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Abstract
We map 114 gene gains and 74 gene losses in the P450 gene family across the phylogeny of 12 Drosophila species by examining the congruence of gene trees and species trees. Although the number of P450 genes varies from 74 to 94 in the species examined, we infer that there were at least 77 P450 genes in the ancestral Drosophila genome. One of the most striking observations in the data set is the elevated loss of P450 genes in the Drosophila sechellia lineage. The gain and loss events are not evenly distributed among the P450 genes—with 30 genes showing no gene gains or losses whereas others show as many as 20 copy number changes among the species examined. The P450 gene clades showing the fewest number of gene gain and loss events tend to be those evolving with the most purifying selection acting on the protein sequences, although there are exceptions, such as the rapid rate of amino acid replacement observed in the single copy phantom (Cyp306a1) gene. Within D. melanogaster, we observe gene copy number polymorphism in ten P450 genes including multiple cases of interparalog chimeras. Nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) has been associated with deleterious mutations in humans, but here we provide a second possible example of an NAHR event in insect P450s being adaptive. Specifically, we find that a polymorphic Cyp12a4/Cyp12a5 chimera correlates with resistance to an insecticide. Although we observe such interparalog exchange in our within-species data sets, we have little evidence of it between species, raising the possibility that such events may occur more frequently than appreciated but are masked by subsequent sequence change.
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Introduction
Comparative genomics between closely related species affords an evolutionary context by which we can begin to understand functions of genes in multigene families and their role in the adaptation of organisms to their ecological niche (Claudianos et al. 2006; McBride and Arguello 2007; Sackton et al. 2007; Low et al. 2007; Shah et al. 2012). A central concern in the analysis of multigene family diversification is the extent to which it is driven by adaptation to species-specific environmental niches. Few would dispute that the gain and loss of genes have played a major role in the adaptation of organisms to their environments. However, nonadaptive processes such as “concerted evolution” may affect the evolution of at least some multigene families (Coen et al. 1982). Thus, while gene duplication, particularly when accompanied by sufficient sequence divergence provides one of the most obvious of candidates for adaptive divergence between species (Prince and Pickett 2002), selectively neutral explanations may explain gene number differences between species, and these may be proffered as null hypotheses that need to be rejected. For example, in analyzing genes of the P450 superfamily, Feyereisen (2011) notes that stochastic gene birth death models are sufficient to explain their proliferation among arthropods, and he therefore posits that it is not necessary to invoke adaptation to explain P450 gene number change. The suggestion is that some arthropod P450 genes may be functionally redundant.

Although birth–death model and other models may satisfactorily describe changes in gene number over evolutionary time (Reed and Hughes 2004; Novozhilov et al. 2006; Hahn 2009; Ames et al. 2012), they do not explicitly address the role of adaptation in gene family proliferation. Such models focus...
on gene numbers and ignore the fact that each gene has a sequence that is subjected to the forces of molecular evolution. Scrutiny of these sequences (in contrast to the flux in gene numbers) can provide a strong delineation between adaptive and selectively neutral expectations. Nonfunctional sequences with homology to protein-coding sequences will accumulate many mutations (such as those appearing as hypothetical frameshifting mutations) that will not occur in functional sequences. Furthermore, in the Drosophila genus nonfunctional sequences are lost quickly, with the half-life of pseudogenes being estimated to be 18 Myr (Petrov and Hartl 1998; Robin et al. 2000). Thus, Drosophila genes that have maintained their potential to code for proteins, despite significant divergence from homologs are unlikely to be considered as “redundant” with respect to fitness. Rather their divergence from their paralogs suggests they have evolved their own selectively favored function that may only be apparent in the context of the ecological niche of the organism.

One caveat to the logic is that many divergent pairs of duplicate genes appear to fulfill complementary subfunctions of an ancestral gene, and in this way genetic flux may be accompanied by phenotypic stasis (Hughes 1994; Force et al. 1999). The most powerful tests of subfunctionalization require a detailed investigation of biological and molecular function of the gene products and their effect on phenotypes that may only manifest in one of many environments (Hillenmeyer et al. 2008). Although there are some elegant genetic experiments illustrating the subfunctionalization process (van Hoof 2005), they do not discount the possibility that subfunctionalization itself could have been adaptive, perhaps in subtle ways.

Another caveat to the logic that argues genes with substantial divergence are likely to have their own function, is that substantial sequence divergence could arise in redundant gene sequences via occasional interparalog exchange that would not necessarily introduce frameshift, and other inactivating mutations. That nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR) events are mutationally possible is demonstrated by the presence of chimeric genes segregating within populations, including those of humans (Dumont and Eichler 2013). A pertinent example comes from the moth Helicoverpa armigera where a chimera between two cytochrome P450 paralogs (Cyp337b1 and Cyp337b2) called Cyp337b3 has been found. The Cyp337b3 haplotype segregates with the Cyp337b1−Cyp337b2 haplotype in natural populations (Joussen et al. 2012) and appears to be adaptive as it is associated with greater levels of resistance to a widely used insecticide, esfenvalerate.

A common phenomenon observed in multigene families in comparative genomic data sets is the occurrence of lineage-specific gene amplification of paralogs- or “phylogenetic blooms” (Ranson et al. 2002; Feyereisen 2011). Feyereisen (2011) cites multiple examples of cytochrome P450 gene blooms including the 15 Cyp2c genes in mice, the 19 Cyp4ab genes in the wasp Nasonia vitripennis, and the 12 Cyp6a genes in Drosophila melanogaster. To understand the relative roles of selective and neutral processes in such phylogenetic blooms and in multigene families more generally, it is necessary to focus on recent evolutionary events, in multigene families where functional analyses are tractable. The genomic data sets currently available for species within the Drosophila genus have divergence times ranging from <0.5 to ~50 Ma (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium et al. 2007). It is therefore possible to observe molecular evolution at unprecedented resolution, such that 1) the age of gene gain events can be accurately mapped to a species phylogeny and 2) many gene loss events can be observed as pseudogenes. Furthermore, when the divergence of nonfunctional DNA has not reached saturation, those sequences can be used to normalize rates of sequence change thereby allowing tests for adaptive evolution to be performed (Yang 2007). In Drosophila, there is the added benefit of the availability of population genomic data sets for D. melanogaster (Langley et al. 2012; Mackay et al. 2012).

Here, we examine within and between species copy number variation (CNV) through the lens of the large and highly divergent cytochrome P450 gene family among species within the Drosophila genus. In insects, this multigene family encodes enzymes that catalyze a variety of molecular reactions, typically hydroxylations, on endogenous and exogenous substrates (Feyereisen 2005). They have diverse biological functions that are best characterized in the model insect D. melanogaster, which also has extensive transcriptomic data sets that informs functional analyses. Particular P450s have been associated with detoxification of insecticides, whereas others have key developmental roles and many of them have been partially characterized in reverse genetic RNAi screens (Chung et al. 2009). Previously, gene duplication and loss have been studied for particular Drosophila P450 genes (Sztal et al. 2007; Schmidt et al. 2010; McDonnell et al. 2012; Harrop et al. 2014) and the P450 multigene family has been included in larger studies (Wu et al. 2011). Here, we examine the patterns of P450 gene duplication within and between Drosophila species and ask: 1) Are there lineage effects, such as phylogenetic blooms, among Drosophila species? 2) Is there any evidence for nonadaptive molecular evolutionary processes shaping the divergence of paralogs? 3) Are there signs of adaptive evolution in the divergence patterns of P450 genes, and if so which ones, in which lineages? and 4) What insight can be gained into the function of those genes whose function is currently uncharacterized?

Materials and Methods

Annotation of P450 Genes

Iterative BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) searches using D. melanogaster P450 gene sequences as queries were used to identify contigs containing P450 genes in the other species. Later on,
also newly identified P450 genes from the other species were used as queries to ensure discovery of the whole set of P450 genes. In case the contigs that were identified did not contain the whole P450 gene or deviated in structure from orthologous contigs, we tried to improve the identified contigs by searching the trace archives and reassembling the corresponding contig. To identify the putative gene structures in these contigs, we used the automated annotation program Phat (http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/Phat/, last accessed April 30, 2014). The automated annotations were adjusted in Artemis (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/software/artemis/, last accessed April 30, 2014) using orthologous P450 genes as a guide. The coding sequences of the final annotations are provided in the supplementary data files, Supplementary Material online.

Phylogenetic Trees

An alignment of all Cytochrome P450 enzymes identified in the 12 Drosophila species was created using ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994) and a neighbor-joining phylogeny was reconstructed based on this alignment. From this tree, 77 clades were identified and named as follows: If a clade has one-to-one orthologs to D. melanogaster in all Drosophila species, it was named after the D. melanogaster enzyme. If a clade contained homologs to more than one D. melanogaster P450 enzyme, its name is a concatenation of the names of the D. melanogaster proteins. For instance, the homologs to the three D. melanogaster enzymes Cyp4p1, Cyp4p2, and Cyp4p3 form one clade and thus the clade was named Cyp4p1/2/3. To count the number of P450 proteins for each Drosophila species functional genes as well as pseudogenes were taken into account. Functional enzymes of a clade were aligned using ClustalW. Protein alignments were used as template to create nucleotide alignments using the program MRTRANS or translatorX (http://www.translatorx.co.uk, last accessed April 30, 2014). Phylogenetic trees were generated using the Mobyte server (http://mobyle.pasteur.fr, last accessed April 30, 2014). Phylogenetic trees shown in the figures were rendered using Figtree vs1.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/, last accessed April 30, 2014).

Locating Duplication Events

Neighbor-joining trees for 30 clades containing more than one copy of a gene in one or more species were created using ClustalW version 1.83. The trees were rooted using the midpoint method as implemented in PHYLIP version 3.66. The protein tree was then compared with the species tree using the Forester algorithm to locate duplication events. Some duplications that were predicted by Forester (Zmasek and Eddy 2001) seemed unlikely and were ignored or placed at a different branch of the tree. These cases include instances where:

- There is exactly one gene of each species in a subclade of the tree but the topology is different to the species tree. This phenomenon was explained by incomplete lineage sorting in the case of differences in the topology in D. erecta, D. yakuba, and D. melanogaster or an accelerated rate of evolution in one lineage. It might also be caused by long-branch attraction where long branches are grouped together although they are separated by short branches in reality.
- One species had two copies of a gene and one of these copies was an outgroup to the other genes in the subclade. In this case, Forester predicted a duplication at the root of the subclade. The duplication was relocated to the species that has two copies of the gene.

A total of 49 exceptions from the duplications located by Forester were made.

PAML Analysis

Saturation of synonymous sites was studied in P450 genes as saturation leads to an overestimation of the $\omega$ ratio. The method of Nei and Gojobori as implemented in PAML version 3.14 was used to predict synonymous substitution rates between pairs of genes in a clade. Pairs of species were ordered according to their divergence times. A curve was fitted to rate data derived from all clades with one-to-one orthologs using locally weighted polynomial regression as implemented in the statistical package R. The curve was used to determine at which evolutionary distance saturation occurs in P450 genes.

To avoid false detection of positive selection, PAML analyses were restricted to genes from species in the D. melanogaster group. Looking at this subset of species allowed to break up certain clades into two clades. A total of 81 clades were tested for lineage- and site-specific effects. For the study on evolution after gene duplication, genes from the D. obscura group were included additionally to the D. melanogaster group. More information on evolution in background branches was obtained by loosening the conservative approach that was used before. MRTRANS alignments and species trees with duplications inferred as described above were used as input for the codeml program of PAML version 3.14. Where applicable, three different trees were used, one for each possible topology of D. erecta and D. yakuba in relation to D. melanogaster. Each PAML analysis was repeated with three different start values for $\omega$ (0.5, 1, and 2) to identify the global minima.

Branch-Specific Models

Three different tests were conducted to identify lineage-specific effects in the evolution of clades. The free-ratio model was compared with the one-ratio model. The free-ratio model allows different $\omega$ values for each branch while the one-ratio model assumes a single $\omega$ value for all branches in the tree. Twice the difference of the log-likelihood values for these models was compared with the $\chi^2$ distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the number of branches in the
tree minus one. Bonferroni correction was used to determine whether these LRTs were significant. The following two studies were conducted on the topology of *D. erecta* and *D. yakuba* in relation to *D. melanogaster* that had the highest log likelihood in the test above. Two-ratio models were compared with the one-ratio model. A two-ratio model allows one $\omega$ value for 1) specified branch(es) (called foreground branch(es) and 2) another $\omega$ value for the rest of the branches in the tree (background). Each branch in a tree of a clade was used as foreground branch once resulting in as many two-ratio models for a clade as there are branches in the tree. Each two-ratio model was compared with the corresponding one-ratio model using an LRT as described above with one degree of freedom. Bonferroni correction was applied twice, first to account for multiple testing within a clade and second to account for multiple testing having 81 clades. Two- and three-ratio models were used to study change of selective pressure after gene duplication. The three-ratio model has one $\omega$ ratio for branches ancestral to the duplication, one $\omega$ ratio for the two branches immediately following the duplication event and a third $\omega$ ratio for subsequent branches. If a duplication had occurred in a terminal branch, the third $\omega$ ratio was not applicable and a two-ratio model was used. Correction for multiple testing was applied using the Bonferroni method and taking into account that 44 duplications were studied.

Site-Specific Models

To identify positive selection among sites models M0, M1a, M2a, M3, M7, and M8 were used. Model M0 is equivalent to the one-ratio model described above. Models M1a to M8 classify sites into two or more classes with different $\omega$ values. Model M1a defines two site classes of which one evolves neutrally and the other one is under purifying selection. M2a has an additional site class that allows sites to evolve adaptively. Model M3 assumes a general discrete distribution of $\omega$ ratios whereas M7 assumes a beta distribution of $\omega$ values over sites. As the beta distribution is limited to the interval (0, 1), M7 does not allow sites to evolve adaptively. In contrast, M8 allows an additional site class that can have an $\omega$ value of >1. LRTs were performed to compare M3 with M0, M2 with M1, and M8 with M7 as defined above with degrees of freedom 4, 2, and 2, respectively. The LRT comparing M3 with M0 is a test of variable selective pressure among sites whereas the other two LRTs are tests of positive selection among sites. The Bonferroni method was used to correct for testing of 81 clades. Clades with a significant result in the LRT comparing M8 and M7 were analyzed to identify sites under positive selection. Posterior probabilities for each site to belong to the site class with an $\omega$ value >1 were extracted from the PAML results.

Structural Model for Cyp318a1

The structure of Cyp318a1 was modeled using MMM model (Rai et al. 2006). The nearest structural neighbor to the *D. melanogaster* enzyme as stated in the NCBI protein database is the structure of the human microsomal CYP3A4 (PDB 1TQN) and was used as a template for modeling.

Sequencing

Cyp6a16 alleles were PCR amplified using primers (TCACACT GCTGCTGCTGAC-3’ and AGGTAGTTCCGGTCTTG-3’) with a touch-down PCR protocol with annealing temperature reduced from 70 to 55 °C over 15 cycles followed by 30 cycles of 55 °C. The alleles were isolated from isochromosomal lines generated from natural populations of *D. melanogaster* spanning the eastern Australia latitudes (Schmidt et al. 2010). The PCR products were purified using Qiagen columns and sequenced using BigDye terminator technology.

Insecticide Bioassays

Ten DGRP lines identified with the *y, cn bw sp*; reference genome arrangement of Cyp12a4 and Cyp12a5 (426, 45, 239, 639, 101, 40, 491, 440, 42, and 228), and eight DGRP lines with the Cyp12a4/5 chimeras arrangement (358, 399, 217, 365, 129, 443, 705, and 357), along with the lufenuron-resistant strain NB16 (Bogwitz et al. 2005), were raised on rich media and placed in mass-bred cages. First instar larvae were collected from laying plates and placed in vials containing screening media at a density of 50 larvae per vial. Three replicates were performed for each fly line, at doses of 0.25, 1.5, and 3.5 μg/ml lufenuron. Vials containing larvae were incubated at 25 °C for 14 days, after which time eclosed adults, both alive and dead were scored as having survived to adulthood. Proportions surviving were calculated by dividing the mean number of eclosed adults from each dose, with the mean number of eclosed adults from control treatments.

Results

P450 Gene Gain and Loss among Species

We have identified and annotated a total of 975 P450 sequences in 11 *Drosophila* species in addition to the 90 P450 sequences known from *D. melanogaster* (supplementary data set S1, Supplementary Material online; Tijet et al. 2001). The annotation process required extensive curation that included some reanalysis of genes previously thought to be pseudogenes and the identification of new start codons of some P450 genes of *D. melanogaster*. The identified sequences include 928 putatively functional genes (*i.e.*, these sequences appear to encode complete P450 proteins without reading frame disruption) and 47 pseudogenes.

P450s are classified by family (*e.g.*, CYP6, CYP4, CYP307; originally defined as having >40% amino acid sequence identity) and then by subfamily (*e.g.*, CYP4d, CYP4ae;...
A phylogeny of the P450s shows broad agreement with expectations set by the P450 nomenclature system and by previous studies (fig. 1; Feyereisen 2005; Nelson 2006; Strode et al. 2008). As is well established, multiple families contain mitochondrial target sequences (e.g., CYP12, CYP315, and CYP49), and they all fall within a deeper monophyletic group. The denser sampling provided here resolves some family level relationships showing that the CYP9, CYP317, and CYP310 families are all nested within the CYP6 family, and the CYP312 family is nested within the CYP4 family. Most of the subfamilies group within a single family-specific clade (e.g., all the CYP12s form a clade, and the same is true for the CYP9s, CYP28s, and the CYP313s).

The phylogeny reconstruction shown in figure 1 depicts 77 clades that we trace back to the Most Recent Common Ancestor of the 12 Drosophila species studied (MRCA). Hereafter, these clades will be referred to as AncD (for ancestral Drosophila) clades. The P450 genes were assigned to these clades based on the species phylogeny of the 12 Drosophila species (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium et al. 2007; Stark et al. 2007). In the majority of cases, the recapitulation of the species phylogeny in these genes means that we can be highly confident of these assignments. However, there are some clades that we are less certain of, particularly the “dynamic” clades that exhibit many gene duplications and losses. The AncD clades are listed in table 1, which also indicates those for which gene gain and loss is more difficult to ascertain (we have named the clades after the D. melanogaster gene contained within them, or by the most accurate Flybase annotation if they do not contain a D. melanogaster gene). Even if the phylogeny and its interpretation are absolutely accurate, 77 genes are the minimum number of genes that occurred in the MRCA of Drosophila, because of the possibility that ancestral genes have been lost in all 12 genomes studied here.

Thirty of the AncD clades are evolutionarily “stable” (Thomas 2007) meaning that they have only one gene from each of the 12 species (table 1). The phylogenetic relationship within these stable groups frequently showed slight deviations from that expected from the species tree, and we attributed these to shortcomings in phylogeny constructions (Pamilo and Nei 1988; Pollard et al. 2006) rather thaninvoke complex gain and loss events of P450 genes. The stable genes include those involved in ecdysteroid synthesis (Cyp302, Cyp314, Cyp306; Gilbert 2004; Revitz et al. 2006b), ecdyson modification (Cyp18a1; Gittard et al. 2011), bristle development (Cyp303a1; Willingham and Keil 2004), and cuticular hydrocarbon metabolism (Cyp4g1; Qi et al. 2012). However, there are many stable P450 genes with unknown function (e.g., Cyp4s3, Cyp6v1, and Cyp4d1).

Seventeen AncD clades have lost but not gained P450 genes since the MRCA. Reconciliation of the gene trees and species trees within these clades shows that six of these have lost a single P450 gene in a terminal species-limited branch (i.e., Cyp4c3 and Cyp313a4 are missing from the D. grimshawi genome, Cyp6a13 from the D. mojavensis genome, Cyp6d3 from the D. ananassae genome, Cyp12b2 from the D. yakuba genome, and Cyp310a1 from the D. sechellia genome). The “loss” of these genes in particular may have technical explanations such as poor assemblies, sequencing errors, sequencing gaps, or loss of function alleles in the sequenced strains (see below), rather than genuine losses fixed within a species. Three clades exhibit a single gene loss inferred to have occurred in an internal branch of the tree and therefore they are absent from multiple genomes and technical explanations for their absence are less likely (Cyp307a1, Cyp4d2, Cyp_Dvir\GJ21722). The remaining eight clades exhibit multiple independent losses of the same gene across the Drosophila radiation (Cyp6a16, Cyp308a1, Cyp12c1, Cyp6d2, Cyp_Dvir\GJ21709, Cyp_Dmo\GJ21254, Cyp4d21, and Cyp4e3). The gene we refer to as Cyp_Dvir\GJ21709 (temporarily named after an automatic genome annotation of the D. virilis genome) is a previously unidentified gene that does not have any orthologs in D. melanogaster but is upstream of Cyp4e2 in the species where it occurs. It can be distinguished from Cyp4e2 by a distinct exon–intron boundary and a distant 5′-exon. This gene was lost independently three times: In D. grimshawi, in the ancestor of D. persimilis and D. pseudoobscura, and in the ancestor of the D. melanogaster group (supplementary data S3, Supplementary Material online).

The remaining 30 AncD clades show gene duplication in one or more Drosophila species. Twenty of these orthologous groups have gene loss and gene gain. The most dynamic of the AncD clades is the Cyp4p clade (fig. 1). According to the reconstruction in figure 2, this clade has experienced 20 gene duplications and 3 gene losses since the MRCA, although the confidence of some of the nodes in the tree is low so perhaps a scenario involving 19 gains and 1 loss is more parsimonious (table 1). The next most “dynamic” P450 clades since the MRCA are the Cyp313a1/2/3/5 and Cyp6a2s, each of which exhibits eight duplications and two losses. Although Cyp6a2 is in the large Cyp6a gene subfamily in Drosophila (fig. 1), it is not within the largest gene cluster, which encodes other Cyp6a subfamily genes (fig. 3).

Considering all the 77 AncD clades, we estimate a total of 114 duplications and 74 losses (table 1). Our estimation is conservative as we applied a parsimonious approach rather than a strict reconciliation between the gene tree and species tree. Although inevitably these interpretations introduce a level of subjectivity, we believe they represent a more accurate depiction of the true phylogeny rather than objective computational reconciliations (e.g., those done with Forester; Zmasek and Eddy 2001). In supplementary data set S2, Supplementary Material online, we supply a full phylogeny for comparison. Of the 74 lost genes, 47 are still recognizable as pseudogenes whereas the remaining 27 losses were inferred from
phylogenetic reconstructions alone. No traces of the nucleotide sequences of these 27 genes have been identified from the corresponding genomes suggesting they have been deleted or mutated beyond recognition.

**P450 Gene Gain and Loss within *D. melanogaster***

An early annotation of P450 genes in the *D. melanogaster* genome identified 90 sequences, 7 of which were thought to be pseudogenes (*Cyp307a2*, *Cyp6t2*, *Cyp6a16*, *Cyp6a15*, *Cyp9f3*, *Cyp49a1*, and *Cyp313a1*; Tijet et al. 2001). We have previously found that *Cyp307a2* is not a pseudogene (Sztal et al. 2007) and neither is *Cyp49a1* or *Cyp313a1* (more recent Flybase annotations). As alluded to above we have also found that genes can be misannotated as pseudogenes because the reference genome has carried inactivating mutations that are not present in other alleles. We refer to these as null alleles to distinguish them from pseudogenes that are fixed in the population. To verify whether *Cyp6a16* was a pseudogene, we sequenced 1 kb around the 11 nt frameshifting deletion observed in the *y; cn bw sp* reference strain (position 2L: 5622861 and 5622862 of genome release = r5.56: CTCAG........CGGAAAAGGACT) from eight Australian isofemale lines and failed to find this inactivating mutation. However, the 11 nt deletion is unlikely to be a sequencing error as it is also observed in other sequenced lines (e.g., *Drosophila* Genetic Reference Panel [DGRP]-136 strain). Furthermore, none of the other 13 nt polymorphisms that...
we did observe were obviously disabling, 6 were replacements, and 7 were silent ($R/S = 0.86$) and a McDonald–Kreitman test (using the divergence data of 12 replacement and 21 synonymous fixations $R/S = 0.57$) suggested that the pattern of polymorphism between synonymous and nonsynonymous sites was not different to the pattern observed in the divergence between *D. melanogaster* and *Drosophila simulans* ($G = 0.36, P > 0.05$). Thus, the Cyp6a16 allele of the genomic reference strain (y; cn bw sp) seems to be a null allele. In contrast, polymorphism data confirm Cyp6t2 and Cyp6a15 are genuine P450 pseudogenes in *D. melanogaster*.

To analyze P450 CNV within a species, we analyzed genomes of *D. melanogaster* lines from the DGRP (Mackay et al. 2012). Three bioinformatic analyses were performed to identify and assess CNV. Firstly, a coverage-based screen relying on read-depth variation was used to identify putative P450 gene CNV. Secondly, the distance between Illumina paired end reads for each strain was examined and compared with the reference genome. We sought paired-end violations replicated across multiple DGRP strains. Thirdly, some of the DGRP strains have also been sequenced with 454 sequencing and so single reads spanning CNV breakpoints were identified. Ten P450 genes exhibiting CNV among the DGRP were found in more than one of the 162 DGRP lines (fig. 4). All ten come from the “dynamic/unstable” clades, for which gene copy varies between *Drosophila* species. Among the ten is a duplication of the Cyp9f2 gene, which was previously identified as Cyp9f3 and assigned pseudogene status as it occurs in the y; cn bw sp genome reference strain. The previously characterized structural variation at the Cyp12d1 and Cyp6g1 locus were observed at high frequency (Schmidt et al. 2010).

### Are There Lineage Effects in the Patterns of Gene Gain and Loss among *Drosophila* Lineages?

The number of P450 genes per species ranges from 74 putatively functional genes and 14 pseudogenes in *D. sechellia* to 94 putatively functional genes and 8 pseudogenes in *D. willistoni*. Strong lineage-specific effects were observed in the number of duplications and losses (fig. 5). The number of duplications in a lineage roughly correlates with divergence time. For instance, gene duplications have been particularly numerous along the branch leading to *D. willistoni* (25 gene gains) which is one of the longest branches on the species tree. In contrast, the striking observation about lineage-specific gene loss relates to one of the shortest branches on the species tree: *D. sechellia* has lost 14 P450 genes (listed in supplementary data set S4, Supplementary Material online). No losses were detected in the sister lineage leading to *D. simulans* and thus relative rate of gene loss down these sibling lineages is highly significant (Tajima’s 1D relative rate test, Fisher’s exact test, $\chi^2$ value = 9.3, $P < 0.01$). All of the *D. sechellia* losses are detectable as pseudogenes that harbor frameshift and nonsense mutations.

### Table 1

The AncD clades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Unstable</th>
<th>Duplications</th>
<th>Deletions</th>
<th>Confidence in Reconstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyp18a1</td>
<td>Cyp4c3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp314a1</td>
<td>Cyp4d2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4g1</td>
<td>Cyp99f2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6v1</td>
<td>Cyp310a1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp303a1</td>
<td>Cyp12b2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4ad1</td>
<td>Cyp6a13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp301a1</td>
<td>Cyp6t3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp305a1</td>
<td>Cyp307a2/3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4g15</td>
<td>Cyp9h1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp313b1</td>
<td>DvinG12722</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4aa1</td>
<td>Cyp307a1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp302a1</td>
<td>Cyp313a4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp49a1</td>
<td>Cyp6d2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp317a1</td>
<td>Cyp304a1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp309a2</td>
<td>Cyp4d8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6g2</td>
<td>Cyp12e1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6a22</td>
<td>Cyp12c1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp9c1</td>
<td>Cyp4d20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6u1</td>
<td>Cyp4d21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4d14</td>
<td>Cyp308a1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp309a1</td>
<td>DmojG121254</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp318a1</td>
<td>DvinG12709</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp28c1</td>
<td>Cyp4e3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp312a1</td>
<td>Cyp6g1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp315a1</td>
<td>Cyp6v1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp311a1</td>
<td>Cyp6a16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp314a1</td>
<td>DvinG122648</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp316a1</td>
<td>Cyp4e1/2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp306a1</td>
<td>DvinG120586</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4a3</td>
<td>Cyp6a8/18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp12d1/2</td>
<td>Cyp6d5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp28a5</td>
<td>Cyp6d4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6a17/23</td>
<td>Cyp4e1c1/2/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp8b1/2</td>
<td>Cyp6a9q21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp4p1/2/3</td>
<td>Cyp28d1/2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp12a4/5</td>
<td>Cyp12a1/2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6t1/2</td>
<td>Cyp6a19/20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp6a14/15</td>
<td>Cyp6a2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyp313a1/2/3/5</td>
<td>Cyp4p1/2/3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aHighly confident.
*bFairly confident.
*cLow confidence.
What Molecular Evolutionary Processes Affect the P450 Multigene Family?

The overwhelming majority of gene duplicates are at adjacent locations suggesting they originated by unequal recombination. For example, all of the 19 gene duplications occurring in the Cyp4p lineage resulted in adjacent genes, all of which contain introns, strongly suggesting unequal recombination as their mechanism of origination. Over evolutionary time adjacent genes have become separated by secondary events such as inversions. A clear example of these processes is observed in some of the Cyp6a genes. In D. melanogaster, there is a cluster of...
nine adjacent P450 genes at position 10.7 Mb on chromosome arm 2R (Muller element C; fig. 3). Another cluster of three 6a genes exists on 2R position 4.4 Mb. The separation of these two clusters seems to have occurred in the ancestor of the melanogaster group species, as the orthologs of the two sets of genes are in one cluster in the other species such as D. willistoni that has a cluster of 14 Cyp6a genes (fig. 3).

There are some examples of gene origination by retrotransposition. One example is that of the Halloween genes spook (Cyp307a1) and spookier (Cyp307a2; Sztal et al. 2007). Another example is Cyp6t1 that is derived from Cyp6t2. Cyp6t2 is located on chromosome arm 2L (Muller element B) and contains one intron. An analysis including the additional eight genomes currently available on Flybase (http://flybase.org/blast/, last accessed April 30, 2014) suggests that the duplication generating the intronless Cyp6t1, occurred after the divergence of D. eugracilis from the D. melanogaster subgroup,
and the new copy was retrotransposed to chromosome X. A nonfunctional copy of the gene on chromosome 2 (Cyp6t2) is in the genomes of D. melanogaster, D. simulans, D. sechellia, and D. yakuba whereas the intronless gene on chromosome X (Cyp6t1) is conserved in all descendent species.

There are also two notable examples where gene structure has changed since the divergence of the Drosophila species. The first potentially provides a novel example of subfunctionalization. Cyp4d1 is the only P450 gene in D. melanogaster that exhibits alternate splicing. The two alternate first exons are conserved throughout all Drosophila species, except D. mojavensis, where the gene, except the most distal first exon is duplicated. It appears that the alternate first exons now exist in separate genes (fig. 6). The second notable example of gene structure change is in the Cyp4e subfamily. The same phase 0 intron has apparently been lost independently three times: In the Cyp4e3 clade in D. willistoni, the Cyp4e1/2 clade in D. willistoni, and the Cyp4e1/2 clade in the obscura group species (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Perhaps this is evidence for interparalog exchange between Cyp4e1/2 and Cyp4e3 in D. willistoni (or between Cyp4e1/2 and Cyp4e3 in the melanogaster subgroup in which case it would be seen as intron gain), however the location of the genes suggests that such exchange would need to have occurred between genes on different
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rental genes. In contrast a chimera of Cyp6a17

Two forms of chimera between the neighboring paralogs Cyp6a23 were observed; one was observed in 8% of lines and the other in 16% of lines examined (fig. 7a). In both cases, the chimeric genes seem to replace both parental genes. In contrast a chimera of Cyp12a4 and Cyp12a5 is clustered with the two parental genes (fig. 7b) with 40% of the D. melanogaster lines. The Cyp12a4 and Cyp12a5 genes have previously been associated with resistance to the insecticide lufenuron (Bogwitz et al. 2005), so to test whether the CNV affects lufenuron resistance we compared the egg with adult viability of ten DGRP strains with the two gene “reference” haplotype to eight DGRP strains with the three-gene “reference” haplotype reared on lufenuron laced food. The difference between the two classes was significant (two t-tested with unequal variance, \( P = 0.034 \)) with the five most resistance lines having the three-gene haplotype (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online).

Are There Signs of Adaptive Evolution in the Divergence Patterns of P450 Genes?

Relative Rates and Patterns of Coding Sequence Evolution

The 12 genome sequences allow us to examine the relative rates of sequence change among the orthologous groups of P450s. In supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online, the orthologous groups are ranked by the number of amino acid substitutions observed per unit of time. For each orthologous set, we have calculated the tree length from a maximum-likelihood estimate using the program RAxML with the JTT matrix as substitution model. For our time estimates, we use the branch lengths of the species tree derived from whole-genome analysis as our proxy (Stark et al. 2007). If a P450 is missing from a branch or branches then those branches were not included in our estimate of “time.” If a gene is duplicated in a particular clade then the time attributed to that clade is doubled in our calculation of rate. Eight of the ten slowest evolving genes are stable genes. This correlation between gene gain/loss events and divergence rates can be generalized across the data set as a whole (fig. 8). A notable outlier in this analysis is Cyp306a1, which exhibits no gene duplications or losses but which is one of the fastest evolving proteins since the MRCA_D. This gene is also known as phantom, it performs the second of many hydroxylations in the ecdysone synthesis pathway, and perhaps the relatively high divergence suggests it acts on multiple substrates.

The P450s exhibiting greater divergence in orthologous comparisons may have less selective constraint acting upon them or alternatively may have evolved for a period when natural selection favored amino acid change. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed the ratio of nonsynonymous to the synonymous rate (\( \omega \)) using the PAML software (Yang 2007). Our analysis of the P450 genes suggested the synonymous sites were saturated in comparisons between obscura and melanogaster groups so we limited these analyses to the six species of the melanogaster group.

Differences in \( \omega \) Values between Different Groups of P450s

The simplest analysis of nonsynonymous to synonymous rates assumes that each P450 gene has a single \( \omega \) value across all sites in the alignment and across all branches in the phylogenetic tree. Under this “one-ratio” model, the median \( \omega \) values for all groups in all tests were well below one indicating purifying selection on all groups of genes. When the orthologous clades in the six species of the melanogaster group are ranked by their \( \omega \) values, they largely concur when they are ranked by amino acid changes over the whole Drosophila phylogeny as calculated in the previous section (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient = 0.80). There are significant differences among P450 families (one-way ANOVA, \( P = 4.0e-5 \)) and between stable and dynamic AncD clades (Wilcoxon \( P = 1.92e-3 \)). We next looked for those orthologous groups that did not show a consistent rate of evolution across the melanogaster species group.

Fig. 6.—A gene duplication separating alternate splice forms into individual genes. The Cyp4d1 gene has two splice forms in most of the examined Drosophila species that differ by the first exon used (exon 1a or exon 1b). In D. mojavensis a gene duplication appears to have involved exon 1a, exon 2, and exon 3. The presumed ancestral copy (Cyp4d1; in the left of the figure) has not retained a functional exon-1a (gray box with vertical lines representing multiple inactivating mutations).
**Fig. 7.**—Structural polymorphisms in *Drosophila melanogaster* P450 genes (a). CNV at Cyp6a17 and Cyp6a23 in the DGRP. Two chimeras of Cyp6a17 and Cyp6a23 are observed in different DGRP lines. Cyp6a23/17/23 is primarily Cyp6a23 sequence, with a small portion of Cyp6a17 sequence in the first P450s within and between *Drosophila* Species.
Branch-Specific Models

To find genes that exhibit different rates in different branches, a more sophisticated PAML analysis was performed, which compares a model that allows the \( \omega \) value to vary in different branches in a tree (“free ratio” model) to the “one ratio” model. In around one-third of the orthologous groups, the branches in a tree (“free ratio” model) to the “one ratio” model was significant using Bonferroni correction \( (P < 0.0006) \). These genes evolve at different rates in different lineages (supplementary table S2, Supplementary Material online). Notable among these are orthologous groups (Cyp313a1/2/3, Cyp4d14, Cyp4d20, Cyp6a15, Cyp6t1/2, Cyp12d1, and Cyp28d2) in which \( \omega \) was greater than one in some lineages, suggesting that through at least part of the evolutionary history natural selection favored amino acid change in these orthologous groups in some lineages.

To reveal selective changes after gene duplication, we employed a model allowing different \( \omega \) values before and immediately after duplication. Around 35% of the duplications studied showed a significant change in selective pressure after gene duplication (9 out of 26). In all but one of the duplications with a significant change, the \( \omega \) ratio was increased immediately after duplication when compared with the ratio before duplication. The average \( \omega \) ratio before duplication was 0.09 whereas the ratio immediately after duplication was 0.18. In six cases, the duplication occurred in an ancestral species rather than in a terminal branch of the Drosophila phylogeny. In these cases a third \( \omega \) ratio could be calculated referring to the evolutionary rate after establishment of the two duplicates. The average for this ratio is 0.17, similar to the ratio immediately after duplication. Thus, selective constraints remained relaxed after establishment of duplicate P450 genes.

Site-Specific Models

We also compared models where \( \omega \) was allowed to vary among sites. All but seven genes had a significant result testing variable selective pressure among sites (LRT comparing PAML models M3 and M0). However, there was only one orthologous group that showed evidence for positive selection acting on particular sites (LRT comparing PAML models M8 and M7), and that was Cyp318a1. The \( \omega \) ratio for the site class allowing positive selection is 5.41. According to the Bayes Empirical Bayes method, three sites of Cyp318a1 belong to the site class, which is under positive selection with a probability of >95%. These sites are in close proximity in the sequence at positions 442, 443, and 449 of the D. melanogaster protein. The structure of CYP318A1 was homology modeled with respect to human CYP3A4 (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). These proteins have only 20.4% amino acid identity and so the modeling is tentative. The model suggests that the three sites most likely to be under positive selection are in a loop on the surface of the protein and are not in close proximity to the heme-binding site. Nor are these sites near the six recognized substrate recognition sites. Thus, it is unclear why changes at these sites may have been selectively favored.

Are There Molecular Evolutionary Correlates with Inducibility, Viability, Site of Expression, or Function of Cytochrome P450s?

A hypothesis that we wished to test is whether P450s that metabolize exogenous substrates are more likely to duplicate and evolve faster at more variable rates than those that metabolize endogenous substrates. Although some Drosophila P450s are believed to function on endogenous substrates (spo-Cyp307a1, spo-k-Cyp307a2, phm-Cyp306a1, Cyp18a1, sad-Cyp315a1, shd-Cyp314a1, dbb-Cyp302a1, nompH-Cyp303a1, Cyp301a1, Cyp6a17, and Cyp4g1) and some have been linked to the metabolism of insecticides (Cyp6g1, Cyp6a2, and Cyp12a4) or environmental food substitutes.

![Fig. 8.—The relationship between gene gain/loss and rate of amino acid substitution. The x axis represents the number of gene gain and losses in the AncD clades. The y axis is the rate of amino acid substitution accounting for changes in evolutionary time due to gene gain and losses.](image-url)
(Bono et al. 2008), for most the substrates are unknown. However, we do know that RNAi-directed against nine P450s results in lethality (fig. 1; Chung et al. 2009), that 35 are transcribed in tissues implicated in xenobiotic metabolism (Chung et al. 2009), and that transcription can be induced in at least 21 with exposure to xenobiotics (Willoughby et al. 2006; Flybase). We examined these data sets with the rates and patterns of P450 evolution across the Drosophila phylogeny just described.

Most lethal and sublethal genes belonged to AncD clades with lower omega (ω) values than those that were not lethal when knocked down (Wilcoxon P = 0.12). P450s expressed in the detoxification (midgut: ω = 0.08; Malpighian tubes: ω = 0.10; midgut and Malpighian tubules: ω = 0.07; midgut, Malpighian tubules, and fatbody: ω = 0.08) and reproductive tissues (ω = 0.07) have a significantly lower ω ratio than genes whose expression was not detected (ω = 0.13) in the in situ studies of Chung et al. (2009). As noted by Chung et al. (2009), genes expressed in the hindgut have a significantly lower median ω ratio (0.04) than the genes belonging to the other categories. Of the 21 P450 genes that were found to be transcriptionally inducible by phenobarbital or caffeine (Willoughby et al. 2006) only one (Cyp4d14) is in the “stable” class which is fewer than expected if there was no relationship between inducibility and stability (G = 11, P < 0.01).

Finally, of the ten P450 genes for which we determined CNVs within D. melanogaster all belong to the “dynamic/unstable” class of P450s (determined by interspecies comparison), 9 are expressed in the tissues where xenobiotics are metabolized and a higher than expected proportion are inducible by phenobarbital and/or caffeine (G = 4.0, P < 0.05). They also include two genes previously associated with insecticide resistance (Cyp6g1 and Cyp12a4/5).

**Discussion**

In analyzing the rates and patterns of P450 gene gain and loss within and between Drosophila species, we are confronted with the question (that can be asked of many molecular evolutionary studies of multigene families): How much of the diversification in gene copy number that is observed is functional? At one extreme, copy number polymorphisms within a species may be targeted by natural selection, on the other extreme, copy number changes stochastically among species lineages and has no functional consequence. However, our analysis of the P450 genes of the Drosophila genus presented here suggests that the reality lies closer to the selectionist than the neutralist extreme and contrasts with an interpretation of phylogenetically deeper evolutionary comparisons of the same multigene family (Feyereisen 2011).

Although stochastic birth models may be found to adequately describe the process of gene proliferation over time, it is a mistake to argue that they discriminate clearly between models with and without selection. Furthermore, the correlation between function and duplicability of P450s that is observed is not expected under a model where divergence is predominantly driven by stochastic changes. With gene gain and loss events in the cytochrome P450 multigene family averaging ~0.006 events per million years in the Drosophila phylogeny (188 events/77 ancestral genes/400 Myr), it is more labile than the average Drosophila gene (0.0012 events per million years; Hahn et al. 2007). These events are not evenly distributed across the clades that are traceable to the ancestral Drosophila species, with 30 of the 77 ancestral clades having no gain or loss events. As has been noted before P450, genes currently associated with developmental functions have duplicated far less than those that have uncharacterized function or that have roles in xenobiotic metabolism (Drosophila 12 Genomes Consortium et al. 2007). We have extended upon this observation by showing that there is a correlation between the rate of amino acid replacement and the number of times a P450 has duplicated in the Drosophila phylogeny. These patterns are inconsistent with stochastic models that each gene is equally likely to have duplicated over evolutionary time.

Another argument against the redundancy model is that if a protein-coding gene was genuinely redundant then eventually, as it diverged from its functional paralog, it would accumulate an obvious inactivating mutation. The ratio of frameshifting mutations to nucleotide substitutions in a nonfunctional sequence has been estimated to be >1 in ten (Petrov and Hartl 1998; Robin et al. 2000) and the median number of nucleotide substitutions between D. melanogaster and D. simulans P450 orthologs is 27. So the chances are that if a P450 lacked a function it is likely to acquire an obvious inactivating mutation over this evolutionary time. Drosophila simulans has one P450 gene that is obviously inactivated (Cyp6l2) and D. melanogaster has three (Cyp12d3, Cyp6l2, and Cyp6a15) but each of these genes exists as conserved functional copies in other species; indicating they are not nonfunctional genes arising and disappearing without the influence of purifying and natural selection. In two of these cases (Cyp6l2 and Cyp12d3), it seems that the loss is associated with a gain of another gene (Cyp6l1 on the X chromosome and a Cyp12d1 duplication that is polymorphic) that could be the complete functional replacement.

Patterns of P450 gene loss illustrate a striking and informative lineage effect in D. sechellia. P450s are not the only gene family to exhibit extensive loss in the D. sechellia lineage as the odorant receptor genes (McBride and Arguello 2007) and the glutathione s-transferases (Low et al. 2007) also show excessive loss in this lineage. Drosophila sechellia is a specialist species, found in a narrow ecological niche on the islands of the Seychelles (R’kha et al. 1991). So one hypothesis is that the gene loss can be attributed to a reduced chemical diversity in the narrow niche occupied by this island species and gene loss is neutral with respect to fitness. Indeed this has been proposed in a previous reports showing that P450 transcripts are...
enriched among those that are downregulated in *D. sechellia* relative to *D. simulans* (Dvorin and Jones 2009; Wurmser et al. 2011). An alternative hypothesis is that the gene loss is associated with a severe reduction in population size in the history of this species, which has allowed slightly deleterious mutations, such as gene inactivating mutations, to become fixed in the population. This second hypothesis invokes the idea that the function of some genes is so minor that they are almost inconsequential, and they may be thought of as genes on the boundary of survival and extinction. If this were the case then perhaps the same genes would be lost multiple independent times across the phylogeny. In fact, of the 37 genes that have been lost somewhere on the phylogeny 22 have been lost more than once suggesting that they may be “genes on the boundary” of survival. This leads to the idea that repeated loss of a gene throughout a species radiation could be an indirect measure of the selective value of that gene. If it is readily dispensable, it would be of little value and inactivating mutations would be only slightly deleterious and so would be susceptible to population size fluctuations or genetic draft events (Gillespie 2001).

Previous comparative genomic studies of the P450 multigene family have noted lineage-specific amplification of particular genes, evocatively termed “blooms” (Feyereisen 2011). Such “blooms” are not a unique feature of P450s but are observed in many multigene families. However, in the taxonomically dense data set examined here, the P450 “blooms” previously identified as occurring in the *Drosophila* lineage (e.g., the Cyp6a genes) are no longer localized to a single branch in the species tree (four of the nine melanogaster genes in the large Cyp6a clade arose after the ancestral *Drosophila*). In fact the most labile of AncD gene clades, the Cyp4p, is fairly unremarkable if the focus is on a particular branch, as the 19 duplications are distributed across the species tree. All of the Cyp4p duplications have arisen by unequal recombination and all are in the intron of the *hikaru genki* gene. Has this occurred because there is a mutational predisposition that has increased relative to other genes? If that were the case, then perhaps we would see CNV of the Cyp4p within the *D. melanogaster* population—and yet we have detected none.

An alternate model to explain the Cyp4p phylogeny would be that there were far fewer gene duplications (maybe as few as three) and that recurrent subgene interparalog exchange (e.g., gene conversion) made genes within a species cluster together on the phylogenetic analysis. The frequency of such exchange events would be rare relative to sequence divergence as the Cyp4p paralogs within a species are substantially diverged across the whole length of the gene.

However, the patterns of copy number polymorphism suggest interparalog exchange does arise. We have identified multiple cases of polymorphic within-gene-family chimeras in the within-species data sets, not just in *D. melanogaster* (fig. 7) but also in *D. simulans* (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Such interparalog chimeras have been seen before segregating within *H. armigera* populations (Joussen et al. 2012) and there is some evidence for such exchange in other multigene families (Robin et al. 2009; Runck et al. 2009). However, the repeated observation of chimeras in our population data sets suggests that interparalog exchange may be more common than previously thought and that evidence for such nonallelic recombination may be obscured in more distant evolutionary comparisons by subsequent molecular events.

The occurrence of NAHR does not mean that the gene sequences involved are redundant or functionally equivalent. In humans such events are thought to be deleterious (Dumont and Eichler 2013). In the Cyp337b example of *H. armigera*, they can be adaptive (Joussen et al. 2012). Our finding of a chimera, at a locus previously implicated in lufenuron resistance, *Cyp12a4/S* (Bogwitz et al. 2005), motivated a preliminary experiment to show that there is a correlation between this chimera and lufenuron resistance. Lufenuron is an insecticide primarily used to control fleas and so it seems unlikely to be a selective agent; however, it demonstrates a functionality that may be selected in response to some other environmental toxin.

Another evolutionary mechanism proposed to explain the dynamics of multigene family evolution is the “subfunctionalization” model, in which daughter genes divide the functions performed by the parental gene in a complementary fashion (Force et al. 1999). We detected two types of events consistent with this model. Firstly, duplicate genes maintained increased $d_{\omega}/d_{s}$ ($\omega$) ratios for substantial periods of time after the gene duplication events, consistent with a relaxation of selective constraint. Secondly, a subfunctionalization event is suggested by the separation of alternate splice forms in *Cyp4d1* as seen in most *Drosophila* species in two separate genes in *D. mojavensis*.

Although the analysis of the P450 gene family evolution within the genus *Drosophila* has been informed by functional analyses of P450s (particularly in *D. melanogaster*), the reverse is also true, in that the evolutionary analyses informs us about P450 function. To some extent, the inactivated P450 genes in *D. sechellia* can be considered natural knockouts and that may motivate biological comparisons with closely related species. For example, a naturally occurring null allele of one of them, Cyp6a20, occurs at high frequency in *D. melanogaster* and is associated with male aggression (Dierick and Greenspan 2006; Robin et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2008). *Drosophila sechellia* may therefore be a useful species to include in studies trying to identify the substrate that the Cyp6a20 enzyme works on. Similarly, the accelerated rate of amino acid change in the *phantom* gene should motivate studies on this important gene in ecdysteroid synthesis. Strengthening this motivation is molecular population genetic evidence that *phm* (*Cyp306a1*) has been the target of recent natural selection in the *D. melanogaster* lineage (Orengo and Aguade 2007).
The Cyp12a4/Cyp12a5 chimera and the evidence of positive selection in Cyp318a1 should also motivate studies into their function and their substrates.

In conclusion, our analyses suggest that the overwhelming majority of P450 paralogs in Drosophila have a raison d’etre based on a function determined by natural selection. We argue that when paralogs have diverged sufficiently from each other, selective neutrality should not be assumed without being demonstrated. Furthermore, it is clear that if we are to fully understand multigene family evolution, functional genomics needs to expand beyond evolutionary analyses to ecological analyses of gene function.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary tables S1 and S2, figures S1–S3, and data files S1–S4 are available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www.gbe.oxfordjournals.org/).

Acknowledgments

R.T.G. retrieved the genomic sequences, identified, and annotated the P450 genes. L.G. performed the PAML analyses and with C.R. performed the other phylogenetic analyses. R.T.G. and P.B. characterized the copy number variation within D. melanogaster. P.B. performed the insecticide bioassays. T.S. and P.B. characterized the D. melanogaster pseudogenes. C.R. performed analyses, supervised all components of the research, and wrote the paper with contributions from L.G. and P.B. This work was supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Project grant DP0557497 to C.R. and P.B.

Literature Cited


Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:
Good, RT; Gramzow, L; Battlay, P; Sztal, T; Batterham, P; Robin, C

Title:
The Molecular Evolution of Cytochrome P450 Genes within and between Drosophila Species

Date:
2014-01-01

Citation:

Persistent Link:
http://hdl.handle.net/11343/194853

File Description:
Published version

License:
CC BY-NC