Chronic traumatic encephalopathy neuropathology might not be inexorably progressive or unique to repetitive neurotrauma
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In the 20th century, chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) was conceptualized as a neurological disorder affecting some active and retired boxers who had tremendous exposure to neurotrauma. In recent years, the two research groups in the USA who have led the field have asserted definitively that CTE is a delayed-onset and progressive neurodegenerative disease, with symptoms appearing in midlife or decades after exposure. Between 2005 and 2012 autopsy cases of former boxers and American football players described neuropathology attributed to CTE that was broad and diverse. This pathology, resulting from multiple causes, was aggregated and referred to, in toto, as the pathology ‘characteristic’ of CTE. Preliminary consensus criteria for defining the neuropathology of CTE were forged in 2015 and published in 2016. Most of the macroscopic and microscopic neuropathological findings described as characteristic of CTE, in studies published before 2016, were not included in the new criteria for defining the pathology. In the past few years, there has been steadily emerging evidence that the neuropathology described as unique to CTE may not be unique. CTE pathology has been described in individuals with no known participation in collision or contact sports and no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. This pathology has been reported in individuals with substance abuse, temporal lobe epilepsy, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, multiple system atrophy, and other neurodegenerative diseases. Moreover, throughout history, some clinical cases have been described as not being progressive, and there is now evidence that CTE neuropathology might not be progressive in some individuals. Considering the current state of knowledge, including the absence of a series of validated sensitive and specific biomarkers, CTE pathology might not be inexorably progressive or specific to those who have experienced repetitive neurotrauma.
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Introduction

In the 20th century, chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) was conceptualized as a neurological disorder affecting some active and retired boxers who had tremendous exposure to neurotrauma (Martland, 1928; Roberts, 1969). CTE was known by a number of terms, such as ‘punch drunk’ (Martland, 1928; Critchley, 1949), traumatic encephalopathy (Parker, 1934), traumatic encephalopathy of boxers (Grahmann and Ule, 1957), chronic progressive traumatic encephalopathy (Critchley, 1957), and dementia pugilistica (Millspaugh, 1937; Grahmann and Ule, 1957). An early clinical description by Martland (1928) of the neurological problems in some boxers included dysarthria, gait disturbance, tremor, and cognitive impairment. He reported that some cases remain mild and do not progress, but other cases progress to advanced parkinsonism and dementia. The neurological problems were often described as ‘pyramidal’ (e.g. abnormal reflexes) and ‘extrapyramidal’ (tremors and Parkinsonian gait disturbance), and this chronic brain damage was often first noticed in some active boxers who were in their twenties and thirties (Parker, 1934; Critchley, 1957; Sercl and Jaros, 1962; Mawdsley and Ferguson, 1963; Johnson, 1969; Roberts, 1969; Jedlinski et al., 1970; Corsellis et al., 1973; Harvey and Davis, 1974).

Researchers reported that some boxers with chronic brain damage exhibited diverse neuropsychiatric problems that co-occurred with the more characteristic neurological features (Mawdsley and Ferguson, 1963; Payne, 1968; Johnson, 1969; Roberts, 1969), such as euphoria (Critchley, 1949, 1957), a child-like demeanour (Corsellis et al., 1973) or ‘futuous cheerfulness’ (Critchley, 1957), and aggression, volatility, and anger dyscontrol (Critchley, 1957; Mawdsley and Ferguson, 1963; Payne, 1968; Johnson, 1969; Corsellis et al., 1973; Harvey and Davis, 1974).

It has never been clear to the medical and scientific community the extent to which CTE in boxers was static, progressive, or whether its course represented two or more different conditions (Martland, 1928; Parker, 1934; Carrol, 1936; Critchley, 1949; Grahmann and Ule, 1957; Courville, 1962; Johnson, 1969; Roberts, 1969; Mendez, 1995; Victoroff, 2013). When progressive, at least in a subgroup, it was not clear the extent to which the progression was driven by specific neuropathology associated with CTE, other co-occurring neuropsychiatric, medical, and/or neurodegenerative conditions.

Prior to 2016, there were no agreed upon clinical or neuropathological diagnostic criteria for CTE. Preliminary criteria for defining the post-mortem neuropathology of CTE were published in 2016 (McKee et al., 2016). CTE, as a clinical disorder or disease, has never been included in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders or the International Classification of Diseases—and as of 2019, there are still no agreed upon criteria for diagnosing CTE in a living person, although five overlapping sets of criteria have been proposed (Jordan, 2013; Victoroff, 2013; Montenigro et al., 2014; Reams et al., 2016; Lafey et al., 2018). The prevalence of CTE is unknown, and resistance and resilience factors relating to the neuropathology and the presumed clinical disease have not been identified.

In recent years, the two research groups in the USA who have led the field have stated definitively that CTE is a delayed-onset and progressive neurodegenerative disease, with symptoms appearing ‘in midlife’ (Gavett et al., 2011b; Stern et al., 2011b) or decades after exposure (McKee et al., 2009; Omalu et al., 2010a, 2011; Gavett et al., 2011b; Stern et al., 2011b, 2013; Baugh et al., 2012, 2013; Montenigro et al., 2014; Omalu, 2014). The modern putative theory is that CTE is a distinct (McKee et al., 2009; Gavett et al., 2011b; Baugh et al., 2012, 2014; Mez et al., 2013) and unique (Baugh et al., 2014) neurodegenerative disease (Gavett et al., 2011a; Baugh et al., 2012, 2014; Mez et al., 2013; McKee et al., 2014). It has also been asserted that CTE pathology is only found in individuals (i.e. mostly boxers, football players, and contact sport athletes) who have been exposed to repetitive subclinical to clinical neurotrauma (Stern et al., 2011a, 2013; Baugh et al., 2012, 2014; Mez et al., 2013; McKee et al., 2014).
However, in the past few years CTE pathology has been identified in individuals with (i) substance abuse (Noy et al., 2016); (ii) temporal lobe epilepsy (Puvvuna et al., 2016); (iii) schizophrenia who have undergone leukotomy (Shively et al., 2017); (iv) amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Fournier et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2017); (v) multiple system atrophy (Koga et al., 2016); and (vi) other neurodegenerative diseases (Ling et al., 2015) who have no known participation in collision or contact sports and no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. It is one thesis of this review that the neuropathology of the modern descriptions of CTE may not be inexorably progressive. A second thesis is that CTE neuropathology might not be unique to those who have experienced repetitive neurotrauma.

**Macroscopic and microscopic pathology of CTE**

The seminal neuropathological criteria associated with dementia pugilistica were described in 1973. Corsellis and colleagues (1973) examined the brains of 15 boxers and described both the gross and microscopic neuropathology that was common, although not invariable, to these cases (e.g. neurofibrillary degeneration, neuronal loss, ‘scarring’ of the cerebellar tonsils, and fenestrated cavum septum pelucidum). They noted, like previous authors (Graham and Ule, 1957; Constantinidis and Tissot, 1967), that extensive neurofibrillary tangles were present in boxers but senile plaques (i.e. amyloid plaques characteristic of Alzheimer’s disease) were sparse to absent. Corsellis and colleagues used Bielschowsky silver impregnation to detect neurofibrillary degeneration, a methodology that does not detect many of the subtle hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) lesions, such as astrocytic p-tau or pretangles, described in the modern version of CTE in this century using immunohistochemistry (McKee et al., 2016). A recent study re-examined the original Corsellis case series using modern immunohistochemistry and applying the new consensus criteria for defining the tau pathology of CTE, described below, and found that only 50% of the cases met neuropathological criteria for CTE (Goldfinger et al., 2018).

In 1990, Roberts re-examined 14 of 15 cases from the Corsellis series, using a modern immunohistochemistry staining technique that was more sensitive and more consistent than the previously used silver staining, and reported that extensive amyloid-β plaques, of a comparable extent to that seen in Alzheimer’s disease, were common in these reported cases of dementia pugilistica (Roberts et al., 1990a). This was an important finding because prior to 1990, it was assumed that ‘senile plaques’ (i.e. ‘neuritic’ plaques) were not present in dementia pugilistica (Graham and Ule, 1957; Constantinidis and Tissot, 1967). This rekindled theorizing about a link between boxing, Alzheimer’s disease neuropathological change, and progressive dementia (Roberts et al., 1990a; Tokuda et al., 1991). What is now considered a defining feature of CTE, p-tau in depths of cortical sulci (McKee et al., 2016), was identified by Hof et al. (1991) in a patient with autism who had a long history of head banging behaviour. Geddes et al. (1999) suggested that the distribution of the tau pathology associated with repetitive head injuries might relate to damage to blood vessels or perivascular elements, and blood–brain barrier disruption has more recently been reported in a case study (Doherty et al., 2016). Recent preclinical studies have examined blood–brain disruption association with experimental neurotrauma (Jullienne et al., 2016; Kenney et al., 2016) and identified associated p-tau immunolabelling (Goldstein et al., 2012; Meabon et al., 2016). More research is needed to determine the translational relevance of these experimental studies to human disease, and whether p-tau immunolabelling in experimental rodents is similar to structural p-tau accumulations in neurons or astrocytes in human brains.

In 2005, Omalu et al. published the first case description of the neuropathology of CTE in a retired National Football League (NFL) player. The pathology in this case report was depicted in a four-panel figure. Panel A depicted a collection of diffuse amyloid-β plaques, said to be frequent in the neocortex, although the extent of amyloid-β was not further characterized or depicted other than the single high magnification field. It is important to appreciate that the plaques depicted in this figure are not unique to CTE; they occur in adults in association with ageing (Braak and Braak, 1991; Morris et al., 1996) and in individuals with other neurodegenerative diseases (Nelson et al., 2012). Panels B and C show relatively small amounts of p-tau in neocortex (panel B) and in a neocortical neuron (panel C). Panel D shows no amyloid-β immunoreactivity in the hippocampal cornu ammonis (CA-1) region, an expected finding for a 50-year-old. It is difficult to discern neuronal loss in panel D, although the authors reported mild neuronal dropout in this region. The text of the paper also indicated, although did not depict, the impression of mild to moderate neuronal loss in the substantia nigra with extraneuronal pigment. This finding is sometimes present in association with ageing (Fearnley and Lees, 1991) and with other neurodegenerative diseases (Dickson, 2012). Overall, a notable feature of this index case is the relatively small amount of neuropathology depicted in the figures. This same research group published a second case in 2006 (Omalu et al., 2006) and two additional cases in 2010 (Omalu et al., 2010b, c), in which some degree of neocortical p-tau were depicted. They also visually depicted p-tau in the locus coeruleus, a non-specific finding common in ageing (Braak et al., 2011). In 2009, McKee and colleagues reviewed the world literature and identified 51 neuropathologically confirmed cases of CTE, of which 46 (90%) were athletes and 39 (76.5%) were boxers. Clinical and neuropathological characteristics were documented (McKee et al., 2009). The review included three cases from their research group—two boxers and one retired NFL player.
Four proposed ‘phenotypes’ of CTE neuropathology

Omalu and colleagues introduced four neuropathological ‘phenotypes’ of CTE in 2011 (Omalu et al., 2011). Phenotype 1 was described as ‘a combination of sparse to frequent neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and neuropil threads (NTs) in the cerebral cortex and brainstem, with or without NFTs and NTs in the subcortical nuclei/basal ganglia, no NFTs and NTs in the cerebellum, and no diffuse amyloid plaques in the cerebral cortex’ (Omalu et al., 2011, p.179). It is important to appreciate that sparse amounts of NFTs and NTs in brainstem and some parts of the neocortex are commonly associated with ageing (Braak and Braak, 1991), so phenotype 1 is likely common in the general population of aged individuals who have not had exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. Phenotype 2 was described as ‘a combination of sparse to frequent NFTs and NTs in the cerebral cortex and brainstem with or without NFTs and NTs in the subcortical nuclei/basal ganglia, no NFTs and NTs in the cerebellum, and sparse to frequent diffuse amyloid plaques in the cerebral cortex’ (Omalu et al., 2011, pp.178–79 of the article). Phenotype 2 thus adds the non-specific finding of ‘sparse to frequent diffuse plaques’ to the non-specific phenotype 1 pathology, expanding the scope and permissibility of the CTE pathological diagnosis. Again, the neuropathology described in phenotype 2 is likely common in the general aged population, because diffuse plaques are a feature of ageing and Alzheimer’s disease as noted above. Phenotype 3 was described as ‘a combination of moderate to frequent NFTs and NTs in brainstem nuclei (brainstem predominant), none to sparse NFTs and NTs in the cerebral cortex and subcortical nuclei/basal ganglia, no NFTs and NTs in the cerebellum, and no diffuse amyloid plaques in the cerebral cortex’ (Omalu et al., 2011, p.179). It is important to note that the brainstem is the earliest structure in which p-tau accumulates with normal ageing (Braak et al., 2011), and p-tau is present in larger amounts in the brainstem in a number of neurodegenerative diseases (Dickson et al., 2011).

Phenotype 4, as written, is perplexing. Phenotype 4 was described as ‘a combination of none to sparse (several) NFTs and NTs in the cerebral cortex, brainstem, and subcortical nuclei/basal ganglia (incipient); no NFTs and NTs in the cerebellum; and no diffuse amyloid plaques in the cerebral cortex’ (Omalu et al., 2011, p.179). As written, a brain with no pathology and no immunolabelling for p-tau or amyloid-β could qualify for phenotype 4—which of course cannot be true. What the authors appear to mean is that a brain with ‘several’ NFTs and NTs in the cerebral cortex, brainstem, and/or subcortical nuclei/basal ganglia could qualify as having CTE. We are not aware of any published studies to date that have examined the specificity of the four phenotypes. In short, the four phenotypes put forward by Omalu and colleagues are sensitive, broad, and encompassing; thus, many middle-aged and older adults in the general population, even with no history of neurotrauma, could meet the minimal criteria.

‘Characteristic’ neuropathology of CTE

In the past few years, the neuropathology described as ‘characteristic’ of CTE has included broad and diverse macroscopic and microscopic features (Table 1), such as (i) frontal and temporal atrophy, thinning of the hypothalamic floor, shrinkage of the mammillary bodies, pallor of the substantia nigra, hippocampal sclerosis, and reduction in brain mass; (ii) enlarged ventricles; (iii) cavum septum pellucidum with or without septal fenestrations; (iv) localized neuronal and glial accumulations of phosphorylated tau involving perivascular areas of the cerebral cortex and sulcal depths, and with a preference for neurons within superficial cortical laminae; (v) multifocal axonal varicosities involving deep cortex and subcortical white matter; (vi) variable and often absent amyloid-β deposits; and (vii) TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43)-positive inclusions and neurites (McKee et al., 2009; Gavett et al., 2011; Baugh et al., 2012).

Most of those features, however, are associated with ageing, other neurological diseases, or both, such as: enlarged ventricles (Jackson et al., 2011; Apostolova et al., 2012), frontal and temporal atrophy (Jack et al., 1997; Moran et al., 2001; Fjell et al., 2009; Nyberg et al., 2010), shrinkage of the mammillary bodies (Raz et al., 1992; Shear et al., 1996; Kumar et al., 2008), hippocampal sclerosis (Berkovic et al., 1991; Nelson et al., 2013; Nag et al., 2015), reduction in brain volume (Schall et al., 2003; Fjell et al., 2009), axonal loss (Medana and Esiri, 2003), neuronal loss (Morrison and Hof, 1997), amyloid-β accumulation (Fukumoto et al., 1996), and TDP-43 deposition (Nag et al., 2015). Moreover, subcortical and cortical accumulation of p-tau is associated with normal ageing (Giannakopoulos et al., 1995; Hof et al., 1996; Price and Morris, 1999; Braak et al., 2011), opiate abuse (Kovacs et al., 2015), and other neurological and neurodegenerative diseases (Rademakers et al., 2004; Lewis and Dickson, 2016). The unique and defining microscopic feature for CTE is p-tau aggregates in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small blood vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci (McKee et al., 2013, 2016).

Four proposed ‘stages’ of CTE neuropathology

In 2013, McKee and colleagues presented a large case series and hypothesized a diverse set of four neuropathological ‘stages’ of CTE (McKee et al., 2013). The stages are described in detail in the article, and summarized in the note below their figure 3, as follows:
Table 1 | Neuropathology described as ‘characteristic’ of CTE in the literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross pathological features</th>
<th>Microscopic neuropathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cavum septum pellucidum*</td>
<td>Amyloid-β deposition (variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral or third ventricle enlargement*</td>
<td>Multifocal axonal varicosities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal atrophy</td>
<td>Frontal and temporal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal atrophy</td>
<td>Subcortical white matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diencephalon atrophy</td>
<td>Deep white matter tracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal ganglia atrophy</td>
<td>Diffuse axonal loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem atrophy</td>
<td>Subcortical white matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar atrophy</td>
<td>White matter tracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thinning of the hypothalamic floor</td>
<td>Neuronal loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrinkage of the mamillary bodies*</td>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor of the substantia nigra</td>
<td>Entorhinal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal sclerosis</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced brain weight</td>
<td>Locus coeruleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substantia nigra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDP-43</td>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial temporal cortex*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hippocampus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amygdala*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insular cortices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basal ganglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypothalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brainstem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperphosphorylated tau</td>
<td>Perivascular in the neocortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depths of sulci*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Superficial layers of cerebral cortex*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supportive criteria and pathognomonic criterion for CTE based on the preliminary consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2016). Prior to 2015, diverse and widespread non-specific neuropathology was described as ‘characteristic’ of CTE in some articles. Most of the neuropathology described in past articles is also present in association with ageing and in other neurological, neuropsychiatric, and neurodegenerative disorders—and is not unique to CTE. As of 2015, a small number of these are now considered preliminary supportive criteria for the neuropathology of CTE, and a single finding, p-tau in depths of sulci (neuronal and astrocytic), particularly in a perivascular distribution, is considered the sole necessary and sufficient pathognomonic criterion of CTE (McKee et al., 2016).

The stages of CTE pathology are said to advance as a function of age, with rough correlations to clinical signs attempted (although not statistically verified). McKee and colleagues have suggested that stage I CTE might be asymptomatic or preclinical in some individuals (McKee et al., 2013, 2015). As a practical matter, the precise boundaries between different stages cannot be easily gleaned from the description of the stages in the original paper (McKee et al., 2013), making it difficult to apply them in research and clinical practice. The diagnostic error rate for stage I and stage II CTE within and between neuropathologists has not been explored. Moreover, stage III and IV cases are often diagnosed with comorbid canonical neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease or frontotemporal dementia (McKee et al., 2013), making it difficult to separate some of the pathology of ageing and sporadic neurodegenerative diseases from the pathology believed to underlie CTE specifically. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. The accumulation of p-tau in normal ageing and in association with neurodegenerative diseases is distributed throughout many brain regions. The images depict p-tau in a variety of areas, such as near blood vessels, in hippocampus, and in amygdala, in men with no known history of TBI, repetitive mild neurotrauma, or participation in contact sports.

Consensus meeting to define the neuropathological criteria for CTE

Before 2015, there were no well-validated or consensus-based neuropathological criteria for CTE, and the criteria put forward by the two research groups in the USA had problematic specificity and differed substantially in their definitions (Omalu et al., 2011; McKee et al., 2013). To develop agreed upon pathology criteria for CTE, a consensus panel of seven neuropathologists, convened by the National Institutes of Health (NIH), were provided 10 cases of advanced CTE pathology [two with stage III and eight with stage IV pathology (McKee et al., 2013)] and 15 cases with primary tau-related neurodegenerative diseases to examine blindly (McKee et al., 2016). The pathologists were provided pre-prepared virtual slides from researchers at Boston University. The pathologists were given the a priori presumptive definition and criteria for the
Figure 1  P-tau accumulates with ageing and in neurodegenerative diseases in diverse brain regions including depths of cortical sulci, in hippocampus, amygdala, mammillary bodies, thalamus, locus coeruleus, raphe nucleus, and around small blood vessels. Images of p-tau accumulations from three males with no known history of TBI, repetitive neurotrauma, or participation in contact or collision sports (Iverson et al., 2019) (Cases 2, 3, and 5). Case 2 was an 82-year-old male who was not reported to be cognitively impaired at the time of death. He might have had mental health problems at some point during later adulthood, although this was not clearly documented. His cause of death was aortic valve stenosis. His APOE genotype was e4-3. This case was rated as Braak NFT stage V, Thal amyloid-β phase 3-4, with moderate CERAD neuritic plaques, and NIA-AA designation A3B3C2. Case 3 was an 80-year-old male who was not reported to be cognitively impaired at the time of death. His cause of death was cardiomyopathy. His APOE genotype was e3-3. This case was rated as Braak NFT stage IV, Thal amyloid-β phase 0, with no CERAD neuritic plaques, and NIA-AA Designation A0B2C0. PART and ARTAG pathology were present. Case 5 was a 73-year-old male who was not reported to be cognitively impaired at the time of death. His cause of death was cardiomyopathy. His APOE genotype was e3-3. This case was rated as Braak NFT stage IV, Thal amyloid-β phase 1, with sparse CERAD neuritic plaques, and NIA-AA designation A1B2C1. PART and ARTAG pathology were present. Top row: Left = extensive, diffusely distributed p-tau with NFT at low magnification (illustrating uniform involvement of neocortex including sulcal depths that occurs with ageing and with Alzheimer’s disease; scale bar = 4 mm; Case 5, age = 73); middle = CA-2 region of Ammon’s horn with extensive p-tau including NFTs (scale bar = 400 μm; Case 2, age = 82); right = low magnification showing extensive p-tau including NFTs with preferential involvement of neocortical layers 2 and 3 (scale bar = 1 mm; Case 5, age = 73). Middle row: Left = abundant p-tau in amygdala at low magnification (scale bar = 3 mm; Case 5, age = 73); middle = irregularly distributed p-tau involving neurons and astrocytes in amygdala (scale bar = 200 μm; Case 3, age = 80); right = extensive p-tau with NFT involving the mamillary body (scale bar = 200 μm; Case 5, age = 73). Bottom row: Left = p-tau involving the locus coeruleus (scale bar = 200 μm; Case 3, age = 80); middle = p-tau involving the pontine raphe nucleus (scale bar = 200 μm; Case 2, age = 82); right = p-tau within cell processes near a small blood vessel (scale bar = 200 μm; Case 2, age = 82).
neuropathology of CTE set out in Box 1 (found in the online supplementary material 1 of the original article) and were blinded to demographic data, clinical history (including type and degree of neurotrauma exposure), and all gross neuropathological data. The inter-rater reliability (kappa) for a diagnosis of CTE at the consensus conference was 78%.

Ultimately, after reviewing the cases and deliberating, the consensus panel did not adopt the complete criteria set out in Box 1. The panel defined the single pathognomonic criterion for the neuropathology of CTE as an accumulation of abnormal p-tau in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci. NFTs also preferentially involve the superficial cortical layers, a feature that is most prominent in temporal isocortex. The frontal, temporal, septal, insular and parietal cortices are primarily affected, while primary visual and occipital cortices are generally spared. In advanced disease, the medial temporal lobe structures show pronounced neuronal loss and gliosis, with a high density of NFTs, including extracellular ghost tangles. In approximately 80% of cases, there are also TDP-43 immunoreactive neurites and intraneuronal inclusions. The following criteria for the neuropathological diagnosis of CTE are proposed (McKee et al., 2013):

1. Perivascular foci of p-tau immunoreactive neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) and astrocytic tangles (ATs) in the neocortex
2. Irregular distribution of p-tau immunoreactive NFTs and ATs at the depths of cerebral sulci
3. NFTs in the cerebral cortex located preferentially in the superficial layers (often most pronounced in temporal cortex)
4. Supportive features: Clusters of subpial ATs in the cerebral cortex, most pronounced at the sulcal depths.

Note that this definition was not adopted in whole by the consensus group. The consensus panel defined only one pathognomonic neuropathological criterion for CTE as an accumulation of abnormal p-tau in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci. They also identified some supportive criteria for the diagnosis.

Large scale CTE study in former high school contact sport athletes

Bieniek and colleagues recently published the largest neuropathology study of CTE to date (Bieniek et al., 2019). They examined historical obituary and yearbook records for 2566 cases within the Mayo Clinic Tissue Registry. From those, they identified 300 former athletes and 450 individuals who were not athletes (i.e. non-athlete controls). Restricted sampling of neocortical tissue was screened for CTE pathology (single samples of frontal and temporal tissue in all cases and parietal tissue in 75.3%). Clinical information was derived from a medical records-linkage system (Bieniek et al., 2019).

Tau pathology, in general, was present in 87.0% of athletes and 76.4% of controls. Alzheimer’s disease pathology was present in 24.0% of athletes and 23.3% of controls. Some degree of CTE pathology was found in 27 of the athletes (9%) and 15 controls (3.3%)—and half of those subjects met consensus criteria for CTE neuropathology [5.0% of athletes (15/300) and 1.3% of controls (6/450)]. Stratified by sports, the percentages with CTE pathology were as follows: baseball = 14.1% (9/64), basketball = 12.0% (11/92), boxing = 28.6% (2/7), football = 15.0% (21/140), hockey = 10.0% (2/20), soccer = 0% (0/5), and wrestling = 7.7% (3/39). There were 15 subjects who played football beyond high school, and seven had some form of CTE pathology (46.7%). Only one female was found to have CTE pathology (0.4%), and she was a control subject. Males with CTE pathology, compared to males...
who did not have CTE pathology, were significantly older at death (average age = 73 versus 65), had a lower likelihood of problems with anxiety (4.9% versus 18.8%), and had a higher likelihood of dementia (43.9% versus 25.7%), movement disorders (29.3% versus 14.7%), psychosis (63.4% versus 39.9%), and Alzheimer’s disease neuropathology (36.6% versus 20.2%). Males with CTE pathology, compared to males with no CTE pathology, had similar rates of depression (34.1% versus 33.3%), suicide (2.4% versus 7.8%), and alcoholism (24.4% versus 23.6%) (Bieniek et al., 2019). The tissue sampling in this study was substantially less than other CTE studies, which might have artificially lowered the rates at which CTE pathology was identified.

The mechanisms by which p-tau accumulates in depths of sulci are unknown

At present, the mechanisms by which p-tau accumulates in the depths of sulci, and the clinical significance or correlates of this region-specific accumulation (insofar as neuropathologists agree on its presence), are unknown. Moreover, the focus of the pathology at the depths of sulci does not allow effective modelling in rodents given their lissencephalic brains. In 1999, Geddes et al. reported that the distribution of the tau pathology associated with repetitive head injuries suggests that the pathogenesis might relate to damage to blood vessels or perivascular elements. McKee et al. (2009) speculated that ischaemia might contribute to the development of p-tau in depths of sulci, or that p-tau in those regions might be due to mechanical strain forces (McKee et al., 2009). In 2017, a computational modelling study of single TBIs, from different mechanisms of injury, illustrated high strain and strain rate in sulci (Ghajari et al., 2017). The possibility of blood–brain barrier disruption has been raised by a number of researchers (Goldstein et al., 2012; Doherty et al., 2016; Jullienne et al., 2016; Meabon et al., 2016). Schwab et al. (2019) identified DNA damage throughout the frontal cortex, hippocampus, and brainstem in tissue from two males with CTE pathology. Gene expression profiling revealed greater ataxia telangiectasia mutated and checkpoint kinase 2, two serine/threonine kinases recruited in response to double-strand breaks in the DNA damage response. Vascular events and oxidative stress might precede tau deposition in depths of sulci. Recently, several research groups have speculated that the glymphatic system might play a role in the accumulation of p-tau in depths of sulci in a perivascular distribution (Daneshvar et al., 2015; Dadas et al., 2016; Puvenna et al., 2016; Kulbe and Hall, 2017; Shively et al., 2017; Tomson et al., 2017; Kriegel et al., 2018; Sullan et al., 2018). The glymphatic system (Iliff et al., 2012, 2013) is believed to be a brain-wide perivascular pathway that supports the clearance and removal of interstitial solutes from the brain, including amyloid-β and tau, especially during sleep (Boespflug and Iliff, 2018).

The morphology of tau filaments in CTE and the way they are formed is unknown

A recent study by Falcon et al. (2019) suggests that tau filaments in CTE have a distinct structure that forms a hydrophobic cavity suitable for cofactors such as fatty acids to occupy and assist in tau aggregation. This study
supports the idea that different conformations of filamentous tau might distinguish different tauopathies (such as Alzheimer’s disease and Pick’s disease), and therefore specific tracer compounds might be helpful in distinguishing diagnoses in the future. They used three cases with CTE neuropathology (one former football player and two former boxers). Using electron microscopy in the temporal cortex, they found a predominant helical filament type in all three cases. In contrast, the filaments in Alzheimer’s disease were paired helical and straight. Using cryo-electron microscopy they found that in all three cases, the predominant tau filament had additional density not seen in Alzheimer’s disease. The secondary structure of tau in CTE had a unique beta-helix motif that creates a hydrophobic ‘cavity’, likely due to the extra density seen in CTE tau filaments. The extra density in the cavity is very strong, and it is surrounded by hydrophobic chains. The authors suggest that non-polar sterols and fatty acids could occupy the cavity, making CTE tau the only known amyloid-like structure to incorporate non-protein molecules. The authors suggest that these molecules function as cofactors in order to stabilize tau folding and aggregation. Indeed, fatty acids and cholesterol accumulation lead to the formation of filamentous tau inclusions in the brain. The distribution of tau around blood vessels may suggest that cofactors initially enter the brain through the periphery from head trauma. It is important to appreciate that the cases upon which these findings are based are complex. Two cases had ALS, both with a positive family history and one with 145 C9orf72 repeats, and a third case had Parkinson’s disease and dementia, with Lewy bodies in the substantia nigra. It is not clear how these structural findings in CTE tau compare with tau in other diseases, such as frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD)-tau, or with ageing-related tau astroglialopathy (ARTAG). The implications and functional importance of the ultrastructural tau findings reported by Falcon and colleagues are unknown.

*It is unknown whether CTE pathology is unique, specific, or inexorably progressive*

**Accumulation of p-tau in ageing and neurological diseases**

With ageing and a number of disease states, tau can become excessively and abnormally phosphorylated and then misfolded such that it resists degradation and normal turnover—leading to accumulation within the cell (von Bergen et al., 2005; Braak et al., 2011; Alonso et al., 2018). The transition from physiological p-tau to pathological p-tau in disease states is poorly understood (Arendt et al., 2016). The kinetics (i.e. linear increase, abrupt increase with stabilization, irregular increases, and/ or decreases) of p-tau accumulation over time have been studied for years and are not well understood (Hyman and Gomez-Isla, 1997; Iacono et al., 2014). The presence of disease *per se* is implied in the term ‘tauopathy,’ but it is important to keep in mind that p-tau accumulation as identifiable lesions of varying light microscopic appearances accompanies ageing (Morsch et al., 1999; Crary et al., 2014; Kovacs et al., 2016), and it can accumulate for many years without obvious clinical manifestations. Tauopathy in the context of neurodegenerative diseases more appropriately refers to clinicopathological entities in which p-tau accumulates in substantial amounts and, importantly, is usually associated with a clinical phenotype with neurological deterioration and eventual death from disease (Arendt et al., 2016). Such tauopathies include progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal degeneration. Alzheimer’s disease is characterized by abundant accumulation of p-tau, which is a component of consensus recommendations for autopsy interpretation of Alzheimer’s disease neuropathological change (Montine et al., 2012). Considerable evidence to date, however, supports that p-tau in Alzheimer’s disease is a secondary phenomenon (Selkoe and Hardy, 2016), downstream of amyloid-β deposits, which are also required for a pathological diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (Montine et al., 2012). There is clear evidence that extensive neocortical p-tau is associated with dementia in Alzheimer’s disease (Braak and Braak, 1991; Nelson et al., 2012).

**Age-related p-tau (PART and ARTAG)**

In recent years, two broad groups of age-related p-tau have been described: primary age-related tauopathy (PART) published in 2014 (Crary et al., 2014) and ageing-related tau astroglialopathy (ARTAG) published in 2016 (Kovacs et al., 2016). Both are associated with advanced ageing, neither have a consistent association with neurological signs or problems, and they may occur separately or in combination.

PART refers to neuronal p-tau, with neurofibrillary degeneration (p-tau) in the medial temporal lobe, basal forebrain, deep grey matter, brainstem, and olfactory areas (bulb and cortex) in significant amounts, but with sparse or absent amyloid-β, which distinguishes PART from Alzheimer’s disease (Crary et al., 2014). Prior to the publication of the PART article in 2014, and the CTE consensus criteria definition published in 2016 (McKee et al., 2016), PART pathology in the medial temporal lobe, basal forebrain, and brainstem was described as characteristic of CTE (McKee et al., 2013; Baugh et al., 2014). Interestingly, whole mount depictions of CTE often highlight substantial temporal lobe p-tau or brainstem p-tau. The extent to which similar p-tau staining would appear in whole mount preparations from control subjects or individuals with canonical neurodegenerative diseases has not been explored. It should also be pointed out that p-tau pathology in PART reacts with Bielschowsky silver...
impregnation, and that historical descriptions of dementia pugilistica pathology highlight temporal lobe NFT, although McKee et al.’s (2013) proposed staging criteria differentiate low stages based on medial temporal lobe involvement in stage II, but not stage I. Thus, there may be some pathological characteristics unique to PART versus CTE, but overall the NFT component in classical dementia pugilistica might be, in some cases, very difficult to distinguish from PART (particularly in cases with limited pathological evaluation). Indeed, the authors of the PART publication noted that the pathology overlapped with what was previously described as CTE (Crary et al., 2014).

ARTAG refers to ageing-related accumulation of p-tau in astrocytes, often in certain distributions (e.g. subpial, subependymal, and perivascular), in individuals with or without cognitive problems (Kovacs et al., 2016). Prior to the CTE consensus criteria definition published in 2016 (McKee et al., 2016), ARTAG pathology, such as astrocytic p-tau in subpial, subependymal, and perivascular locations, was described as characteristic of CTE (McKee et al., 2009, 2010, 2013; Omalu et al., 2011; Stern et al., 2011b, 2013; Mez et al., 2013; McKee and Robinson, 2014; Omalu, 2014; Montenigro et al., 2015; Riley et al., 2015). Kovacs and colleagues (2016) noted that ‘ARTAG has features that overlap those of CTE, including the accentuation of tau pathology around small cerebral vessels and in subpial and periventricular areas. On the other hand, tau pathology, including neuronal and astrogial, in CTE is more abundant in the depths of the convexity cerebral sulci, especially in early stages, an aspect that has not been reported in tau astrogliopathy in the ageing brain’ (p.94). This important distinction was not made prior to 2015.

Forrest and colleagues (2019) examined the prevalence of CTE pathology and age-related tauopathy by studying brain tissue from 310 individuals who came to autopsy between 2000 and 2016. All subjects were enrolled in the Vienna Trans-Danube Ageing (VITA) study and ranged in age from 76 to 91 years. ARTAG pathology was identified in 38% of the sample. Of the 117 cases with ARTAG, approximately half (47.9%) had the pathology in two or more brain regions. Given that ARTAG pathology and CTE pathology involve substantial overlap in morphology and similar anatomical locations, and may co-exist, the authors established rather strict criteria for defining CTE pathology. They introduced the terminology ‘higher density’ and ‘greater density’ when comparing NFTs in cerebral sulci versus cerebral gyri. They noted that NFTs related to Alzheimer’s disease and CTE can be present in the same person, and they stated ‘CTE-associated NFTs were defined as a higher density of NFTs in the cerebral sulci than observed in the cerebral gyri’. They noted that 25 of the cases had CTE-like pathology in the depths of sulci, such as astrocytic or neuronal tau, but when applying strict criteria for CTE pathology they concluded that no cases met criteria. They concluded that CTE pathology should be interpreted conservatively in cases that do not show the full constellation of tau pathologies occurring at the depths of sulci. They also noted that the earliest stages of CTE pathology, which are likely to be preclinical with individuals being asymptomatic and perhaps not progressing to develop neurological dysfunction, have not been determined. They proposed a new approach to classifying CTE pathology. They suggested the term ‘components of CTE’ to define tau pathologies at the depths of sulci that are seen in higher density than what is observed in cortical gyri, but that are not sufficient to meet full criteria for the pathognomonic lesion of CTE. This study illustrates that CTE pathology can be judged strictly, conservatively, or permissively in clinical practice and research.

In a study published at the end of 2018, Goldfinger and colleagues established that ARTAG pathology and CTE pathology co-occur. They re-examined 14 of 15 boxing cases described in the seminal 1973 paper by Corsellis and colleagues, using modern immunohistochemical techniques and the NINDS/NIBIB neuropathological consensus criteria for CTE (McKee et al., 2016), and discovered that only seven (50%) of these seminal cases of chronic brain damage in boxers met the consensus criteria for having the neuropathology of CTE. Ten of the 14 cases (71%) had ARTAG, including all seven of the boxers who had CTE pathology. The authors suggested that the co-occurrence raises the question whether ARTAG and CTE pathology are distinct entities or on the spectrum of the same disorder (Goldfinger et al., 2018).

Similarly, in a recent study, Lee and colleagues carefully documented a diverse range of post-mortem neuropathology in 11 former soccer (n = 7) and rugby (n = 4) players with a clinical history of dementia [age of dementia onset 60 ± 8.6 years (range 48–75)]. They found CTE neuropathology in 8 of 11 cases. They documented ARTAG or PART in five of eight cases and Alzheimer’s disease neuropathological change in seven of eight cases that had CTE pathology (Lee et al., 2019).

Most cases of elderly males described as having CTE may also have PART and/or ARTAG, because the pathology as described spatially overlaps with neuronal p-tau of PART (primarily localized to medial temporal lobe structures) and glial p-tau of ARTAG (arranged in a wide array of possible distributions including focal, patchy, and diffuse patterns localized to subpial, subependymal, perivascular, and other parenchymal [including depth of sulcus] regions). PART and ARTAG are characterized by the accumulation of large amounts of cortical and limbic p-tau, and the accumulation in PART may be more uniform and linear, and less ‘patchy’, ‘irregular’, ‘accentuated’, or ‘geographic’, while the accumulation in ARTAG may be patchy and irregular but it is only within astrocytes (the required CTE criterion requires neuronal and astrocytic p-tau). Stated differently, an individual who has ‘patchy’ p-tau in depths of sulci and uniform p-tau in depths of sulci, may be said to
have both CTE pathology and age-related pathology. If the p-tau in depths of sulci is abundant and uniform, and the person has extensive amyloid-β accumulation with neuritic plaques, then the person could be said to have age-related p-tau, Alzheimer’s disease-related p-tau, and CTE pathology. In summary, there is currently no definitive way to attribute with certainty overlapping p-tau pathologies of CTE and age-related tau pathologies in the elderly, and it is not known if similar processes that result in PART and ARTAG in the elderly may operate in younger individuals (although there is currently no evidence to support this possibility). Thus, more studies on CTE neuropathology should be focused in younger populations, unbiased by selection for neurotrauma and other exposures, and cognizant of possible confounding factors that could drive p-tau pathology.

CTE pathology has been found in the brains of individuals with no known repetitive neurotrauma

Researchers have asserted that CTE pathology has only been found in individuals with exposure to repetitive neurotrauma (Stern et al., 2011a, 2013; Baugh et al., 2012, 2014; Mez et al., 2013; McKee et al., 2014). However, that is not the case as CTE pathology has been discovered in individuals who had no known history of participation in collision or contact sports and had no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. Specifically, CTE pathology has been identified in individuals with (i) schizophrenia who have undergone leukotomy (Shively et al., 2017); (ii) temporal lobe epilepsy (Puvvona et al., 2016); (iii) ALS (Fournier et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2017); (iv) multiple system atrophy (Koga et al., 2016); and (v) other neurodegenerative diseases (Ling et al., 2015) who have no known participation in collision or contact sports and no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. It should be noted, however, that many of these older adult patients with neurological diseases are at increased risk for falls as a result of their disease, and the threshold for neurotrauma exposure necessary to initiate CTE pathology is unknown.

The first female to have ever been identified as having CTE pathology was reported in 1990; she was repeatedly battered and she also had cerebrovascular disease (Roberts et al., 1990b). Specifically, her neuropathological examination showed limited neurofibrillary degeneration, abundant diffuse plaques, and a cavum septum pellucidum. No other females have been identified with CTE neuropathology until Ling and colleagues conducted a large-scale post-mortem study of CTE in the UK. Their total sample included 268 individuals, most of whom had neurodegenerative diseases identified at autopsy such as progressive supranuclear palsy, Parkinson’s disease, corticobasal degeneration, frontotemporal dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, and multiple system atrophy, and they reported that 94% had some form of history of TBI with or without loss of consciousness. They identified 32 (11.9%) cases in their sample as having mild forms of neuropathology consistent with CTE (Ling et al., 2015). Remarkably, of those 32 cases, 13 were female (40.6%). The extent to which this pathology was associated with clinical symptoms was unknown, and the authors assumed that they were likely asymptomatic from this pathology. However, Ling and colleagues used the pathological criteria for CTE proposed by McKee and colleagues (2013), not the new NIH consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2016), so it is not known how many females would have met consensus-based criteria.

In addition, Noy and colleagues prospectively examined 111 brains in a routine neuropathology service in Canada for the presence of CTE pathology (Noy et al., 2016). The subjects were between the ages of 18 and 60, and they were collected from a non-selected community-based neuropathology referral base. The researchers set their cut-off age at 60 to reduce the confounding effects of ageing and preclinical neurodegenerative diseases. They identified CTE pathology, based on the staging system of McKee et al. (2013), in 4.5% of their cases (three cases of stage I and two cases of stage II). However, they made the important observation that there is no lower bound for classifying stage I CTE pathology, so if sparse pathology is characteristic of stage I, an additional 34 cases were identified (30.6% of the sample). Therefore, of the total sample, 33.1% had some degree of mild CTE-like pathology. Only one subject had a known history of contact or collision sports participation. The CTE-like pathology was more common in males, and those with a history of traumatic brain injury, substance abuse, or both. However, some of the cases with CTE pathology had no known history of even a single traumatic brain injury.

Iverson and colleagues examined a post-mortem case series of eight males with no known participation in contact sports and no known history of repetitive neurotrauma. Two of the eight males had a known history of a single TBI. Six of the eight cases (i.e. 75%), including one of the cases with a history of TBI, showed characteristic CTE p-tau in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small blood vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci. Of the six males with no known history of neurotrauma, five met consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2019).

CTE pathology associated with a single traumatic brain injury

Different types of neuropathology, such as neuroinflammation (Friger et al., 2010), amyloid-β deposition (Johnson et al., 2012), accumulation of TDP-43 (Johnson et al., 2013), amphetamine-induced degeneration, and other pathological processes, have been identified in individuals with (i) schizophrenia who have undergone leukotomy (Shively et al., 2017); (ii) temporal lobe epilepsy (Puvvona et al., 2016); (iii) ALS (Fournier et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2017); (iv) multiple system atrophy (Koga et al., 2016); and (v) other neurodegenerative diseases (Ling et al., 2015) who have no known participation in collision or contact sports and no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma. It should be noted, however, that many of these older adult patients with neurological diseases are at increased risk for falls as a result of their disease, and the threshold for neurotrauma exposure necessary to initiate CTE pathology is unknown.

The first female to have ever been identified as having CTE pathology was reported in 1990; she was repeatedly battered and she also had cerebrovascular disease (Roberts et al., 1990b). Specifically, her neuropathological examination showed limited neurofibrillary degeneration, abundant diffuse plaques, and a cavum septum pellucidum. No other females have been identified with CTE neuropathology until Ling and colleagues conducted a large-scale post-mortem study of CTE in the UK. Their total sample included 268 individuals, most of whom had neurodegenerative diseases identified at autopsy such as progressive supranuclear palsy, Parkinson’s disease, corticobasal degeneration, frontotemporal dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, and multiple system atrophy, and they reported that 94% had some form of history of TBI with or without loss of consciousness. They identified 32 (11.9%) cases in their sample as having mild forms of neuropathology consistent with CTE (Ling et al., 2015). Remarkably, of those 32 cases, 13 were female (40.6%). The extent to which this pathology was associated with clinical symptoms was unknown, and the authors assumed that they were likely asymptomatic from this pathology. However, Ling and colleagues used the pathological criteria for CTE proposed by McKee and colleagues (2013), not the new NIH consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2016), so it is not known how many females would have met consensus-based criteria.

In addition, Noy and colleagues prospectively examined 111 brains in a routine neuropathology service in Canada for the presence of CTE pathology (Noy et al., 2016). The subjects were between the ages of 18 and 60, and they were collected from a non-selected community-based neuropathology referral base. The researchers set their cut-off age at 60 to reduce the confounding effects of ageing and preclinical neurodegenerative diseases. They identified CTE pathology, based on the staging system of McKee et al. (2013), in 4.5% of their cases (three cases of stage I and two cases of stage II). However, they made the important observation that there is no lower bound for classifying stage I CTE pathology, so if sparse pathology is characteristic of stage I, an additional 34 cases were identified (30.6% of the sample). Therefore, of the total sample, 33.1% had some degree of mild CTE-like pathology. Only one subject had a known history of contact or collision sports participation. The CTE-like pathology was more common in males, and those with a history of traumatic brain injury, substance abuse, or both. However, some of the cases with CTE pathology had no known history of even a single traumatic brain injury.

Iverson and colleagues examined a post-mortem case series of eight males with no known participation in contact sports and no known history of repetitive neurotrauma. Two of the eight males had a known history of a single TBI. Six of the eight cases (i.e. 75%), including one of the cases with a history of TBI, showed characteristic CTE p-tau in neurons, astrocytes, and cell processes around small blood vessels in an irregular pattern at the depths of the cortical sulci. Of the six males with no known history of neurotrauma, five met consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2019), not the new NIH consensus criteria (McKee et al., 2016), so it is not known how many females would have met consensus-based criteria.

In addition, Noy and colleagues prospectively examined 111 brains in a routine neuropathology service in Canada for the presence of CTE pathology (Noy et al., 2016). The subjects were between the ages of 18 and 60, and they were collected from a non-selected community-based neuropathology referral base. The researchers set their cut-off age at 60 to reduce the confounding effects of ageing and preclinical neurodegenerative diseases. They identified CTE pathology, based on the staging system of McKee et al. (2013), in 4.5% of their cases (three cases of stage I and two cases of stage II). However, they made the important observation that there is no lower bound for classifying stage I CTE pathology, so if sparse pathology is characteristic of stage I, an additional 34 cases were identified (30.6% of the sample). Therefore, of the total sample, 33.1% had some degree of mild CTE-like pathology. Only one subject had a known history of contact or collision sports participation. The CTE-like pathology was more common in males, and those with a history of traumatic brain injury, substance abuse, or both. However, some of the cases with CTE pathology had no known history of even a single traumatic brain injury.
2011), and p-tau (Johnson et al., 2012; Kondo et al., 2015; Shultz et al., 2015) can and do arise following a single moderate-severe TBI (Smith et al., 2003, 2013; Blennow et al., 2012, and there is emerging evidence that multiple types of neuropathology can be present following repetitive mild TBI (Smith et al., 2013; Hay et al., 2016). Regarding axonal pathology, theoretically, there might be two phases of degeneration, an immediate process that arises from mechanical disruption and other cellular and extracellular processes, and a delayed process by which initially intact axons undergo degeneration months or years after injury (Chen et al., 2009). The formation of amyloid plaques following TBI has been reported to occur quickly, over the course of hours and days (Roberts et al., 1991, 1994; Ikonomovic et al., 2004), perhaps in association with axonal injury. However, the natural history of these plaques is not well understood, and there is some evidence that they regress over weeks and months (Chen et al., 2009), and much more research is needed in this area. In a post-mortem study of long-term survivors of TBI, amyloid plaques were observed in greater density than was present in control subjects, and they were more often fibrillary, as opposed to diffuse, compared to controls (Johnson et al., 2012). However, in the largest pathology study to date (Crane et al., 2016), in a sample of 1537 autopsy cases, a TBI with >1 h of loss of consciousness was not associated with future mild cognitive impairment, dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, neuritic plaques, or neurofibrillary tangles, but was associated with risk of Lewy body accumulation, progression of parkinsonism, and Parkinson’s disease.

Researchers report that a single concussion does not lead to CTE (Stein et al., 2015). Whether a single moderate or severe TBI can cause CTE pathology is not well understood. Johnson and colleagues reported that NFTs were present following a single remote TBI in 34% of cases aged 60 or younger compared to only 9% of control subjects, and these NFTs were commonly observed in superficial cortical layers with clustering in the depths of sulci (Johnson et al., 2012). Although they reported pathology similar to CTE, they did not attempt to diagnose CTE in that study. In one large-scale CTE study, a subgroup of 33 patients were identified as having at least one TBI from motor vehicle accidents, assaults, domestic violence, or falls, and none of these individuals had the neuropathology characteristic of CTE (Bieniek et al., 2015). In a more recent study, 2 of 12 individuals with a history of severe TBI had neuropathology consistent with CTE (Zanier et al., 2018). In a sample of 155 veterans who died with ALS, 5.8% had CTE pathology (Walt et al., 2018). There were nine veterans who had a history of TBI (and no known history of participation in contact sports), and of those nine, three had CTE pathology (33.3%). More research is needed to determine the nature, extent, and natural history of CTE pathology following a single moderate or severe TBI.

Summary of current limitations of CTE neuropathology research

Currently CTE can only be diagnosed at autopsy using preliminary neuropathological consensus criteria. For any disease diagnosable only at autopsy, which by definition represents a static time point for each individual case, it is impossible to definitively ascribe a progressive neurodegenerative course. Only when validated biomarkers, such as CTE-specific blood or CSF molecules, or PET with radiotracers specific to CTE tau, or other CTE-specific targets, are available can one determine with reasonable certainty the natural course of a disease and the effects of exposures and interventions. Thus, it might be premature to describe CTE as inexorably progressive.

CTE is ascribed to chronic repetitive concussive or subconcussive neurotrauma exposure, and claims that no cases have been described in individuals without these exposures may not be correct. However, it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, to know for any individual all the neurotraumatic and other environmental, drug, medical health, nutritional, and other exposures that might contribute to neuropathological changes that develop over a lifetime. This issue is always a challenge in human clinical research but is especially troublesome when a disease, condition, or pathology can only be diagnosed at autopsy. A validated biomarker is essential to evaluate in-life changes with respect to exposures to trauma and other hazards that could potentially lead to CTE or CTE-like neuropathological change. There appears to be a correlation in cohorts enriched for subjects with high-level exposures to contact sports and variably prominent behavioural and cognitive impairments with the neuropathological changes of CTE. However, much more work is needed to test and validate current preliminary criteria for specificity and sensitivity and ultimately, without a biomarker, assertions regarding progression, exposures, and interventions on the neuropathological features of the disease are questionable.

The challenge of clinical diagnosis

During the 20th century, CTE was conceptualized as an uncommon neurological disorder afflicting a minority of long-career, high exposure boxers. Over the past 15 years, the two leading research groups in the USA have asserted that modern cases of CTE are characterized by an extraordinarily broad range of mild to severe clinical features, including: (i) psychological and psychiatric problems, such as depression and anxiety (Omalu et al., 2011; Baugh et al., 2012; McKee et al., 2013) or suicidality (Omalu et al., 2010a; Gavett et al., 2011b; Stern et al., 2011b, 2013; Baugh et al., 2012; McKee et al., 2013; Omalu, 2014); (ii) personality changes, anger control problems, and violence (Omalu et al., 2011; Baugh et al., 2012;
problems, and bankruptcy (Omalu et al., 2011); (iv) gambling (Montenigro et al., 2014); (v) excessive shopping or unusual purchases (Montenigro et al., 2014); (vi) marital problems, separation, and divorce (Omalu, 2014); (vii) substance abuse (Montenigro et al., 2014); (viii) headaches (McKee et al., 2009, 2013; Omalu et al., 2011); (ix) generalized body aches and pain (Omalu et al., 2011); (x) insomnia (Omalu, 2014); (xi) parkinsonism (Omalu et al., 2005; Baugh et al., 2012; McKee et al., 2013); (xii) mild cognitive impairment (Omalu et al., 2011; Baugh et al., 2012; McKee et al., 2013); (xiii) dementia (Omalu et al., 2011; Baugh et al., 2012; McKee et al., 2013); and (xiv) motor neuron disease resembling ALS (McKee et al., 2010).

Researchers from Boston University introduced preliminary proposed diagnostic criteria for ‘traumatic encephalopathy syndrome’ (TES) in 2014 (Montenigro et al., 2014), and the TES criteria are being used in several studies as part of a multicentre grant entitled ‘Diagnostics, Imaging, And Genetics Network for the Objective Study and Evaluation of Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy’ (DIAGNOSE CTE; NIH/NINDS Grant No. U01NS093334). The proposed diagnostic criteria are presented in Box 3. All five of the criteria in Box 3 must be present to diagnose TES.

Seven methodological issues and problems, with applying these proposed criteria in research and in clinical practice, are set out below. First, an individual is allowed to have a diverse range of primary or secondary psychiatric or

---

**Box 3 Proposed criteria for TES (Montenigro et al., 2014).** All five criteria must be present

(i) Types of injuries: History of multiple impacts to the head (e.g. four concussions, two moderate-severe TBIs, or multiple ‘subconcussive traumas’).

(ii) No other neurological disorder (including chronic residual symptoms from a single TBI or persistent post-concussion syndrome) that likely accounts for all clinical features, although concomitant diagnoses of substance abuse, PTSD, mood/anxiety disorders, or other neurodegenerative diseases (for example, Alzheimer’s disease and frontotemporal dementia) or a combination of these can be present.

(iii) Clinical features must be present for a minimum of 12 months. However, if treatment (for example, ‘antidepressant’ medication) results in an improvement in select symptoms, the clinician should use her or his best judgment to decide whether the symptoms would have persisted or progressed if treatment had not been initiated.

(iv) At least one of the three core clinical features must be present and should be considered a change from baseline functioning:

(a) Cognitive: Cognitive problems reported by the person and/or an informant and low cognitive test scores on one or more tests of episodic memory, executive function, and/or attention (defined by scores at a level of at least 1.5 standard deviations below appropriate norms).

(b) Behavioural: Being described as emotionally explosive (for example, having a ‘short fuse’ or being ‘out of control’), physically violent, and/or verbally violent, as reported by self or informant, by history of treatment, or by clinician’s report. A formal diagnosis of intermittent explosive disorder would meet this criterion but is not necessary.

(c) Mood: Feeling overly sad, depressed, and/or hopeless, as reported by self or informant, by history of treatment, or by clinician’s report. A formal diagnosis of major depressive disorder or persistent depressive disorder would meet this criterion but is not necessary.

(v) At least two supportive features must be present. The seven supportive criteria include:

(a) Impulsivity (e.g. ‘new behaviors such as excessive gambling, increased or unusual sexual activity, substance abuse, or excessive shopping or unusual purchases’);

(b) Anxiety (e.g. ‘anxious mood, agitation, excessive fears, obsessive or compulsive behavior (or both)’, and ‘a formal diagnosis of anxiety disorder would meet this criterion but is not necessary’);

(c) Apathy (e.g. ‘loss of interest in usual activities, loss of motivation and emotions, and/or reduction of voluntary, goal-directed behaviors’);

(d) Paranoia (e.g. ‘delusional beliefs of suspicion, persecution, and/or unwanted jealousy’);

(e) Suicidality (i.e. ‘history of suicidal thoughts or attempts’);

(f) Headache (‘significant and chronic headache with at least one episode per month for a minimum of 6 months’);

(g) Motor signs (e.g. ‘dysarthria, dysgraphia, bradykinesia, tremor, rigidity, gait disturbance, falls, and/or other features of parkinsonism’);

(h) Documented decline (i.e. ‘progressive decline in function and/or a progression in symptoms and/or signs’ that occurs ‘for a minimum of 1 year’);

(i) Delayed onset (i.e. ‘delayed onset of clinical features after significant head impact exposure, usually at least 2 years and in many cases several years after the period of maximal exposure. It should be noted, however, that individual cases may begin to develop the clinical features of TES during their period of head impact exposure (for example, while still actively involved in a collision sport’).

PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.
neurological disorders assuming that the clinician or researcher can, somehow, differentiate the effects of TES from the other disorder(s) (see criterion ii). For example, an individual could have depression caused almost entirely by other factors if the clinician or researcher concludes that TES, and presumably underlying CTE neuropathology, is also contributing to that clinical presentation—although it is entirely unclear how a clinician would make such a determination.

Second, as written, a former contact sport athlete who presents for treatment for depression and headaches, for the first time, in his or her thirties, who improves with antidepressants and psychotherapy, could still be diagnosed with TES (at the clinician’s discretion; see criterion iii). Normally, under such circumstances, many clinicians would assume that the person simply had depression and not assume that the person was suffering from a putative neurodegenerative disease.

Third, the clinical features are extraordinarily broad and nonspecific (see criteria iv and v). Some examples of primary or secondary disorders or diseases that can mimic the symptoms and problems associated with TES are as follows: mood disorders [e.g. major depressive disorder; persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia), bipolar I disorder, bipolar II disorder, and unspecified depressive disorder]; impulse control disorders (e.g. intermittent explosive disorder); adjustment disorders (adjustment disorder with depressed mood, adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood, and adjustment disorder with anxiety); anxiety disorders (e.g. generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, agoraphobia, panic disorder, and social anxiety disorder); substance-related and addictive disorders (e.g. alcohol use disorder, substance use disorder, and gambling disorder), and a variety of neurological diseases (e.g. Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, chronic cerebrovascular disease, and frontotemporal dementia). According to criterion ii in Box 3, an individual can have any of these diagnoses as a primary disorder, a secondary disorder, or a comorbidity and the clinician can still diagnose TES. This is challenging, of course, because those disorders in the general population are assumed to be independent of CTE neuropathology—and individuals with those disorders can easily present with delayed-onset criterion because they do not show clinical evidence of TES while still participating in their sports. In general, criterion v(i) maximizes the likelihood that clinical problems, manifesting at any time in life, could be interpreted as being caused by CTE neuropathology and diagnosed as TES, when, in fact, they might be caused mostly or entirely by other factors.

Iverson and Gardner (2019) used data from the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication, an in-person survey that examined the prevalence and correlates of mental disorders in the USA, to identify a sample of 101 males from the general population who were diagnosed with a major depressive episode in the past 30 days. The clinical problems attributed to TES, such as depression, suicidality, anxiety, anger control problems, and headaches, were comorbid in this sample of males with depression from the general population—illustrating that they are not unique or specific to TES. Nearly half (45.5%) reported a lifetime history of an anger attack leading to hitting or attempting to hit another person, and 52.5% reported feeling mad or angry over the past month. Nearly one in five (17.8%) reported feeling ‘angry and out of control’ in the past month. It was common for these males to experience alcohol or drug abuse (20.8%), anxiety (73.3%), loss of interest (36.6%), suicidality (20.8%), and headaches (23.8%). Approximately half of the sample (52.5%) met the proposed research criteria for TES. Extrapolating to former athletes and military veterans, and accepting that the delayed-onset criterion would be met if they were presenting with depression years after retirement (from sports or the military), then 83.2% of this sample met the research criteria for TES. Thus, depression in males in the general population can easily mimic TES, as defined in Box 3.

Fifth, there is no requirement that the clinical condition be progressive—which runs counter to the assumption that TES is a neurodegenerative disease [see criterion v(h)]. Sixth, when examining the ‘core’ and ‘supportive’ features, it is not clear if there is a specific syndrome or syndromes that are being described because such a broad range of combinations of core and supportive features can meet criteria for TES. For example, if the person is healthy during the decade of his or her twenties, and he or she develops any of the following combinations of health problems between the age of 30 and 80 (thus meeting the supportive criterion of ‘delayed onset’), that person would screen positively for having the core and supportive criteria for TES: (i) depression and suicidality; (ii) depression and anxiety; (iii) depression and headaches; (iv) depression and substance abuse; (v) anger control problems and anxiety; (vi) anger control problems and substance abuse; (vii) anger control problems and headaches; (viii) mild cognitive impairment and anxiety; (ix) mild cognitive impairment and substance abuse; (x) Parkinson’s disease or parkinsonism and mild cognitive impairment; (xi) parkinsonism and apathy; (xii) Alzheimer’s disease and apathy; (xiii) Alzheimer’s disease and paranoia; (xiv)
frontotemporal dementia and impulsivity; and (xv) frontotemporal dementia and paranoia.

Finally, as written, all individuals meeting the earlier-in-life exposure criterion for neurotrauma (criterion i), who develop mental health or neurological problems after the age of 40 that persist and/or worsen for at least 1 year, actually meet the two required supportive features—‘delayed onset’ [criterion v(i)] and ‘progressive’ [criterion v(h), ‘a progression in symptoms and/or signs’ that occurs ‘for a minimum of 1 year’]. Thus, as written, they do not need to have a single additional clinical supportive feature—the onset and course of their depression, anger control problems, or cognitive impairment are sufficient for meeting TES diagnostic criteria. This is problematic because this onset and course represents the natural history of many psychiatric and neurological conditions experienced by individuals in the general population who have no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma.

**Directions for future research**

There are numerous gaps in our knowledge relating to CTE, and these gaps could be the focus for future research. Nine suggestions for research are summarized below. First, research is needed to determine whether, and the extent to which, the neuropathology of CTE is progressive—and if so, the mechanisms by which it is progressive. Second, the lower limit of pathology, described as ‘stage I’ (McKee et al., 2013) or ‘less than stage I’ (Noy et al., 2016), needs to be carefully defined. In its mildest form, the identification of CTE might be based entirely on immunohistochemistry, with no discernible changes by macroscopic or routine microscopic pathological evaluation, i.e. no detectable alterations in cellular structure. The preliminary consensus criteria for defining the neuropathology were based entirely on 10 cases selected with stage III and stage IV pathology (McKee et al., 2016). Researchers have identified small amounts of CTE pathology in community autopsy subjects with no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma (Noy et al., 2016; Iverson et al., 2019). A preliminary consensus definition of the minimum criteria for identifying CTE pathology is needed. Third, more studies are needed to determine how often CTE pathology is present in community control subjects, of different ages, who have no known history of repetitive neurotrauma. Permissive versus strict criteria for identifying CTE pathology should be compared and contrasted.

Fourth, given that sleep apnoea is associated with the accumulation of both amyloid-β and tau (Baril et al., 2018; Bubu et al., 2019), and the glymphatic system (during sleep) has been hypothesized to play a role in CTE pathology (Daneshvar et al., 2015; Dadas et al., 2016; Puvenna et al., 2016; Kulbe and Hall, 2017; Shively et al., 2017; Thomsen et al., 2017; Kriegel et al., 2018; Sullan et al., 2018), it is important to do post-mortem studies looking for CTE pathology in individuals who had sleep apnoea (especially in combination with other comorbidities) but no known history of repetitive neurotrauma. Fifth, given that prescription opioid use (Flanagan et al., 2018) and opioid drug abuse (Anthony et al., 2010) are both associated with greater than expected tau in frontal cortex, it will be important to examine the brains of opiate users with no known history of repetitive neurotrauma for CTE pathology.

Sixth, from a methodological perspective, research is needed to develop a consensus definition of the depth of a sulcus. There is no accepted definition of the depth of a sulcus in the CTE literature, and in the published literature to date it is rarely clearly defined. A notable exception relating to the definition of a sulcal depth in CTE research is a recent study by Hsu et al. (2018). For this study, it was necessary to define the depth of sulci so that quantitative analyses of pathology could be conducted. The authors wrote:

‘A sulcal depth was defined as the bottom two-thirds of connecting gyri, extending into the gray matter from the gray/white matter boundary. White matter adjacent to sulcal depths was defined as a sub-region of superficial white matter, extending from boundary of the sulcal depth ROI to 1 mm into white matter’.

In both clinical practice and research, there is likely variability in how neuropathologists define the depths and how they interpret the ‘patchiness’ or ‘irregularity’ of the pattern of tau staining within those depths. There is also likely variability in how neuropathologists quantify the amount of irregular tau and differentiate it from the more evenly-distributed tau in sulcal depths that is apparent in association with ageing and Alzheimer’s disease. More research is needed to define and quantify p-tau staining patterns better within the depths of sulci.

Seventh, studies using sledge microtome, free-floating immunohistochemical preparations for examining community control subjects and subjects with other neurological diseases are needed to comprehensively interrogate tissue for p-tau in a manner that has been done in past cases examined for CTE. A sledge microtome relies on a moveable long blade to slice large hemispheric tissue slabs, as opposed to a conventional microtome that has a moveable paraffin block sliced by a fixed blade. Sledge microtomes are not used in mainstream diagnostic neuropathology or neuropathology research. This methodology allows for large slabs of brain to be sliced into 50–100-μm thick sections, floated into reagent, and hand-immunostained, allowing the researcher to stain a slice that is 10 or more times the thickness of standard immunohistochemistry, many times the surface area, and many times the volume. It has been reported that this technique may detect CTE pathology in ~20% of cases that are not otherwise detectable by routine histopathological and immunohistochemical...
methods that are commonly used in neuropathology research and clinical practice (McKee et al., 2016).

Eighth, a large amount of research is needed on the presumed clinical characteristics of CTE. Research is needed to examine the extent to which certain clinical features correlate with pathology that is believed to be associated with CTE. To date, there is very little CTE research using rigorous clinico-pathological correlational methods. Prior studies imply that virtually any neurological or psychiatric symptoms and problems present prior to death, in someone with post-mortem CTE neuropathology, are manifestations of CTE (i.e. TES). Lee and colleagues (2019) have made a first step in the direction of more refined research in this area. They proposed an ‘integrated’ diagnostic approach to CTE. They examined post-mortem pathology from 11 former soccer and rugby athletes with known dementia prior to death. CTE pathology was common, as was Alzheimer’s disease pathology, cerebrovascular disease, and ARTAG. The authors suggest three diagnostic categories for CTE: (i) patients with dementia but no evidence of CTE neuropathological change; (ii) patients with an integrated diagnosis of CTE dementia; and (iii) patients with CTE neuropathological change as a co-morbidity in the context of an alternate integrated diagnosis (such as Alzheimer’s disease or vascular dementia). Their findings further support the notion that CTE neuropathological change can represent incidental pathology without clinical effect (as has been observed in other dementias such as Alzheimer’s disease) (Lee et al., 2019).

Finally, research is needed to determine how to differentiate CTE and TES from other common psychiatric disorders. The proposed criteria for TES, set out in Box 3, are known to occur in combination in individuals from the general population who have no known history of repetitive neurotrauma. That is, the criteria for TES, especially for the ‘mood’ and ‘behavioural’ subtypes, are commonly comorbid in individuals from the general population. For example, depression commonly co-occurs with anxiety (American Psychiatric Association, 2000, 2013) and headaches (Chai et al., 2012). Males with depression frequently have anger control problems and anger attacks (Payne et al., 2005, 2011; Winkler et al., 2005; Busch, 2009; Martin et al., 2013). Individuals from the USA general population with diagnoses of anxiety disorders, dysthymia, and major depression are three to four times more likely to admit to violent behaviours than those with no disorders, and individuals with drug and alcohol abuse are eight times more likely to report violent behaviours (Corrigan and Watson, 2005). People with serious and persistent anger control problems, such as intermittent explosive disorder, are at risk for developing substance abuse disorders (Coccaro et al., 2017). People with substance abuse disorders have higher rates of depression (Lai et al., 2015) and anxiety (Lai et al., 2015), and the relationship between substance abuse and mental health problems appears to be bidirectional (Bulloch et al., 2012). Some individuals with substance abuse disorders had difficulty with comorbid headache conditions (El-Mallakh et al., 1991; Beckmann et al., 2012; Rossi et al., 2012; McDermott et al., 2014). Anhedonia, i.e. a markedly diminished interest or pleasure in activities, is associated with both mood and substance abuse disorders [see criterion v(c) in Box 3] (Destoop et al., 2019). It is common for individuals with problematic gambling [see criterion v(a) in Box 3] to have comorbid psychiatric disorders, such as current mood disorders, alcohol use disorders, and anxiety disorders (Dowling et al., 2015; Sundqvist and Rosendahl, 2019). Males with gambling problems are at increased risk for depression and suicidality (Ronzitti et al., 2017; Sundqvist and Rosendahl, 2019), and suicide rates are significantly elevated in individuals with gambling disorders. In one population study, individuals with gambling disorders had a 15-fold increase in suicide mortality compared to the general population (Karlsson and Hakansson, 2018). Therefore, the proposed nosology set out for TES requires careful study and refinement because it combines well-established psychiatric comorbidities in the general population, such as depression, anxiety, suicidality, anger, apathy/anhedonia, headaches, and substance abuse, and classifies them as TES. There is a need to identify rates of false positive diagnoses of TES in the general population and in individuals with psychiatric and neurological disorders with no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma.

Conclusions

In the modern descriptions of autopsy cases of former boxers, football players, and other athletes between 2005 and 2012, the neuropathology attributed to CTE was broad and diverse. This ‘CTE pathology’ included (i) TBI pathology in situ (e.g. remote contusion); (ii) microscopic pathology that can be associated with advanced age, such as PART and ARTAG; (iii) the accumulation of amyloid-B; (iv) macroscopic pathology associated with ageing, such as cortical thinning and heterospatial atrophy; and (v) macroscopic and microscopic pathology associated with canonical sporadic neurodegenerative diseases. This diverse pathology, arising from multiple causes, was aggregated and referred to, in toto, as the pathology ‘characteristic’ of CTE (McKee et al., 2009; Gavett et al., 2011a; Baugh et al., 2012). In 2015 (published in 2016), preliminary consensus criteria were developed for defining the neuropathology of CTE. Most of the neuropathology described as ‘characteristic’ of CTE in studies published before 2015 (Table 1) is not included in the new research criteria for defining the pathology of CTE (Box 2).

There is steadily emerging evidence that the neuropathology described as unique to CTE may not be completely unique. CTE pathology has been identified in individuals with no known participation in collision or contact sports and no known exposure to repetitive neurotrauma (Fournier et al., 2015; Ling et al., 2015; Koga et al., 2016; Noy et al., 2016; Puvenna et al., 2016; Iverson et
Moreover, throughout history, some clinical cases have been described as not being progressive—and there is now evidence that CTE neuropathology might not be progressive in some individuals. The CTE pathology found in a large study in the UK (Ling *et al.*, 2015) was mostly conceptualized as stage I, and in a large study in Canada it was sparse, almost always less than what has been described as stage I (Noy *et al.*, 2016), and not supportive of progressive pathology or a substrate for a progressive clinical disease. Similarly, some retired professional football players or boxers have sparse p-tau (‘stage I’ or ‘stage II’ CTE) (McKee *et al.*, 2013), and some of these individuals died in their 80s—which seems to be inconsistent with the pathology being inexorably progressive.

Over the past 5 years, there have been numerous reviews that have concluded that there are tremendous gaps in our knowledge relating to both the neuropathology and the clinical features of CTE (Gardner *et al.*, 2014; Solomon and Sills, 2014; Iverson *et al.*, 2015, 2018; Asken *et al.*, 2016, 2017; Agoston *et al.*, 2017; Aldag *et al.*, 2017; Asken and Bauer, 2018; Solomon, 2018; Zuckerman *et al.*, 2018). In fact, in March of 2019, Stewart and colleagues published a statement from 61 authors from diverse disciplines and multiple countries expressing concern that the media attention, and how CTE has been portrayed in the medical and scientific literature, risks causing harm. The statement emphasized that the clinical syndrome of CTE has not been fully defined, its prevalence is not known, the neuropathological criteria are preliminary, a single focus of pathology is insufficient to diagnose a disease, and we have an incomplete understanding of the extent and/or distribution of neuropathology required to produce clinical symptoms or neurological deficits (Stewart *et al.*, 2019).

In conclusion, it is not known the extent to which the emergence, course, or severity of clinical symptoms are caused by or correlated with CTE pathology. It is also unclear whether symptoms can be predicted by specific combinations of CTE pathology and other co-morbid neuropathologies, thresholds for accumulation of pathology, or regional distributions of pathologies. More research is needed to determine the extent to which the perivascular deposition of p-tau in neurons and astrocytes is present in individuals with no known history of repetitive neurotrauma, and to determine whether or not, or the extent to which, the neuropathology of CTE is unique, distinct, progressive, and associated with specific clinical phenotypes.
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