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ABSTRACT

Background: It is unclear who is at risk of being involved in a suicide cluster and whether suicide clusters are influenced by the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, assortative relating, or a combination of both.

Methods: Suicide clusters involving two or more young people were identified from the free text of electronic police and coroners reports in Australia’s National Coronial Information System in a nationwide cross-sectional study. The duration of survival among exposed cases were estimated using time-to-event methods. The casewise concordance of demographic, social and clinical characteristics and circumstances of death were examined among index and exposed cases.

Findings: We identified links between 117 young people (51 suicide clusters). 50% of young people died within 90 days of the index suicide. Individuals exposed to railway suicide had an 80% probability of dying by the same method. Those exposed to the suicide of a person aged 10–18 years had an 86% probability of being from the same age group. Young people had a 67% and 60% probability of sharing the same characteristics as the index suicide when the index suicide resided in a remote community or was of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander descent.

Interpretation: Suicide clusters may be associated with both the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating. Individuals who were close to the deceased should be provided with access to postvention support, particularly within the first 90 days of exposure to an index suicide.

Funding: Australian Rotary Health, National Health and Medical Research Council.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Suicide is the second leading cause of death in young people aged 10–24 years, worldwide and accounts for approximately 150,000 preventable deaths in young people under age of 25, each year [1]. An individual’s risk of suicide increases sharply during adolescence, as does the risk of being involved in a suicide cluster [2,3]. Population-based studies that define a suicide cluster in terms of a greater than expected number of suicides, occurring within a particular time and place, have shown that young people are two to four times more likely to be involved in a suicide cluster, relative to adults aged 25 years and above [4,5].

Studies of suicide clusters in community settings typically characterise suicide clusters on the basis of shared social links between two or more suicides in specific settings such as schools, inpatient units, and remote communities [6,7]. Clusters of this nature have been linked to prior exposure to suicide, a known risk factor for later suicide [8], and can lead to widespread speculation about the antecedents and circumstances of the deaths [9,10], prolonged grief [11] and heightened fear and anxiety among members of the community of the prospect of future suicides [2,9]. Together, these factors have been associated with further suicides in the community and have led to the development of cluster response guidelines [12–14] as well as sentinel surveillance systems for the detection of both self-harm and suicide clusters [15].

Multiple mechanisms have been put forth to explain how exposure to suicide may influence subsequent suicidal behaviour in others including social learning— the notion that young people learn from and model the behaviour they see in others; and descriptive norms, which describes the process where individuals are more likely to
Research in context

Evidence before this study

Young people are particularly susceptible to the phenomenon whereby exposure to the suicide of another increases a young person's own risk of suicidal behaviour. However it is not clear who is at risk of being involved in a suicide cluster, nor the extent to which suicide clusters are influenced by the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, or whether young people cluster together according to pre-existing characteristics (or risk factors) such as adverse mental health or substance misuse.

Added value of this study

Suicide clusters comprising social links between friends and acquaintances were identified using nationwide data of all young Australians, aged 10–24 years, who died by suicide over a ten-year period. The characteristics and risk factors associated with suicide clusters were identified, providing evidence of both the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating among cluster members.

Implications of all the available evidence

Young people involved in suicide clusters do not necessarily share the same clinical risk factors associated with suicide in non-cluster members (e.g., adverse mental health or substance misuse). Interventions that prevent the spread of potentially harmful information about suicide among young people has the potential to avert further suicide deaths, particularly within in the first 90 days of exposure to the suicide of a friend or acquaintance. Together, these findings highlight a critical window of opportunity for the prevention of suicide clusters in young people who have been exposed to the suicide of another.

Endorse suicidal behaviour as an acceptable option when they perceive it to be common [16,17]. Examples of the association between the endorsement of suicidal behaviour and suicide clusters has been reported following sensationalist newspaper reports of suicide [18], and following memorials (including those online) which glorified the deceased [9,10]. Social integration and regulation describes the influence that social structures and expectations have on an individual's behaviour and may be influenced by both exposure to suicide and the presence of pre-existing risk factors [17,24,25]. For example, in highly integrated communities, individuals who perceive themselves as different to may be particularly susceptible to suicide. Additionally, the close social ties among members of a highly integrated community may facilitate the spread of information about suicide to vulnerable members of the community [25].

Another theoretical model, known as assortative relating, is based on the premise that individuals who are vulnerable to suicide may already cluster together according to pre-existing characteristics (or risk factors) such as adverse mental health or history of self-harm [19]. According to an assortative relating model, suicide clusters may emerge in response to simultaneous exposure to external pressures or stressful events among vulnerable peer groups [19]. An assortative relating hypothesis appears consistent with previous studies that have shown that young people tend to associate with peers who share similar risk factors such as depression [20] and aggression [21] and is consistent with previous case-control studies of suicide clusters that report higher rates of prior substance misuse [22], self-harm behaviours [22], and pre-existing mental ill health [22,23] among young people involved in a suicide cluster, relative to living controls.

Systematic reviews examining the mechanisms of suicide clusters have suggested that both the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating may operate to varying extents for suicide clusters in different communities [2,6]. However, direct comparisons of the mechanisms underlying suicide clusters have not yet been conducted using data from national suicide mortality registries. Together, the ecological design of many nationwide, population-based studies of suicide clusters means that it is not possible to determine whether members of a suicide cluster were exposed to suicide to one another, nor the extent to which members of a suicide cluster shared similar demographic, social, and clinical risk factors; both of which are critical for identifying who is at risk and identifying what mechanisms might be operating during a suicide cluster.

Identifying the risk factors and mechanisms that are associated with suicide clusters has the potential to better identify who is at risk of being involved in a suicide cluster and inform the development of targeted interventions designed to avert further suicide deaths. This is particularly important given recent evidence which suggests that the social links between cluster members differ significantly between different cluster events—suggesting that some, but not all suicide clusters, involve social links between cluster members [26]. Arguably, suicide clusters that are facilitated by the social transmission of suicidal behaviour may warrant different preventative approaches (e.g., strategies to prevent the spread of potentially harmful information such as the methods of suicide) than those which are associated with pre-existing risk factors (e.g., those which may be better address by upsaling or improving access to mental health services).

This study aimed to compare the demographic, social and clinical characteristics of pairs of young people in Australian suicide clusters and the circumstances of their deaths, in order to describe the risk factors associated with suicide clusters and to identify important characteristics of suicide clusters (e.g., the duration of risk associated with cluster membership). Additionally, we sought to identify the mechanisms underlying suicide clusters. We assumed that if assortative relating was operating, then the pairs of young people would share characteristics in common, and that if the social transmission of suicidal behaviour was operating then the circumstances surrounding their deaths would be similar.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample and case ascertainment

We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional analysis of young people who had died in a suicide cluster in Australia between 2006 and 2015 based on data recorded in the National Coronial Information System (NCIS). The NCIS is an online data storage and retrieval system that is used to monitor external causes of death in Australia [27,28]. Each case recorded in the NCIS includes a core data set that comprises basic demographic information (e.g., age, sex, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status, employment status, student status, residential remoteness). Additional information on the circumstances surrounding each individual's death is available in the form of post-mortem autopsy and toxicology reports, as well as from the narrative text of police and coroner reports. Eligible cases were extracted from the NCIS database (https://www.ncis.org.au/) in April 2017 and an updated search for closed cases was conducted in June 2019. Data was extracted directly from narrative text between April 2017 and June 2019.

Suicide clusters were defined as two or more suicides occurring among young people aged 10–24 who shared social links as friends or acquaintances [13]. A case was eligible for inclusion in the analyses if: (1) the death occurred between 1 January 2006 and 31 December 2015; (2) the individual was aged 24 years or less at the time of death; (3) the case included at least one electronic police or coroner report that provided information on the demographical, social, clinical characteristics as well as the circumstances of death; (5) and the case was closed (fully investigated) by the coroner. We retrieved data on 3385 suicide cases from the NCIS. Of these, 338 cases (including all 245 cases from the state
### Table 1
**Table of definitions of included variables.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Definition and ascertainment</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Determined by the NCIS core dataset</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Determined by the NCIS core dataset</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal or Torres Strait Island status</td>
<td>Determined by the NCIS core dataset</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student status</td>
<td>Determined by the NCIS core dataset</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Determined by the NCIS core dataset</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic disadvantage (SEIFA)</td>
<td>Determined by SA2 place of residence. The variable represents people who resided in regions that were identified in the bottom 20th percentile of socioeconomic disadvantage determined by the Australian Bureau of statistics Socio-economic index for advantage and disadvantage based on Statistical Area level 2.</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remoteness</td>
<td>Determined by SA2 place of residence. The variable represents people who resided in regions that were identified as remote or very remote in the NCIS.</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the residence of index and exposed cases</td>
<td>Based on the geographic centroid of place of residence based on SA2 geography recorded in the NCIS core dataset.</td>
<td>Demographic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent alone</td>
<td>Based on informant reports that the person's biological or adoptive parents were divorced or separated at the time of death or otherwise married or living in a de facto relationship. A definition of parent separation includes indirect evidence (e.g., narrative descriptions that indicate the young person lived with their mother).</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or other documented evidence (e.g., police observation) that the person lived alone (e.g., the absence of roommates or other cohabitating household members).</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abuse and/or neglect</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or other documented evidence (e.g., police statements) that the person had been sexually, physically or emotionally abused, or that the person had experienced neglect in their family home. Excludes informant reports of suspected abuse or neglect.</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to domestic violence</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or documented police statements that the person had experienced violent or aggressive behaviour within the home. Includes exposure during childhood to domestic violence between parents.</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship breakdown with an intimate partner (past 1 month)</td>
<td>Based on an informant report that the person had a relationship breakdown with an intimate partner. Includes teenage boyfriend/girlfriend relationships and online relationships.</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer conflict (past 12 months)</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or documented police statements that the person had experienced relationship problems (e.g., arguments or physical confrontations) with their peers, or those in their friendship circle, in the 12 months prior to their death.</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family conflict (past 12 months)</td>
<td>Based on an informant report that the person had experienced relationship problems (e.g., arguments or physical confrontations) with their family members. Includes conflicts in the family environment that described the person had feelings that they were not able to meet family expectations.</td>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed or undiagnosed mental ill health</td>
<td>Based on documented medical evidence that the young person:</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempt or self-harm (irrespective of intent)</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or documented medical evidence that the person had made a suicide attempt or had self-harmed in the 12 months prior to their suicide. Includes presenting to healthcare services (e.g., ED) for a suicide attempt, informant descriptions of self-harm or suicide attempts, as well as indirect evidence from autopsy reports (e.g., the autopsy reported evidence of self-inflicted injuries or evidence of healing wounds from self-inflicted injuries such as abrasions to the wrist or consistent with cutting). Does not include informant reports of suspected self-harm or suicide attempt.</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged from the Emergency Department (ED) for mental health symptoms</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or documented medical evidence that the person presented to the ED prior to their suicide for reasons primarily related to mental health symptoms (including self-harm) and was discharged from the ED. Excludes presentations to the ED for reasons unrelated to mental health (e.g., suspected appendicitis) or informant reports of ED presentations for reasons unknown (e.g., may or may not have presented to the ED primarily because of mental health symptoms).</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit substances detected in toxicology report</td>
<td>Based on toxicology findings that indicated the presence of illicit substances (e.g., methamphetamine, cannabis, cocaine, MDMA) and/or their by-products demonstrating recent use of illicit substances. Includes substances of misuse (e.g., taurine from paint, petroleum from petrol).</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge Drinking</td>
<td>Based on an informant report that the young person had been drinking excessively in the month prior to their death.</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intoxication</td>
<td>Based on toxicology findings that indicated a blood alcohol concentration &gt;1500 mmol/L. Excludes alcohol readings from urine samples and toxicology reports that indicated that the alcohol concentration was likely to be influenced by post-mortem changes (e.g., decomposition).</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of suicide</td>
<td>Determined by coroner report and ICD-10 code for cause of death.</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide note</td>
<td>Based on an informant report or police observation that the person had written a suicide note that indicated their intent to die. Includes goodbye text messages and emails sent by the deceased prior to their suicide.</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Told someone they wanted to die</td>
<td></td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
South Australia) were excluded due to the absence of information in the
narrative text of police and coroner reports. This resulted in a total of
3027 suicide cases that were assessed for evidence of social links with
other young people who had died by suicide.

2.2. Links between index and exposed cases

We labelled the first suicide case as the index case and labelled
cases that were known to have been exposed to one or more index
case as exposed cases. We used the following information to identify
linked cases within a suicide cluster: (1) the narrative text referred to
the first and last name of the person who previously died by suicide
(the index case) or; (2) the narrative text referred to the date of death
(e.g., month and year) and described at least one other characteristic
that could identify the index case based on information included in
the case records (e.g., the name of the school, the address, or the place
of employment of the individual who died by suicide). No limits were
placed on the duration between index and exposed cases other than
that the suicides occurred during the ten-year study period. These
cases formed the basis of the study data.

2.3. Characteristics and circumstances of death

Demographic, social, and clinical characteristics and the circumstan-
ces of death were extracted from the NCIS for each case. These included:
age, sex, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status, employment status,
student status, residential remoteness, relative socioeconomic advan-
tage and disadvantage, method of suicide, date of death and location of
death. The location of residence, remoteness of residence, and socioeco-
omic advantage and disadvantage were aggregated at an area level,
based on the Australian Statistical Geography Standard Statistical Areal
Level 2 (SA2). We calculated the centroid (the geometric centre) of each
SA2 and stored these data as longitude and latitude points for each eligi-
able case in the NCIS and calculated the distance between the residence of
index and exposed cases. Information on social and clinical character-
istics were extracted by examining the narrative text in electronic police
reports, coroner reports, autopsy reports, and toxicological findings
using content analyses. Definitions used to identify the presence or
absence of each variable are shown in Table 1. The first author (NTMH)
identified characteristics a priori in consultation with the senior author
(JR) and extracted these data into a proforma developed for this study.

2.4. Data analysis

The distance between index and exposed cases was estimated by
calculating the shortest possible distance between SA2 centroids using
the geosphere package in R version 3.6.2. The geocode function of the
ggmap package was used to identify the centroids and corresponding
longitude and latitude geocoordinates of each SA2. Post hoc analyses
were conducted to estimate the correlation between distance between
index and exposed cases and the duration since exposure.

The duration of risk following exposure to an index suicide was
determined using survival analysis. Survival time (defined as the
time in days between the date of the suicide of the index case and
the date of death of the exposed case) was estimated for each
exposed case by subtracting the date of index death from the date of
the exposed death. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were drawn using
the survival and survminer packages in R 3.6.2.

The average annual percent change based on the date of the index
death was calculated for both the number of suicide clusters and the
number of cluster members, each year, over the 10 year study period.

The risk factors and characteristics associated with cluster mem-
bership was identified using casewise concordance under complete
ascertainment [29] for the demographic, social and clinical character-
istics and the circumstances of death among index and exposed cases
(Table 1). The casewise concordance is the conditional probability
that one member of pair has the characteristic of interest, the other
also has the same characteristic. In the present study, casewise con-
cordance was defined as the probability that the exposed case had
the characteristic of interest (e.g., male sex), given that the index case
has the same characteristic. The probabilities are reported as percen-
tages with corresponding 95% confidence intervals.

Due to power limitations, we excluded variables from the case-
wise concordance analyses if they were recorded in fewer than 5
index and exposed cases. Since any index case or exposed case could
be linked to multiple cases (e.g., two exposed cases could be linked to
the same index case) and later cases in clusters of three or more sui-
cides could be included as both an index and exposed case (e.g.,
the second case in a cluster of three suicides might be exposed to the sui-
cide of the first case but may also serve as an index case for the third
case within the cluster), the assumption of statistical independence
was not met. Therefore, to allow for correlations between index and
exposed cases within we adjusted the standard errors for depen-
dency within clusters.

The mechanisms underlying suicide clusters were operationalised
by examining the circumstances of death and the demographic, social
and clinical risk factors recorded in the NCIS. Specifically, evidence of
the social transmission of behaviour was identified by examining the
casewise concordance associated with the circumstances of death
(Table 1). Evidence of assortative relating was operationalised by
examining the probability that index and exposed cases shared the
same demographic, social and clinical characteristics.

This study received full ethical approvals from the Justice Human
Research Ethics Committee (CF/15/13188).

2.5. Role of the funding source

The funders of the study had no role in study design, data collec-
tion, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 (Continued)</th>
<th>Definition and ascertainment</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communicated about suicide on social media</td>
<td>Based on an informant report that the person expressed suicidal ideation or indicated that they wanted to take their own life or die. Includes written, verbal communication, text communication and email communication.</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched the internet for suicide methods</td>
<td>Based on the police or coroner report that described evidence of internet queries about suicide or the person had visited a website that described methods of suicide.</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of death</td>
<td>Based on the date (dd/mm/yyyy) of death. Date of death was determined by the coroner and recorded in the NCIS core dataset.</td>
<td>Circumstances of suicide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a Included in the analysis of assortative relating.
* b Included in the analysis of imitative suicidal behaviour.
The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of suicide clusters

Overall, 120 exposed cases (young people who were exposed to the suicide of another young person) were manually inspected for linkage to an index suicide (Fig. 1). Of these, we found social links between 71 (59%) exposed cases that were linked to an additional 46 suicides, resulting in 117 index and exposed cases that were included in the analyses. A total of 19 dependencies were identified (appendix table A1) resulting in 69 linked pairs across 51 suicide clusters. The suicide clusters comprised 40 dyads (N = 80 suicides), 7 triads (N = 21 suicides) and 4 tetrads (N = 16 suicides, appendix table A1). We could not identify reliable links among 49 young people (48%) who were exposed to suicide during the study period. The characteristics of linked and missing cases are presented in (appendix table A2).

3.2. Characteristics of suicide clusters

The mean age of the 117 young people who died by suicide and could be linked to the suicide of another young person was 18.7 years (SD=3.1, range= 10–24 years). The majority of linked cases were friends and acquaintances (53/69, 77%), and (19/69, 23%) were exposed to both a relative and friend or acquaintance. The demographic, social, and clinical variables as well as the circumstances of death among index and exposed cases are shown in Table 2. Overall, 33 (55%) index and exposed cases lived in SA2s that were within a 10 km of one another and an additional 19 (37%) lived within 100 km. Combined, more than two thirds (75%) of index and exposed cases lived in SA2s that were separated by less than 100kms (Fig. 2). Post hoc analysis of the correlation between distance and time was small and non-significant (r = 0.1, 95% CI= -0.1 to 0.4) suggesting that individuals did not relocate over longer time periods. Fig. 3 shows the number of incident suicide cluster events and cluster members that occurred each year, throughout the study period. Between 2006 and 2015 the number of suicide cluster events increased by an annual average percent change of 50%. Results of the survival analysis revealed that the
Results of the case-wise concordance analyses showed that exposed cases had an 80% (95% CI = 0.3 to 0.9) probability of dying by railway suicide when exposed to an index suicide that died by the same method (Fig. 5). The conditional probability of additional methods (other than hanging) was not estimated due to insufficient numbers. No significant differences in probability were observed across the remaining characteristics (Table 3).

### Table 2: Demographic, social, clinical risk factors and circumstances of death amongst index and exposed cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (N = 117)</th>
<th>Index Cases (N = 55)</th>
<th>Exposed Cases (N = 62)</th>
<th>X² P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged 18 years or less</td>
<td>77 (65.8)</td>
<td>37 (67.27)</td>
<td>40 (64.52)</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal or Torres Strait Island origins</td>
<td>57 (48.72)</td>
<td>24 (43.64)</td>
<td>33 (51.23)</td>
<td>0.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>27 (23.08)</td>
<td>13 (23.64)</td>
<td>14 (22.58)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>37 (31.62)</td>
<td>18 (32.73)</td>
<td>19 (30.65)</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student socioeconomic advantage and disadvantage (SEIFA)†</td>
<td>38 (32.48)</td>
<td>17 (30.91)</td>
<td>21 (33.9)</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residing in a remote location</td>
<td>40 (34.19)</td>
<td>20 (36.36)</td>
<td>20 (32.26)</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent separation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent separation</td>
<td>39 (33.33)</td>
<td>12 (21.82)</td>
<td>27 (43.55)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living alone at time of death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship breakdown (past month)</td>
<td>23 (19.66)</td>
<td>11 (20.0)</td>
<td>12 (19.35)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer conflict (past year)</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (&lt;8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family conflict</td>
<td>24 (20.51)</td>
<td>11 (20.0)</td>
<td>13 (20.97)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binge drinking (past month)</td>
<td>23 (19.66)</td>
<td>14 (25.45)</td>
<td>9 (14.52)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of abuse or neglect</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (&lt;8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure to Domestic violence</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (&lt;8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed or undiagnosed mental illness</td>
<td>62 (53.0)</td>
<td>35 (63.64)</td>
<td>27 (41.55)</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-harm (past year)</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (&lt;8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged from the ED²</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (9.1)</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit substances</td>
<td>35 (29.91)</td>
<td>20 (36.36)</td>
<td>15 (24.19)</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol impairment</td>
<td>14 (12.0)</td>
<td>8 (14.55)</td>
<td>6 (9.68)</td>
<td>0.605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumstances of death</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide note available</td>
<td>33 (28.21)</td>
<td>15 (27.27)</td>
<td>18 (29.03)</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Told someone they wanted to die</td>
<td>49 (41.90)</td>
<td>19 (34.55)</td>
<td>30 (48.39)</td>
<td>0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicated on social media</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet search for methods</td>
<td>10 (8.5)</td>
<td>5 (8.91)</td>
<td>5 (&lt;8.1)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Socioeconomic Index for Advantage and Disadvantage bottom 20th percentile for relative disadvantage based on SA2 for place of residence

### 3.4. Investigation into the social transmission of suicidal behaviour

Results of the case-wise concordance analyses revealed that in nearly 80% of cases members of suicide clusters belonged to the same age group, same sex and same clinical characteristics of index and exposed cases in a cluster. We found that the presence of pre-existing clinical characteristics such as mental-ill health, substance misuse, and history of binge drinking amongst index cases were not significantly associated with the probability that the same characteristics were present among exposed cases. Instead, young people who reside in remote communities and were of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island descent, and those resided in a remote location. Additionally, results from the present study provide indicative support for the role of the social transmission of suicidal behaviour. In particular, young people who were exposed to the railway suicide of a peer had an 80% probability of dying by the same method. Given suicide by railway is a particularly rare and violent method, it is plausible that the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, facilitated by knowledge of the index suicide, accounted for this finding.

This study investigated characteristics and circumstances of death of 117 young people aged 10–24 years who died in suicide clusters in Australia in 2006–2015. Results from the present study found evidence of both the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating. In particular, by examining the concordance of demographic, social and clinical characteristics of index and exposed cases in a cluster, we found that the presence of pre-existing clinical characteristics such as mental-ill health, substance misuse, and history of binge drinking among index cases were not significantly associated with the probability that the same characteristics were present among exposed cases. Instead, young people who reside in remote communities and were of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island descent had a significant probability of being involved in a suicide cluster, when exposed to an index suicide of the same origins. Together these findings provide some evidence of assortative relating, but these findings were most robust in young people under the age of 18, those who were of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island descent, and those resided in a remote location. Additionally, results from the present study provide indicative support for the role of the social transmission of suicidal behaviour. In particular, young people who were exposed to the railway suicide of a peer had an 80% probability of dying by the same method. Given suicide by railway is a particularly rare and violent method, it is plausible that the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, facilitated by knowledge of the index suicide, accounted for this finding.

Results from the concordance analyses revealed that in nearly 80% of cases members of suicide clusters belonged to the same age group as the index suicide. These findings suggest that the younger cohort may be particularly susceptible to suicide following exposure to the suicide of a friend or acquaintance. Additionally, we found that 50% of exposed cases died within 90 days of exposure to an index suicide.

### 4. Discussion

In Australia, suicide is the third leading cause of death for young people under the age of 24 (1). The majority of these deaths occur among young people aged 10–19 years, with fatalities occurring at a significantly younger age than adults (1–5). Results from the present study found evidence of both the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating. In particular, by examining the concordance of demographic, social and clinical characteristics of index and exposed cases in a cluster, we found that the presence of pre-existing clinical characteristics such as mental-ill health, substance misuse, and history of binge drinking among index cases were not significantly associated with the probability that the same characteristics were present among exposed cases. Instead, young people who reside in remote communities and were of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island descent had a significant probability of being involved in a suicide cluster, when exposed to an index suicide of the same origins. Together these findings provide some evidence of assortative relating, but these findings were most robust in young people under the age of 18, those who were of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island descent, and those resided in a remote location. Additionally, results from the present study provide indicative support for the role of the social transmission of suicidal behaviour. In particular, young people who were exposed to the railway suicide of a peer had an 80% probability of dying by the same method. Given suicide by railway is a particularly rare and violent method, it is plausible that the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, facilitated by knowledge of the index suicide, accounted for this finding.

Results from the concordance analyses revealed that in nearly 80% of cases members of suicide clusters belonged to the same age group as the index suicide. Additionally, we found that 50% of exposed cases died within 90 days of exposure to an index suicide.
Together, these findings suggest that the first three months of following exposure to the suicide of another young person may represent a period of heightened suicide risk and therefore represents a critical window of opportunity for the postvention and cluster response interventions with the aim of averting further suicide deaths. Identifying the risk factors associated with suicide clusters has the potential to inform targeted cluster response strategies, with better precision. The finding that young people who reside in remote communities may be particularly vulnerable following exposure to the suicide of a peer suggests that remote communities should be particularly vigilant following a suicide in the community. For example, remote communities may benefit from developing a postvention protocol that ensures members of the community have adequate access to postvention support following a suicide. Furthermore, remote communities may benefit from universal suicide prevention interventions that equip gatekeepers to respond to those who may be at risk of suicide, particularly following a suicide in the community.

It is noteworthy that we found limited concordance between index and exposed cases based on clinical risk factors such as history of adverse mental health. Screening for suicide in high risk populations is a core component of existing cluster response guidelines [12–14,30], despite evidence that suggests that screening for suicide risk is associated with a positive predictive value of approximately 6% [31]. Instead, results of the present study suggest that the presence of pre-existing clinical risk factors may be less sensitive predictors of young people who are at risk of suicidal behaviour who are later involved in suicide clusters. Based on these findings, we recommend that, in addition identifying young people experiencing significant distress, screening efforts should be broadened to include identifying individuals who were friends of the deceased regardless of their pre-existing vulnerabilities, and when necessary, these young people should be provided with access to postvention support.

Further, since 50% of exposed cases died within the first 90 days of exposure, repeat screening during this period may be particularly warranted. It is equally important that communities are equipped with resources to effectively mitigate the social transmission of suicidal behaviour. To date, there is a paucity of studies that have investigated the effectiveness of interventions on preventing the social transmission of suicidal behaviour in young people [32]. The evaluation of community-based interventions in response to suicide clusters as well as the development of targeted interventions is essential to fill these critical gaps in evidence.

Although geographic proximity appears to be an important characteristic of the social transmission of suicidal behaviour, it is noteworthy that approximately 25% of index and exposed cases lived in regions that were separated by at least 100 km in distance. The distance between index and exposed cases was poorly correlated with time, suggesting that the distance between index and exposed cases did not increase over time (i.e., because of the relocation of exposed cases). One explanation is that the popular and widespread use of social networking and other digital media influences may facilitate the spread of suicidal behaviour among index and exposed cases [2]. A study by Robertson and colleagues, investigated the social links between members of suicide cluster in a small rural community in New Zealand. By combining both an inferential and descriptive case study approach, the authors identified additional members who were linked to the suicide cluster via social media but did not meet the authors criteria for geographic proximity using statistical analyses alone [33].
Communication via the internet and social media is well ingrained in the lives of young people and although there are many benefits, there is a need to further equip young people to safely communicate about suicide online [34]. This is particularly important given communication via the internet and social media has the potential to transcend traditional geographic boundaries associated with the development and maintenance of suicide clusters [2]. Whilst existing frameworks for the detection, response and prevention of suicide clusters provide recommendations for safe reporting of suicide in the traditional media, communities may also benefit from the implementation of interventions that equip young people to communicate about suicide in online environments in a safe way (e.g., without interfering with the grieving process).

Although the NCIS offers the best available information on suicide and external causes of death in Australia, the quality of data in the NCIS vary considerably between individual cases and coronial jurisdictions. Information on exposure to suicide and suicide characteristics was only available for analysis if it was queried during the formal police or coroner investigation and reported by informants during the investigation. This resulted in the exclusion of 49 exposed cases that did not provide sufficient information on the index suicide. Furthermore, variables which were not reported in the police or coroner records were coded as not being present. Consequently, there was likely to be some misclassification bias, where absence of evidence was treated as evidence of absence. The limitations associated with the completeness and quality of the data, and the retrospective nature of the study, meant that the operationalisation of the social transmission of suicidal behaviour and assortative relating were limited to the variables reported in the NCIS and may therefore lack precision. For example, due to power limitations
it was not possible to examine the concordance between other methods of suicide such as poisoning by gaseous substances that have been reported in previous reports of suicide clusters [35].

Our analysis of cluster-linked pairs was limited to young people aged 24 year or less who died by suicide during the study period. This resulted in the exclusion of 60 (29.7%) index cases over the age of 25. Given exposure to the suicide of an adult accounted for one third of exposure events, future studies ought to consider examining the characteristics associated with exposure to suicide across the life-span. Additionally, due to the absence of existing methodologies to control for multiple dependencies in casewise analyses, it was not possible to determine concordance rates among index and exposed cases using multivariate analyses. Future studies which overcome these limitations in order to better understand the interaction between covariates in casewise analysis is therefore warranted.

Lastly, results of the casewise analysis revealed that young people who were exposed to the suicide of a peer who resided in a remote community or had Indigenous heritage were likely to reside in the same community settings. Evidence of suicide clusters occurring in remote and indigenous communities is widely documented in both descriptive case studies and population-based studies using data from national suicide registries [6,7]. However it is not clear whether these findings are consistent with the social transmission of suicidal behaviour or assortative relating hypothesis. It is possible that environments that are characterised by high levels of social cohesion enable the rapid spread of suicidal ideation among young people 

### Table 3

Results of the casewise concordance among index and exposed cases who died in suicide clusters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N exposed</th>
<th>N observations</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>95% CI Lower</th>
<th>95% CI Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male index case</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male exposed case</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged 10–18 years index case</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged 10–18 years exposed case</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal and Torres Strait island origin index case</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal and Torres Strait island origin exposed case</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled student index case</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled student exposed case</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed index case</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed exposed case</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in remote region index case</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence in remote region exposed case</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIFA (Bottom 20%) index case</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIFA (Bottom 20%) exposed case</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict with spouse (past month) index case</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict with spouse (past month) exposed case</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship breakdown (past month) index case</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship breakdown (past month) exposed case</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental ill health index case</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental ill health exposed case</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of binge drinking index case</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of binge drinking exposed case</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit substances detected in index case</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illicit substances detected in exposed case</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Told someone they wanted to die by suicide index case</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Told someone they wanted to die by suicide exposed case</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide note index case</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide note exposed case</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family conflict (past year) index case</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family conflict (past year) exposed case</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Methods

- **Hanging index**: 55
- **Hanging exposed case**: 47
- **Railway index**: 5
- **Railway exposed case**: 4
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