



## Review Article

# <sup>68</sup>Ga-prostate-specific membrane antigen-positron emission tomography/computed tomography in advanced prostate cancer: Current state and future trends



Cristian Udovicich <sup>a, b, c</sup>, Marlon Perera <sup>d</sup>, Michael S. Hofman <sup>e</sup>, Shankar Siva <sup>f</sup>,  
Andres Del Rio <sup>g</sup>, Declan G. Murphy <sup>h</sup>, Nathan Lawrentschuk <sup>d, h, i, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Surgery, Mildura Base Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Department of Surgery, Western Health, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Department of Surgery, Alfred Health, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Department of Surgery, Austin Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>e</sup> Centre for Molecular Imaging, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>f</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>g</sup> Department of Radiology, Austin Health, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>h</sup> Division of Cancer Surgery, Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

<sup>i</sup> Olivia Newton-John Cancer Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 4 February 2017

Accepted 19 February 2017

Available online 24 February 2017

## Keywords:

Biochemical recurrence

Positron emission tomography

Prostate cancer

Radical prostatectomy

Staging

## ABSTRACT

The early and accurate detection of prostate cancer is important to ensure timely management and appropriate individualized treatment. Currently, conventional imaging has limitations particularly in the early detection of metastases and at prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels < 2.0 ng/mL. Furthermore, disease management such as salvage radiotherapy is best at low PSA levels. Thus, it is critical to capture the disease in the oligometastatic stage as disease progression and commencement of systemic therapies can be delayed by metastasis-directed therapy. Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) is overexpressed in prostatic cancer cells. Novel imaging modalities using radiolabeled tracers with PSMA such as <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) have shown promising results. We review the literature regarding <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in the setting of primary prostate cancer and biochemical recurrence. At present, the best utilization of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT appears to be in biochemical recurrence. <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT has high diagnostic accuracy for lymph node metastases and has been shown to have superior detection rates to conventional imaging, especially at low PSA levels.

The exact role of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in primary prostate cancer is not yet entirely clear. It has an improved detection rate for smaller lesions and may be able to identify nodal or distant metastatic disease at an earlier stage. While still experimental, there may also be value in combining <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET to multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for staging of intraprostatic disease. To date, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT has been shown to have considerable clinical value and to impact treatment selection for patients with prostate cancer. Still in its infancy, the results of future clinical trials will be excitedly awaited.

© 2017 Asian Pacific Prostate Society, Published by Elsevier Korea LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Radiological diagnostics are critical in the evaluation of patients with suspected metastatic or biochemically recurrent prostate cancer (PCa).<sup>1,2</sup> The identification and localization of metastatic

disease may significantly alter treatment options available to the patient. Moreover, the importance of expeditious identification of metastatic spread is increasing being recognized, specifically, at the oligometastatic setting.<sup>3</sup> Multiple groups have now demonstrated oncological benefit of salvage lymph node dissection (LND) or

\* Corresponding author. Department of Surgery, Austin Health, The University of Melbourne, 145 Studley Road, Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia.  
E-mail address: [lawrentschuk@gmail.com](mailto:lawrentschuk@gmail.com) (N Lawrentschuk).

radiotherapy in the setting of low-burden metastatic disease.<sup>4,5</sup> Furthermore, in the setting of isolated metastatic deposits, stereotactic radiotherapy or metastasectomy to distant metastases may provide benefit. These findings are corroborated by a recent systematic review and meta-analysis.<sup>4</sup> Specifically, Ost et al<sup>6</sup> have demonstrated an improved progression-free survival for oligometastatic PCa recurrence for patients treated with high-dose stereotactic body radiotherapy (3-year progression-free survival 99% vs. 79% with low dose).

Traditionally, serial Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), computed tomography (CT), and bone scan have represented the mainstay of disease staging in advanced PCa.<sup>1,2,7,8</sup> Diagnostic limitations to CT and bone scan imaging approaches have prompted the use of positron emission tomography (PET) in staging for advanced PCa. Early PET/CT scans utilized either choline- or flourodeoxyglucose-based tracers. While the early results were promising, these probes have a limited capability—particularly during the early stages of metastatic spread or biochemical recurrence (BCR).<sup>9</sup> Thus, there is a need for an imaging modality that can detect metastatic disease progression at an earlier stage.

PSMA is a transmembrane protein that is expressed at the cell surface of prostatic cells.<sup>10</sup> PSMA is an ideal target in PCa, because its expression increases with increasing cellular dysplasia. Numerous antibodies to PSMA have been developed; some of which have been radiolabeled to facilitate nuclear imaging. More recently, small molecules that bind with high affinity to PSMA have been developed. Initially reported in 2012, the most widely studied type of PSMA based PET/CT is <sup>68</sup>Ga-labeled on the small molecular inhibitor PSMA-11 (also known as PSMA HBED-CC).<sup>11</sup> We aim to provide a comprehensive review of the current state of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in advanced PCa.

## 2. PSMA

PSMA is a 750-amino-acid, 100-kDa, type II transmembrane glycoprotein. It consists of an 18-amino-acid intracellular domain, a 25-amino-acid transmembrane region, and a 707-amino-acid extracellular portion.<sup>12</sup> The gene for PSMA (*FOLH1*) has been located on the short arm of chromosome 11 (11p11.2)<sup>13</sup> and other genes from this same portion have also been associated with PCa.<sup>14</sup> PSMA is highly specific for the prostatic tissue and has a limited extraprostatic expression. Nevertheless, PSMA may be expressed in some tissues including neural tissue, salivary glands, neuroendocrine tissue, small bowel, and kidney.<sup>15</sup> However, prostatic expression has shown to be 12 times greater than that of the next highest organ.<sup>16</sup>

Within the prostate, PSMA is expressed on the epithelium surrounding the prostatic ducts, on the apical region of the prostatic cells.<sup>17</sup> Dysplastic changes of the prostate results in the expression of PSMA on the surface of the prostatic ducts.<sup>18</sup> Indeed, PSMA expression is increased significantly in both prostatic adenocarcinoma tissue and lymph node metastases (LNMs) and is lowest in benign prostatic tissue.<sup>19</sup> Indeed, PSMA expression is 100–1000-fold higher in PCa cell membranes when compared to normal cells.<sup>15,20</sup> Furthermore, increasing stage and grade of PCa results in increased cell membrane PSMA expression.<sup>15,20</sup> The eventual progression to advanced PCa and castration resistance corresponds with further increases in expression of PSMA.<sup>21</sup> Sweat et al<sup>19</sup> demonstrated that only 2% of LNMs were negative for PSMA expression. Furthermore, Mannweiler et al<sup>22</sup> found ~5% of primary tumors and 15% of metastases (mostly skeletal) were PSMA negative. PSMA has also been found to be expressed in bladder cancer and renal cell carcinoma, however it has a specificity of 94.5% for PCa compared with any other type of malignancy.<sup>23,24</sup> As such, PSMA represents a promising target for imaging of PCa.

Small molecules similar in structure to peptides that bind with affinity to PSMA with subsequent cell internalization have been developed. PSMA-11 labeled to <sup>68</sup>Ga, a generator produced positron emitter, via the HBED chelator (<sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA HBED-CC or <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11) has been the most extensively studied in radiological diagnostics in advanced PCa.<sup>11</sup>

## 3. <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in BCR staging

A vast majority of evidence supporting the use of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT is reported in the setting of BCR.<sup>25</sup> In a recent meta-analysis,<sup>25</sup> a positive finding was detected in 76% of patients with BCR undergoing <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT. Indeed, this meta-analysis highlights that pre-PET PSA predicts the positivity rate of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in the setting of BCR. Specifically, on pooled analysis, positivity rates for PSA 0–0.19 ng/mL, 0.2–1.0 ng/mL, 1.0–1.99 ng/mL, and > 2.0 ng/mL were 42%, 58%, 76%, and 95%, respectively. Indeed, current data suggest that one of the advantages of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT is the detection rate at low PSA levels in the setting of BCR. A metaregression performed in the same meta-analysis demonstrated that a PSA of 1.0 ng/mL was associated with a 70% risk of positive <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT. Similar findings were observed in a recent study by Meredith et al.<sup>26</sup> In their large cohort of 425 patients with BCR after radical prostatectomy (RP), a detection rate of 53.3% was observed for PSA levels from 0.5 ng/mL to 1 ng/mL and 79.1% for 1 ng/mL to < 2 ng/mL. It should be noted that there may be variation when comparing the detection rates of different case series as test positivity is associated with the prevalence of disease in the underlying cohort. Nevertheless, high-volume comparable series have been published, assessing the positivity rates of traditional choline-based tracers in advanced PCa. Specifically, Graziani et al<sup>27</sup> demonstrated that at PSA < 1.16 ng/mL, the detection rate of choline-based tracers was 26.8%.<sup>27</sup>

Data pertaining to the direct comparison between <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT and choline-PET imaging on individual patients is available.<sup>28</sup> Afshar-Oromieh et al<sup>29</sup> demonstrated improved nodal detection rates in <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT compared with <sup>18</sup>F-choline-based PET/CT ( $P = 0.04$ ) in 37 patients with BCR. All lesions identified on choline PET/CT (26 patients) were detected on <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT and lesions in a further six patients were detected on <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT only. Another advantage of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was the significantly higher uptake intensity and tumor-to-background ratio. Similarly, Schwenck et al<sup>28</sup> reported an increased detection rate for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT compared with choline-PET in a retrospective analysis of 103 patients with BCR after primary treatment. On a per-lesion based analysis for LNMs, 39% were detected only by <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT and 6% only by choline-PET. Furthermore, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was able to detect significantly smaller lymph nodes. As alluded to above, at PSA < 1 ng/mL the detection rate for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT was 56% but only 22% for choline-PET. The addition of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT affected tumor–node metastasis staging in 30/103 patients. Specifically, nodal stage migration occurred in 15 patients due to <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT and two patients due to choline-PET. Regarding the differentiation of poly- or oligometastatic disease, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT and choline-PET were concordant in 80% of cases.

The sensitivity and specificity of <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT has received relatively limited attention in the current literature. This is, in part, because a comparative gold standard measure does not easily exist. Histopathology is not always feasible in the setting of BCR and can be subject to sampling error; particularly given the small volume of disease that is often detected on <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT. Rauscher et al<sup>30</sup> performed a comparison on <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT with traditional morphological imaging in detecting LNMs prior to salvage LND. From this, <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-PET/CT had a resulting

sensitivity and specificity of 78% and 97%. In comparison, morphological imaging [CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] had a sensitivity of 27% and specificity of 99%. The aforementioned meta-analyses identified 11 studies that reported correlative histopathological data with  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT. However, of these 11, only five were suitable for pooled analysis as several studies included series where patients underwent selective nodal dissection, which falsely inflates the specificity values.<sup>25,31</sup> From this meta-analysis, on a per-patient based analysis, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive value were 86%, 86%, 83%, and 89%, respectively. Similarly, on a per-lesion based analysis, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were 80%, 97%, 82%, and 97%, respectively (for nodal recurrences only). Comparative meta-analytical data from choline PET/CT was published by Evangelista et al,<sup>32</sup> including 19 individual series and representing 1,555 patients. For choline ( $^{11}\text{C}$  and  $^{18}\text{F}$ ), the sensitivity and specificity for LNM was 100% and 81.8%, respectively. While these values are consistent with those of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT, it must be noted that the inclusion criteria for the respective choline-based meta-analysis has not been clearly reported. Specifically, it is not clear whether biopsy and resulting histopathological correlation was clinical performed on clinical discretion. As discussed, the inclusion of such series unduly inflates sensitivity and specificity profiles.

#### 4. $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET CT in primary staging of high-risk PCa

The use of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in the primary staging of high-risk PCa is increasingly being reported.<sup>33</sup> Most of this has been performed in patients with intermediate- or high-risk disease.<sup>25</sup> In a retrospective analysis, Budaus et al<sup>34</sup> analyses 30 patients that underwent preoperative  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT imaging prior to RP and extended pelvic LND. Twelve of these patients were histopathologically positive for LNMs, and  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT detected four of these with no false-positive findings. After analysis, the sensitivity and specificity was 33.3% and 100%, respectively. A study of similar methodology was performed by van Leeuwen et al.<sup>35</sup> After histopathological correlation, 11 of 30 patients had LNM. The sensitivity and specificity was 56% and 98%, respectively. It appears that only the smallest of LNMs were undetected with a mean size of 2.7mm for  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT-negative lesions. The largest series performed in this cohort was reported by Maurer et al,<sup>36</sup> who compared  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSM-PET/CT to conventional imaging (CT and MRI) for the detection of LNM for patients prior to RP and pelvic LND.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT resulted in a sensitivity and specificity of 65.9% and 98.9% on a per-patient level compared with 44.9% and 85.4% for conventional imaging. Schewnck et al<sup>28</sup> compared  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT and choline-PET in the initial staging of PCa. In all 20 patients, uptake was detected in the prostate by both imaging modalities. While more lymph node and bone metastases were detected on a per-lesion level by  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT, there was no significant difference on a per-patient level.

While there is improved clarity in the role of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in BCR, the role in primary staging is yet to be definitively determined. The benefit of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in primary staging in this setting appears to be identifying metastatic disease earlier and in uncommon locations, such as the mesorectum.<sup>37</sup> The identification of such disease may considerably alter the treatment algorithms for a given patient. No doubt, higher-volume prospective series with oncological outcomes are required. A phase III randomized controlled trial comparing  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT to conventional imaging is currently being undertaken in Australia (ProPSMA study, Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry 1261700005358).

#### 5. $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET in staging of intraprostatic disease

Multiparametric MRI (mpMRI) represents a promising imaging modality in the diagnosis of primary PCa.<sup>38</sup>  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in combination with CT and mpMRI has increasingly been utilized in experimental protocols to diagnose and localize intraprostatic PCa.<sup>39–41</sup> Fendler et al<sup>39</sup> studied the value of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in localization of primary tumor lesions.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT was performed shortly before RP was correlated with histopathological six-segment prostate specimens. On a per-segment analysis,  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT had a sensitivity and specificity of 67% and 92%, respectively. However, of the 21 patients, two (10%) were  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT negative. The sensitivity for detection of seminal vesicle invasion was 73%, with a specificity of 100%. Several groups have explored the utility of the novel integrated PET/MRI unit in combination with the  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA ligand. Eiber et al<sup>41</sup> correlated findings from mpMRI, PET and combined  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA PET/MRI with histopathological findings from prostate biopsy for a cohort of intermediate and high-risk patients. On a per-patient basis, the sensitivity of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$  PSMA PET/MRI was superior (98%) to that of PET alone (92%) and mpMRI (66%). On a per-sextant basis comparing  $^{68}\text{Ga}$  PSMA PET/MRI to a recent meta-analysis,<sup>42</sup> a similar sensitivity (76% vs. 78%) with a superior specificity was found (97% vs. 79%). Current data suggest that  $^{68}\text{Ga}$  PSMA-PET/MRI may improve diagnostic yield and localization of primary intraprostatic PCa. Despite the experimental status of such techniques, the improved localization may play a role with the growing evidence base for focal therapies for localized PCa.<sup>43</sup> Moreover,  $^{68}\text{Ga}$  PSMA-PET/MRI may aid the prompt detection of local intraprostatic recurrence after radiotherapy; particularly in light of the increased feasibility of salvage prostatectomy.<sup>44</sup>

#### 6. Current state of $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA PET/CT in contemporary clinical practice

In current guidelines, there is limited recognition of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in either the setting of primary staging or BCR of PCa.<sup>45,46</sup> In the most recent updates, only the European Association of Urology guidelines make reference to  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT.<sup>1</sup> The extent of this reference highlights that only preliminary results have been reported to date and also reflects regional variation of accessibility of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT due to varying regulation around administration of novel radiopharmaceuticals. The recent establishment of national registries for PCa will hopefully capture the imaging modality utilized.<sup>47</sup>

Despite this,  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT imaging has been increasingly reported in routine clinical practice in countries where scanning is available. Moreover, several series have reported the considerable utility of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT, and it has been reported to alter management plans in 29–76% of patients.<sup>48–52</sup> Bluemel et al<sup>48</sup> reported on 45 patients with BCR after RP who were scheduled to receive salvage RT to the prostate bed. If  $\leq 5$  metastases were found, high-dose radiotherapy was given, and if  $> 5$  metastases were found, then androgen deprivation therapy was commenced. After  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT imaging, the treatment recommendation changed in 42.2% of cases.<sup>48</sup> However, in these series no other imaging modality has been used as a comparator and follow-up data are limited. Henkenberens et al<sup>51</sup> analyzed patients with BCR who underwent  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT-directed radiotherapy. Of the cohort, there was 100% local control after 12 months, which delayed the commencement of androgen deprivation therapy or other systemic therapies. In the context of patients under consideration of salvage radiotherapy to the prostate bed, early treatment before significant PSA rises (as low as  $< 0.20$  ng/mL) is associated with improved clinical outcomes.<sup>53</sup> Thus, due to low sensitivity at



these levels,  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT needs further investigation and possibly combination with other imaging modalities before it can be routinely recommended to screen patients for adjuvant or salvage radiotherapy.

## 7. Conclusions

Current evidence points towards a role for  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in the setting of BCR. The superiority of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT compared to current imaging modalities seems to be most marked at low PSA levels. Detecting PCA recurrence expeditiously is critical as metastases are more likely to be locally confined or oligometastatic at this point. Metastasis-directed therapies, both lymphadenectomy and radiotherapy, are of use in this setting. Additionally, recent updated analysis from the CHAARTED trial have also shown the value of differentiating high- or low-volume disease.<sup>54</sup> With a minority of studies focusing on  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT in primary PCA, there is less evidence for its use in this setting as the true sensitivity for the detection of LNM is not clear. Nevertheless, the majority of results so far were from retrospective case series. Studies validating imaging findings with histopathology are required as well as analysis of longer-term outcomes of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-PET/CT-directed therapy.

## Conflicts of interest

All authors have nothing to disclose.

## References

- Mottet N, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Briers E, Cumberbatch MG, De Santis M, et al. EAU-ESTRO-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. Part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent. *Eur Urol* 2017 Apr 25;71(4):618–29.
- Cornford P, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Briers E, De Santis M, Gross T, et al. EAU-ESTRO-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. Part II: treatment of relapsing, metastatic, and castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2017 Apr;71(4):630–42.
- Tosoian JJ, Gorin MA, Ross AE, Pienta KJ, Tran PT, Schaeffer EM. Oligometastatic prostate cancer: definitions, clinical outcomes, and treatment considerations. *Nat Rev Urol* 2016;14:15–25.
- Ost P, Bossi A, Decaestecker K, De Meerleer G, Giannarini G, Karnes RJ, et al. Metastasis-directed therapy of regional and distant recurrences after curative treatment of prostate cancer: a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Urol* 2015;67:852–63.
- Yao HH, Hong MK, Corcoran NM, Siva S, Foroudi F. Advances in local and ablative treatment of oligometastasis in prostate cancer. *Asia Pac J Clin Oncol* 2014;10:308–21.
- Ost P, Jerezek-Fossa BA, As N Van, Zilli T, Muacevic A, Olivier K, et al. Progression-free survival following stereotactic body radiotherapy for oligometastatic prostate cancer treatment-naïve recurrence: a multi-institutional analysis. *Eur Urol* 2016;69:9–12.
- Yassaie O, McLaughlin B, Perera M, Manning T, Lawrentschuk N, Malcolm A. Primary care follow-up of radical prostatectomy patients: a regional New Zealand experience. *Prostate Int* 2016;4:136–9.
- McGrath S, Christidis D, Perera M, Hong SK, Manning T, Vela I, et al. Prostate cancer biomarkers: Are we hitting the mark? *Prostate Int* 2016;4:130–5.
- Evangelista L, Briganti A, Fanti S, Joniau S, Reske S, Schiavina R, et al. New clinical indications for  $^{18}\text{F}/^{11}\text{C}$ -choline, new tracers for positron emission tomography and a promising hybrid device for prostate cancer staging: a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:161–75.
- Maurer T, Eiber M, Schwaiger M, Gschwend JE. Current use of PSMA-PET in prostate cancer management. *Nat Rev Urol* 2016;13:226–35.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Malcher A, Eder M, Eisenhut M, Linhart HG, Hadaschik BA, et al. PET imaging with a [ $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ]-gallium-labelled PSMA ligand for the diagnosis of prostate cancer: biodistribution in humans and first evaluation of tumour lesions. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2013;40:486–95.
- Ghosh A, Heston WDW. Tumor target prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) and its regulation in prostate cancer. *J Cell Biochem* 2004;91:528–39.
- Leek J, Lench N, Maraj B, Bailey A, Carr IM, Andersen S, et al. Prostate-specific membrane antigen: evidence for the existence of a second related human gene. *Br J Cancer* 1995;72:583–8.
- Dong J, Lamb PW, Rinker-schaeffer CW, Vukanovic J, Ichikawa T, John T, et al. *KAL1*, a metastasis suppressor gene for prostate cancer on human chromosome 11p11.2. *Science* 1995;268:884–6.
- Silver DA, Pellicer I, Fair WR, Heston WD, Cordon-Cardo C. Prostate-specific membrane antigen expression in normal and malignant human tissues. *Clin Cancer Res* 1997;3:81–5.
- Cunha AC, Weigle B, Kiessling A, Bachmann M, Rieber EP. Tissue-specificity of prostate specific antigens: comparative analysis of transcript levels in prostate and non-prostatic tissues. *Cancer Lett* 2006;236:229–38.
- DeMarzo AM, Nelson WG, Isaacs WB, Epstein JI. Pathological and molecular aspects of prostate cancer. *Lancet* 2003;361:955–64.
- Wright GL, Haley C, Beckett M, Lou, Schellhammer PF. Expression of prostate-specific membrane antigen in normal, benign, and malignant prostate tissues. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Invest* 1995;1:18–28.
- Sweat SD, Pacelli A, Murphy GP, Bostwick DG. Prostate-specific membrane antigen expression is greatest in prostate adenocarcinoma and lymph node metastases. *Urology* 1998;52:637–40.
- Bostwick DG, Pacelli A, Blute M, Roche P, Murphy GP. Prostate specific membrane antigen expression in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and adenocarcinoma: a study of 184 cases. *Cancer* 1998;82:2256–61.
- Evans MJ, Smith-Jones PM, Wongvipat J, Navarro V, Kim S, Bander NH, et al. Noninvasive measurement of androgen receptor signaling with a positron-emitting radiopharmaceutical that targets prostate-specific membrane antigen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;108:9578–82.
- Mannweiler S, Amersdorfer P, Trajanoski S, Terrett JA, King D, Mehes G. Heterogeneity of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) expression in prostate carcinoma with distant metastasis. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2009;15:167–72.
- Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Zhang S, Terracciano L, Sauter G, Chadhuri A, Herrmann FR, et al. Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) protein expression in normal and neoplastic tissues and its sensitivity and specificity in prostate adenocarcinoma: an immunohistochemical study using multiple tumour tissue microarray technique. *Histopathology* 2007;50:472–83.
- Siva S, Callahan J, Pryor D, Martin J, Lawrentschuk N, Hofman MS. Utility of ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) Ga prostate specific membrane antigen – positron emission tomography in diagnosis and response assessment of recurrent renal cell carcinoma. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol* 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1754-9485>. In press.
- Perera M, Papa N, Christidis D, Wetherell D, Hofman MS, Murphy DG, et al. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictors of positive  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ - prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography in advanced prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:926–37.
- Meredith G, Wong D, Yaxley J, Coughlin G, Thompson L, Kua B, et al. The use of ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ ) Ga-PSMA PET CT in men with biochemical recurrence after definitive treatment of acinar prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2016;118(Suppl):49–55.
- Graziani T, Ceci F, Castellucci P, Polverari G, Lima GM, Lodi F, et al. ( $^{11}\text{C}$ -Choline PET/CT for restaging prostate cancer. Results from 4,426 scans in a single-centre patient series. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2016;43:1971–9.
- Schwenck J, Rempff H, Reischl G, Kruck S, Stenzl A, Nikolaou K, et al. Comparison of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -labelled PSMA-11 and  $^{11}\text{C}$ -choline in the detection of prostate cancer metastases by PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2017;44:92–101.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Zechmann CM, Malcher A, Eder M, Eisenhut M, Linhart HG, et al. Comparison of PET imaging with a Ga-68-labelled PSMA ligand and F-18-choline-based PET/CT for the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2014;41:11–20.
- Rauscher I, Maurer T, Beer AJ, Graner F-P, Haller B, Weirich G, et al. Value of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA HBED-CC PET for the assessment of lymph node metastases in prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence: comparison with histopathology after salvage lymphadenectomy. *J Nucl Med* 2016;57:1713–9.
- Papa N, Perera M, Hofman MS, Bolton D, Lawrentschuk N. Reply to Fabio Zattoni, Filiberto Zattoni, and Laura Evangelista's Letter to the Editor re: Marlon Perera, Nathan Papa, Daniel Christidis, et al. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictors of positive ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ )-prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission. *Eur Urol* 2017;71:e66–7.
- Evangelista L, Zattoni F, Guttilla A, Saladini G, Zattoni F, Colletti PM, et al. Choline PET or PET/CT and biochemical relapse of prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Nucl Med* 2013;38:305–14.
- Murphy DG, Hofman M, Lawrentschuk N, Maurer T. Bringing clarity or confusion? The role of prostate-specific membrane antigen positron-emission/computed tomography for primary staging in prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2017;119:194–5.
- Budaus L, Leyh-Bannurah SR, Salomon G, Michl U, Heinzer H, Hülndt H, et al. Initial Experience of ( $^{68}\text{Ga}$ )-PSMA PET/CT imaging in high-risk prostate cancer patients prior to radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2016;69:393–6.
- van Leeuwen PJ, Emmett L, Ho B, Delprado W, Ting F, Nguyen Q, et al. Prospective evaluation of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography for preoperative lymph node staging in prostate cancer. *BJU Int* 2017;119:209–15.
- Maurer T, Gschwend JE, Rauscher I, Souvatzoglou M, Haller B, Weirich G, et al. Diagnostic efficacy of gallium-PSMA positron emission tomography compared to conventional imaging in lymph node staging of 130 consecutive patients with intermediate to high risk prostate cancer. *J Urol* 2015;195:1436–43.
- Hijazi S, Meller B, Leitsmann C, Strauss A, Meller J, Ritter CO, et al. Pelvic lymph node dissection for nodal oligometastatic prostate cancer detected by Ga-68-PSMA-positron emission tomography/computerized tomography. *Prostate* 2015;75:1934–40.
- Toner L, Papa N, Perera M, Katelaris N, Weerakoon M, Chin K, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for prostate cancer—a comparative study including radical prostatectomy specimens. *World J Urol* 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00345-016-1960-x>. In press.

39. Fendler WP, Schmidt DF, Wenter V, Thierfelder KM, Zach C, Stief C, et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-HBED-CC PET/CT detects location and extent of primary prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med* 2016;57:1–26.
40. Rhee H, Thomas P, Shepherd B, Greenslade S, Vela I, Russell PJ, et al. Prostate specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography may improve the diagnostic accuracy of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging in localized prostate cancer as confirmed by whole mount histopathology. *J Urol* 2016;196:1261–7.
41. Eiber M, Weirich G, Holzapfel K, Souvatzoglou M, Haller B, Rauscher I, et al. Simultaneous  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA HBED-CC PET/MRI improves the localization of primary prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2016;70:829–36.
42. Hamoen EHJ, de Rooij M, Witjes JA, Barentsz JO, Rovers MM. Use of the Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) for prostate cancer detection with multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging: a diagnostic meta-analysis. *Eur Urol* 2014;67:1112–21.
43. Perera M, Krishnananthan N, Lindner U, Lawrentschuk N. An update on focal therapy for prostate cancer. *Nat Rev Urol* 2016;13:641–53.
44. Wetherell D, Bolton D, Kavanagh L, Perera M. Current role of salvage robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy. *World J Urol* 2013;31:463–9.
45. Parker C, Gillissen S, Heidenreich A, Horwich A. ESMO guidelines committee. Cancer of the prostate: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2015;26(Suppl 5):v69–77.
46. American Urological Association. Guideline for the Management of Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2017 Jan 5]. Available from: <https://www.auanet.org/common/pdf/education/clinical-guidance/Prostate-Cancer.pdf>.
47. Evans SM, Nag N, Roder D, Brooks A, Millar JL, Moretti KL, et al. Development of an International prostate cancer outcomes registry. *BJU Int* 2016;117(Suppl 4):60–7.
48. Bluemel C, Linke F, Herrmann K, Simunovic I, Eiber M, Kestler C, et al. Impact of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA PET/CT on salvage radiotherapy planning in patients with prostate cancer and persisting PSA values or biochemical relapse after prostatectomy. *Eur J Nuc Med Mol Imaging* 2016;6:78.
49. Albisinni S, Artigas C, Aoun F, Biau I, Grosman J, Gil T, et al. Clinical impact of  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with prostate cancer with rising prostate-specific antigen after treatment with curative intent: preliminary analysis. *BJU Int* 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bju.13739>. In press.
50. Van Leeuwen PJ, Stricker P, Hruby G, Kneebone A, Ting F, Thompson B, et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA has a high detection rate of prostate cancer recurrence outside the prostatic fossa in patients being considered for salvage radiation treatment. *BJU Int* 2016;117:732–9.
51. Henkenberens C, von Klot CA, Ross TL, Bengel FM, Wester HJ, Merseburger AS, et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA ligand PET/CT-based radiotherapy in locally recurrent and recurrent oligometastatic prostate cancer: early efficacy after primary therapy. *Strahlenther Onkol* 2016;192:431–9.
52. Shakespeare TP. Effect of prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography on the decision-making of radiation oncologists. *Radiat Oncol* 2015;10:233.
53. Tendulkar RD, Agrawal S, Gao T, Efstathiou JA, Pisansky TM, Michalski JM, et al. Contemporary update of a multi-institutional predictive nomogram for salvage radiotherapy after radical prostatectomy. *J Clin Oncol* 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.67.9647>. In press.
54. Sweeney C, Chen Y-H, Liu G, Carducci M, Jarrard D, Eisenberger M, et al. Long term efficacy and QOL data of chemohormonal therapy (C-HT) in low and high volume hormone naïve metastatic prostate cancer (PrCa): E3805 CHAARTED trial. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27(Suppl 6):720PD.



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

**Author/s:**

Udovicich, C; Perera, M; Hofman, MS; Siva, S; Del Rio, A; Murphy, DG; Lawrentschuk, N

**Title:**

Ga-68-prostate-specific membrane antigen-positron emission tomography/computed tomography in advanced prostate cancer: Current state and future trends

**Date:**

2017-12-01

**Citation:**

Udovicich, C., Perera, M., Hofman, M. S., Siva, S., Del Rio, A., Murphy, D. G. & Lawrentschuk, N. (2017). Ga-68-prostate-specific membrane antigen-positron emission tomography/computed tomography in advanced prostate cancer: Current state and future trends. PROSTATE INTERNATIONAL, 5 (4), pp.125-129.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prn.2017.02.003>.

**Persistent Link:**

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/259175>

**File Description:**

Published version

**License:**

CC BY-NC-ND