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INTRODUCTION

The process of cellular differentiation was once thought to be strictly unidirectional from multipotent progenitor to terminally differentiated cell. However, pioneering studies found that somatic cells can be reprogrammed by nuclear transfer (Gurdon, 1962) and pluripotent stem cells can be induced from fibroblasts (Takahashi et al., 2007), indicating that differentiation is a plastic process. A reversal of differentiation, or dedifferentiation, occurs in many cancers whereby cells lose their identity and proliferate aberrantly (Friedmann-Morvinski and Verma, 2014). One such cancer is glioblastoma multiforme, the most common human brain malignancy. In a mouse model of this disease, cancerous cells arise from dedifferentiated neurons and astrocytes (Friedmann-Morvinski et al., 2012). Therefore, a molecular understanding of cell fate maintenance should provide insights into the pathogenesis of cancers and inform future utilization of stem cells in the context of regenerative medicine (Firas et al., 2015).

Drosophila melanogaster have facilitated the discovery of key features of neurogenesis, common to both invertebrate and vertebrate CNS development (Homem et al., 2015). The majority of neural stem cells in the D. melanogaster CNS (type I neuroblasts) divide asymmetrically to replenish the stem cell pool and also give rise to a daughter cell called the ganglion mother cell (GMC), which divides symmetrically to generate two neurons or glia (Homem and Knoblich, 2012). At each cell division, cell fate determinants are segregated into the GMC to promote its differentiation; and disruption of differentiation can lead to tumorigenesis (Bowman et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2006; Choksi et al., 2006; Betschinger et al., 2006). However, how the differentiated state of post-mitotic neurons is maintained is less well understood, and here we address this question in the medulla neurons of the Drosophila optic lobes.

Dedifferentiation is associated with alterations in chromatin architecture and gene expression, indicating that regulators of transcription are actively required to maintain the differentiated state. In the context of neuronal differentiation, very few transcription factors have been identified that are essential for maintaining neuronal cell fate in the D. melanogaster CNS. Two such factors are the zinc finger transcription factor, Nerfin-1 and the BTB-zinc finger transcription factor, Lola. Loss of either protein in CNS neurons causes a striking dedifferentiation phenotype, whereby terminally differentiated neurons revert back to a neuroblast-like fate (Froldi et al., 2015; Southall et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2017). Our previous studies implied that Nerfin-1 maintains neuronal fate by promoting neuronal gene expression and repressing proliferation and stemness genes (Froldi et al., 2015).

SUMMARY

The ability of cells to stably maintain their fate is governed by specific transcription regulators. Here, we show that the Scalloped (Sd) and Nervous fingers-1 (Nerfin-1) transcription factors physically and functionally interact to maintain medulla neuron fate in the Drosophila melanogaster CNS. Using Targeted DamID, we find that Sd and Nerfin-1 occupy a highly overlapping set of target genes, including regulators of neural stem cell and neuron fate, and signaling pathways that regulate CNS development such as Notch and Hippo. Modulation of either Sd or Nerfin-1 activity causes medulla neurons to dedifferentiate to a stem cell-like state, and this is mediated at least in part by Notch pathway deregulation. Intriguingly, orthologs of Sd and Nerfin-1 have also been implicated in control of neuronal cell fate decisions in both worms and mammals. Our data indicate that this transcription factor pair exhibits remarkable biochemical and functional conservation across metazoans.
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Transcription factors often act in a combinatorial fashion (Reiter et al., 2017; Spitz and Furlong, 2012), but it is currently unclear whether Nerfin-1 cooperates with other transcription factors to maintain the fate of CNS neurons.

Here, we identify the key Hippo pathway member Sd as a transcription factor that partners Nerfin-1 to maintain the fate of medulla neurons in the optic lobes of the D. melanogaster CNS. Sd and Nerfin-1 form a physical complex, and both proteins are highly expressed in the medulla neurons of the larval CNS optic lobes. Strikingly, perturbation of either Sd or Nerfin-1 caused medulla neurons to dedifferentiate back to a stem cell-like fate. Using Targeted DamID, we found that these transcription factors occupy a largely overlapping set of target genes including essential mediators of neuroblast and neuron fate, as well as key developmental signaling pathways. Sd and Nerfin-1 maintain neuronal cell fate, at least in part, by regulating expression of Notch pathway genes and activity of this pathway. The human orthologs of Sd and Nerfin-1 (TEAD1 and INSM1) also form a physical complex, suggesting that these proteins are an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor pair.

**RESULTS**

**Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Form a Physical Complex and This Is Conserved in Human Cells**

We recently showed that loss of nerfin-1 in CNS neurons causes a striking dedifferentiation phenotype, whereby terminally differentiated neurons revert back to a neural stem cell-like fate (Froldi et al., 2015). To investigate which transcriptional regulatory proteins Nerfin-1 functions with to control neuronal cell fate, we pursued the TEA domain protein Sd. Sd is the key transcription factor in the Hippo pathway and partners with the Yorkie (Yki) oncoprotein (YAP and TAZ in humans) to regulate organ size (Wu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008a; Goulev et al., 2008). Sd also operates together with Vestigial to control differentiation of the wing and in sensory organ precursor cells, which constitute part of the peripheral nervous system (PNS) (Halder et al., 1998; Simmonds et al., 1998; Williams et al., 1993). We focused on Sd because (1) it was reported to physically interact with Nerfin-1 in an unbiased protein-protein interaction study (Rhee et al., 2014); (2) the C. elegans orthologs of Sd and Nerfin-1, EGL-44 and EGL-46, physically interact and coordinately regulate FLP cell fate specification (Wu et al., 2001), as well as promote cell cycle exit of Q neuroblasts (Feng et al., 2013); (3) the mammalian orthologs, TEAD1 and INSM1, both control pancreatic neuroendocrine cell identity (Cebola et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2015a); and (4) a TEAD binding motif was enriched in INSM1 target genes, as determined by INSM1 chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) in murine pancreatic beta cells (Jia et al., 2015a).

Initially, we sought to verify whether Nerfin-1 could form a complex with Sd, as well as Yki, which was also reported to interact with Nerfin-1 (Rhee et al., 2014). D. melanogaster S2 cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids. Yki and Sd were purified by streptavidin pull-down, and the presence of Nerfin-1 was detected by western blot. Nerfin-1 bound to Sd, but not Yki.

Transcription factors often act in a combinatorial fashion (Reiter et al., 2017; Spitz and Furlong, 2012), but it is currently unclear whether Nerfin-1 cooperates with other transcription factors to maintain the fate of CNS neurons.

Here, we identify the key Hippo pathway member Sd as a transcription factor that partners Nerfin-1 to maintain the fate of medulla neurons in the optic lobes of the D. melanogaster CNS. Sd...
antibody and revealed that Sd and Nerfin-1 can form a physical complex (Figure 1B). To determine whether the human orthologs of these transcription factors interacted in human cells, we transfected 293T cells with epitope-tagged plasmids expressing TEAD1 and INSM1A and performed immunoprecipitations. A robust interaction was detected, showing that Sd and Nerfin-1, and their human homologs TEAD1 and INSM1A, both form physical complexes (Figure 1C). These findings, coupled with a published report that the C. elegans orthologs of these proteins (EGL-44 and EGL-46) physically interact (Feng et al., 2013), raise the possibility that Sd and Nerfin-1 are an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor pair.

**Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Are Co-expressed in Medulla Neurons of the CNS Optic Lobes**

Next, we assessed Sd and Nerfin-1 expression in the larval D. melanogaster CNS. To detect Sd, we used the Sd-GFP strain (Buszczak et al., 2007; Djiane et al., 2013; Neto-Silva et al., 2010) and an Sd antibody (Guss et al., 2013). Nerfin-1 expression was detected using an antibody and a D. melanogaster strain expressing Nerfin-1-GFP from its endogenous regulatory regions and which faithfully recapitulates its expression domain (Kuzin et al., 2007). D. melanogaster neural progenitor cells produce a number of different cell types in a distinct temporal order, where the deepest layer of neurons forms first, and later-born neurons are located on the outer layers of the CNS (Brand and Livesey, 2011). In the optic lobes, symmetrically dividing neuroepithelial cells differentiate into medulla neuroblasts mostly located on the CNS surface (Figure 2A, black). These neuroblasts divide asymmetrically to generate medulla neurons (Figure 2A, pink), which occupy the deeper layers of the CNS close to the medulla neuropil (Egger et al., 2007; Yasugi et al., 2008). In optic lobe neuroblasts, Nerfin-1 expression could not be detected, while expression of Sd was detectable at low levels (Figures S1C–S1F). By contrast, Sd was strongly expressed in the Elav+ medulla neurons and its expression domain closely overlapped with that of Nerfin-1 (Figures 2B–2C) and S1G–S1H). To investigate potential regulatory relationships between Sd and Nerfin-1, we genetically modified expression of each protein and examined any impact on the reciprocal protein. We used the hsFlp-MARCM technique and a null allele of nerfin-1 (nerfin-1159 [Kuzin et al., 2005]) to generate mutant clones, and found that Sd expression was downregulated (Figures 2D–2E). Similarly, in actin-Gal4 flip-out clones in medulla neurons where Sd was depleted by RNAi, Nerfin-1 expression was lowered (Figures 2F–2G). In the converse experiment, overexpression of Nerfin-1 caused elevation of Sd but this was only obvious in older medulla neurons in deep sections of the optic lobe, which normally express lower levels of Sd (Figures 2H–2K). By contrast, overexpression of Sd did not affect Nerfin-1 expression (Figures S2A–S2B). Overall, these data show that, as well as being able to form a physical complex, the abundance of Sd and Nerfin-1 in the larval CNS can be influenced by the relative abundance of each protein.

**Perturbation of Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Induces Dedifferentiation of Medulla Neurons**

Previously, we showed that Nerfin-1 is essential for maintenance of neuronal fate in the neuroblast lineages of the ventral nerve cord and central brain (Froldi et al., 2015). To investigate its role in medulla neurons, we generated nerfin-1159 mutant clones using the MARCM technique. In control clones, deep optical sections (~15 μm deep) consisted only of post-mitotic neurons, characterized by the absence of the neuroblast marker Mira (Figures 3A–3B). By contrast, several ectopic ph3+/Mira+ cells were observed in equivalent sections through nerfin-1159 clones in the medulla cortex (Figures 3C–3D, 3I, and S2I–S2J), indicating that Nerfin-1 is required to maintain neuronal differentiation status in multiple CNS regions. To investigate a role for Sd in the control of medulla neuron fate, we generated actin-Gal4 flip-out clones that expressed an sd RNAi transgene. As in nerfin-1159 clones, we observed ectopic ph3+/Mira+ cells in deep layers of the medulla cortex (Figures 3E–3F, 3J, and S2J–S2K), a CNS region that is normally occupied by post-mitotic neurons. Next, we further examined a role for Sd in medulla neurons using a transgene that encodes an Sd protein (Sd-GA4), whereby the yeast Gal4 activation domain has been fused to Sd to override its default repressor function, thus causing it to dominantly

**Figure 2. Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Are Co-expressed in Optic Lobe Medulla Neurons and Influence Each Other’s Abundance**

(A) Schematic representation of the larval CNS, with optic lobes (OLs), ventral nerve cord (VNC), and the central brain (CB) indicated. Medulla neuroblasts (NBs) (black) are observed in superficial CNS sections and medulla neurons (magenta) in deep CNS sections. In all subsequent low-magnification microscopy images, one optic lobe is imaged (boxed region).

(B–E) Sd expression (green, detected with an Sd antibody) and GFP-tagged Nerfin-1 (Nerfin-1-GFP, red) are both predominantly expressed in Elav+ medulla neurons (gray, C`). Nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue, C`). The boxed region in (B) is magnified in (C) (C`) where the boundary between the medulla neurons (right) and the CB neurons (left) is indicated with a dotted line.

In the CB, some clusters of neurons showed high Sd expression and low Nerfin-1-GFP expression (white arrowhead), while others showed the opposite pattern (yellow arrowhead).

(D–E) Sd expression (green, detected with an Sd antibody) was reduced in nerfin-1159 MARCM clones located in the medulla neuron layer of larval brain lobes (grayscale). The boxed areas in (D) and (D`) are magnified in (E) and (E`); clones are outlined.

(F–G) Reduced Nerfin-1 expression (red, detected with an antibody) in sd-RNAi flip-out clones (gray) located in the medulla neuron layer of a larval optic lobe. The boxed regions in (F) and (F`) are magnified in (G) and (G`); clones are outlined.

(H–K) Sd expression (green, detected with an Sd antibody) was increased in UAS-nerfin-1 flip-out clones (J–K`) in comparison to control clones (H–I`) in the deeper medulla neuron layer of a larval optic lobe. Clones are marked in grayscale. The boxed regions in (H) and (H`) and (J) and (J`) are magnified in (I) and (I`) and (K) and (K`), respectively, and clones are outlined.

For the experiments shown in (D–K`), clones were induced 48 hr after egg laying (AEL) and analyzed 72 hr later and, in the case of (F)–(K`), larvae were shifted to 29°C after clone induction.

Scale bars: 50 μm in (B)–(B`), (D) and (D`), (F) and (F`), (H) and (H`), and (J) and (J`), and 20 μm elsewhere.

See also Figures S1 and S2.
activate expression of its target genes (Zhang et al., 2008a). Clonal expression of Sd-GA induced the formation of ectopic pH3+/Mira+ cells in the deep layers of the medulla cortex.
Figure 4. Ectopic Neuroblasts in *nerfin-1* Mutant and Sd-GA-Expressing Clones Arise from Dedifferentiation of Medulla Neurons

(A–F) High-magnification images of third-instar larval CNS lobes containing control (A and A', and D and D'), *nerfin-1*<sup>159</sup> mutant (B and B', and E and E'), or Sd-GA-expressing (C and C' and F and F') MARCM medulla clones (outlined, and marked by GFP [green]). *nerfin-1*<sup>159</sup> clones and Sd-GA-expressing clones contain ectopic Mira<sup>+</sup> (red) cells (arrowheads) in deep CNS sections at 48 hr but not at 24 hr after heat shock (AHS). Clones in (A)–(C) were induced 96 hr AEL and dissected 24 hr later; clones in (D)–(F) were induced 72 hr AEL and dissected 48 hr later.

(G–O) EdU pulse-chase experiment. Larvae were fed EdU<sup>+</sup> food for 4 hr 48 hr AHS and then chased with EdU-free food for 24 hr before dissection. Low-magnification images of superficial and deep sections of third-instar larval brain lobes containing control (G and G' and J and J'), *nerfin-1*<sup>159</sup> mutant (H and H' and L and L'), or Sd-GA-expressing (I and I' and N and N') medulla MARCM clones (marked by GFP [green]). EdU labeling was absent from the NB-containing superficial sections (G–I'), while it was extensive in the deeper sections where the neuronal progeny resides (J–O'). The clones located in deep sections of the...
16 and 24 hr after clones were induced, clones consisted predominantly of Elav+ neurons, while only select cells expressed Dpn (Figures S3A–S3F). At these time points, Mira, a marker for more mature neuroblasts, was absent from nerfin-1TS49 and Sd-GA clones (Figures 4A–4C). By 48 hr, control lacZ RNAi clones possessed Elav+ cells (Figures S3G–S3J), while clones lacking Nerfin-1 and Sd possessed many cells that had dedifferentiated, i.e., they failed to express Elav and robustly expressed Dpn (Figures S3H–S3I) or Mira (Figures 4D–4F, arrowheads). To confirm that ectopic Mira+ cells in nerfin-1TS49 and Sd-GA clones arise via dedifferentiation, we fed larvae with 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU)+ food for 4 hr 48 hr after clone induction, and then chased for 24 hr with EdU-free food (Figure 4P). In control animals, EdU was first incorporated by proliferating neuroblasts during the EdU pulse, and inherited by neurons generated during this time window. As new neurons were generated, the proliferating neuroblasts on the surface lost the EdU label (Figures 4G–4I and 4P), while EdU+ neurons were displaced into locations deep within the CNS (Figures 4J–4O and 4P). In nerfin-1TS49 and Sd-GA clones, however, we identified Mira+ cells that were also EdU+ in deep CNS sections normally occupied only by post-mitotic neurons (Figures 4L–4M, 4N–4O, and 4P). This suggests that the cells of origin of these ectopic stem-like cells are differentiated neurons, as opposed to defective progenitor cells, and indicates that Sd and Nerfin-1 play an essential role in the maintenance of medulla neuron fate (Figure 4P). This conclusion is consistent with a recent study on nerfin-1 function in medulla neurons (Xu et al., 2017) and our finding that Nerfin-1 is expressed in neurons but not neuroblasts (Figures S1E–S1F).

Sd’s best-described function is in the context of Hippo pathway-dependent epithelial tissue growth, where it partners with the Yki transcription co-activator (Goulev et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008a). Given this, and the fact that we and others have identified roles for the Hippo pathway in the D. melanogaster CNS (Gailite et al., 2015; Poon et al., 2016; Reddy et al., 2010; Ding et al., 2016), we investigated whether Sd operates together with Yki to maintain medulla neuron fate. Consistent with prior studies, Yki was strongly expressed in the neuroepithelium and low or absent in medulla neurons of the optic lobe (Figures 4A–4F)” (Galilite et al., 2015; Poon et al., 2016; Reddy et al., 2010). To explore a potential role for Yki in medulla neurons, we expressed a hyperactive Yki transgene (YkiS168A), because Yki overexpression phenocopies Sd-GA expression in epithelial tissues (Zhang et al., 2008a). Expression of YkiS168A using the actin-Gal4 flip-out technique induced overproliferation of the neuroepithelium (Figures S4C–S4C’ and S4E–S4E’), as reported earlier (Reddy et al., 2010), but did not induce dedifferentiation of medulla neurons (Figures S4D–S4D’ and S4F–S4F’). Finally, co-expression of a different hyperactive Yki allele (YkiS35A) together with Sd in medulla neurons, using the GMR31H08-Gal4 driver (Li et al., 2014) (active mostly in post-mitotic neurons [Figures S4G–S4H’]), also failed to induce dedifferentiation of medulla neurons (Figures S4I–S4J). Therefore, we conclude that Sd regulates medulla neuron fate independent of Yki.

Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Occupy a Largely Overlapping Set of Genes in Medulla Neurons

To explore how Sd and Nerfin-1 maintain medulla neuron fate at the molecular level, we set out to identify potential target genes, using the newly developed method of in vivo Targeted DamID (Southall et al., 2013). This approach is extremely powerful because it allows transcription factor genome-binding profiles to be identified in precise cell types at specific stages of development (using the heterologous UAS-Gal4 expression system) and alleviates the problematic issues of toxicity and spurious DNA methylation, which can limit the utility of DamID (Southall et al., 2013; van Steensel et al., 2001). We generated transgenic D. melanogaster strains expressing transgenes encoding for Dam methylase fused to either Sd or Nerfin-1. These Dam fusion proteins or a control Dam only fusion protein were expressed for 24 hr from the mid-L3 larval stage, specifically in the neurons of the larval CNS (Figure 5A), using GMR31H08-Gal4 (Figures S4H–S4I). This developmental time window was chosen as it aligns with the period when most medulla neurons are generated. Third-instar larval CNS lobes were dissected, methylated DNA was isolated, and next-generation sequencing was performed. Data were analyzed using a custom bioinformatics pipeline (described briefly in STAR Methods and in detail in J.S., J.H.A.V., F.F., L.Y.C., K.F.H., A.T.P., unpublished data) to identify regions of the genome that were preferentially methylated by the Dam-transcription factor fusion proteins compared to Dam alone, and thus detect genomic loci occupied by Sd and Nerfin-1.

Our bioinformatics analysis of Targeted DamID data identified significant enrichment of 4,864 genes in Sd DamID experiments and 4,354 genes in Nerfin-1 DamID experiments. Strikingly, the majority of target genes of each transcription factor were overlapping, as shown in the Venn diagram (Figure 5B). To further analyze Sd and Nerfin-1 genome binding, we performed a correlation analysis on the relative occupancy of Sd and Nerfin-1 at the 3,587 common target genes. As indicated by a Pearson score of 0.84, the relative genomic occupancy of Sd and Nerfin-1 was strongly correlated (Figure 5C). To identify DNA motifs enriched in the genomic regions bound by Sd and Nerfin-1, we performed Homer analysis on our DamID datasets. A motif bound by the mammalian Sd ortholog TEAD4 (Figure 5D) was the most significantly enriched motif in the Sd DamID dataset (p = 1.0E-12) and the second most significantly enriched motif in the Nerfin-1 DamID dataset (p = 1.0E-15) (Figures S5A and S5B).
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At the individual gene level, the genome-binding profiles of Sd and Nerfin-1 tightly overlapped, as shown for the Notch, Miranda, Deadpan, and Zelda genes (Figure 5F). Interestingly, we observed binding of both Sd and Nerfin-1 to their respective gene loci (Figures S5C and S5D). This suggests that they might regulate each other’s gene expression, consistent with data in Figure 2. However, our current data do not allow us to discern between transcriptional or post-transcriptional mechanisms of regulation. Collectively, our Targeted DamID analyses suggest that Sd and Nerfin-1 predominantly operate together to regulate transcription of an overlapping set of target genes in CNS lobe neurons.

To determine which Sd/Nerfin-1 bound genes were expressed in medulla neurons, we first performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on Nerfin-1-GFP+ neurons that were isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) dissociated third-instar larval CNS lobes. The gene expression profile of these neurons closely matched that of neurons derived from ventral nerve cord neurons (Berger et al., 2012) (Figure SSE), consistent with a high degree of biological similarity between these cell types. This observed overlap also provided validation of our neuron isolation strategy. As shown in Figure S5F, 52% of Sd/Nerfin-1-bound genes were expressed in medulla neurons, while 48% were silent. This suggests that Sd and Nerfin-1 are associated both with transcriptionally active and silent genes.

## Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Occupy Sites of Regulatory Chromatin

To analyze the global regulatory chromatin landscape in medulla CNS neurons we performed assay for transposase-accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq), which identifies regulatory chromatin that is in an open conformation, allowing it to be bound by proteins such as transcription factors (Buenrostro et al., 2013). Accessible chromatin corresponds to regions of the genome that are associated with active transcription, as well as regions associated with transcriptional repression (Shlyueva et al., 2014). Larval neurons were isolated by FACS-sorting dissociated CNS lobes that were dissected from the Nerfin-1-GFP strain. The ATAC-seq profile of these neurons identified 35,494 regions of open chromatin, with an average width of 544 bp. A comparison of ATAC-seq and DamID data showed that the vast majority (90%) of both Nerfin-1 and Sd binding sites were within 600 bp of an ATAC-seq peak, which simulations showed is 1.6-fold higher than expected based on chance (Figures 5E and S5G). The observed enrichment of overlapping ATAC-seq and Nerfin-1 DamID peaks was significant, with a p value of 2.9e-77, and independently verifies that our Targeted DamID studies successfully identified bona fide genome binding sites of Sd and Nerfin-1. We performed gene ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis on putative shared Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes (Figures 5G, S6A, and S6B) as well as on the sets of genes bound by Sd or Nerfin-1 alone (Figures S6C–S6F). Gene ontology analysis revealed that putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes were enriched for general and CNS-specific developmental gene ontology categories, consistent with the hypothesis that they directly regulate expression of genes that mediate dedifferentiation (Figure S6A). KEGG analysis revealed enrichment of key developmental pathway such as Notch and Hippo, as well as multiple metabolic pathways (Figures 5G and S6B).

## Expression of Putative Scalloped/Nerfin-1 Target Genes Are Altered When Neurons Dedifferentiate

To investigate whether expression of putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes, as determined by DamID, is indeed regulated by these transcription factors, we performed two sets of analyses. We identified Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes that were differentially expressed between wild-type neurons and nerfin-1 mutant neurons (analysis 1), and those that were differentially expressed in neuroblasts and neurons (as defined by Berger et al., 2012 [analysis 2]), given that perturbation of Sd or Nerfin-1 causes dedifferentiation of neurons to a neuroblast-like fate. To execute analysis 1, we compared RNA-seq data derived from Nerfin-1-GFP+ neurons (described above) with RNA-seq data from nerfin-1 mutant third-instar larval CNS clones (Froldi et al., 2015) to identify differentially expressed genes. Finally, we compared these genes to putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes that we identified using Targeted DamID. 602 putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes were upregulated in nerfin-1 mutant clones, while 515 genes were downregulated (Figure 6A). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis revealed Notch pathway enrichment.
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and Hippo as the top developmental pathways whose expression was elevated, while Wnt pathway genes were among the most downregulated (Figure 6B).

In analysis 2, we compared genes that were differentially expressed in third-instar larval neurons and neuroblasts (Berger et al., 2012) to putative common Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes that we identified using Targeted DamID. 470 candidate Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes were upregulated in neuroblasts versus neurons, while 656 genes were downregulated (Figure 6C). The only developmental pathway that was elevated in KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was the Notch pathway (Figure 6D). Among the most downregulated pathways were Wnt and MAPK pathways (Figure 6D), which have previously been shown to play important roles in neuroepithelial proliferation and the neuroepithelial-to-neuroblast transition (Egger et al., 2007). To validate the above analyses, we assessed a range of common candidate Sd/Nerfin-1 targets whose expression changed in either experiment. Proteins encoded by the neuroblast-specific genes Mira and Asense as well as the cell cycle regulator Geminin were all elevated in nerfin-1159 mutant clones and Sd-GA-expressing clones (Figures 3D–D’, 3H–H’, and S7A–S7D’). Among downregulated genes identified in our analyses were the neuronaly expressed genes Elav, Tramtrak, and Robo3. Expression of proteins encoded by these genes was substantially lower when either Nerfin-1 or Sd function was perturbed in medulla neuron clones (Figures S7E–S7J). Notch pathway genes that were identified as putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes, and whose expression was determined as being elevated using the above analyses, included the notch gene itself, the Notch ligands delta and serrate, and the transcription factors Su(H) and mastermind (Figure 6E). Proteins encoded by the Notch pathway genes Numb, Notch, and Deadpan were all elevated when either Nerfin-1 or Sd function were perturbed (Figures 6F–6M’).

The Notch Pathway Mediates Dedifferentiation of Medulla Neurons following Perturbation of Scalloped or Nerfin-1

Next, we pursued a role for the Notch pathway in neuronal fate maintenance downstream of Sd and Nerfin-1 because (1) it was upregulated in both analyses 1 and 2; (2) expression changes in several pathway proteins upon perturbation of Sd and Nerfin-1 could be validated; and (3) it has well-described roles in CNS development. Because forced activation of Sd target genes (via expression of Sd-GA) induced neuronal dedifferentiation, we focused on transcriptional activation of Notch pathway genes as a candidate dedifferentiation mechanism. Initially, we tested whether hyperactivation of the Notch pathway was sufficient to induce neuron-to-neuroblast reversion. We used GMR31H08-Gal4 to express hyperactive Notch mainly in post-mitotic medulla neurons and observed substantial dedifferentiation in the medulla cortex (Figures 7A–7B’, arrowheads). Similarly, substantial dedifferentiation of medulla neurons was induced upon expression of activated Notch protein in MARCM clones (Figures 7C–7E).

Interestingly, a recent report showed that while Notch signaling is not required for generation of neuroblast lineages, its activity is required for dedifferentiation of medulla neurons that ensues follow nerfin-1 loss (Xu et al., 2017). We confirmed this result by showing that inhibition of Notch pathway activity, via deletion of the Notch ligand Delta, significantly reduced the number of medulla neurons that underwent dedifferentiation in nerfin-1159 clones (Figures 7F–7J). Similarly, Delta inhibition suppressed the ability of Sd-GA-expressing medulla neuron clones to undergo neuron-to-neuroblast reversion (Figures 7K–7O). Collectively, these studies indicate that Sd and Nerfin-1 maintain the fate of medulla neurons, at least in part, by repressing activity of the Notch pathway.

DISCUSSION

Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Form a Transcription Factor Pair to Maintain Neuronal Cell Fate

When cells differentiate, they must maintain their fate in a stable manner and repress their ability to adopt alternate cell fates. This is essential for the function of differentiated cells and, when aberrant, can result in pathological consequences. The mechanism by which neuronal cell fate is stably maintained is incompletely understood, with only a handful of factors being linked to this process (Carney et al., 2013; Froldi et al., 2015; Southall et al., 2014). In the present study, we demonstrate that Nerfin-1 maintains the fate of medulla neurons in the optic lobes of the D. melanogaster CNS, in partnership with the TEA domain transcription factor Sd. Our data are consistent with the idea that these proteins operate as a transcription factor pair, given that they form a physical complex, and bind to a highly overlapping set of genomic loci. Putative Sd/Nerfin-1 targets were enriched for genes that are functionally associated with the fate of neurons and neuroblasts, cellular metabolism, as well as developmental...
Figure 7. Notch Hyperactivity Is Required for Neuronal Dedifferentiation Triggered by Deregulation of Scalloped or Nerfin-1

(A–B) GMR31H08–Gal4 was used to drive expression of GFP (A and A') or GFP and activated Notch (N^{ACT}) (B and B') in the medulla neurons of the optic lobe. GFP is cyan, and neuroblasts are marked by Mira (red). Ectopic Mira^+ cells were observed in the medulla layer of the N^{ACT}-expressing lobe (arrowheads in B–B') but not in the control lobe (in A and A'). Embryos were raised at 18°C for 3 days to avoid early lethality and then moved to 29°C until the end of larval development.

(C–D') Expression of N^{ACT} in medulla MARCM clones (green) resulted in ectopic neuroblast formation (Mira^+, red) in the medulla neuron layer of the CNS. Clones are outlined, and the boxed regions in (C) and (C') are magnified in (D) and (D').

(E) Quantification of Mira^+ cells in clones of the genotypes displayed in (C)–(D'); n = 10 and 9, respectively.

(F–I) nerfin-1^{159} MARCM clones (F–G'), or nerfin-1^{159} MARCM clones expressing Delta RNAi (Dl RNAi; H–I) in the medulla area, stained with Mira (red) and phalloidin, to mark F-Actin (grayscale). Clones are marked by GFP (green). The boxed regions in (F), (F'), (H), and (H') are magnified in (G), (G'), (I), and (I'), respectively, where clones are outlined.

(legend continued on next page)
signaling pathways such as Notch and Hippo. Given that forced activation of Sd target genes induced reversion of medulla neurons to neural stem cells (NSCs), we hypothesize that aberrant activation of genes that Sd and Nerfin-1 regulate, is the primary driver of neuronal dedifferentiation in their absence.

**The Notch Pathway Is a Key Target of Scalloped and Nerfin-1 in the Context of Neuronal Fate**

The Notch pathway was identified as a key target for regulation by Nerfin-1 and Sd, because (1) expression of multiple Notch pathway members was elevated when either Sd or Nerfin-1 function was perturbed; (2) Notch activity was required for dedifferentiation caused by Sd or Nerfin-1 deregulation; and (3) expression of a hyperactive Notch transgene was sufficient to induce dedifferentiation of medulla neurons. Recently, we and others identified a requirement of Nerfin-1 in maintaining the differentiated status of neurons in the ventral nerve cord, central brain, and medulla lineages (Froldi et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2017). The latter study also demonstrated a requirement for Notch hyperactivity in mediating medulla neuron dedifferentiation following nerfin-1 loss. Here, we extend these studies by showing that Nerfin-1 regulates neuronal maintenance in partnership with Sd. Furthermore, our study demonstrates that these transcription factors promote neuronal fate by regulating the expression of multiple Notch pathway genes.

**Neuronal Maintenance in the CNS Is Regulated by Region-Specific Mechanisms**

Our data further demonstrate that neuronal cell fate is maintained by distinct factors in different regions of the CNS. In contrast to Nerfin-1, which is required to maintain neuronal differentiation in several neuroblast lineages, Sd is specifically required to maintain the fate of medulla neurons but not neurons derived from ventral nerve cord or central brain neuroblast lineages. The CNS region-specific function of Sd, versus the general requirement for Nerfin-1, is reminiscent of that described for Lola and Prospero, where Lola is required to maintain medulla neuronal fate, but acts redundantly with Prospero in other regions of the CNS (Carney et al., 2013; Southall et al., 2014). Future studies will elucidate the cooperative transcriptional networks that govern neuronal fate maintenance in different regions of the CNS.

**Scalloped Controls Medulla Neuron Fate Independent of the Hippo Pathway**

Sd function has been best studied in the context of Hippo pathway-dependent tissue growth, where it serves as the key transcription factor of the Yki transcriptional co-activator (Wu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008a; Goulev et al., 2008). Sd has also been linked to regulation of transcription with other proteins, such as Vestigial (Williams et al., 1993; Haider et al., 1998; Simmons et al., 1998), Tgi (Guo et al., 2013; Koontz et al., 2013), and in this study, Nerfin-1. Interestingly, the Hippo pathway was among the top signaling pathways identified in KEGG analyses on putative Sd/Nerfin-1 target genes. However, Sd promotes medulla neuronal fate independent of Hippo and Yki, as Yki is not obviously expressed in medulla neurons and cannot induce dedifferentiation. Interestingly, this contrasts with the reported role of Hippo, Yki, and Sd in other neurons. For example, these proteins operate together to control the fate of R8 photoreceptor neurons of the D. melanogaster eye. In one class of these light-sensing neurons, Yki and Sd are required to adopt a fate that allows the sensing of blue light, whereas in the other subtype, which senses green light, the Hippo pathway represses Yki and Sd activity (Jukam et al., 2013).

**Scalloped and Nerfin-1 Are An Evolutionarily Conserved Transcription Factor Pair**

Orthologs of Sd and Nerfin-1 have been functionally linked in both C. elegans and vertebrates. In C. elegans, EGL-44 and EGL-46 form a physical complex and are both required to specify neuronal cell fate and Q neuroblast differentiation (Feng et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2001). Here, by characterizing both the biochemical interaction of Sd and Nerfin-1 and their role in maintenance of neuronal fate, we show that they cooperate to perform similar functions in flies and worms. The vertebrate orthologs of Sd and Nerfin-1 (TEAD-1 and INSM1) have also been implicated in various aspects of neural and neuroendocrine development. Murine INSM1 is required for the development of endocrine and neuroendocrine cells of the pancreas, intestine, pituitary, and lung (Feng et al., 2013; Gierl et al., 2006; Jia et al., 2015a, 2015b, Ospovich et al., 2014; Welcker et al., 2013), while in the CNS, it is required for differentiation of neural progenitor cells (Monaghan et al., 2017). Furthermore, zebrafish insm1a has been implicated in dedifferentiation in the context of Müller glia regeneration (Ramachandran et al., 2012). Similarly, expression of a TEAD gain-of-function allele caused a marked expansion of the neural progenitor pool in the developing chick neural tube (Cao et al., 2008). Interestingly, preliminary studies suggest that the TEAD/INSM1 pair might also operate together in vertebrates; a motif corresponding to the TEAD binding site was enriched in INSM1 target genes, as determined by INSM1 ChIP-seq performed in murine pancreatic beta cells (Jia et al., 2015a). Our studies of Sd and Nerfin-1 in the Drosophila CNS, coupled with the finding that human TEAD1 and INSM1 form a physical complex, further strengthen the idea that these proteins represent an evolutionarily conserved transcription factor pair.
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### STAR+METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Mira</td>
<td>Maurange et al., 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken anti-GFP</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab13970; RRID: AB_300798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-Elav</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>Cat# 7E8A10; RRID: AB_528218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig anti-Nerfin-1</td>
<td>Kuzin et al., 2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-Sd</td>
<td>Guss et al., 2013</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Notchextra</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>Cat# C458.2H; RRID: AB_528408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig anti-Dpn</td>
<td>J. Skeath</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-Dpn</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab195172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Numb</td>
<td>Knoblich et al., 1997</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-pH3</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab10543; RRID: AB_2295065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig anti-Ase</td>
<td>Jarman et al., 1993</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Ttk</td>
<td>Murawsky et al., 2001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-Geminin</td>
<td>Quinn et al., 2001</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Robo3</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>Cat# 14C9.2H; RRID: AB_528454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Yki</td>
<td>Oh and Irvine 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-chicken 488</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A11039; RRID: AB_142924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse 555</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A31570; RRID: AB_2536180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-mouse 568</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A11019; RRID: AB_143162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-guinea pig 568</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A11075; RRID: AB_2534119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rat 568</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-11077; RRID: AB_2534121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit 633</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A21070; RRID: AB_2535731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-guinea pig 647</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A21450; RRID: AB_141882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-mouse 647</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21235; RRID: AB_2535804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rat 647</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21247; RRID: AB_141778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-SBP epitope tag</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat# sc-101595; RRID: AB_1128239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-HA epitope tag</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# H6908; RRID: AB_260070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Myc epitope tag</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotechnology</td>
<td>Cat# sc-40; RRID: AB_627268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Tubulin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# T5168; RRID: AB_477579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-GFP</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab290; RRID: AB_303395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxidase-conjugated AffiniPure Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 115-035-003; RRID: AB_10015289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxidase-conjugated AffiniPure Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 111-035-003; RRID: AB_2313567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat ANTI-Guinea pig IgG peroxidase conjugate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# A7289; RRID: AB_2583337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 633 Phalloidin</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A22284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin Plus UltraLink Resin</td>
<td>Pierce</td>
<td>Cat# 53116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA EZview beads</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# E6779; RRID: AB_10109562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-Trap beads</td>
<td>ChromoTek</td>
<td>Cat# gta-20; RRID: AB_2631357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 3115887001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNaseA</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>Cat# 19101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase Lock Gel light</td>
<td>5prime</td>
<td>Cat# 2302800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DpnI</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>Cat# R0176L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DpnII</td>
<td>NEB</td>
<td>Cat# R0543L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 DNA ligase</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# 10799009001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantage I cDNA polymerase mix</td>
<td>Clontech</td>
<td>Cat# 639105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Click-it EdU Alexa Fluor 555 Imaging Kit</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# C10338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nextera DNA Library Prep Kit</td>
<td>Illumina</td>
<td>Cat# FC-121-1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MinElute PCR Purification Kit</td>
<td>QiAGEN</td>
<td>Cat# 28004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAPA Taq EXtra HotStart ReadyMix</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# KK3604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DamID</td>
<td>GSE120731</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATAC-seq</td>
<td>GSE120731</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA-seq</td>
<td>GSE120731</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human: 293T cells</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat# CRL-3216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster: Stable cell line S2 expressing Scalloped-SBP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster: Stable cell line S2 expressing Yorkie[S168A]-SBP</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. melanogaster: Stable cell line S2 carrying empty pMK33-SBP-C vector</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w, tub-Gal4, UAS-nlsGFP::6xmyc::NLS, hs-flp; FRT80B, tubP-Gal80/TM6B</td>
<td>Maurange et al., 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w, tub-Gal4, UAS-nlsGFP::6xmyc::NLS, hs-flp; FRT2A, tubP-Gal80LL9/TM6B</td>
<td>Maurange et al., 2008</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dif(3L),nerfin-1[1.59]/TM6B</td>
<td>Kuzin et al., 2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-Sd-GA/TM6B</td>
<td>Zhang et al., 2008a</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; FRT80B</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yw, hs-flp;; act5 &gt; CD2 &gt; Gal4, UAS-GFP/TM6B</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yw, hs-flp;; act5 &gt; CD2 &gt; Gal4, UAS-RFP/CyO; UAS-Dcr2/TM6B</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hasflp, act &gt; CD2 &gt; Gal4; UAS-Dcr2, UAS-GFP</td>
<td>(Xu et al., 2017)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w1118</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL3605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seCA07575 (Sd-GFP)</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL50827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nerfin-1.GFP-NLS.SV-40 (Nerfin-1-GFP)</td>
<td>Kuzin et al., 2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-sd RNAi</td>
<td>VDRC</td>
<td>KK 101497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-nerfin-1 RNAi</td>
<td>VDRC</td>
<td>KK 101631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-sd</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-yki[S168A]</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL28836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-yki[S168A]</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL28817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-NAcT</td>
<td>Kidd et al., 1998</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-DI RNAi</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL36784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAS-lacZ RNAi</td>
<td>VDRC</td>
<td>GD 51446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; GMR31H08-Gal4</td>
<td>Bloomington Stock Centre</td>
<td>BL49693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; tubGal80[T]: GMR31H08-Gal4, UAS-GFP/TM6B</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; plpUAST-LT3-Dam-Sd[ attP2/TM6B</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; plpUAST-LT3-Dam-Nerfin-1[ attP2/ TM6B</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w; plpUAST-LT3-Dam] attP2</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP_Yki_fwd: ACTGGGCTCGAGCAAAATGTGCACGCTG</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP_Yki_rev: ACTAGTGATCCTAATTATTTTATCTAATCAGCCACTG</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP_Sd_fwd: ACTGGGCTCGAGCAAAATGTGCACGCTG</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAP_Sd_rev: ACTAGTGATCCTAATTATTTTATCTAATCAGCCACTG</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sd Dam fwd: GCC GCC GCT TAT GAA AAA CAT CAC CAG CTC G</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sd Dam rev: TCTAGACTATTTCCATTTAAGAGCGGTATATGATG</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Kieran Harvey (kieran.harvey@petermac.org).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Drosophila melanogaster stocks were maintained on standard medium at room temperature (22°C) and experimental crosses were carried out at 18°C, 25°C, or 29°C.

Fly strains

w, tub-Gal4, UAS-nlsGFP::6xmyc::NLS, hs-flp; FRT80B, tubP-Gal80/TM6B
w, tub-Gal4, UAS-nlsGFP::6xmyc::NLS, hs-flp; FRT2A, tubP-Gal80LL9/TM6B
Df(3L)nerfin-1159/TM6B (Kuzin et al., 2005)
P[nerfin-1.GFP-NLS.SV-40] iA (Nerfin-1-GFP, Kuzin et al., 2005).
UAS-Sd-GA/TM6B (Zhang et al., 2008a)
w1118
sdCA07575 (Sd-GFP, #50827 Bloomington Stock Centre)
w; UAS-nerfin-1; UAS-nerfin-1 (A. Kuzin)
UAS-sd RNAi (#KK 101497, VDRC)
UAS-nerfin-1 RNAi (#KK 101631, VDRC)
UAS-sd

REAGENT or RESOURCE SOURCE IDENTIFIER
Nerfin_NotI_fwd: gaa g cgg ccg ctt atgg cccagataca gacac This study N/A
Nerfin_Xbal_rev: CGC TCTAGA CTAGTGCCCCATGTTG This study N/A
AdRt: CTAATACGACTCATATAGGCCAGCG TGCTCGCGGCC GAGGA Vogel et al., 2007 N/A
AdRb: TCCTCGGGCC Vogel et al., 2007 N/A
AdR_PCR: GTGTCGGCGGCGAAGAT AC Vogel et al., 2007 N/A

Recombinant DNA
pMK33-SBP-C Yang and Veraksa, 2017 N/A
pMK33-SBP-C Yki S168A This study N/A
pMK33-SBP-C Sd This study N/A
pUASt-Nerfin-FLAG-HA Drosophila Genomics Resource Centre UFO1408
pRK5-MycTEAD1 Zhao et al., 2008 N/A
cmpHA-INSM Liu et al., 2006 N/A
pUASt-HA-Sd Jin Jiang N/A
pUASt-LT3-Dam Southall et al., 2013 N/A
pUASt-LT3-Dam Nerfin-1 This study N/A
pUASt-LT3-Dam Sd This study N/A

Software and Algorithms
GraphPad Prism GraphPad Software https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/; RRID: SCR_002798
Volocity Improvision RRID: SCR_002668
Imaris Bitplane http://www.bitplane.com/; RRID: SCR_007370
UAS-ykiS168A (#28836 Bloomington Stock Centre)
UAS-ykiRSA (#28817 Bloomington Stock Centre)
UAS-N^{AC} (Kidd et al., 1999)
UAS-Dl RNAi (#36784, Bloomington Stock Centre)
UAS-lacZ RNAi (#GD5144, VDRC)
w; GMR31H08-Gal4 (#49689 Bloomington Stock Centre)
w; tubGal80^{TS}; GMR31H08-Gal4, UAS-GFP/TM6B

The following transgenic lines for targeted DamID were generated by the Centre for Cellular and Molecular Platforms, National Centre for Biological Sciences, Bangalore, India:

w;; p[pUAST-LT3-Dam-Sd] attP2/TM6B
w;; p[pUAST-LT3-Dam-Nerfin-1] attP2/ TM6B
w;; p[pUAST-LT3-Dam] attP2

Clone induction
All MARCM clones were generated by heat-shocking larvae 48 hr after egg laying (AEL) at 37°C for 20’ and dissected 72 hr later, unless otherwise stated. Larvae were transferred and reared at 29°C after heat shock. For the time course analyses in Figures 4 and S3, clones were induced at 96 hr AEL and dissected 16 hr or 24 hr later, or were induced at 72 hr AEL and dissected 48 hr later. Flp-out clones were induced by heat-shocking larvae at 48 hr AEL for 15’, and were dissected 72 hr later. Sd-GA-expressing flp-out clones were induced at 72 hr AEL to avoid the formation of large neuroepithelial clones.

METHOD DETAILS

Immunostaining and imaging
Larval tissues were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 20’ and immunostained according to standard protocols. Primary antibodies used were: mouse anti-Mira (1:50; Maurange et al., 2008), chick anti-GFP (1:2000; ab13970, Abcam), rat anti-Elav (1:100; 7E8A10, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), guinea pig anti-Nerfin-1 (1:5000; A. Kuzin), rat anti-Sd (1:10; Guss et al., 2013), mouse anti-Notch^{extra} (1:50; C458.2H, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), guinea pig anti-Dpn (1:1000; James Skeath), rat anti-Dpn (1:100; ab195172, Abcam), rabbit anti-Numb (1:1000; Knoblich et al., 1997), rat anti-pH3 (1:800, ab10543, Abcam), guinea pig anti-Ase (1:2000; Jarman et al., 1993), rabbit anti-Ttk (1:100; Murawsky et al., 2001), rat anti-Geminin (1:100; Quinn et al., 2001), mouse anti-Robo3 (1:50, 14C9, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) and rabbit anti-Yki (1:100; Oh and Irvine, 2008). Secondary goat or donkey antibodies conjugated to Alexa488, Alexa555, Alexa568 and Alexa633 (Molecular Probes, ThermoFisher Scientific) were used at 1:500 and Alexa Fluor 633 Phalloidin (A22284, ThermoFisher Scientific) at 1:200. Images were collected on a Leica SP5 or on a Zeiss Elyra confocal microscope, and all images shown are single sections.

EdU pulse/chase
Control, nerfin-1^{T300} or UAS-Sd-GA MARCM clones were induced 48 hr AEL. 48 hr after clone induction, larvae were fed with 100 μg/mL EdU for 4 hr. They were then transferred to standard medium for a 24 hr EdU-free chase. Larvae were dissected and processed for antibody staining, and incorporated EdU was detected by Click-iT fluorescent dye azide reaction according to manufacturer instructions.

Clone volume measurements
GFP+ and Mira+ volume of medulla clones originating from either one or two neuroblasts were measured using fluorescence intensity from three-dimensional reconstructions of 1.5-μm spaced confocal Z stacks with Volocity software (Improvision) or Imaris (Bitplane). The rate of dedifferentiation was represented as the volume of Mira+ cells compared to the total GFP+ volume of a given clone. In all graphs, error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM) and p values are calculated by performing two-tailed, unpaired Student’s t test. The Welch’s correction was applied in case of unequal variances. A non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney test) was used when data showed significant deviation from a normal distribution.

Plasmids
The constructs encoding Sd and YkiS168A fused to Streptavidin Binding Protein (SBP) tag were cloned by PCR amplification using

5’-ACTGCTCGAGCAAAATGTGGCCTGTC-3’ and
5’-ACTATGTGATCCTAATTTTATACTTCAAATCG-3’ (Yki)
5’- ACTGCTCGAGGAAAATCGGATCACCAGGC-3’
5’- ACTAGTGGATCCCTTATTTAATAGACGGGTATATG-3’ (Sd)
X. Zhao, Spel digested Yki PCR product was ligated in Xhol, Spel digested pMK33-SBP-C and Spel digested Sd PCR product was ligated in EcoRV, Spel digested pMK33-SBP-C (Yang and Veraksa, 2017). The pUASt-Nerfin-FLAG-HA UFO construct was from the Drosophila Genomics Resource Centre. pRK5-MycTEAD1 (Zhao et al., 2008) was from Addgene. pcDNA HA-INSM (Liu et al., 2006) was from Michael Lan. The Targeted DamID constructs encoding Sd and Nerfin-1 fused to Dam methylase were cloned by PCR amplification using

5’- GCG GCC GCT TAT GAA AAA CAT CAC CAG CTC G – 3’ and
5’-TCTAGACTATCCCTAATAGACGTTATATGATG-3’ (Sd), using pUASt-HA-Sd (kind gift from Jin Jiang) as a template and;
5’- gaa g cgq cgt ctt atg gc acag tcag ‘ – 3’ and
5’- CGC TCTAGA CTA GTGGCCATGTCGTTG – 3’ (Nerfin-1), using pUASt-Nerfin-FLAG-HA as a template. NotI, Xbal digested PCR products were ligated in NotI, Xbal digested pUASt-LT3-Dam (Andrea Brand). All newly cloned constructs were verified by sequencing.

Cell culture and transfection
S2 cells were maintained in Schneider’s medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. For stable transfection, 3 million cells were seeded and transfected with 1ug pMK33-based plasmid DNA. S2 cells were maintained in Schneider’s medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cells were selected for 10 days following transfection with puromycin (GIBCO) at 1μg/ml.
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Western blot
NuPage 4%–12% BisTris SDS-PAGE gels were purchased from Life Technologies and run at 200V in 1x MOPS buffer supplemented with antioxidant. Proteins were transferred to methanol-activated Immobilon membrane (IPVH00010, Millipore) in transfer buffer (50mM Tris, 192mM Glycine, 20% ethanol) in ice 1.5 hr at 110V. Membranes were blocked in 5% (w/v) powder milk dissolved in Tris buffered Saline with 0.1% Tween (TBS/T) at least 30' at RT. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies o/n at 4°C in blocking buffer, washed 3x 10' in TBS/T, incubated with HRP-coupled secondary antibodies 45' RT, and washed 3x 10' in TBS/T. Membranes were incubated with ECL Prime (RPN2232, GE Healthcare) and western blot data were detected using a BioRad Chemidoc system. Primary antibodies were specific to SBP epitope tag (mouse sc-101595, Santa Cruz), HA epitope tag (Rabbit H6908, Sigma), Myc epitope tag (Mouse 9E10, sc-40 Santa Cruz) and Tubulin (mouse, T5168, Sigma).

Targeted DamID
D. melanogaster crosses and staging
Crosses producing larvae with the following genotypes were allowed to lay eggs o/n at 25°C:

w;+/ tub-Gal80ts / UAS-LT3-Dam/ GMR31H08-Gal4
w;+/ tub-Gal80ts / UAS-LT3-Dam-Sd/ GMR31H08-Gal4
w;+/ tub-Gal80ts / UAS-LT3-Dam-Nerfin-1/ GMR31H08-Gal4
Eggs were transferred to the non-permissive temperature (18°C) for 4 days. To restrict expression of the TaDa transgenes to a defined period, larvae were transferred to the permissive temperature (29°C) 24 h before dissection. CNSs from wandering third instar larvae were dissected, their ventral nerve cord removed and collected in PBS on ice. CNSs were transferred to 100 μl ice-cold TEN buffer containing 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl, 0.2% SDS and stored at −20°C until further processing. Per experiment (n = 2), approximately 300 CNSs were dissected for each genotype.

DNA Isolation
DNA isolation was based on Tolhuis et al. (2012). 2 μL Proteinase K (20 mg/ml) solution was added followed by overnight incubation at 56°C. Next, RNA was digested 30 mins at 37°C using 0.5 μL RNase A (100 mg/ml). DNA was extracted by addition of 100 μL Tris pH8.0 saturated phenol:chloroform:iso amylalcohol (25:24:1 - Sigma), gentle mixing, and separation using phase lock gel light (Sprime, cat. # 2302800), according to manufacturer’s instructions. The upper phase was transferred to fresh tubes and precipitated by addition of 1 μl glycogen (20 mg/ml), 10 μl 3 M NaAc (pH 5.2), 300 μl EtOH, storage at −80°C for 30’ and centrifugation (30mins 14000 rpm 4°C). DNA pellets were washed with 500 μl 70% EtOH, air-dried briefly and dissolved in 10-25ul Tris pH8. The DNA was not resuspended by pipetting, to prevent DNA shearing. DNA concentration was measured by nano-drop and adjusted to 50ng/μl. Samples were stored at −20°C until further processing.

DamID
Our DamID protocol was based on Marshall et al. (2016), Southall et al. (2013), and Vogel et al. (2007). Briefly, 425ng DNA was digested overnight in 10ul reactions containing 1ul 10x CutSmart buffer (NEB) and 0.5 μL DpnI and ligase, the mePCR product was purified using QIAGEN PCR purification kit. Libraries for next generation sequencing DNA isolation was based on Tolhuis et al. (2012). 2 μL Proteinase K (20 mg/ml) solution was added followed by overnight incubation at 56°C. Next, RNA was digested 30 mins at 37°C using 0.5 μL RNase A (100 mg/ml). DNA was extracted by addition of 100 μL Tris pH8.0 saturated phenol:chloroform:iso amylalcohol (25:24:1 - Sigma), gentle mixing, and separation using phase lock gel light (Sprime, cat. # 2302800), according to manufacturer’s instructions. The upper phase was transferred to fresh tubes and precipitated by addition of 1 μl glycogen (20 mg/ml), 10 μl 3 M NaAc (pH 5.2), 300 μl EtOH, storage at −80°C for 30’ and centrifugation (30mins 14000 rpm 4°C). DNA pellets were washed with 500 μl 70% EtOH, air-dried briefly and dissolved in 10-25ul Tris pH8. The DNA was not resuspended by pipetting, to prevent DNA shearing. DNA concentration was measured by nano-drop and adjusted to 50ng/μl. Samples were stored at −20°C until further processing.

3 μL mePCR product was loaded on agarose gel. If a smear was detected in the experimental sample, but not in the controls lacking DpnII and ligase, the mePCR product was purified using QIAGEN PCR purification kit. Libraries for next generation sequencing were prepared as in Pindyurin et al. (2016) with some modifications. Briefly, 3 μg of purified mePCR product was sonicated using a Covaris S2 device to generate fragments of 100-500bp, with an average of 300bp. DNA was purified using Agencourt AMPure beads purification (Beckman Coulter, cat. no. A63881). The material was measured using a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA 1000 chip. Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared using KAPA Hyper prep kit (Kapa Biosystems) and 300ng starting material. Five to seven cycles of PCR were performed. Libraries were quantified on a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA 1000 chip.

Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq)
ATAC-seq was performed in biological triplicate. Approximately 500,000 Nerfin-1-GFP+ neurons were isolated from larval L3 stage CNS lobes according to Berger et al. (2012) and Harzer et al. (2013). Briefly, optic lobes were dissected in PBS and dissociated using papain and collagenase I. Neurons were sorted using by flow cytometry. Cells were washed with ice cold PBS and lysed in 500ul lysis buffer containing 10mM Tris-HCl pH7.4, 10mM NaCl, 3mM MgCl2 and 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630. Nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation 2 hr. For the mePCR, 10 μL 10x cDNA PCR Buffer (Clontech; 639105 Scientifix), 2.5 μL Primer AdR (50uM), 8 μL dNTPs (2.5mM each), 2 μL PCR Advantage enzyme (Clontech) and 52.5 μL H2O was added to 25 μL DpnII digested DNA. The PCR program was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>10min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>30s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C</td>
<td>5min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>15min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>30s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C</td>
<td>1min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>10min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>30s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C</td>
<td>1min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>2min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94°C</td>
<td>2min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65°C</td>
<td>10min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>5min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 μL mePCR product was loaded on agarose gel. If a smear was detected in the experimental sample, but not in the controls lacking DpnII and ligase, the mePCR product was purified using QIAGEN PCR purification kit. Libraries for next generation sequencing were prepared as in Pindyurin et al. (2016) with some modifications. Briefly, 3 μg of purified mePCR product was sonicated using a Covaris S2 device to generate fragments of 100-500bp, with an average of 300bp. DNA was purified using Agencourt AMPure beads purification (Beckman Coulter, cat. no. A63881). The material was measured using a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA 1000 chip. Illumina sequencing libraries were prepared using KAPA Hyper prep kit (Kapa Biosystems) and 300ng starting material. Five to seven cycles of PCR were performed. Libraries were quantified on a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA 1000 chip.

Amplified libraries were purified using Minelute column (QIAGEN), eluted in 50 μL and quantified by Qubit/TapeStation. Size distribution and yield of amplified DNA was checked using the TapeStation 2200 (Agilent Technologies). Fragments between 200-700bp were size-selected using a Pippin Prep instrument (1.5% agarose cassette, Sage Science). Libraries were then pooled and sequenced on a NextSeq500 (illumina). 36-50 million paired-end 75bp reads were generated per sample.
RNA-sequencing
Nerfin-1-GFP+ neurons were isolated from larval L3 stage CNS lobes as described under ATAC-seq, pelleted and resuspended in Trizol. RNA was isolated according to manufacturer’s protocol. Samples from sorts executed on different days were pooled into three different samples containing 180-330ng RNA. Libraries prepared according to standard protocols (TruSeq RNA, Illumina).

Bioinformatics

DamID–seq analysis
The DamID samples and controls were sequenced on Illumina instruments (HiSeq and NextSeq) in several batches with different protocols. For each sample, two biological replicates were generated (20-60M reads per replicate). Alignment to the reference (dm6) was performed with Subread Subread (v1.5.1) (Liao et al., 2013) and assigning to GATC targets (hereafter referred to as tags) with FeatureCounts (v1.5.1) (Liao et al., 2014). EdgeR (v3.14.0) (McCarthy et al., 2012; Robinson et al., 2010) was used to establish differentially methylated tags comparing Dam-only controls to Nerfin-1-Dam, and Sd-Dam samples. EdgeR was chosen over existing tools (such as Marshall and Brand, 2015) for its ability to correct for batch effects and integrate biological replicates into the analysis. We used TMM normalization on the data. The analysis resulted in 46k significantly methylated tags for Sd and 33k for Nerfin-1, after multiple hypothesis testing correction. Methylated tags were aggregated into peaks, which correspond to protein-DNA binding events. Target genes were established by selecting the closest transcription start site to each peak. A more detailed description of our DamID analysis method will be published elsewhere. To identify DNA motifs enriched in the genomic regions bound by Scalloped and Nerfin-1, we performed Homer (findMotifsGenome, version 4.10.3) analysis on our DamID datasets. Homer was run on the peak regions identified as DamID targets, specifying the reference genome (dm6) as background.

ATAC-seq analysis
Three replicates of ATAC sequencing data were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq. The samples had around 48, 67, and 66 million reads and aligned to the reference with Bowtie2 (c.2.2.9) (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) at 100% mapping rate. Macs (v2.1.0) (Zhang et al., 2008b) was used to analyze each of the samples for peaks, indicating open regions of chromatin. The individual results of 40k, 40k, and 42k peaks were intersected to a consensus set of 35k peaks.

RNA-seq analysis
The RNA sequencing samples were comprised of three replicates produced on Illumina NextSeq (SE, 52-68 million reads/sample). Using Subread, a mapping rate of 92% was detected (counting multi-mapping reads as unmapped). FeatureCounts detected on-target rates of 86%–88%. Gene counts were analyzed with EdgeR; genes that did not reach a minimum of 2 CPM between the replicates were discarded from further analysis. After normalization and dispersion estimation, expressed genes were defined as meeting a conservative threshold of at least 10 replicated RPKM.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 7. Details of statistical tests performed can be found in figure legends and in Methods Details of STAR Methods. Data was reported as mean ± SEM. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Data and Software Availability
The accession number for the DamID, RNA-seq, and ATAC-seq data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE120731.
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