

Microdiversity of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* in Australia<sup>1</sup>

Alvarez Rojas C. A.<sup>a\*#</sup>, Ebi D.<sup>b#</sup>, Gauci C.<sup>c</sup>, Scheerlinck J. P.<sup>a</sup>, Wassermann, M.<sup>b</sup>, Jenkins D. J.<sup>d</sup>, Lightowlers M. W.<sup>c</sup>, Romig T.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, Centre for Animal Biotechnology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia.

<sup>b</sup> Parasitology Unit, University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Str. 34, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University of Melbourne, 250 Princes Highway, Werribee, Victoria 3010, Australia

<sup>d</sup> School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Locked Bag 588, Wagga Wagga, NSW 2678, Australia

<sup>#</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

Cristian A. Alvarez Rojas: [alvarezc@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:alvarezc@unimelb.edu.au)

Dennis Ebi: [dennis.ebi@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:dennis.ebi@uni-hohenheim.de)

Charles Gauci: [charlesg@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:charlesg@unimelb.edu.au)

Jean-Pierre Scheerlinck: [j.scheerlinck@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:j.scheerlinck@unimelb.edu.au)

Marion Wassermann: [Marion.Wassermann@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:Marion.Wassermann@uni-hohenheim.de)

David Jenkins: [djjenkins@csu.edu.au](mailto:djjenkins@csu.edu.au)

Marshall Lightowlers: [mwl@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:mwl@unimelb.edu.au)

Thomas Romig: [Thomas.Romig@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:Thomas.Romig@uni-hohenheim.de)

\*Corresponding author: Alvarez Rojas C. A.

Address: Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, Centre for Animal Biotechnology, The

University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia

Email: [alvarezc@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:alvarezc@unimelb.edu.au)

---

<sup>1</sup> Nucleotide sequence data reported in this paper are available in the GenBank database under the accession numbers: KT968702, KT968703, KT968704, KT968705, KT968706, KT968707 and KT968708

## ABSTRACT

*Echinococcus granulosus* (*sensu lato*) is now recognised as an assemblage of cryptic species, which differ considerably in morphology, development, host specificity (including infectivity/pathogenicity for humans) and other aspects. One of these species, *E. granulosus sensu stricto*, is now clearly identified as the principal agent causing cystic echinococcosis in humans. Previous studies of a small section of the *cox1* and *nadh1* genes identified two variants of *E. granulosus s.s.* to be present in Australia, however no further work has been carried out to characterize the microdiversity of the parasite in its territory. We have analysed the sequence of the full length of the *cox1* gene (1,609 bp) from 37 isolates of *E. granulosus* from different hosts and geographic regions of Australia. The analysis shows that seven haplotypes of *E. granulosus s.s.* not previously described were found, together with five haplotypes known to be present in other parts of the world, including the haplotype EG01 which is widespread and present in all endemic regions. These data extend knowledge related to the geographical spread and host range of *E. granulosus s.s.* in a country like Australia in which the parasite established around 200 years ago.

Keywords: *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto*, haplotype, microdiversity, *cox1*, cystic echinococcosis, Australia

## KEY FINDINGS

- High microdiversity of *Echinococcus granulosus s.s.* in Australia based on the *cox1* gene
- Seven “new” haplotypes of *E. granulosus s.s.* were found in Australia
- Five known haplotypes of *E. granulosus s.s.* are also present in Australia
- Variability could explain differences in biology of the parasite described in the past

## INTRODUCTION

*Echinococcus granulosus* s.s. is present in Australia occurring in both sylvatic and domestic transmission cycles. The parasite is believed to first have arrived in Australia in one of the many consignments of sheep that contributed to the settlement of the British colony shortly after the arrival of the First Fleet in 1788. A large consignment of Merinos raised in North Africa arrived in Sydney in 1803. The origin of these sheep suggests that one of the main sources of *E. granulosus* in Australia could have been North Africa and/or Spain (Gemmell, 1990). Sheep spread rapidly across Australia, by 1860 there were around 20 million sheep (Jenkins, 2005). Other domestic animals arrived to the Colony in the First Fleet and subsequent ships, including cattle that originated in Cape Town. The founding stock of cattle had risen to about 54,000 by 1820 and to 371,699 by 1840 (Parsonson, 1998). However, since the most common variant of *E. granulosus* s.s. produces mostly infertile cysts in cattle, the role of this and other livestock species in the establishment of the parasite in Australia seems to be secondary.

A wildlife transmission cycle of *E. granulosus* s.s. in Australia involves dingoes and dingo/domestic dog hybrids as definitive hosts, and macropod marsupials such as wallabies and kangaroos as intermediate hosts. Currently, the wildlife cycle contributes to maintaining a domestic cycle through *E. granulosus*-infected wild dogs defecating on pasture, transmitting infection to livestock (Grainger & Jenkins, 1996). The cycle is also maintained due to behaviour of some farmers and hunters feeding hydatid-infected offal of macropods or feral pigs to domestic dogs (Jenkins, 2006).

In the 1980's it was considered that three variants of *E. granulosus* were found in Australia, two in sheep (mainland and Tasmania), while a third strain was thought to be present amongst macropods on the mainland. The differentiation of these strains was based on biological features, for example, the differences in the rate of development of secondary hydatid cysts of *E. granulosus* (Kumaratilake & Thompson, 1983). Subsequently, Kumaratilake *et al.* (1983) described

consistent differences in the growth, segmentation and maturation of *E. granulosus* in dogs between the parasite of Tasmanian sheep origin and the one of Eastern and Western Australian sheep origin. They also found that Tasmanian *E. granulosus* produced eggs approximately 7 days earlier than the parasite of Eastern and Western Australian origin (Kumaratilake *et al.*, 1983). Different allelic frequencies were described at 2 enzyme loci between Australian strains (Lymbery & Thompson, 1988). An attempt to differentiate the Tasmanian and mainland domestic strains present in Australia based on the rostellar hook morphology failed, since they were found to be indistinguishable raising doubts in the previously accepted existence of two strains in Australia (Hobbs *et al.*, 1990). Subsequently, Lymbery *et al.* (1990) found substantial genetic diversity within all populations of *E. granulosus* from domestic and sylvatic hosts in western and eastern Australia, however there was no evidence of genetic differentiation between populations. Finally, similar doubts about the existence of different strains in Australia were raised by Hope *et al.* (1991) based on restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis that could not discriminate between *E. granulosus* originating from central Queensland macropod marsupials and Australian mainland sheep.

Seminal work was undertaken on genetic diversity in *E. granulosus* by Bowles *et al.* (1992). This study allowed the differentiation of “strains” and species of the genus *Echinococcus* based on the sequence of a 366 bp section of the *cox1* gene (Mitochondrially encoded cytochrome C oxidase I) from the parasite. The study included samples from Australia: Queensland (human, kangaroo, dingo) and Tasmania (sheep), all the samples were genotyped as G1 (“common sheep strain”) except for the Tasmanian sample, that was actually a pool from cysts of two sheep from the same farm which were classified as G2 (“Tasmania sheep” strain), based on 3 nucleotide differences in the *cox1* sequence compared with G1 (Bowles *et al.*, 1992). Subsequently, a similar study using a 471 bp section of the *nadh1* gene of *E. granulosus* confirmed the differentiation of genotypes previously described using the 366 bp section of the *cox1* gene (Bowles & McManus,

1993). A large number of studies from different parts of the world have been published over the past twenty-three years since the publication by Bowles *et al.* (1992) based on amplifying and sequencing the same 366 bp fragment of the *cox1* gene from *E. granulosus* isolates [reviewed by Carmena and Cardona (2013) and Alvarez Rojas *et al.* (2014)]. Several authors have identified substantially greater variability in the *cox1* sequence than that described by Bowles *et al.* (1992); for example, Vural *et al.* (2008) found that none of one hundred and seven *E. granulosus* isolates analysed from Turkey and characterized as G1 had complete identity with the 366 base pair *cox1* fragment described for the G1 genotype by Bowles *et al.* (1992). Casulli *et al.* (2012), identified 21 “new” haplotypes, as well as the three known genotypes (G1, G2 and G3) in East European and Italian isolates of *E. granulosus* based on the amplification of the same section of the *cox1* gene (366bp).

Different investigators have used various sections of the *cox1* gene of different length to describe variability within *E. granulosus* s.s. and constructed haplotype networks of this parasite as a way to highlight its microdiversity (Boufana *et al.*, 2014; Boufana *et al.*, 2015; Chaligiannis *et al.*, 2015; Hailemariam *et al.*, 2012; Ma *et al.*, 2012; Moro *et al.*, 2009; Nakao *et al.*, 2010; Zhong *et al.*, 2014). In a number of recent studies, the full length of the *cox1* gene had been used to assess the microdiversity of *E. granulosus*. For example, in Russia, Konyaev *et al.* (2012; 2013) described a total of 12 *cox1* haplotypes from 14 isolates of *E. granulosus* s.s., while Yanagida *et al.* (Yanagida *et al.*, 2012) described 44 haplotypes in 120 isolates from Iran, Jordan, China and Peru. The most common haplotype found by Yanagida *et al.* (Yanagida *et al.*, 2012) was named as EG01, and this was the major haplotype in all the geographic populations they studied as well as being constantly placed in the centre of the haplotype networks. These results suggest an evolutionary history of *E. granulosus* s. s. in which a genetic subgroup including EG01 might have been selected at the dawn of livestock domestication, and then it was dispersed worldwide through the diffusion of stock raising.

The importance of the understanding of the microdiversity of *E. granulosus* s.s. lies in the possibility that different haplotypes may differ in attributes such as host specificity and also parameters relating to diagnosis and responses to vaccines. Genetic variability also has the potential to influence the effectiveness of clinical management practices, due to differences in pathogenicity or response to drugs as suggested by Romig *et al.* (2015). Another useful outcome of investigation of haplotype diversity in *E. granulosus* is to contribute to our understanding of how the parasite spread worldwide. There have been few investigations of genetic variability in *E. granulosus* in Australia since the 1980's, and none involving analyses of the full *cox1* gene sequence. In this study we provide new information regarding the microdiversity of *E. granulosus* s.s. haplotypes in Australia analysing the full length of the *cox1* gene sequence in 39 isolates from different hosts and geographic areas of the country.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### *Parasite material*

*E. granulosus* protoscoleces or germinal membrane extracted from individual cysts from livestock or wildlife animals and adult specimens from dingoes and wild dogs were individually stored in ethanol 70 % at -20°C. Information on the number, origin and hosts from which the isolates were obtained that were analysed in this study are detailed in Table 1. An isolate was defined as material derived from a single cyst (protoscoleces or germinal membrane), or a single adult worm.

### *DNA extraction*

Protoscoleces or germinal membrane from a single cyst or individual adult worms were washed three times in PBS prior to lysis with solution containing 100 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8.0), 50 mM EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and 1 % (w/v) SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate). DNA extraction was performed with phenol:chloroform as previously described (Sambrook, 1989). Total nucleic acids

were precipitated with isopropanol and resuspended in nuclease free distilled water and quantified using Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until further use.

#### *Amplification of the cox1 gene*

The full length sequence of the *cox1* gene of *E. granulosus* s.s. was amplified in two sections for each sample. The 5' part of the gene (1,132 bp) was amplified using forward primer 5'-TTACTGCTAATAATTTTGTGTCAT-3' previously used by Hüttner *et al.* (2008) and reverse primer: 5'-TGGATCACTAACATTAACACTAGA-3'. PCR conditions included:  $94^{\circ}\text{C}$  x 2 minutes, 40 cycles of  $94^{\circ}\text{C}$  x 15 sec,  $52^{\circ}\text{C}$  x 30 sec and  $68^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 1 minute, followed by 5 minutes at  $68^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In a 50  $\mu\text{l}$  reaction with 200  $\mu\text{M}$  dNTPs, 0.2  $\mu\text{M}$  of each primer, 1.25 units *Taq* DNA Polymerase (NEB) and  $\sim 100$  ng of DNA. If a PCR product was not amplified, 0.5-1  $\mu\text{l}$  from the first PCR reaction was used in a nested PCR using forward primer 5'-GTTAGTTTTGACTGTACGTTTTCA-3' and reverse primer 5'-ATCAACACATAAACCTCAGG-3' to amplify an 800 bp product. The 3' part of the *cox1* gene (1,323 bp) including a sequence overlapping with the 5' PCR product, was amplified using primer forward 5'-GTTGCCTCGTCGTATTTTTCTAG-3' and reverse primer used by Hüttner *et al.* (2008) 5'-GCATGATGCAAAGGCAAATAAAC-3'. PCR conditions were similar to the previous PCR, except that  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$  was used as the annealing temperature. If a PCR product was not amplified, 0.5-1  $\mu\text{l}$  from the first PCR reaction was used in a nested PCR using forward primer 5'-CTGTTTTGTTATTGGTTACGTTGC-3' and reverse primer 5'-CACAATTAACAACCAGGTCAATG-3', aiming to obtain a PCR product of 1,104 bp.

#### *Sequence analysis and haplotype network construction*

Sanger sequencing of the *cox1* gene fragments was undertaken, using the primers for the nested PCR, at the Australian Genomic Research Facilities (Melbourne, Australia) and at the GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany). Full length sequences of the *cox1* gene were built with the EG01 sequence

(accession number: JQ250806) as reference using the software Geneious (Kearse *et al.*, 2012). Only electropherograms with single peaks were accepted; in the case of ambiguities or double peaks, the PCR fragments were sequenced in both directions. The identification of haplotypes and the networks analyses were computed by TCS 1.21 software with 95% connection limit (Clement *et al.*, 2000). Amino acid sequences were inferred from the nucleotide sequences by flatworm mitochondrial genetic code (Nakao *et al.*, 2000). Indices for diversity (haplotype diversity  $H_d$  and nucleotide diversity  $\pi$ ) and neutrality (Tajima's  $D$  and Fu's  $F_s$ ) were computed using DnaSp 5.10.1 (Librado & Rozas, 2009).

## RESULTS

Full length sequence of the *cox1* gene was obtained for 39 isolates. All sequences were clearly associated with the species *E. granulosus* s.s. Nine samples corresponded to the previously described haplotypes EG01, six to EGp1 (accession number: AB522646), while EG14 (AB688591), EG04 (JQ250809) and EgA30 (Ebi, unpublished) were found in 1 sample each. Eighteen samples comprise sequences of seven haplotypes that had not been previously described named EgAus01-EgAus07 (Accession numbers: KT968702-KT968708). Table 2 shows the nucleotide substitution for each position compared with the EG01 haplotype, some of these substitutions represent non-synonymous amino acid substitutions in the predicted COX1 protein. With reference to the conventionally used list of genotypes (G1-3), 17 of our isolates conform to G1 ('common sheep strain'), one to G2 ('Tasmanian sheep strain'), seven to G3 ('buffalo strain'), while the other 14 do not show 100% identity to the 366 bp reference sequences of Bowles *et al.* (1992). Figure 1 shows the geographic distribution of the haplotypes found in Australian territory, the haplotype EG01 was found at least once in all the states: Australian Capital Territory (ACT), New South Wales (NSW), Queensland (QLD), Tasmania (TAS) and Victoria (VIC). Five samples analysed from Queensland (QLD) were isolated from wildlife animals and they correspond to five different



haplotypes. The haplotype network constructed with the sequence of *cox1* gene for the 37 isolates and values for diversity and neutrality indices are shown in Figure 2.

## DISCUSSION

The analysis of the sequences showed a considerable microdiversity among our panel of samples, twelve haplotypes, of which seven were not previously described designated as EgAus01-07. The globally most common and widespread haplotype EG01, originally described by Yanagida *et al.* (2012), was the most frequent, but not the dominating haplotype present in the isolates from Australia (9/39 samples) (Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2). Other previously described haplotypes found within the Australian samples are EG04 also known from Iran and Jordan; EG14 previously described from China (Yanagida *et al.*, 2012), EGp1 previously described from Nepal (unpublished), and EgA30, found in Armenia (unpublished). No clear correlation between haplotype and host species is apparent (Figure 1 and 2).

Within our samples the *cox1* section (366bp) for the G1 genotype described by Bowles *et al.* (1992) is present in haplotypes EG01, EG14, and also in the newly described EgAus04 and EgAus05. The haplotype EgAus6, found in a single isolate from a Queensland unadorned rock-wallaby (*Petrogale inornata*) contained the G2 sequence ('Tasmanian sheep strain') from Bowles *et al.* (1992). The G3 sequence is present in haplotypes EGp1 and EgA30, this is the 'buffalo strain' (Bowles *et al.* 1992), which was frequent in our samples (7/37 isolates) but has not been reported previously from Australia. If we were to use similar criteria in assignment of 'strain' status to the *E. granulosus* samples examined in our study as that used by Bowles *et al.* (1992), we would have described seven different 'strains', all from Australian samples. The nomenclature used by Bowles and colleagues during the 1990s was valuable at the time as a way of differentiating the genetic variants of *E. granulosus* that were understood then. However, these designations are now insufficient to describe the intraspecific variability of *E. granulosus* s.s., which is now known to be

substantially greater than previously thought (Nakao *et al.*, 2013; Romig *et al.*, 2015). For example Romig *et al.* (2015) identified 137 haplotypes based on 304 *E. granulosus s.s.* isolates of the G1-3 cluster from western, eastern and southern Asia, Europe, Africa and South America. Had the original strain definition of Bowles *et al.* (1992) been used, a large proportion of these haplotypes were not homologous with either G1, 2 or 3, although they clearly belong to the same cluster. A similar situation was previously described by Busi *et al.* (2007), Vural *et al.* (2008), Snabel *et al.* (2009) and Casulli *et al.* (2012).

Nucleotide substitutions in the samples analysed here predict amino acid variability in the COX1 protein as shown in Table 2. Four of the seven “new” haplotypes described here present one or more amino acid substitutions compared with the predicted amino acid sequence encoded by the *cox1* gene from the common EG01 haplotype. It has been proposed that similar levels of genetic variability have the potential to impact a variety of aspects of the host/parasite interaction, or responses to chemotherapeutic agents or vaccines. For example, genetic variability in the gene encoding the EG95 vaccine between *E. granulosus s.s.* and *E. canadensis* (G6) has already been shown to affect the antigenicity of the protein (Alvarez Rojas *et al.*, 2013). *E. granulosus s.s.* is the main genotype complex responsible for cystic echinococcosis in humans (Alvarez Rojas *et al.*, 2014), therefore it is important to know if there is any preference of haplotypes infecting humans and/or if haplotypes infecting livestock are less susceptible to vaccination or chemotherapeutic treatment.

Regional differences in haplotype diversity have been used to infer the origin of *E. granulosus s.s.*, with the hypothesis emerging that the parasite’s origin was a wildlife cycle in western Asia which switched to domestic animals and subsequently spread to other regions in the wake of livestock domestication (Yanagida *et al.*, 2012). Genetic diversity, being high in western Asia and the Middle East appears to decrease toward Europe and eastern Asia and was reported to be particularly low in a part of South America (Casulli *et al.*, 2012; Yanagida *et al.*, 2012). The

haplotype diversity value of 0.892 described in this study is comparable to networks which were described from the Middle East and China, while the value of the nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) of 0.00259 is slightly higher (Yanagida et al., 2012). In this study, the Tajima's D and Fu's  $F_s$  values are both negative, which could be interpreted as a population increase after an unknown bottleneck event that may have happened in the past.

*E. granulosus* is believed to have been introduced into Australia through the rather recent importation of sheep, which has led to our original hypothesis that, due to this bottleneck event, the microdiversity in Australia would be low and/or the haplotypes closely related. Our results are in strong contradiction to this, as shown by a high diversity and a sprawling network without a central dominating haplotype. This finding warrants a reconsideration of the effect of such introduction events. Diversity indices and structure of the haplotype network do not indicate a strong recent founder effect, as it has been shown e.g. for China, where most of the numerous haplotypes were grouped at 1-bp distance in a star-like fashion around the numerically dominating central haplotype EG01 (Yanagida et al., 2012). In our panel, EG01 was only found in nine out of 39 isolates, and the branches to some of the other haplotypes are rather long. This is more suggestive of an ancient polymorphism, imported into Australia from various countries over the past 200 years. Alternatively, it could represent diversity that evolved from importation of the parasite prior to European settlement, for example, with the introduction of dingoes, believed to have been around 6000 years ago (Corbett, 1995). Investigation of microdiversity and the presence of specific haplotypes in south-western Europe and North Africa, where most of the early Australian sheep stock are thought to have originated, may reveal whether the substantial genetic variability demonstrated here in Australian *E. granulosus* isolates was mainly imported.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Cristian A. Alvarez Rojas and Dennis Ebi are recipients of the Go8 (Group of eight Australia)-DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service) Joint Research Scheme 2015. Professor Ian Beveridge kindly supplied material from wildlife from Queensland. Funding is acknowledged from NHMRC grants 1003546 and 1043327.

## REFERENCES

- Alvarez Rojas, C. A., Gauci, C. G. and Lightowlers, M. W.** (2013). Antigenic differences between the EG95-related proteins from *Echinococcus granulosus* G1 and G6 genotypes: implications for vaccination. *Parasite Immunology*, **35**, 99-102. doi: 10.1111/pim.12009.
- Alvarez Rojas, C. A., Romig, T. and Lightowlers, M. W.** (2014). *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato* genotypes infecting humans--review of current knowledge. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **44**, 9-18. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.08.008.
- Boufana, B., Lahmar, S., Rebai, W., Ben Safta, Z., Jebabli, L., Ammar, A., Kachti, M., Aouadi, S. and Craig, P. S.** (2014). Genetic variability and haplotypes of *Echinococcus* isolates from Tunisia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, **108**, 706-714. doi: 10.1093/trstmh/tru138.
- Boufana, B., Lett, W. S., Lahmar, S., Buishi, I., Bodell, A. J., Varcasia, A., Casulli, A., Beeching, N. J., Campbell, F., Terlizzo, M., McManus, D. P. and Craig, P. S.** (2015). *Echinococcus equinus* and *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* from the United Kingdom: genetic diversity and haplotypic variation. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **45**, 161-166.
- Bowles, J., Blair, D. and McManus, D. P.** (1992). Genetic variants within the genus *Echinococcus* identified by mitochondrial DNA sequencing. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **54**, 165-173. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851\(92\)90109-W](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-6851(92)90109-W).
- Bowles, J. and McManus, D. P.** (1993). NADH dehydrogenase 1 gene sequences compared for species and strains of the genus *Echinococcus*. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **23**, 969-972. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519\(93\)90065-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519(93)90065-7).
- Busi, M., Snabel, V., Varcasia, A., Garippa, G., Perrone, V., Liberato, C. d. and D'Amelio, S.** (2007). Genetic variation within and between G1 and G3 genotypes of *Echinococcus granulosus* in Italy revealed by multilocus DNA sequencing. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **150**, 75-83. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.09.003.

**Carmena, D. and Cardona, G. A.** (2013). Canine echinococcosis: Global epidemiology and genotypic diversity. *Acta Tropica*, **128**, 441-460. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.08.002>.

**Casulli, A., Interisano, M., Sreter, T., Chitimia, L., Kirkova, Z., La Rosa, G. and Pozio, E.** (2012). Genetic variability of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* in Europe inferred by mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, **12**, 377-383. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2011.12.014>.

**Chaligiannis, I., Maillard, S., Boubaker, G., Spiliotis, M., Saratsis, A., Gottstein, B. and Sotiraki, S.** (2015). *Echinococcus granulosus* infection dynamics in livestock of Greece. *Acta Tropica*, **150**, 64-70. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.06.021>.

**Clement, M., Posada, D. and Crandall, K. A.** (2000). TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology*, **9**, 1657-1659.

**Corbett, L. K.** (1995). *The Dingo in Australia and Asia*, Comstock/Cornell.

**Gemmell, M. A.** (1990). Australasian contributions to an understanding of the epidemiology and control of hydatid disease caused by *Echinococcus granulosus*—past, present and future. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **20**, 431-456. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519\(90\)90192-P](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-7519(90)90192-P).

**Grainger, H. J. and Jenkins, D. J.** (1996). Transmission of hydatid disease to sheep from wild dogs in Victoria, Australia. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **26**, 1263-1270. doi: 10.1016/s0020-7519(96)00109-9.

**Hailemariam, Z., Nakao, M., Menkir, S., Lavikainen, A., Yanagida, T., Okamoto, M. and Ito, A.** (2012). Molecular identification of unilocular hydatid cysts from domestic ungulates in Ethiopia: Implications for human infections. *Parasitology International*, **61**, 375-377. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2012.01.007>.

**Hobbs, R. P., Lymbery, A. J. and Thompson, R. C. A.** (1990). Rostellar hook morphology of *Echinococcus granulosus* (Batsch, 1786) from natural and experimental Australian hosts, and its

implications for strain recognition. *Parasitology*, **101**, 273-281. doi:

doi:10.1017/S0031182000063332.

**Hope, M., Bowles, J. and McManus, D. P.** (1991). A reconsideration of the *Echinococcus granulosus* strain situation in Australia following RFLP analysis of cystic material. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **21**, 471-475. doi: 10.1016/0020-7519(91)90106-h.

**Huttner, M., Nakao, M., Wassermann, T., Siefert, L., Boomker, J. D., Dinkel, A., Sako, Y.,**

**Mackenstedt, U., Romig, T. and Ito, A.** (2008). Genetic characterization and phylogenetic position of *Echinococcus felidis* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) from the African lion. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **38**, 861-868. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.10.013.

**Jenkins, D. J.** (2005). Hydatid control in Australia: where it began, what we have achieved and where to from here. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **35**, 733-740. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.03.001.

**Jenkins, D. J.** (2006). *Echinococcus granulosus* in Australia, widespread and doing well. *Parasitology International*, **55**, S203-S206. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2005.11.031.

**Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A.,**

**Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P. and Drummond, A.** (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 1647-1649. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199.

**Konyaev, S. V., Yanagida, T., Ingovatova, G. M., Shoikhet, Y. N., Nakao, M., Sako, Y., Bondarev, A.**

**Y. and Ito, A.** (2012). Molecular identification of human echinococcosis in the Altai region of Russia. *Parasitology International*, **61**, 711-714.

**Konyaev, S. V., Yanagida, T., Nakao, M., Ingovatova, G. M., Shoykhet, Y. N., Bondarev, A. Y.,**

**Odnokurtsev, V. A., Loskutova, K. S., Lukmanova, G. I., Dokuchaev, N. E., Spiridonov, S.,**

**Alshinecky, M. V., Sivkova, T. N., Andreyanov, O. N., Abramov, S. A., Krivopalov, A. V., Karpenko, S.**

**V., Lopatina, N. V., Dupal, T. A., Sako, Y. and Ito, A.** (2013). Genetic diversity of *Echinococcus* spp. in Russia. *Parasitology*, **140**, 1637-1647. doi: 10.1017/s0031182013001340.

**Kumaratilake, L. M. and Thompson, R. C. A.** (1983). A comparison of *Echinococcus granulosus* from different geographical areas of Australia using secondary cyst development in mice. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **13**, 509-515. doi: 10.1016/s0020-7519(83)80016-2.

**Kumaratilake, L. M., Thompson, R. C. A. and Dunsmore, J. D.** (1983). Comparative strobilar development of *Echinococcus granulosus* of sheep origin from different geographical areas of Australia in vivo and in vitro. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **13**, 151-156. doi: 10.1016/0020-7519(83)90005-x.

**Librado, P. and Rozas, J.** (2009). DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1451-1452. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187.

**Lymbery, A. J. and Thompson, R. C. A.** (1988). Electrophoretic analysis of genetic variation in *Echinococcus granulosus* from domestic hosts in Australia. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **18**, 803-811. doi: 10.1016/0020-7519(88)90122-1.

**Lymbery, A. J., Thompson, R. C. A. and Hobbs, R. P.** (1990). Genetic diversity and genetic differentiation in *Echinococcus granulosus* (Batsch, 1786) from domestic and sylvatic hosts on the mainland of Australia. *Parasitology*, **101**, 283-289. doi: doi:10.1017/S0031182000063344.

**Ma, J., Wang, H., Lin, G., Craig, P. S., Ito, A., Cai, Z., Zhang, T., Han, X., Ma, X., Zhang, J., Liu, Y., Zhao, Y. and Wang, Y.** (2012). Molecular identification of *Echinococcus* species from eastern and southern Qinghai, China, based on the mitochondrial *cox1* gene. *Parasitology Research*, **111**, 179-184. doi: 10.1007/s00436-012-2815-z.

**Moro, P. L., Nakao, M., Ito, A., Schantz, P. M., Caverio, C. and Cabrera, L.** (2009). Molecular identification of *Echinococcus* isolates from Peru. *Parasitology International*, **58**, 184-186. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2009.01.005.

**Nakao, M., Li, T., Han, X., Ma, X., Xiao, N., Qiu, J., Wang, H., Yanagida, T., Mamuti, W., Wen, H., Moro, P. L., Giraudoux, P., Craig, P. S. and Ito, A.** (2010). Genetic polymorphisms of *Echinococcus* tapeworms in China as determined by mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences. *International Journal for Parasitology*, **40**, 379-385. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.09.006.



**Nakao, M., Sako, Y., Yokoyama, N., Fukunaga, M. and Ito, A.** (2000). Mitochondrial genetic code in cestodes. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, **111**, 415-424.

**Nakao, M., Yanagida, T., Konyaev, S., Lavikainen, A., Odnokurtsev, V. A., Zaikov, V. A. and Ito, A.** (2013). Mitochondrial phylogeny of the genus *Echinococcus* (Cestoda: Taeniidae) with emphasis on relationships among *Echinococcus canadensis* genotypes. *Parasitology*, **140**, 1625-1636. doi: 10.1017/s0031182013000565.

**Parsonson, I.** (1998). *The Australian Ark: A History of Domesticated Animals in Australia*, CSIRO, Australia.

**Romig, T., Ebi, D. and Wassermann, M.** (2015). Taxonomy and molecular epidemiology of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu lato*. *Veterinary Parasitology*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.07.035>.

**Sambrook, J.** (1989). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

**Snabel, V., Altintas, N., D'Amelio, S., Nakao, M., Romig, T., Yolacmaz, A., Gunes, K., Turk, M., Busi, M., Huttner, M., Sevcova, D., Ito, A., Altintas, N. and Dubinsky, P.** (2009). Cystic echinococcosis in Turkey: genetic variability and first record of the pig strain (G7) in the country. *Parasitology Research*, **105**, 145-154. doi: 10.1007/s00436-009-1376-2.

**Vural, G., Baca, A. U., Gauci, C. G., Bagci, O., Gicik, Y. and Lightowlers, M. W.** (2008). Variability in the *Echinococcus granulosus* Cytochrome C oxidase 1 mitochondrial gene sequence from livestock in Turkey and a re-appraisal of the G1-3 genotype cluster. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **154**, 347-350. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2008.03.020.

**Yanagida, T., Mohammadzadeh, T., Kamhawi, S., Nakao, M., Sadjjadi, S. M., Hijjawi, N., Abdel-Hafez, S. K., Sako, Y., Okamoto, M. and Ito, A.** (2012). Genetic polymorphisms of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* in the Middle East. *Parasitology International*, **61**, 599-603.

**Zhong, X., Wang, N., Hu, D., Wang, J., Liu, T., Gu, X., Wang, S., Peng, X. and Yang, G.** (2014). Sequence analysis of *cytb* gene in *Echinococcus granulosus* from Western China. *Korean Journal of Parasitology*, **52**, 205-209. doi: 10.3347/kjp.2014.52.2.205.

Table 1. Number of isolates of *Echinococcus granulosus* s.s. analysed showing the origin where samples were taken in Australia and species affected.

| State                              | Number of isolates | Species  |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Australian Capital Territory (ACT) | 11                 | Dingo (1), sheep (7), unknown (3)  |
| New South Wales (NSW)              | 7                  | Sheep (4), unknown (1), wild dog (2)   |
| Tasmania (TAS)                     | 2                  | Cattle (2)   |
| Queensland (QLD)                   | 5                  | Swamp wallaby ( <i>Wallabia bicolor</i> ) (2), Proserpine rock-wallaby ( <i>Petrogale persephone</i> ) (1), unadorned rock-wallaby ( <i>Petrogale inornata</i> ) (2) |
| Victoria (VIC)                     | 6                  | Sheep (6)  |
| Unknown origin                     | 8                  | Dingo (2), kangaroo (2), sheep (3), wallaby (1)  |
| Total                              | 39                 |  |







Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

**Author/s:**

Rojas, CAA; Ebi, D; Gauci, CG; Scheerlinck, JP; Wassermann, M; Jenkins, DJ; Lightowlers, MW; Romig, T

**Title:**

Microdiversity of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* in Australia

**Date:**

2016-07-01

**Citation:**

Rojas, C. A. A., Ebi, D., Gauci, C. G., Scheerlinck, J. P., Wassermann, M., Jenkins, D. J., Lightowlers, M. W. & Romig, T. (2016). Microdiversity of *Echinococcus granulosus sensu stricto* in Australia. *PARASITOLOGY*, 143 (8), pp.1026-1033.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182016000445>.

**Persistent Link:**

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/123705>

**File Description:**

Submitted version