipped with cation (IonPac CS12; Dionex, CA, USA) and anion (IonPac AS18;
Dionex, CA, USA) columns and two separated conductivity detectors (ICS-3000; Dionex,
CA, USA). A combined seven anion standard (#56933; Dionex, CA, USA) and a combined
six cation standard (#046070; Dionex, CA, USA) were diluted with distilled deionized water
to produce a range of concentrations to calibrate and quantify the conductivity readings. Prior
to commencing the study the polyvinyl strips were tested to ensure that they did not release
any of the ions of interest. The cumulative release of calcium, inorganic phosphate and
fluoride ions was expressed as µmol per gram of varnish applied to the strips at each of the
time points.

Photography

Digital images of varnish samples were taken at baseline, 24 hours and 168 hours of exposure
to the water. Images were captured using a digital camera (Model D2X; Nikon, Tokyo,
Japan) fitted with a macro lens (Lens AF Micro NIKKOR 105 mm; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan)
under standardised lighting conditions (Prolinca 2500 flash head; ELINCHROM, Geneva,
Switzerland).
Data analysis

Data sets were analysed for normality and equality of variance and means compared using a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett T3 post hoc using SPSS version 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). For all statistical tests, the significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Calcium ion release

The cumulative calcium ion release from the varnishes expressed as $\mu$mol per g of varnish is shown in Table 2. All varnishes were found to release detectable calcium. MI Varnish had the highest release ($p < 0.05$) of calcium at 4, 72 and 168 hours. After 168 hours the greatest to least cumulative release of calcium was MI Varnish > Bifluorid 5 > Enamel Pro > Clinpro White > Duraphat. Over all time points, except 168 hours, Clinpro White had a similar calcium release compared with Duraphat.

Inorganic phosphate ion release

The cumulative inorganic phosphate ion release expressed as $\mu$mol per g of varnish is shown in Table 3. Only MI Varnish and Enamel Pro varnish were found to release detectable levels of inorganic phosphate ions at all time points. Enamel Pro released the greatest amount of inorganic phosphate at all time points and this release was rapid with the majority released within 24 hours. MI Varnish had a more prolonged inorganic phosphate ion release profile. Clinpro White released low levels of inorganic phosphate that were only detectable after 24 hours of exposure. Bifluorid 5 and Duraphat did not release detectable levels of inorganic phosphate.

Fluoride ion release
The cumulative fluoride ion release expressed as µmol per g of varnish is shown in Table 4. MI Varnish and Bifluorid 5 released approximately all of their added fluoride based on the manufacturer’s specification within 24 hours. Enamel Pro released approximately 60% of its added fluoride in the first 24 hours after which its rate of release slowed. Clinpro White and Duraphat released less than one third of their added fluoride after immersion in water for 168 hours. The fluoride release from MI varnish was significantly higher (p < 0.05) at 4, 24, 72 and 168 hour time points when compared with that from all the other varnishes tested (Table 4).

Photography
Images of the varnishes at baseline, 24 hours and 168 hours are shown in Figure 1. The varnishes behaved differently after exposure to water with the Clinpro White appearing unstable with the varnish bubbling. Bifluorid 5 became more visible after water exposure. Duraphat became less orange whereas the Enamel Pro and MI Varnish did not appreciably change in appearance.

DISCUSSION
Fluoride varnish has a short life span in the oral environment as it is removed by the action of the cheeks and tongue, salivary flow, mastication and oral hygiene procedures. Therefore, varnishes should release their ions in a relatively short time period before the varnish is lost. It has been estimated that varnishes only remain in situ for up to 24 hours.\textsuperscript{13} Therefore, in this in vitro study three of the five release time points examined were in the first 24 hours. Differences were found in the ion release of calcium, inorganic phosphate and fluoride ions from the different varnishes therefore the null hypothesis was rejected.

Duraphat was chosen as the positive control in this study as it is a commonly used
fluoride varnish that has been tested by other authors in similar experiments.\textsuperscript{10,11} Shen and Autoio-Gold\textsuperscript{11} examined the in vitro fluoride ion release of Duraphat into artificial saliva. After 7 days exposure they found Duraphat released approximately 30\% of its total fluoride which was similar to the 33\% release into distilled deionized water found in this study after the same exposure period. Distilled deionized water will be undersaturated with respect to all solid calcium phosphate fluoride phases so ion release from the varnish in this environment may occur more readily than in saliva.

Considering the fluoride ion release of the varnishes first, it is important that the addition of calcium and phosphate ions do not reduce the availability of fluoride ions as it is the fluoride that has been shown in clinical trials to provide the caries preventive efficacy of the varnish.\textsuperscript{7} All calcium-containing varnishes were found to have a cumulative release of fluoride ions at 24 hours that was similar or better than that of the positive control Duraphat. However, Clinpro White released less fluoride than Duraphat at 1 and 4 hours and the 168 hour cumulative fluoride release of Bifluorid 5 and Clinpro White were both significantly below that of Duraphat. The information on the packaging indicated that MI Varnish, Clinpro White, Enamel Pro and Duraphat all contained 2.26 wt\% fluoride whereas Bifluorid 5 contained 0.28 wt\%. Therefore, after 24 hours the percentage fluoride release from Bifluorid 5, MI Varnish, Enamel Pro, Clinpro White and Duraphat was 97\%, 96\%, 58\%, 6\% and 6\% respectively. After 168 hours the cumulative fluoride release from the varnishes was Bifluorid 5, MI Varnish, Enamel Pro, Duraphat and Clinpro White with 163\%, 103\%, 65\%, 33\% and 20\% respectively. The higher than total fluoride release from Bifluorid 5 is likely to be explained by the difficulty experienced in weighing the varnish after application to the strips. The Bifluorid 5 varnish had a high content of volatile ingredients (Table 1) that would have resulted in an underestimation of the weight of varnish applied and hence an overestimation of ion release per g of varnish. Overall, the MI Varnish and the Enamel Pro
varnish performed best in terms fluoride ion release over all time points.

Calcium ions were released from all varnishes tested whereas inorganic phosphate ions were only released from three varnishes (MI Varnish, Enamel Pro and Clinpro White). The greatest cumulative calcium release at all time points was from MI Varnish, although this was only statistically significant at 4, 72 and 168 hours. The Bifluorid 5 cumulative calcium release was again over the theoretical total (38.4 µmol/g) which again would be related to the underestimation of the amount of varnish applied. Enamel Pro also had a notable release of calcium ions. Clinpro White had comparable calcium ion release to Duraphat at all time points except at 168 hours where it was marginally higher. Presumably one of the ingredients in Duraphat contains some calcium ions which are released on exposure to water. Calcium ions are of critical importance in the oral environment as levels in the plaque fluid become depleted by regular sucrose exposure and are required for the promotion of remineralization.¹

At all time points the inorganic phosphate ion release from greatest to least was Enamel Pro > MI Varnish > Clinpro White. The release of inorganic phosphate ions in the oral environment may be the least important of the three ions given the relatively high availability of inorganic phosphate in the oral environment (8-10 mM phosphate > 1-2 mM calcium > 1-10 µM fluoride).¹⁴ High inorganic phosphate levels may also be problematic for the formation of loosely bound fluoride reservoirs as they can inhibit the formation of CaF⁺ and CaF₂ and favour the formation of fluorapatite.¹⁵ The formation of fluorapatite ectopically will effectively decrease the fluoride ion activity and may promote calculus formation. Therefore, the high inorganic phosphate release from Enamel Pro varnish may be counter productive in terms of localising bioavailable fluoride ions in the oral environment.

Recently a study by Schemehorn et al.¹³ examined the fluoride uptake into or onto sound and demineralized bovine enamel that had been treated with an ACP (Enamel Pro) and
fTCP containing dental varnish (Omni Vanish White). The varnishes were applied to the sound enamel core adjacent to a demineralized core and then both were exposed to artificial saliva for 24 hours. It was found that the ACP varnish promoted significantly more fluoride deposition into or onto sound and demineralized enamel than the fTCP containing varnish.

The authors postulated that this was due to the ACP varnish containing a higher level of available calcium and phosphate ions. The 24 hour ion release data from the current study are consistent with this explanation as the ACP containing varnish had significantly higher 24 hour release of calcium, inorganic phosphate and fluoride ions than the fTCP containing varnish. However, it is not known whether the ACP containing varnish deposited this strongly bound fluoride onto the surface or within the enamel and as discussed earlier strongly bound fluoride as ectopic fluorapatite may be of limited value in influencing the dynamics of the dental caries process. The authors of that study did not measure the loosely bound fluoride released by the ACP containing varnish. The low release of calcium and inorganic phosphate ions from Clinpro White may be explained by a low amount of fTCP being added to the varnish or by the very low solubility of tricalcium phosphate.

Overall the MI Varnish had good release of calcium and inorganic phosphate ions which is consistent with the bioavailable nature of CPP-ACP contained within the varnish. The fast release of the ions from MI Varnish under the relatively neutral conditions studied may be attributed to the high water solubility of the CPP-ACP complexes. Enamel Pro had a high release of inorganic phosphate ions and lower release of calcium ions which is consistent with the order of ingredients listed on the material safety data sheet (Table 1). The Bifluorid 5 appeared to have good release of its calcium ions but the accuracy of these results need to be kept in mind given the technical difficulties in working with this varnish. Clinpro White had low levels of calcium and inorganic phosphate ion release. The combined use of calcium and fluoride, in particular CPP-ACP and fluoride has been shown to increase the
level of calcium and fluoride ions in plaque\textsuperscript{17,18} so these novel varnishes may have potential
to further improve caries prevention.

**CONCLUSION**

The ion release profile of MI Varnish was the most promising as it had high fluoride and
calcium ion release. All calcium-containing varnishes except for Clinpro White containing
fTCP released substantially more calcium than the positive control.
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FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1: Appearance of the varnishes at baseline and after 24 and 168 hours of immersion in water.
Table 1. Fluoride varnishes selected for analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Batch No.</th>
<th>Expiry Date</th>
<th>Composition (w/w)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI Varnish</td>
<td>GC, Tokyo, Japan</td>
<td>1107011</td>
<td>07-2014</td>
<td>30-50% polyvinyl acetate, 10-30% hydrogenated rosin, 20-30% ethanol, 1-8% sodium fluoride, 1-5% CPP-ACP, 1-5% silicon dioxide</td>
<td>MSDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinpro White</td>
<td>3M ESPE, MN, USA</td>
<td>M14380H1E</td>
<td>10-2012</td>
<td>30-75% pentaerythritol glycerol ester of colophony resin, 10-15% n-hexane, 1-15% ethyl alcohol, 1-5% sodium fluoride, 1-5% flavour enhancer, 1-5% thickener, 1-5% food grade flavour, &lt;5% modified tricalcium phosphate</td>
<td>MSDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel Pro</td>
<td>Premier Dental Products, PA, USA</td>
<td>34220</td>
<td>05-2012</td>
<td>rosin, ethanol, 5% sodium fluoride, dibasic sodium phosphate, calcium sulfate dihydrate</td>
<td>MSDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifluorid 5</td>
<td>Voco, Cuxhaven, Germany</td>
<td>1112147</td>
<td>02-2014</td>
<td>65-70% ethyl acetate, 0.3% sodium fluoride, 0.3% calcium fluoride</td>
<td>MSDS and Manufacturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraphat</td>
<td>Colgate Oral Care, NSW, Australia</td>
<td>902991</td>
<td>04-2012</td>
<td>30-60% colophonium, 10-30% ethanol, 5% sodium fluoride, other ingredients</td>
<td>MSDS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MSDS = material safety data sheet
Table 2. Cumulative calcium ion release (µmol/g) from the varnishes (mean (SD))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 hour</th>
<th>4 hours</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>72 hours</th>
<th>168 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI Varnish</td>
<td>2.9 (1.4)^a</td>
<td>8.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>18.2 (2.1)^a</td>
<td>46.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>73.5 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinpro White</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6)^a</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)^a</td>
<td>3.2 (0.9)^b</td>
<td>4.0 (1.0)^a</td>
<td>4.5 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel Pro</td>
<td>1.4 (0.6)^a</td>
<td>2.9 (0.9)^ab</td>
<td>16.4 (1.5)^a</td>
<td>23.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>34.7 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifluorid 5</td>
<td>2.7 (1.6)^a</td>
<td>4.0 (1.3)^b</td>
<td>10.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>33.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>57.7 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraphat</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)^a</td>
<td>2.1 (0.6)^ab</td>
<td>2.5 (0.7)^b</td>
<td>2.7 (0.7)^a</td>
<td>2.9 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly marked in same column are not significantly different (p>0.05, ANOVA with Dunnett T3 post hoc)
Table 3. Cumulative inorganic phosphate ion release (µmol/g) from the varnishes (mean (SD))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varnish</th>
<th>1 hour (SD)</th>
<th>4 hours (SD)</th>
<th>24 hours (SD)</th>
<th>72 hours (SD)</th>
<th>168 hours (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI Varnish</td>
<td>7.2 (1.5)</td>
<td>24.7 (2.7)</td>
<td>31.5 (3.2)</td>
<td>34.9 (3.4)</td>
<td>36.7 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinpro White</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel Pro</td>
<td>157.2 (13.0)</td>
<td>197.0 (9.1)</td>
<td>199.2 (9.2)</td>
<td>201.3 (9.1)</td>
<td>203.3 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifluorid 5</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraphat</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**nd** = not detected

Values in each column are significantly different (p<0.05, ANOVA with Dunnett T3 post hoc)
Table 4. Cumulative fluoride ion release (µmol/g) from the varnishes (mean (SD)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 hour</th>
<th>4 hours</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>72 hours</th>
<th>168 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI Varnish</td>
<td>276.5 (39.2)</td>
<td>889.5 (79.6)</td>
<td>1139.9 (90.0)</td>
<td>1192.8 (93.5)</td>
<td>1230.2 (94.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinpro White</td>
<td>5.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>11.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>74.0 (34.2)(^a)</td>
<td>132.7 (53.3)(^a)</td>
<td>234.9 (64.9)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enamel Pro</td>
<td>378.2 (47.7)</td>
<td>595.7 (14.6)</td>
<td>687.5 (16.1)</td>
<td>726.0 (16.4)</td>
<td>770.2 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifluorid 5</td>
<td>126.4 (8.6)</td>
<td>130.5 (9.6)</td>
<td>144.2 (8.4)</td>
<td>193.0 (9.4)(^a)</td>
<td>241.4 (16.1)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duraphat</td>
<td>18.1 (0.7)</td>
<td>28.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>74.7 (7.5)(^a)</td>
<td>236.6 (27.2)(^a)</td>
<td>398.0 (28.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly marked in same column are not significantly different (p>0.05, ANOVA with Dunnett T3 \textit{post hoc}).
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