



**Green Manufacturing Supply Chain Design and Operations
Decision-Support**

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Production Research</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPRS-2015-IJPR-0940
Manuscript Type:	Foreward/Editorial
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Jun-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Bhattacharya, Arijit; University of Dubai, College of Business Administration Dey, Prasanta; Aston Business School, Ho, William; The University of Melbourne, Department of Management and Marketing
Keywords:	SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT, SUPPLY CHAIN DESIGN
Keywords (user):	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

For Peer Review Only

Green Manufacturing Supply Chain Design and Operations Decision-Support

Arijit Bhattacharya¹, Prasanta Kumar Dey² and William Ho³

¹College of Business Administration, University of Dubai, Deira, Dubai, UAE.

Telephone: +971-4-207 2607

Fax: +971-4-224 2670

E-mail: arijit.bhattacharya2005@gmail.com
abhattacharya@ud.ac.ae

²Operations & Information Management Group, Aston Business School, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK.

Telephone: +44-(0)-121-204 4011

E-mail: p.k.dey@aston.ac.uk

³Department of Management & Marketing, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.

Telephone: +61-3-903 57560

E-mail: william.ho@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract: This special issue of International Journal of Production Research provides a platform for sharing the knowledge-base, recent research outputs and a review of recent developments highlighting the critical aspects of green manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision support. The special issue includes fifteen contributions presenting new and significant research in the relevant area. Contributions mainly present either a novel green / sustainable manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision-support approach applied to a problem, or a state-of-the-art method on green / sustainable factors in supply chain design and operations. The article delineates an overview of the contributions and their significance, and an introspection on the "green" factors involved.

1. Introduction

Appropriate design and operations of supply and logistics chains integrating economic, environmental and societal aspects can co-create values amongst all the enterprises of a complex supply chain network. Most of the recent publications combining the design, operational, economic and environmental aspects of the supply chains feature complex operational issues. Literature reports a good number of research on the economic, social and environmental aspects (known as triple bottom line or TBL) of the supply / demand chains. A number of decision-support tools are also available to analyse the behaviour of the chains. Appropriate integration of the decision-support tools at the appropriate design and operations

1
2
3 stages of the supply/demand chains can create provisions to achieve long term environmental
4 sustainability.
5

6
7 The very purpose of implementing the 'green' factors in manufacturing supply chain design
8 and operations decision-support is to improve the environmental efficiency, reduce carbon
9 footprint, recycle the used / waste products and by-products, and compliance with the
10 territorial legislations and international treaties while the goal of supply chain is to improve
11 the supply and distribution efficiencies, save time and money as well and satisfy the needs of
12 the customers by providing desired quality products and services. Therefore, there is a scope
13 to trade off among the conflicting goals of "green" and supply chain by way of involving
14 multiple enterprises in the supply chain network through efficient use of resources (i.e. value
15 co-creation), collaboration/partnership (to create less emissions of greenhouse gases) and
16 mediating roles of the enterprises. Thus there is a requirement to trade-off among the
17 environmental impacts of supply chains, costs and greenhouse gas emissions.
18
19
20
21

22 The influence of the green factors in supply chain is increasing day by day. CO₂ is the
23 principal greenhouse gas in the carbon market as declared by the United Nations Framework
24 Convention on Climate Change (2015). The CO₂ equivalent (CO₂e) gases emitted across a
25 supply chain for a product is known as its carbon footprint (Reclay Holding 2015).
26 Significant reduction of carbon footprint is one of the main aims in order to improve
27 environmental efficiency of the supply chain network. Considering a number of relevant
28 literature we define "green" supply chain management (Srivastava 2007; Seuring and Müller
29 2008; Eltayeb et al. 2011; Hassini et al. 2012; Wong et al. 2012; Seuring 2013; Validi et al.
30 2014a; Validi et al. 2014b; Validi et al. 2015; Fahimnia et al. 2015; Govindan 2015;
31 Eskandarpour et al. 2015):
32
33
34
35

36 *"Green supply chain management is an environmental and operational combined*
37 *performance related outcome in a multi-enterprise supply chain by way of*
38 *implementing appropriate value co-creation and collaboration / partnership through*
39 *efficient management of flow of material, information, capital and other necessary*
40 *resources along the nodes and links of the supply chain network while integrating*
41 *goals from TBL, which are derived from both the internal and external stakeholders*
42 *and their requirements."*
43
44
45

46 Some comprehensive reviews of the green and sustainable supply chain management studies
47 are available in (Seuring and Müller 2008; McKinnon et al. 2010; Sarkis et al. 2011; Dekker
48 et al. 2012; Benjaafar et al. 2013; Seuring 2013; Fahimnia et al. 2015). Most of these reviews
49 focus on the growth of the field of study, identification of the research gaps, and some
50 specific areas of interest (Fahimnia et al. 2015) e.g. green logistics, reverse logistics, closed-
51 loop supply chain, and sustainable supply chain network design etc. However scant
52 information is available on the application of decision-support approaches on green
53 manufacturing supply chain design and operations.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This special issue of International Journal of Production Research provides a platform for
4 sharing the knowledge-base, recent research outputs and a review of recent developments
5 highlighting the critical aspects of green manufacturing supply chain design and operations
6 decision support. The special issue includes fifteen contributions presenting new and
7 significant research in the relevant area. Contributions mainly present either a novel green /
8 sustainable manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision-support approach
9 applied to a problem, or a state-of-the-art method on green / sustainable factors in supply
10 chain design and operations. An overview of the contributions and their significance is
11 delineated below.
12
13
14

15 **2. Articles on Green Supply Chain Design and Operations Decision-Support**

16
17
18 The article titled “Sustainable pricing and production policies for two competing firms with
19 carbon emissions tax” by Chen and Hao (2015) provides two competing firms’ decision
20 models with carbon emissions tax policy. The article makes an important contribution to both
21 the firms and the policy-makers. The outcome of the research helps the firms with different
22 efficiencies in order to decide optimal retail pricing and production with carbon emissions tax
23 policy under competing environment.
24
25
26

27
28 The article “Remanufacturing decisions and implications under material cost uncertainty” by
29 Shi and Min (2015) investigates how economically rational decisions on remanufacturing are
30 made from a real-world perspective. The article assumes that the material cost for the product
31 follows a Geometric Brownian Motion process. Based on this assumption the research
32 derives the optimal condition for implementing a remanufacturing system. Considering the
33 outcome of the implemented model on photocopier production system the article suggests an
34 environmental policy-maker to subsidise the firm for the remanufactured products instead of
35 providing a reimbursement for the establishment of the remanufacturing system.
36
37

38
39 Yu and Ramanathan (2015) in their article entitled “An empirical examination of stakeholder
40 pressures, green operations practices and environmental performance” explore two key
41 attributes constituting green operations practices viz. internal green management and green
42 product/process design. The article examines the links of adopting green operations practices
43 with stakeholder pressures and environmental performance. The article reports data collected
44 from 167 manufacturing firms in the UK which has been analysed using structural equation
45 modelling. The research reports that internal green management fully mediates the
46 relationship between stakeholder pressures and green product/process design and the
47 relationship between stakeholder pressures and environmental performance.
48
49
50

51
52 A comprehensive taxonomy of green supply chain management practices is reported in the
53 article “Developing green supply chain management taxonomy-based decision support
54 system” by Kumar et al. (2015). A two-stage cluster analysis is used for the development of
55 the green supply chain management taxonomy. The article develops a structural equation
56 modelling-driven decision-support system following the taxonomy. The study may assist
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 managers in making decisions by exploring the relationship among the external factors,
4 internal factors, and green supply chain operational practices.
5
6

7 In the article “Greening the food supply chain: an optimisation model for sustainable design
8 of refrigerated automated warehouses” Meneghetti and Monti (2015) present a constraint
9 programming optimisation model for the sustainable design of refrigerated automated storage
10 and retrieval systems (R-AS/RS). The model analyses the impact of supply chain decision
11 variables, viz. the facility location, the storage temperature and the incoming product
12 temperature, on costs, energy use and carbon dioxide emissions. In this article energy
13 requirements for refrigeration and AS/RS machines are modelled. The research reports that
14 the R-AS/RS design optimisation plays a crucial role in fostering sustainable food supply
15 chains.
16
17
18

19 The article entitled “Policy insights from a green supply chain optimisation model” by
20 Fahimnia et al. (2015) presents a trade-off supply chain model that is used to investigate the
21 economic and environmental impacts of carbon pricing on manufacturing and distribution
22 planning decisions of an actual supply chain. The article further examines the relationship
23 between carbon price and fuel price and demonstrates how carbon should be priced to cater
24 for possible variations in fuel price. The research findings assist in identifying the critical
25 activities along the supply chain on which to focus in order to minimise the cost implications
26 of a carbon-pricing regulation.
27
28
29
30

31 Govindan et al. (2015) in their article entitled “Evaluation of green manufacturing practices
32 using a hybrid MCDM model combining DANP with PROMETHEE” propose a
33 methodological framework to evaluate green manufacturing practices (GMP). The framework
34 is a hybrid model combining DEMATEL based on ANP (DANP) Preference Ranking
35 Organisation METHod for Enrichment Evaluations (PROMETHEE). The framework is
36 validated with the data of a rubber tyres and tubes manufacturing industry. The outcome of
37 this case successfully identifies the best GM practice for this case industry, which is 3R
38 (reducing, reusing and recycling).
39
40
41

42 The article “A supply chain design approach considering environmentally sensitive
43 customers: the case of a German manufacturing SME” by Altmann (2015) focuses on the
44 impact of customers’ requirements regarding the environmental performance of a product on
45 strategic supply chain design decisions of the manufacturer of the product. The article
46 presents a multi-echelon, multi-product supply chain design model that considers both
47 economic and environmental impacts of value-adding activities in the supply chain. A mixed-
48 integer linear programming supply chain design model with a demand function influenced by
49 sustainability requirements is presented in the article. The model is applied to the case of
50 German manufacturing SME. The contribution of the article is the consideration of the
51 linkage between customers’ demand behaviour and supply chain design decisions.
52
53
54
55

56 The article “A hybrid MCDM approach for improving the performance of green suppliers in
57 the TFT-LCD industry” by Tsui et al. (2015) explores a problem-solving process for green
58
59
60

1
2
3 supplier evaluation. A hybrid multiple criteria decision-making with preference ranking
4 organisation method is proposed to evaluate and assist polarizer suppliers for improving the
5 performance of green suppliers in the TFT-LCD industry to achieve the aspiration level. The
6 results show the ranking as well as the suppliers' strengths/weaknesses and provide an insight
7 to enhance the suppliers' competitiveness.
8
9

10
11 Lake et al. (2015) in their paper titled "An application of hybrid life cycle assessment as a
12 decision support framework for green supply chains" provides both theoretical insights and a
13 practical application to inform the process of adopting a decision support framework based
14 on a life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology to inform carbon emissions mitigation
15 strategies within supply chains. The study argues that by integrating the environmental
16 assessment based on the LCA approach into a decision-making process, businesses can be
17 able to formulate and evaluate effective strategies for green supply chains. The proposed
18 decision support framework integrates multi-regional input-output (MRIO) with LCA
19 method.
20
21
22

23
24 The paper "Inter-organisational green packaging design: a case study of influencing factors
25 and constraints in the automotive supply chain" by White et al. (2015) presents a case study
26 of a UK-based automotive component manufacturing SME that explores the complexity of
27 inter-organisational packaging design. The research identifies the important criteria that
28 influence packaging design including customer requirements, legislation, operational and
29 environmental concerns. The modelling framework employs multiple criteria decision
30 analysis (MCDA) methods including fuzzy analytical hierarchical process (AHP) and a fuzzy
31 extension of the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS).
32 The model enables to trade-off between the competing needs of operational and
33 environmental objectives.
34
35
36

37
38 Gallear et al. (2015) in their article entitled "The mediating effect of environmental and
39 ethical behaviour on supply chain partnership decisions and management appreciation of
40 supplier partnership risks" examine the effect of firms' investment in environmental and
41 ethical behaviour (EEB) as part of corporate social responsibility in mediating the
42 relationship between supply chain partnership (SCP) and management appreciation of the
43 risk of partnering. The outcome of this research confirms the mediation effect, highlighting
44 the value to supply chain strategy and design of investing in EEB on three fronts: building
45 internal awareness, monitoring and sharing best practice.
46
47
48

49
50 In the article titled "A hybrid framework for the modelling and optimization of decision
51 problems in sustainable supply chain management" by Sitek and Wikarek (2015) a robust and
52 effective hybrid optimisation approach is proposed. The hybrid approach proposed in this
53 article combines mathematical programming and constraint programming. The proposed
54 approach helps to make decisions relating to timely execution of orders, environmental costs
55 of order execution, fleet size needs, capacity of distribution centres, recycling etc. The results
56 are used to design 'green' supply chain.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The article “A review of decision-support tools and performance measurement and
4 sustainable supply chain management” by Taticchi et al. (2015) provides a systematic
5 literature review related to decision-support tools and performance measurement for
6 sustainable supply chain management. A total of 384 papers published from 2000 to 2013
7 have been analysed using bibliometric techniques. The outcome of this review indicates that
8 the green supply chain research field is growing and there is a need for integrated
9 performance frameworks with new generation decision-support tools incorporating triple
10 bottom line (TBL) approach for managing sustainable supply chains.
11
12

13
14 Brandenburg (2015) in his article titled “Low carbon supply chain configuration for a new
15 product – a goal programming approach” a goal programming model is suggested to optimise
16 the supply chain configuration for a new consumer product under consideration of economic
17 and environmental criteria. The model is applied to a case example of a fast moving
18 consumer goods manufacturer. The proposed model trade-offs between the ecologic factor of
19 carbon emission, and financial value creation and customer service level. The article suggests
20 that a focused economic optimisation strongly amplifies negative environmental impacts of
21 demand uncertainties.
22
23
24

25 26 **3. Conclusion**

27
28 The three Guest Editors take this opportunity to gratefully acknowledge all the contributing
29 authors, practising professionals from industry and academia and the reviewers. The Guest
30 Editors convey their heartfelt thanks to all the reviewers for providing their valuable time in
31 reviewing the manuscripts and providing constructive comments, which made this special
32 issue possible. The Guest Editors would like to thank Professor Alexandre Dolgui (the
33 Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Production Research) for extending immense
34 cooperation and providing us constructive advice from time to time. The Guest Editors also
35 like to acknowledge Tamara Bowler – Peer Review Coordinator of Taylor & Francis, and the
36 editorial office colleagues who have all endeavoured to bring this Special Issue possible. It is
37 hoped that this special issue would contribute significantly to the field of green
38 manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision-support.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **References:**

- 47 Altmann, M. (2015), ‘A supply chain design approach considering environmentally sensitive
48 customers: the case of a German manufacturing SME’, *International Journal of*
49 *Production Research*.
50
51
52 Benjaafar, S., Li, Y. and Daskin, M. (2013), ‘Carbon foot print and the management of
53 supply chains: insights from simple models’ *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science*
54 *and Engineering*, 10(1), pp. 99–116.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Brandenburg, M. (2015), 'Low carbon supply chain configuration for a new product – a goal
4 programming approach', *International Journal of Production Research*.
5
6
7 Chen, X. and Hao, G. (2015), 'Sustainable pricing and production policies for two competing
8 firms with carbon emissions tax', *International Journal of Production Research*.
9
10
11 Dekker, R., Bloemhof, J. and Mallidis, I. (2012), 'Operations research for green logistics –
12 An overview of aspects, issues, contributions and challenges', *European Journal of*
13 *Operational Research*, 219(3), pp. 671–679.
14
15
16 Eltayeb, T.K., Zailani, S. and Ramayah, T. (2011), 'Green supply chain initiatives among
17 certified companies in Malaysia and environmental sustainability: Investigating the
18 outcomes', *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 55(5), pp. 495–506.
19
20
21 Eskandarpour, M., Dejax, P., Miemczyk, J. and Péton, O. (2015), 'Sustainable supply chain
22 network design: An optimization-oriented review', *Omega*, 54, pp. 11–32.
23
24
25 Fahimnia, B., Sarkis, J. Boland, J., Reisi, M. and Goh, M. (2015), 'Policy insights from a
26 green supply chain optimisation model', *International Journal of Production Research*.
27
28
29 Fahimnia, B., Sarkis, J. and Davarzani, H. (2015), 'Green supply chain management: A
30 review and bibliometric analysis', *International Journal of Production Economics*, 162,
31 pp. 101–114.
32
33
34 Gallear, D., Ghobadian, A. and He, Q. (2015), 'The mediating effect of environmental and
35 ethical behaviour on supply chain partnership decisions and management appreciation of
36 supplier partnership risks', *International Journal of Production Research*.
37
38
39 Govindan, K., Kannan, D. and Shankar, M. (2015), 'Evaluation of green manufacturing
40 practices using a hybrid MCDM model combining DANP with PROMETHEE',
41 *International Journal of Production Research*.
42
43
44 Govindan, K., Soleimani, H. and Kannan, D. (2015), 'Reverse logistics and closed-loop
45 supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future', *European Journal of*
46 *Operational Research*, 240(3), pp. 603–626.
47
48
49 Hassini, E., Surti, C. and Searcy, C. (2012), A literature review and a case study of
50 sustainable supply chains with a focus on metrics, *International Journal of Production*
51 *Economics*, 140(1), pp.69–82.
52
53
54 Kumar, V., Holt, D., Ghobadian, A. and Garza-Reyes, J.A. (2015), 'Developing green supply
55 chain management taxonomy-based decision support system', *International Journal of*
56 *Production Research*.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Lake, A., Acquaye, A., Genovese, A., Kumar, N. and Koh, S.C.L. (2015), 'An application of
4 hybrid life cycle assessment as a decision support framework for green supply chains',
5 *International Journal of Production Research*.
6
7
8 McKinnon, A., Cullinane, S., Browne, M. and Whiteing, A. (2010), '*Green Logistics:*
9 *Improving the Environmental Sustainability of Logistics*', Kogan, London, Chapter 8.
10
11
12 Meneghetti, A. and Monti, L. (2015), 'Greening the food supply chain: an optimisation model
13 for sustainable design of refrigerated automated warehouses', *International Journal of*
14 *Production Research*.
15
16 Reclay Holding GmbH. Product carbon footprint. ([https://www.reclay-](https://www.reclay-group.com/en/de/home/)
17 [group.com/en/de/home/](https://www.reclay-group.com/en/de/home/)); 2015 [accessed 15.06.15].
18
19
20 Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., Lai, K.h. (2011), 'An organizational theoretic review of green supply
21 chain management literature', *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130, 1–15.
22
23
24 Seuring, S. and Müller, M. (2008), 'From a literature review to a conceptual framework for
25 sustainable supply chain management', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), pp. 1699–
26 1710.
27
28
29 Seuring, S. (2013), 'A review of modeling approaches for sustainable supply chain
30 management', *Decision Support Systems*, 54(4), pp. 1513–1520.
31
32
33 Shi, W. and Min, K.J. (2015), 'Remanufacturing decisions and implications under material
34 cost uncertainty', *International Journal of Production Research*.
35
36
37 Sitek, P. and Wikarek, J. (2015), 'A hybrid framework for the modelling and optimization of
38 decision problems in sustainable supply chain management', *International Journal of*
39 *Production Research*.
40
41
42 Srivastava, S.K. (2007), 'Green supply-chain management: a state-of-the-art literature
43 review', *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9, 53–80.
44
45
46 Taticchi, P., Garengo, P., Nudurupati, S.S., Tonelli, F. and Pasqualino, R. (2015), 'A review
47 of decision-support tools and performance measurement and sustainable supply chain
48 management', *International Journal of Production Research*.
49
50
51 Tsui, C.-W. Tzeng, G.-H. and Wen, U.-P. (2015), 'A hybrid MCDM approach for improving
52 the performance of green suppliers in the TFT-LCD industry', *International Journal of*
53 *Production Research*.
54
55
56 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Emissions trading.
57 (http://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol/mechanisms/emissions_trading/items/2731.php); 2015
58 [accessed 14.06.15].
59
60

- 1
2
3 Validi, S., Bhattacharya, A. and Byrne, P.J. (2014a), 'Integrated low-carbon distribution
4 system for the demand side of a product distribution supply chain: a DoE-guided MOPSO
5 optimiser-based solution approach', *International Journal of Production Research*,
6 52(10), pp. 3074–3096.
7
8
9 Validi, S., Bhattacharya, A. and Byrne, P.J. (2014b), 'A case analysis of a sustainable food
10 supply chain distribution system—A multi-objective approach', *International Journal of*
11 *Production Economics*, 152, pp. 71–87.
12
13
14 Validi, S., Bhattacharya, A. and Byrne, P.J. (2015), 'A solution method for a two-layer
15 sustainable supply chain distribution model', *Computers & Operations Research*, 54, pp.
16 204–217.
17
18
19 White, G.R.T., Wang, X. and Li, D. (2015), 'Inter-organisational green packaging design: a
20 case study of influencing factors and constraints in the automotive supply chain',
21 *International Journal of Production Research*.
22
23
24 Wong, C.W.Y., Lai, K.-h., Shang, K.-C., Lu, C.-S. and Leung, T.K.P. (2012), 'Green
25 operations and the moderating role of environmental management capability of suppliers
26 on manufacturing firm performance', *International Journal of Production Economics*,
27 140(1), pp. 283–294.
28
29
30
31 Yu, W. and Ramanathan, R. (2015), 'An empirical examination of stakeholder pressures,
32 green operations practices and environmental performance', *International Journal of*
33 *Production Research*.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Bhattacharya, A; Dey, PK; Ho, W

Title:

Green manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision support

Date:

2015-11-02

Citation:

Bhattacharya, A., Dey, P. K. & Ho, W. (2015). Green manufacturing supply chain design and operations decision support. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PRODUCTION RESEARCH, 53 (21), pp.6339-6343. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2015.1065021>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/118647>

File Description:

Submitted version