Temporal whole field sawtooth flicker without a spatial component elicits a myopic shift following optical defocus irrespective of waveform direction in chicks
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ABSTRACT

Purpose. Myopia (short-sightedness) is the commonest visual disorder and greatest risk factor for sight threatening secondary pathologies. Myopia and hyperopia can be induced in animal models by rearing with optical lens defocus of opposite sign. The degree of refractive compensation to lens-induced defocus in chicks has been shown to be modified by directionally drifting sawtooth spatio-temporal luminance diamond plaids, with Fast-ON sawtooth spatio-temporal luminance profiles inhibiting the myopic shift in response to negative lenses, and Fast-OFF profiles inhibiting the hyperopic shift in response to positive lenses. What is unknown is whether similar sign-of-defocus dependent results produced by spatio-temporal modulation of sawtooth patterns could be achieved by rearing chicks under whole field low temporal frequency sawtooth luminance profiles at 1 or 4 Hz without a spatial component, or whether such stimuli would indiscriminately elicit a myopic shift such as that previously shown with symmetrical (or near-symmetrical) low frequency flicker across a range of species.

Methods. Hatchling chicks (n = 166) were reared from days five to nine under one of three defocus conditions (No Lens, +10D lens, or −10D lens) and five light conditions (No Flicker, 1 Hz Fast-ON/Slow-OFF sawtooth flicker, 4 Hz Fast-ON/Slow-OFF sawtooth flicker, 1 Hz Fast-OFF/Slow-ON sawtooth flicker, or 4Hz Fast-OFF/Slow-ON sawtooth flicker). The sawtooth flicker was produced by light emitting diodes (white LEDs, 1.2–183 Lux), and had no measurable dark phase. Biometrics (refraction and ocular axial dimensions) were measured on day nine.

Results. Both 1 Hz and 4 Hz Fast-ON and Fast-OFF sawtooth flicker induced an increase in vitreous chamber depth that was greater in the presence of negative compared to positive lens defocus. Both sawtooth profiles at both temporal frequencies inhibited the hyperopic shift in response to +10D lenses, whilst full myopic compensation (or over-compensation) in response to −10D lenses was observed.

Conclusions. Whole field low temporal frequency Fast-ON and Fast-OFF sawtooth flicker induces a generalized myopic shift, similar to that previously shown for symmetrical sine-wave and square-wave flicker. Our findings highlight that temporal
modulation of retinal ON/OFF pathways per se (without a spatial component) is insufficient to produce strong sign-of-defocus dependent effect.
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INTRODUCTION

Most species are born with hyperopic eyes that, with normal visual experience, increase in size during early development to achieve emmetropia (i.e., no refractive error) (Norton & Siegwart Jr, 1995; Rabin, Van Sluyters & Malach, 1981; Stone et al., 1995; Troilo & Wallman, 1991; Wildsoet, 1997). This emmetropization process is controlled by complex gene-environment interactions (Fan et al., 2014; Morgan & Rose, 2005; Verhoef, 2013). Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that exposure to environmental risk factors (such as spending limited time outdoors (Rose et al., 2008) or doing prolonged near-work (Huang, Chang & Wu, 2015)) can predispose the eye to excessive growth resulting in myopia (short-sightedness). Myopia is now the most common ocular disorder (Schneider et al., 2010) with rapidly increasing prevalence and severity worldwide (Lee et al., 2013; Seet et al., 2001), making the development of treatments to control ocular growth a health and socioeconomic priority.

Ocular growth has been extensively studied in animals, where myopia can be induced by rearing with negatively powered defocusing lenses affixed over the eye or form deprivation via lid suture or plastic occluders (Hodos & Kuenzel, 1984; Irving, Sivak & Callender, 1992; Schaeffel, Glasser & Howland, 1988; Wallman, Turkel & Trachtman, 1978; Wiesel & Raviola, 1977), and hyperopia (long-sightedness) can be induced by positive lenses (Irving, Sivak & Callender, 1992; Schaeffel, Glasser & Howland, 1988). Ocular growth and refractive shifts in these animal models can also be mediated by pharmacological manipulations of retinal ON/OFF pathway activity (Crewther & Crewther, 1990; Crewther, Crewther & Xie, 1996; Ehrlich et al., 1990; Smith, Fox & Duncan, 1991). Suppression of ON-pathway activity by L-α-aminoacidic acid in chicks and kittens decreases axial growth in normally developing animals (Crewther & Crewther, 1990; Crewther, Crewther & Xie, 1996; Crewther & Crewther, 2003). Conversely, OFF-pathway suppression by D-α-aminoacidic acid increases axial growth in normally developing chicks (Crewther & Crewther, 1990), and inhibits optically-induced growth changes (Crewther & Crewther, 1990; Crewther, Crewther & Xie, 1996; Crewther & Crewther, 2003). More recent gene knockout studies have demonstrated an increased susceptibility to FD in mice with ON-pathway defects (Chakraborty et al., 2015; Pardue et al., 2008), consistent with the effects of ON-pathway suppression in the chick FD model (Crewther & Crewther, 1990). Mice with an OFF-pathway defect did not develop myopia in response to FD (although this latter result may reflect the genetic background) (Chakraborty et al., 2014).

Although pharmacological and genetic studies have provided strong evidence implicating retinal ON/OFF pathways in ocular growth and refractive change, fewer studies have
investigated the effects of visual stimuli designed to preferentially activate ON- or OFF-systems. One of the first studies was a notable paper by (Schwahn & Schaeffel, 1997) who raised chicks in flickering light of different temporal frequencies (12 and 6 Hz) and different duty cycles (4–75% ratio of light to dark periods) produced by rotating chopper disks. Not surprisingly, it was found that the extent to which FD and defocus-induced myopia could be suppressed was related to the length of the duty cycle, suggesting that myopia is related to dark duration and OFF pathway activation. Interestingly, there was no observable suppression of hyperopia.

In 2002, Crewther and Crewther (Crewther & Crewther, 2002) reared chicks in an environment lit with directionally moving sawtooth diamond plaids based on the human Brücke–Bartley Effect whereby a moving Fast-ON/Slow-OFF plaid has a perceptual darkening effect, and a Fast-OFF/Slow-ON spatiotemporal luminance profile creates a brightening effect (Cavanagh & Anstis, 2018; Cavanagh & Anstis, 1986). Chicks reared with Fast-ON sawtooth plaid illumination compensated fully to positive, but not to negative lenses. Conversely, chicks reared with Fast-OFF sawtooth plaid illumination compensated fully to negative, but not positive, lenses (Crewther & Crewther, 2002). More recently, Riddell et al. (2016) examined the electrophysiological basis of the Brücke-Bartley Effect in toad eye cups recordings and showed a sustained direct-current (DC) trans-tissue potential to drifting gratings. As in the human experience of moving sawtooth gratings, the sustained DC potential effect was greater for Fast-OFF (brightening effect) compared to Fast-ON sawtooth (darkening effect), suggesting that the asymmetries in DC potential originate in the photoreceptoral response to Fast-ON and Fast-OFF profiles. Thus, the ability of this paradigm to inhibit growth in a sign-of-defocus dependent manner that allows for potential localisation of structural origins, suggests that environmental modulation of the spatio-temporal activity of the ON/OFF pathways could represent a promising non-invasive treatment avenue for refractive errors using therapies aimed at the outer retina, as suggested by Crewther (2000).

What remains to be seen is whether the same inhibitory effects on refractive compensation produced by spatio-temporal modulation of sawtooth patterns, can be achieved using whole field, low temporal frequency sawtooth luminance profiles at 1 or 4 Hz without a spatial component, or whether such stimuli would indiscriminately elicit a myopic shift such as that previously shown to result from symmetrical (or near-symmetrical) low frequency flicker across a range of species (Rucker et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 1989; Crewther et al., 2006; Di et al., 2013a; Di et al., 2013b; Di et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2011; Zhi et al., 2013).

In an attempt to resolve this question, Crewther et al. (2006) investigated the effect of whole field, low frequency flicker and found a myopic shift for all lens conditions with a stimulus comprised of an asymmetric light pulse similar to that of a Slow ON/Fast OFF sawtooth and 1:2 ratio light:dark cycle. However, due to the extended dark period in the stimulus profile created by the use of a halogen globe, the stimulus utilized in this study failed to replicate a true temporal illuminance-based Brücke–Bartley effect with a reversible sawtooth profile as produced in the 2002 study by the same lab, preventing an examination
of the effect of continuous full field light dark transitions on directional growth following suppression of either the ON or OFF pathways.

Thus, the present study aimed to re-investigate the effects of whole field low frequency Fast-ON and Fast-OFF sawtooth flicker on refractive compensation to defocusing lenses in chick using the white light emitting diodes (LEDs). LEDs are a more precise system for controlling temporal luminance modulation and able to deliver reversible asymmetric light profiles (Fast-ON/Slow-OFF and Fast-OFF/Slow-ON) that closely mimicked the temporal aspects of the spatiotemporal sawtooth plaid paradigm (Crewther & Crewther, 2002).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Animals and rearing

A total of 166 male hatchling chicks (Leghorn/New Hampshire) were obtained from a local hatchery and raised from day five to nine in a light (12/12 h day/night cycle) and temperature (30 ± 0.5 °C) controlled enclosure (height: 0.5 m, length: 1.0 m, width: 0.75 m). On day five, chicks were fitted with either a monocular +10D or −10D lens, or left as No Lens controls. These defocusing goggles were made from modified human PMMA contact lenses (8.1 mm in diameter) attached to Velcro® and affixed to the periocular feathers of the right eye. Within each lens condition, chicks were randomly assigned to one of five light profiles: normal light (referred to herein as No Flicker [NF]), 1 Hz Fast-ON/Slow-OFF flicker, 4 Hz Fast-ON/Slow-OFF flicker, 1 Hz Fast-OFF/Slow-ON flicker, or 4 Hz Fast-OFF/Slow-ON flicker. All light profiles followed a 12/12 h day/night cycle.

The five light profiles were delivered using LEDs mounted to the roof of the enclosure. Ambient light was maintained constantly at 183 lux during the 12 h day cycle (No Flicker) or modulated (using the same lamp) at frequencies of either 1 Hz or 4 Hz where light levels varied between a minimum of 1.2 lux to a maximum of 183 lux, with an average light level of 97 lux. Ambient lighting in the No Flicker condition was maintained at the maximum (rather than the mean) of the flicker conditions to facilitate comparison with our previous study with a ‘no flicker’ condition of 180 lux (Crewther et al., 2006). For the flicker conditions, the LEDs produced a whole field sawtooth temporal luminance profile with a strict linear rise or fall in luminance achieved through feedback control circuitry connected to a DC power supply with computer input to implement waveform and frequency (for specifications please refer to Supplemental Information). These white LEDs work through a Blue LED driving a fluorescent material, ensuring that there is absolutely no change in the spectral distribution with brightness. Figure 1 shows the sawtooth wave profile recorded using a PowerLab (AD Instruments, Melbourne, Australia) via a light probe situated in the animal enclosure.

Biometric analysis

On day nine, chicks were anaesthetized (Ketamine 45 mg/kg: Xylazine 4.5 mg/kg i.m.) and both eyes were refracted by retinoscopy (Keeler, Vista Diagnostic Instruments) and axial dimensions were obtained from the average of at least three A-Scan ultrasonography traces (A-Scan III, TSL, 7 MHz probe; Teknar, Inc. St Louis, MO, USA). Each trace from
Figure 1 Light probe recordings of Fast ON/Slow OFF (Blue) and Fast OFF/Slow ON (Red) sawtooth flicker at a frequency of (A) 1 Hz, and (B) 4 Hz.
the A-Scan provided peaks indicating the length of the eye (anterior pole of the cornea to inner limiting membrane of the retina), the distance from the posterior pole of the lens to the inner limiting membrane of the retina and the depth of the vitreous chamber (all measured in millimeters); subtracting the lens to retina measurement from the axial length gave a measure of the depth central to the axis of the anterior chamber. All procedures were conducted in strict accordance with La Trobe University Animal Ethics Committee guidelines (Approval Number 08/30) and adhered to the NHMRC of Australia and ARVO Statements for the use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

**Data analysis**

To control for differences in eye size within groups, refractive and biometric measures were converted to raw score differences between experimental and fellow eyes. To assess whether changes in ocular size were due to the experimental manipulation a three (lens condition $\times$ frequency $\times$ light profile) way ANOVA was utilized. Student Newman Keuls or Games-Howell post hoc tests were performed as appropriate.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 reports the raw scores for refraction and axial length (mean and standard error of the mean) for each experimental group.

**Figure 2** illustrates the mean differences (Experimental –Fellow Eye) in biometric measures across groups.

As can be seen in **Fig. 2A**, all chicks wearing $-10D$ lenses developed myopia under all light conditions. Hyperopic refractive compensation was also seen in eyes reared with $+10D$ lenses under all flicker conditions. Fast OFF flicker at a frequency of 1 Hz produced the most notable impact on refraction, with eyes reared with $-10D$ lenses under this condition displaying approximately 2 D more myopia than other $-10$ D lens groups. Fast OFF flicker also resulted in the greatest suppression of hyperopia in eyes wearing $+10D$ lenses. Chicks reared without a lens were largely plano under all light conditions. Simple main effects analysis revealed a significant effect of light profile ($F_{1,149} = 10.62, p = .0001$), lens ($F_{2,149} = 453.65, p < .0001$) and frequency ($F_{2,149} = 13.32, p < .0001$). Significant interactions were also observed between lens and light ($F_{2,149} = 3.89, p = .023$), lens and frequency ($F_{2,149} = 3.98, p = .021$), light and frequency ($F_{1,149} = 13.17, p = .0001$), and lens and light and frequency ($F_{2,149} = 3.28, p = .04$).

Post hoc analysis comparing the effect of flicker frequency on refractive state revealed that eyes reared with $-10D$ lenses were significantly more myopic under Fast OFF light conditions with 1 Hz flicker, in comparison to 4 Hz and no flicker (NF) conditions (see Table 2). No difference in refraction was found between flicker frequencies with a Fast ON sawtooth profile for eyes reared with $-10D$ lenses. Under Fast OFF sawtooth conditions, chicks wearing $+10D$ lenses were significantly less hyperopic when raised with 1 Hz flicker compared to 4 Hz and no flicker conditions (see **Fig. 2A**). Chicks reared under Fast OFF light were significantly less hyperopic than all other chicks wearing $+10D$ lenses. Refractions were significantly different between all lens groups when compared within frequency and sawtooth conditions. Comparisons between the effect of the sawtooth profile on refraction
Table 1  Mean (±S.E) refraction and axial length of experimental eyes (EE) and fellow eyes (FE) for each lens, light profile and frequency condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>−10 D</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>No Lens</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>+10 D</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>EE RE (D)</td>
<td>FE RE (D)</td>
<td>EE AL (mm)</td>
<td>FE AL (mm)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>EE RE (D)</td>
<td>FE RE (D)</td>
<td>EE AL (mm)</td>
<td>FE AL (mm)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>EE RE (D)</td>
<td>FE RE (D)</td>
<td>EE AL (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast OFF</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−12.6 ±1.04</td>
<td>.30 ± .15</td>
<td>9.32 ± .07</td>
<td>8.81 ± .06</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−.56 ± .47</td>
<td>−.14 ± .28</td>
<td>8.81 ± .06</td>
<td>8.75 ± .05</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.75 ±1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Hz</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−7.18 ±1.68</td>
<td>.14 ± .30</td>
<td>9.03 ± .07</td>
<td>8.66 ± .05</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−.28 ± .41</td>
<td>.11 ± .29</td>
<td>8.65 ± .06</td>
<td>8.60 ± .06</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.56 ± .39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Flicker</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−8.4 ± .29</td>
<td>1.25 ± .21</td>
<td>9.20 ± .05</td>
<td>8.74 ± .05</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>.64 ± .25</td>
<td>1.01 ± .22</td>
<td>9.60 ± .04</td>
<td>9.55 ± .04</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.68 ± .29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast ON</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−8.43 ±1.04</td>
<td>.32 ± .23</td>
<td>9.23 ± .10</td>
<td>8.70 ± .08</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.89 ± .33</td>
<td>.95 ± .34</td>
<td>8.76 ± .04</td>
<td>8.68 ± .04</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.62 ±1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 Hz</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−8.70 ±1.43</td>
<td>.10 ± .27</td>
<td>9.13 ± .10</td>
<td>8.73 ± .06</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.60 ± .58</td>
<td>.62 ± .21</td>
<td>8.71 ± .07</td>
<td>8.65 ± .06</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.38 ±1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Murphy et al. (2019), PeerJ, DOI 10.7717/peerj.6277
revealed that Fast OFF with 1 Hz flicker resulted in significantly greater myopia than Fast ON + 1 Hz or NF light conditions with −10D lenses, and significantly less hyperopia with +10D lens-wear. A summary of post hoc analyses is presented in Table S1.

An overall increase in axial length in response to −10D lenses was observed, with eyes reared under Fast OFF flicker at 1 Hz showing the longest eyes (Fig. 2B). Fast OFF flicker at 1 Hz also resulted in longer axial length with +10D lens-wear in comparison to NF control, as did Fast ON flicker at 1 Hz. No Lens chicks showed slight increases in length for all conditions. As expected, all other +10D lens groups showed an overall decrease in axial length compared to No Lens groups. Simple main effects analysis revealed a significant effect of lens ($F_{2,149} = 131.00, p < .0001$) and frequency ($F_{2,149} = 13.53, p < .0001$). A significant interaction effect was obtained for lens and frequency ($F_{2,149} = 4.46, p = .013$). Post hoc analysis confirmed the observation that 1 Hz flicker for both sawtooth profiles led to longer axial lengths for +10D lens conditions.

Consistent with axial length measures, Fig. 2C shows an overall increase in vitreous chamber depth in response to −10D lenses for all light conditions, and a notable decrease in vitreous chamber depth in response to +10D lenses for all light conditions except for Fast
Table 2  Summary of within light profile condition and within frequency condition post hoc interactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sawtooth profile</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Fast OFF/SLOW ON</th>
<th>−10D Condition</th>
<th>1 Hz &lt;(4 Hz No Diff. NF)</th>
<th>1 Hz −10D Condition</th>
<th>Fast OFF &lt;(Fast ON No Diff. NF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast OFF/SLOW ON</td>
<td>−10D Condition</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>1 Hz No Diff. NF</td>
<td>No Diff. 4 Hz</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>Fast OFF No Diff. Fast ON. Fast OFF No Diff. NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>1 Hz &lt;4 Hz &lt;NF</td>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>Fast OFF &lt;(Fast ON No Diff. NF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast ON/SLOW OFF</td>
<td>−10D Condition</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>1 Hz No Diff. NF</td>
<td>No Diff. 4 Hz</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>Fast OFF No Diff. Fast ON. Fast OFF &lt;NF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>No Lens Condition</td>
<td>(1 Hz No Diff. 4 Hz) &lt;NF</td>
<td>+10D Condition</td>
<td>(Fast OFF No Diff. Fast ON) &lt;NF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. <F denotes more negative refraction (i.e., more myopic); NF, No Flicker; No Diff, No significant difference between conditions.

OFF and Fast ON 1 Hz flicker in comparison to No Lens groups. No Lens chicks showed a slight increase in vitreous chamber depth. Simple main effects analysis revealed a significant effect of lens \( (F_{2,149} = 158.01, p < .0001) \) and frequency \( (F_{2,149} = 13.37, p < .0001) \), and a significant interaction between lens and frequency \( (F_{2,149} = 4.47, p = .013) \). Post hoc analysis again confirmed the observation that 1 Hz flicker for both sawtooth profiles led to longer vitreous chamber depths for +10D lens conditions.

As expected, a great degree of variability was observed in anterior chamber depth in response to lens wear (Fig. 2D). A significant interaction between lens and frequency \( (F_{2,149} = 5.88, p = .004) \) was found. Post hoc tests showed that 4 Hz flicker for both Fast OFF and Fast ON profiles led to shallower anterior chamber depths for +10D lens conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have shown that low frequency sine-wave and square-wave flicker induces a generalized myopic shift in a range of species (Rucker et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2004; Cremieux et al., 1989; Di et al., 2013a; Di et al., 2013b; Di et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2011; Zhi et al., 2013). In the present study, we demonstrate that whole field temporal Fast ON and Fast OFF sawtooth flicker with no spatial component or dark phase induces a general increase in vitreous chamber depth and a subsequent myopic shift that is greater in the presence of defocus (particularly positive defocus), and greater at lower temporal frequencies (i.e., 1 Hz versus 4 Hz). The largest effects occurred for 1 Hz Fast OFF flicker, which strongly inhibited refractive compensation to positive lenses and induced over-compensation to negative lenses. Although this latter finding is in agreement with our previous study of spatiotemporal sawtooth flicker (Crewther & Crewther, 2002), on the whole, these results suggest that whole field temporal...
modulation of retinal ON/OFF pathways does not produce the strong sign-of-defocus dependent effects previously demonstrated for spatiotemporal ON/OFF stimuli (Crewther & Crewther, 2002).

As light levels can affect responses to lens and occluder wear (Norton & Siegwart Jr, 2013), further research is needed to rule out a contribution from differing mean illuminance between the temporal sawtooth in the present study and the spatiotemporal sawtooth in our previous study (97 and 387 lux, respectively), and between the no flicker and flicker conditions in the present study (183 and 97 lux, respectively). Past research has shown that chicks reared under very dim lighting (≤50 lux) for weeks to months develop longer axial lengths and a more myopic refraction (Bercovitz, Harrison & Leary, 1972; Cohen et al., 2011; Cohen et al., 2012; Lauber & Oishi, 1987). However, shorter duration studies have shown no difference in refractive development following 4 days of occluder wear under 50 lux versus 500 lux lighting (Ashby, Ohlendorf & Schaeffel, 2009), or following 7 days of wearing neutral density filters (0.5–1 log unit attenuation) versus clear filters under 500 lux lighting (Feldkaemper et al., 1999). These latter findings suggest that the contribution of mean illuminance to refractive outcomes across conditions is likely to be minimal. That said, a comparison of the present findings with those of our past study investigating near-symmetrical flicker (Crewther et al., 2006) is not inconsistent with a contribution from mean luminance in driving refractive change. This past study investigated the effects of flicker with a gradual rise and slightly sharper decline (approximating a Fast-OFF profile), and variable duration dark phase (longest for 1 Hz flicker and shortest for 4 Hz flicker) (Crewther et al., 2006). In this previous study, the 1 Hz flicker condition (which had the lowest mean luminance) caused the strongest inhibition of compensation to positive lenses, and all frequencies caused a similar degree of over-compensation to negative lenses. The refractive shifts observed in the present study, where there was no measurable dark phase and thus a slightly higher mean luminance, were similar but less extreme. The results of these two studies are also interesting in the context of previous research showing a correlation between the degree of myopia suppression by flicker and the duration of the dark phase at high frequencies (with longer dark phases conferring greater suppression) (Schwahn & Schaeffel, 1997). Our previous study (Crewther et al., 2006) demonstrated equivalent over-compensation to negative lenses despite large differences in the duration of the dark phase at 1, 2, and 4 Hz. These results demonstrate that the correlation between the flicker dark phase and the degree of myopia induction does not hold at low temporal frequencies when the mean illuminance is not controlled.

Previous physiological research in monkey (Khan et al., 2005) and toad eye cup (Riddell et al., 2016) indicates that temporal and spatiotemporal sawtooth modulation produces complex post-receptoral ON/OFF pathway asymmetries, with push-pull interactions evident between ON- and OFF- bipolar cell contributions to Fast ON and Fast OFF waveforms in both paradigms (Khan et al., 2005; Riddell et al., 2016). Although both paradigms mediate ON/OFF pathway activity in a polarity-specific manner, their effects on gross trans-retinal potentials are vastly different. Spatiotemporal sawtooth produces a sustained trans-retinal potential increase (presumably resulting from the summation of
potentials from different local retinal regions), that has asymmetries at the photoreceptor-
RPE level as well as post-receptortally (Riddell et al., 2016). The important difference
between the Crewther & Crewther’s (2002) stimuli and stimuli utilised in the current study
is the removal of the spatial aspects of each local diamond stimulus. The current stimulation
procedure is a full field sawtooth ON to OFF, or OFF to ON temporal modulation of the
environment. The neural response to whole field temporally modulated light is an ‘entire
retina’ photoreceptor response that results in a global change in retinal transmembrane
potential (Khan et al., 2005). In comparison, the neural response to the spatial plaid
components of the diamond pattern is expected to allow relatively localized spatial and
temporal buffering of the change of potential across the retina (Riddell et al., 2016). Such
differences in their effects can be conceptualized in terms of spatial buffering of ions.
Each change in illuminance, whether temporal only or spatiotemporal, results in a large
efflux of sodium ions into the outer segments and potassium out in the subretinal space
during darkness and reversal of this process during light onset (See Bialek & Miller, 1994;
Gallemore, Hughes & Miller, 1997; Hamann, 2002; Li et al., 1994; Steinberg, 1985; Steinberg,
Linsenmeier & Griff, 1985). It is proposed that the reduced effect 4 Hz flicker on eye growth
is related to the refractory period required to modulate directional change in the buffering of
ion distributions in conjunction with the magnitude of the change in transretinal potential
due to the flicker stimulus. Thus, the full field stimulation of the current 2018 study
forces ionic changes in the radial dimension, reducing the processes to a 1-dimensional
situation across the retina. In comparison, the 2002 spatiotemporal diamonds could allow
buffering in the direction tangential to the retinal layers, for example, in the subretinal
space, or indeed within individual RPE cells to produce a directional growth signal in
response to signed defocus. Further investigation is warranted to determine the nature of
the refractory period for ionic spatial buffering and the impact of spatiotemporal versus
temporal frequency signals in driving signed compensation.

CONCLUSIONS
The present study demonstrated that both whole field low temporal frequency fast ON
and fast OFF flicker induce a generalized myopic shift in chicks wearing defocusing lenses,
but do not affect refractive development in chicks not wearing lenses. These results are
highly similar to our previous findings using near-symmetrical flicker approximating a Fast
OFF profile (Crewther et al., 2006), as well as being broadly concordant with the myopic
shift shown following exposure to symmetrical flicker in chicks (Rucker et al., 2015), mice
(Yu et al., 2011), guinea pigs (Cheng et al., 2004; Di et al., 2013a; Di et al., 2013b; Di et al.,
2014; Li et al., 2016; Luo et al., 2017; Zhi et al., 2013), and cats (Cremieux et al., 1989). Most
importantly, this study highlights the fact that temporal luminance modulation per se is not
adequate to induce signed directional refractive compensation. Rather, signed modulation
of refractive compensation requires a directional spatiotemporal component which likely
effects relative adaptive brightness in local regions across the retina.
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