Failure of tofacitinib to achieve an objective response in a DDX3X-MLLT10 T-lymphoblastic leukemia with activating JAK3 mutations
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Abstract T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma/T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-LBL/T-ALL) is an aggressive hematological malignancy arising from malignant transformation of T-cell progenitors with poor prognosis in adult patients. Outcomes are particularly dismal in the relapsed/refractory setting, and therapeutic options are limited in this context. Genomic profiling has shown frequent aberrations in the JAK-STAT pathway, including recurrent mutations in JAK3 (15%–20% of T-ALL cases), suggesting that JAK kinase inhibition may be a promising therapeutic approach. Activating JAK3 mutations are capable of transforming cytokine-dependent progenitor cells in vitro and causing T-ALL-like disease when expressed in hematopoietic progenitors in vivo. We describe a case of relapsed T-ALL in an adult patient, with two JAK3 activating mutations identified by whole-exome sequencing (WES), leading to hypothesis-based treatment with the JAK1 and JAK3 inhibitor, tofacitinib, following failure of salvage chemotherapy reinduction. Despite the molecularly targeted rationale, tofacitinib did not induce an objective clinical response. Our report suggests that the presence of activating JAK3 mutations does not necessarily confer sensitivity to pharmacological JAK3 inhibition.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

INTRODUCTION

T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma/T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-LBL/T-ALL) is an aggressive hematological malignancy arising from malignant transformation of T-cell progenitors that accounts for 15% of pediatric and 25% adult ALL cases (Borowitz et al. 2017). Although childhood T-ALL remission rates and long-term survival have steadily improved, prognosis in adult patients remains poor, particularly in relapsed or refractory cases. Consequently, more effective treatment is urgently needed in this patient group, and recently developed therapies with novel mechanisms of action are currently under investigation.

The DDX3X-MLLT10 fusion is a primary abnormality in T-ALL and cases harboring this fusion cluster within the HOXA subgroup by gene expression profiling (Brandimarte et al.
Genomic profiling studies in T-ALL have shown frequent aberrations within the Janus kinase (JAK)-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway, such as recurrent mutations in JAK1 and JAK3, suggesting that JAK kinase inhibition may be a promising therapeutic approach. JAK1/JAK3 mutations are recurrent and enriched in the HOXA subgroup and in patients with MLLT10 gene rearrangements, but JAK1/JAK3 mutations do not appear to have been described previously in DDX3X-MLLT10 T-ALL (Liu et al. 2017). JAK3 mutations are present in 15%–20% of T-ALL cases (Bains et al. 2012; De Keersmaecker et al. 2012; Kalender Atak et al. 2012, 2013; Zhang et al. 2012; Yin et al. 2014; Greenplate et al. 2018). Activating (gain-of-function) JAK3 mutations induce constitutive JAK activation and STAT phosphorylation and have also been identified in other hematological malignancies including T-cell and myeloid neoplasms (Cornejo et al. 2009). Mutant JAK3 is capable of transforming cytokine-dependent progenitor cells in vitro and causing T-ALL-like disease when expressed in hematopoietic progenitors in vivo (Degryse et al. 2014).

Here, we report the identification of two JAK3 activating mutations by whole-exome sequencing (WES) in a patient presenting with relapsed T-ALL with DDX3X-MLLT10 fusion, leading to hypothesis-based treatment with the JAK1 and JAK3 inhibitor, tofacitinib. Despite the molecularly targeted rationale, tofacitinib did not induce an objective clinical response. We conclude that the presence of activating JAK3 mutations does not necessarily evoke sensitivity to pharmacological JAK3 inhibition.

RESULTS

Clinical Presentation

A 69-yr-old woman presented with exertional dyspnea and pruritus. A mediastinal mass was identified on computerized tomography (CT) imaging.

Transbronchial cryobiopsy of the mediastinal mass identified diffuse sheets of intermediate-sized, atypical lymphoid cells with minimal cytoplasm and enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei, expressing CD3, CD4, CD7, CD10, and TdT by immunohistochemical staining, consistent with a diagnosis of T-lymphoblastic lymphoma (T-LBL). Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging confirmed nodal disease limited to the mediastinum and left hilum, in keeping with Ann Arbor stage II. There was no evidence of extranodal involvement on bone marrow biopsy and magnetic resonance imaging of the central nervous system. She commenced treatment with HyperCVAD/methotrexate-cytarabine induction chemotherapy (Kantarjian et al. 2004) and achieved complete structural and metabolic remission after four cycles, followed by consolidative radiotherapy to the mediastinal mass. Allogeneic stem cell transplant was discussed with the patient at this point but was not pursued on the basis of her advanced age and treatment preferences.

The patient presented eight months later with fatigue. Full blood examination revealed circulating medium-sized blasts comprising 81% of nucleated cellularity accompanied by pancytopenia: hemoglobin 6.4 g/dL, absolute neutrophil count 0.01 × k/µL, platelet count 9 × k/µL. A bone marrow aspirate and trephine biopsy were obtained for morphologic assessment, flow cytometry, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and chromosome studies. The marrow was markedly hypercellular (90%) and predominantly composed of lymphoblasts (90%–95% of marrow cellularity) with reduced trilineage hematopoiesis (Fig. 1A). Flow cytometry of the bone marrow aspirate demonstrated a blast population comprising 99% of leukocytes, which expressed the following antigens: CD1a (partial dim), cCD3, CD4 (dim), CD5 (dim), CD7, CD10, and TdT. Blasts were negative for CD19 and MPO. The morphologic and immunophenotypic features confirmed relapsed T-ALL.
Treatment Outcomes

The patient commenced FLAG (fludarabine, cytarabine, filgrastim) salvage chemotherapy (Montillo et al. 2009). Her treatment was complicated by febrile neutropenia attributable to a urinary tract infection. Despite intensive chemotherapy, the patient failed to clear blasts from her peripheral blood, which were confirmed to be T-ALL by immunophenotyping. At this point, no clinical trials were available and it was deemed futile to administer further intensive chemotherapy. Given the finding of activating JAK3 mutations identified on sequencing at diagnosis and at relapse (see Methods section and Tables 1 and 2), we hypothesized that JAK-inhibition with tofacitinib might rationally evoke an anti-tumor response. Tofacitinib (marketed as Xeljanz) has potent inhibitory activity against JAK1 and JAK3 (Flanagan et al. 2010; Meyer et al. 2010). We posited that this was preferable to the more JAK2-selective agent, ruxolitinib, which does not inhibit JAK3 activity. The patient was informed that tofacitinib treatment was not approved for leukemia treatment and consented to a trial of therapy after considering the potential risks. Tofacitinib was supplied under a Pfizer non-commercial supply compassionate access program following notification of the Monash Health Drug and Therapeutic Committee and Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration. She commenced treatment with tofacitinib at a dose of 5 mg BD on Day 17 post-FLAG. A bone marrow aspirate was performed on Day 21 post-FLAG, which showed persistent marrow infiltration with blasts (97% of nucleated cellularity). She started weekly oral dexamethasone 40 mg and continued on tofacitinib. In the absence of any further evidence of an objective response, tofacitinib was ceased from day 36 post-FLAG (Fig. 2).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>DNA locus and change (GRCh37/hg19)</th>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>cDNA variation</th>
<th>HGVS protein reference</th>
<th>Variant type</th>
<th>VAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>g.25466766C &gt; T</td>
<td>NM_022552.4</td>
<td>c.1936 + 1G &gt; A</td>
<td>p.?</td>
<td>Canonical splice donor site SNV</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>g.139391782..139391785delinsCTTGTCGCTGGC</td>
<td>NM_017617.3</td>
<td>c.6406_6409delinsGCCAGCGAACAAG</td>
<td>p.(Ser2136AlafsTer115)</td>
<td>Truncating frameshift deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>g.139397700G &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_017617.3</td>
<td>c.5101G &gt; A</td>
<td>p.(Ala1701Thr)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>g.7577084..7577103delinsCCCTTGGGG</td>
<td>NM_000546.5</td>
<td>c.835_854delinsCCTTGGGG</td>
<td>p.(Gly279_Glu285delinsProTrpGly)</td>
<td>In-frame deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNA13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>g.63010721T &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_006572.4</td>
<td>c.788A &gt; T</td>
<td>p.(Asn263Ile)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>g.17945970G &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_000215.3</td>
<td>c.1969C &gt; T</td>
<td>p.(Arg657Trp)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>g.17949108C &gt; T</td>
<td>NM_000215.3</td>
<td>c.1533G &gt; A</td>
<td>p.(Met511Ile)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>g.32417914delinsCC</td>
<td>NM_024426.4</td>
<td>c.1138delinsGG</td>
<td>p.(Arg380GlyfsTer5)</td>
<td>Truncating frameshift deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBXW7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>g.153249385G &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_033632.3</td>
<td>c.1393C &gt; T</td>
<td>p.(Arg465Cys)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(HGVS) Human Genome Variation Society, (VAF) variant allele frequency, (SNV) single-nucleotide variant.

*Note: bioinformatics bias against deletion containing reads.*
Table 2. At relapse (bone marrow)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>DNA locus and change (GRCh37/hg19)</th>
<th>Transcript</th>
<th>cDNA Variation</th>
<th>HGVS protein reference</th>
<th>Variant type</th>
<th>VAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>g.25466766C &gt; T</td>
<td>NM_022552.4</td>
<td>c.1936 + 1G &gt; A</td>
<td>p.?</td>
<td>Canonical splice donor site SNV</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>g.139391782_139391785 delinsCTTGTCGCTGCG</td>
<td>NM_017617.3</td>
<td>c.6406_6409delinsGCCAGCGACAAG</td>
<td>p.(Ser2136AlafsTer115)</td>
<td>Truncating frameshift deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>g.139397700C &gt; T</td>
<td>NM_017617.3</td>
<td>c.5101G &gt; A</td>
<td>p.(Ala1701Thr)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTCH1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>g.139397646_139397647insGCG</td>
<td>NM_017617.3</td>
<td>c.5154_5155insCGC</td>
<td>p. (Ile1718_Glu1719insArg)</td>
<td>In-frame insertion variant</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>g.7577084_7577103 delinsCCCCAAGG</td>
<td>NM_000546.5</td>
<td>c.835_854delinsCCTTGAGG</td>
<td>p.(Gly279_Glu285delinsProTrpGly)</td>
<td>In-frame deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>g.17949707G &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_000215.3</td>
<td>c.1969C &gt; T</td>
<td>p.(Arg657Trp)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>g.17949108C &gt; T</td>
<td>NM_000215.3</td>
<td>c.1533G &gt; A</td>
<td>p. (Met511Ile)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>g.32417914delinsCC</td>
<td>NM_024426.4</td>
<td>c.1138delinsGG</td>
<td>p.(Arg380GlyfsTer5)</td>
<td>Truncating frameshift deletion-insertion variant</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBXW7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>g.153249385G &gt; A</td>
<td>NM_033632.3</td>
<td>c.1393C &gt; T</td>
<td>p.(Arg465Cys)</td>
<td>Missense SNV</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Karyotype
46,X,t(X;10;2)(p11.4;p12;q31),del(9)(p13)(p13)(p21)(7)(q47),idem,+2(24)(46),idem,?((4;14)(q13;q21)),t(12;22)(p12;q12;q11.2)(7)(q46),X,der(X)t(X;2)(p11.4;q31),der(1)t(1;2)(q21;q21),p23),der(2)t (1;2)(q21;p23)(t2;10)(q31;p12),add(3)(p13),add(5)(q13),add(9)(q22),del(9)(p1713p21),der(10)t(X;10)(p11.4;p12),-13,-13,+mar1,+mar2(3)

(HGVS) Human Genome Variation Society, (VAF) variant allele frequency, (SNV) single-nucleotide variant.
Mutations in DNA-methylation regulators (e.g., DNMT3A, TET2) induce epigenetic changes that may be modulated by DNA-methyltransferase inhibitors such as decitabine and azacitidine. In particular, TET2 mutations predict a higher response rate to hypomethylating agents in myelodysplasia (Bejar et al. 2014). JAK-STAT pathway activation increases anti-apoptotic BCL2 family protein expression in lymphoid cancer (Waibel et al. 2014), and we noted high BCL2 expression in our patient’s bone marrow trephine (Fig. 1B). Moreover, combination therapy with decitabine and the BCL2 inhibitor, venetoclax, was recently reported to induce clinical remission in another patient with relapsed and refractory T-ALL (Rahmat et al. 2018).

Having received a cycle of azacitidine, venetoclax was obtained through the AbbVie compassionate access program and commenced at 100 mg daily. There was no evidence of tumor lysis syndrome, but venetoclax dose escalation was not attempted as the patient was receiving posaconazole antifungal prophylaxis (which inhibits venetoclax metabolism). A second cycle of azacitidine was commenced on Day 40 post-FLAG. At this point there had still been no objective evidence of an antileukemic response and the patient developed febrile neutropenia with significant clinical deterioration. A further bone marrow biopsy (Day 54 post-FLAG) showed ongoing leukemia with 87% blasts. In view of her poor response to treatment, the decision was made to withdraw active treatment and the patient died shortly after this.

DISCUSSION

Disease-free survival is poor in adults with a diagnosis of T-ALL, particularly when compared to pediatric T-ALL patients. Outcomes are particularly dismal in the relapsed/refractory setting, and <10% of patients survive long-term (Marks and Rowntree 2017). There are limited therapeutic options available in this context. Here, we describe a patient initially diagnosed with mediastinal T-LBL who achieved remission with induction chemotherapy but relapsed with T-ALL within eight months. WES on the diagnostic biopsy specimen identified two JAK3 hotspot missense variants, p.Met511Ile and p.Arg657Trp, both previously established as activating mutations (Degryse et al. 2014). Targeted gene sequencing on the bone
marrow aspirate specimen at relapse confirmed the persistence of these mutations. Subsequently, targeted JAK-STAT pathway inhibition with tofacitinib was introduced following salvage chemotherapy reinduction.

Interleukin 7 (IL7) signaling is essential for normal T-cell development and plays a major role in differentiation and homeostasis. IL7 interacts with heterodimeric IL7 receptor (IL7R), which induces reciprocal JAK1 and JAK3 phosphorylation and subsequent recruitment and activation of STAT5. Phosphorylated STAT5 dimerizes and translocates to the nucleus where it regulates gene transcription (e.g., BCL2 family genes) (Waibel et al. 2014). Unlike other JAK family kinases, JAK3 expression and function is mostly restricted to the hematopoietic compartment (Cornejo et al. 2009; Degryse and Cools 2015; Girardi et al. 2017).

Activating mutations in this pathway (ILR7, JAK1, JAK3, STAT5) are present in 20%–30% of T-ALL cases (Zhang et al. 2012; Vicente et al. 2015; Girardi et al. 2017). JAK3 mutations are most frequently seen (Bains et al. 2012; De Keersmaecker et al. 2012; Kalender Atak et al. 2012, 2013; Zhang et al. 2012; Yin et al. 2014; Greenplate et al. 2018). Functional studies investigating the oncogenic role of JAK3 in T-ALL have shown the JAK3 p.Met511Ile pseudokinase domain mutant to be the most efficient oncosinease with the highest transforming properties (Yamashita et al. 2010). In vivo data support the transforming role of mutant JAK3 in a mouse bone marrow transplant model of JAK3-induced T-ALL (Degryse et al. 2014). One-third of JAK3-mutated T-ALL cases harbor two JAK3 mutations, which can be monoallelic or less frequently biallelic (Degryse et al. 2018). JAK3 p.Met511Ile increases oncogenic potential via acquisition of additional mutations in the mutant JAK3 allele (Degryse et al. 2018). Double JAK3 mutants show increased STAT5 activation compared to single JAK3 mutants, suggesting that progression of JAK3 mutant T-ALL cases may be associated with acquisition of additional JAK3 mutations and subsequent increase in JAK/STAT signaling (Degryse et al. 2018).

In our patient, all variants identified by WES demonstrated concordant allele frequency, including both JAK3 variants, suggesting they were present in the same leukemic cells, rather than separate clones. The p.Met511Ile and p.Arg657Trp variants had concordant variant allele frequencies (VAFs) of 31% and 37%, respectively, at diagnosis, and concordant VAFs of 97% and 93%, respectively in the relapsed leukemia sample, suggesting that both variants are likely on the same allele with either copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity of 19p13 or deletion of the second JAK3 allele. The two JAK3 variants are 436 bp apart and are too far apart to phase on short-read sequencing. Large-fragment amplification of JAK3 encompassing both variants was performed to experimentally validate the hypothesis that both variants were present on the same allele, but was unsuccessful.

Loss-of-function mutations affecting JAK3 signaling in patients with severe combined immunodeficiency disease have been shown to severely impair lymphoid development (Pesu et al. 2005). On this basis, the potential therapeutic effect of JAK3 inhibition has been investigated as targeted immunosuppression for prevention of solid organ graft rejection and in autoimmune inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis. A number of JAK inhibitors have been studied, with variable selectivity against JAK3 compared to other JAK family members. Originally described as a selective JAK3 inhibitor, tofacitinib also inhibits JAK1 and its efficacy may be due to the combined inhibition of both kinases (Thoma et al. 2014). Tofacitinib has received U.S. Federal Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of refractory rheumatoid arthritis.

Different activating mutations of JAK3 confer differential sensitivity to JAK inhibitors (Losdyck et al. 2015; Steven Martinez et al. 2016). Preclinical data suggest that most, but not all, JAK3 mutants transform T-ALL cell lines to cytokine-independent proliferation in vitro and cause leukemia in vivo. However, JAK3 pseudokinase domain mutants (including p.Met511Ile and p.Arg657Trp mutants, identified in our patient) require JAK1 for their transforming potential. In contrast, JAK3 kinase domain mutations can induce cell transformation
independent from JAK1. In line with these findings, JAK3 pseudokinase domain mutants demonstrated more sensitivity to ruxolitinib (a JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor) than JAK3 kinase domain mutants, whereas both JAK3 pseudokinase and kinase domain mutants were sensitive to tofacitinib. Tofacitinib and ruxolitinib demonstrated synergistic inhibition in JAK3 pseudokinase domain mutants (Degryse et al. 2014). The effect of double JAK3 mutants on sensitivity to JAK inhibitors is unclear. Degryse and colleagues identified two cases of p.Met511Ile and p.Arg657Trp double mutants but did not test sensitivity to ruxolitinib (Degryse et al. 2018). To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports of tofacitinib sensitivity testing for this double mutant so it is unknown if tofacitinib is active against the double mutant.

Activating JAK3 mutations have been identified in other T-cell malignancies and are seen most frequently in T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia (T-PLL) at a rate of 30%–40% (Bellanger et al. 2013; Bergmann et al. 2014; Kiel et al. 2014; Stengel et al. 2015; López et al. 2016). Targeted JAK3 inhibition with tofacitinib has elicited responses in several cases of T-PLL, both as a single agent (Li et al. 2017) and in combination with ruxolitinib (Gomez-Arteaga et al. 2019). Interestingly, both of these cases harbored the JAK3 hotspot activating mutation, p.Met511Ile, as well as a second JAK3 missense mutation in each case (p.Ala573Val pseudokinase domain mutation and p.Leu875His kinase domain mutation, respectively). JAK3 mutations are not common in T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia (T-LGLL), but a proportion of patients demonstrate activating STAT3 mutations; in a cohort of nine patients with T-LGLL (four harboring STAT3 mutations), tofacitinib induced a hematologic response in six patients (Bilori et al. 2015).

To our knowledge, this is the first report describing tofacitinib in a patient with T-ALL harboring gain-of-function JAK3 mutations. We cannot conclude that the tofacitinib exposure did not have a cytostatic (rather than apoptotic) effect, but no significant clinical activity was observed either as a single agent or when combined with dexamethasone. It is possible that therapeutic levels of tofacitinib were not achieved, although this seems unlikely as the patient did not have any signs or symptoms of malabsorption and was taking concurrent potent cytochrome p450 inhibitors (i.e., posaconazole). In a preclinical mouse model, in vivo response was observed within 6 days of commencing treatment with tofacitinib, suggesting sufficient duration of exposure to tofacitinib in our patient (Degryse et al. 2014). This case may indicate that established leukemia may become independent of JAK3 signaling or that unimpeded JAK2 signaling was a pro-survival mechanism in this case. Indeed, one report evaluating the ex vivo sensitivity of T-PLL patient samples to JAK inhibitors showed poor correlation between specific mutations in the JAK-STAT pathway and response (Andersson et al. 2017). Furthermore, in a preclinical model, pSTAT5 expression in M511I + A573V and M511I + A572T double mutants in Ba/F3 were higher than pSTAT5 expression in single mutants, so it is possible that drug concentrations may have been inadequate for inhibition of JAK-STAT signaling in the presence of JAK3 double mutants (Degryse et al. 2018).

The role of other mutations in potential resistance to tofacitinib is speculative. The TP53 mutation variant allele frequency increased between diagnosis and relapse from 19% (Table 1) to 86% (Table 2), consistent with copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity (CN-LOH) or deletion of the second allele. TP53 loss-of-function mutation is known to drive resistance to DNA damaging agents and is a predictor of poor prognosis in adults treated with chemotherapy, but its impact on response to tofacitinib remains unknown (Dicianni et al. 1994; Hof et al. 2011). However, Tp53 null mice with B-ALL and oncogene addiction to JAK-STAT signaling still responded to tofacitinib and ruxolitinib (Cheng et al. 2016). This would indicate that responses to JAK inhibition may be agnostic to p53 status; however, more functional studies and preclinical models are needed.

JAK-STAT pathway activation has been shown to up-regulate anti-apoptotic BCL2 family protein expression in lymphoid cancer (Waibel et al. 2014). In this case, high BCL2 protein
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expression was seen on immunohistohemical staining of the patient’s bone marrow trephine (Fig. 1B). Venetoclax (ABT-199) is a highly specific BCL-2 inhibitor. Preclinical studies have shown in vitro and in vivo sensitivity of some T-ALL cell lines to venetoclax, either alone or in combination with other agents (Peirs et al. 2014). A report of venetoclax combined with low-intensity chemotherapy reported a durable response in one patient with early T-cell precursor ALL, and a complete but transient response in one patient with T-ALL (Numan et al. 2018). This was consistent with preclinical data demonstrating particular susceptibility of ETP-ALL to BCL2 inhibition, because of lesser dependence on BCL-XL compared to more mature subtypes of T-ALL (Chonghaile et al. 2014). In our case there was no apparent response to venetoclax, indicating BCL2-independent pro-survival mechanisms that could be explained by the up-regulation of BCL-XL in JAK-STAT dysregulated neoplasms (Waibel et al. 2014). Although it is possible the lack of efficacy of venetoclax could be attributable to underdosing, it was postulated that there would be a p450 interaction with concurrent posaconazole administration, reducing the metabolism of venetoclax and thereby increasing the therapeutic level. The patient’s limited exposure to azacitidine (only two cycles) makes it inappropriate to speculate as to whether ongoing hypomethylating agent treatment in combination with venetoclax might have yielded a disease response.

Although this case report indicates that activating JAK3 mutations do not necessarily sensitize to tofacitinib therapy, further preclinical and clinical exploration of JAK inhibition in JAK-STAT dysregulated acute leukemia is clearly warranted. Furthermore, gene expression data and pathway analysis would potentially have assisted with targeted approaches to management in this case, supporting the role of robust and representative assays in clinical management.

METHODS

WES with targeted analysis of genes related to lymphoid malignancies was performed on DNA extracted from the initial diagnostic left hilar lung mass cryobiopsy and genomic DNA derived from buccal swab normal specimens. Tumor purity was estimated as 90%, assessed on hematoxylin and eosin–stained tissue obtained by cryobiopsy. Libraries were prepared using Agilent Clinical Research Exome 1 (CRE1) (Agilent) followed by massively parallel sequencing using a HiSeq 3000 instrument (Illumina) with 100-bp paired-end reads. Tumor-normal comparison to generate somatic variant calls was conducted using the Seqliner bioinformatic pipeline (http://seqliner.org). Mean target region sequencing coverage was 140× (tumor) and 60× (germline) (Supplemental Table S1).

A list of target genes was selected following a review of the literature regarding recurrent mutations in lymphoid malignancies, performed by three independent contributors (Supplemental Table S2). After filtering, seven nonsynonymous somatic variants presumed to be functionally important were identified in the tumor: five single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and two short insertions and deletions (indels) involving DNMT3A, GNA13, JAK3, NOTCH1, and TP53 (Table 1). We identified two JAK3 hotspot missense SNVs: NM_000215.3: c.1533G > A, p.Met511Ile and NM_000215.3: c.1969C > T, p.Arg657Trp. Both variants occur in the pseudokinase (JH2) domain (Fig. 3) and have been established as activating mutations in JAK3 (Degryse et al. 2014). The two JAK3 variants are 436 bp apart and were thus too far apart to phase on short-read sequencing. Large-fragment amplification of JAK3 encompassing both variants was unsuccessful.

Genomic studies were performed on the bone marrow aspirate specimen taken at relapse. Conventional chromosome studies showed the following karyotype: 46,X,t(X;10;2)(p11.4;p12;q31),del(9)(p?13p?21)t(7;47)idem,+20(4)/46,idem,+?t(4;14)(q13;q21),t(12;22)(p12; q11.2)(7)/46,X,der(2)t(X;2)(p11.4;q31), der(1)t(1;2)(q21;p23),der(2)t(1;2)(q21;p23)t(2;10)(q31;
p12), add(3)(p13), add(5)(q13), add(9)(q22), del(9)(p?13p?21), der(10)t(X;10)(p11.4;p12), −13, −13, +mar1, +mar2[3] (Table 2). This identified a three-way X;10;2 translocation and deletion of part of 9p in all 22 metaphases analyzed. Multiple subclones were present. Four metaphases also showed trisomy 20, whereas another seven contained reciprocal 4;14 and 12;22 translocations. Three metaphases were more complex. They showed a reciprocal 1;2 translocation involving 1q and the short arm of the derivative chromosome 2, abnormalities of 3p, 5q, 9q, and apparent nullisomy 13 with two marker chromosomes. The t(X;10;2) appeared to be a variant of the X;10 translocation that forms a DDX3X-MLLT10 gene fusion. The t(X;10) is a rare but recurrent translocation in T-LBL/T-ALL and has an association with NOTCH1 mutation and deletion of 9p (Brandimarte et al. 2014).

Targeted massively parallel sequencing of 76 genes with diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic relevance in hematological malignancies was performed on DNA derived from the bone marrow aspirate specimen obtained at time of relapse. Libraries were prepared using the KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (KAPA Biosystems) and then enriched using a SureSelectXT Custom Capture Panel (Agilent) and sequenced on a NextSeq 500 instrument (Illumina) with 75-bp paired-end reads. This confirmed the presence of the variants identified on WES of the initial diagnostic biopsy, including the two JAK3 hotspot missense variants (Table 2). Three additional variants were identified. Two variants were in genes not included in the target gene review list for exome analysis: a WT1 frameshift mutation, NM_024426.4: c.1138delinsGG, p.Arg380Glyfs∗5, and a FBXW7 hotspot missense mutation, NM_033632.3: c.1393C > T, p.Arg465Cys. However, retrospective review of the exome sequencing data showed that both WT1 and FBXW7 mutations were present in the diagnostic sample (Table 1). A third NOTCH1 variant was also identified, an in-frame insertion, NM_017617.3: c.5154_5155insCGC, p.Ile1718_Glu1719insArg. This variant was not present on WES performed on the initial diagnostic specimen.
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