For the majority of subjects the vowels take more appropriate
390
of the 8 male speakers there is a trend for F2 to reach the target
ond steps over the entire vowel by means of a 12th-order
obtained from 50 Dutch male speakers 7 and 25 Dutch female
The time course of F2 is depicted in the right-hand panel of Fig
2 the values of F2 produced by the same speakers are
viations of the norm values. The results of the 8 male speakers
and the 12 female speakers are depicted separately. Figure 2
The deviation of F1 from the norm values for the individual
vowels preimplantation and postimplantation is displayed for
all subjects in the upper four panels in Fig 2, together with the
norm values represented by the curves and the standard de­
vations of the norm values. The results of the 8 male speakers
and the 12 female speakers are depicted separately. Figure 2
clearly shows that more vowels fall within their normal range
1 year after implantation.

DISCUSSION
This study indicates that in general, the Nucleus cochlear
implant has a favorable effect on vowel production in post­
lingually deaf subjects. Both F1 and F2 became more similar
to the normative values given by Pols7 and van Nierop et al.8
For F1 the average distance between the vowels and their
norm values was reduced after 3 months of implant use, and
even more improvement was found in the 1-year condition. In
3 subjects the F1 distance increased 3 months postimplanta­
tion, to show improvement after 12 months. Possibly, in these
subjects the auditory feedback of the implant may at first have
been disruptive for vowel production. This provides evidence
that speech production may still improve after 3 months of
implant use. Also, for the F2 distances a significant effect
of condition was found. Twelve months postimplantation F2 is
closer to its target position; however, 2 male subjects showed
no improvement in the position of F2. In 1 of these speakers
only 11 electrodes could be inserted, and the other speaker
began to hear segmental aspects after only 7 months of
implant use. Perkell et al5 and Economou et al2 noticed favorable
changes of F2 values as well.

A reduction of vowel spaces of deaf subjects was observed
by Waldstein,2 in our subjects the spread of F1 and F2 in­
creased at 3 and 12 months after implantation (not shown).

REFERENCES
2. Waldstein R. Effects of postlingual deafness on speech production:
114.
Speech Transmission Laboratory: Quarterly Progress Speech Research (STL­
4. Tarter VC, Chate PM, Hellmann SA. The speech of a postlingually
defaun teenager during the first year of use of a multichannel cochlear
5. Perkell J, Lane H, Svirsky M, Webster J. Speech of cochlear implant
91:2961-78.
6. Svirsky MA, Tobey EA. Effect of different types of auditory stimu­
lation on vowel formant frequencies in multichannel cochlear implant users. J
7. Pols LCW. Spectral analysis and identification of Dutch vowels in
monosyllabic words [Dissertation]. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: University
of Amsterdam, 1977.
8. Van Nierop DIPJ, Pols LCW, Plomp R. Frequency analysis of Dutch
9. Economou A, Tarter VC, Chate PM, Hellman SA. Speech changes
following reimplantation from a single-channel to a multichannel cochlear

PHONETIC AND PHONOLOGIC CHANGES IN THE CONNECTED SPEECH OF
CHILDREN USING A COCHLEAR IMPLANT

M. L. GROGAN, BAppSc; E. J. BARKER, BAppSc; S. J. DETTMAN, BAppSc; P. J. BLAMEY, PhD
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INTRODUCTION
In excess of 5,000 children, with profound hearing impair-
This topic is of interest because the speech of young prelingually or postlingually deaf children is in a constant state of development. The effectiveness of the implant, therefore, must be measured in its ability to provide enough auditory information for the child to develop intelligible speech. This is in addition to the maintenance of intelligible speech in the case of older postlingually deaf children or adults. The aim of the present study was to investigate some characteristics of the connected speech of a selected group of children from the University of Melbourne Cochlear Implant Programme. More specifically, the study aimed to determine how these characteristics changed over time. Studies of conversational speech samples are useful in that they do not depend on imitation yet they do reflect the child’s everyday communication skills and are sensitive to co-articulatory effects. Analyses performed on the preoperative and postoperative data aimed to detect both the phonetic and phonologic changes in the segmental features of speech. The following questions were addressed: 1) What was the pattern of change in the phonetic inventories from before to after implantation? 2) Was there a difference in the correct production of consonants depending on their position in the word? 3) Did the group performance for correct production of phonemes change significantly from before to after implantation? 4) Did performance change over time for individuals? 5) What were the most common phonologic processes and was there a significant reduction in any of these processes from before to after implantation?

**METHOD**

Twenty children from the Melbourne Cochlear Implant Programme were selected for this study. The subjects were divided into two groups of 10 depending on their level of intelligible speech preoperatively. Intelligible speech was defined as being recognizable to the speech pathologists who analyzed all samples. Group 1 subjects had speech that was mostly babbled or unintelligible preimplant. Group 2 subjects had mostly intelligible speech preimplantation. Postoperatively, all subjects had speech that was mostly intelligible. Group 1 subjects’ ages ranged from 1 year 6 months (1y6m)
to 5y1m (mean, 3y4m) preoperatively and 3y5m to 8y2m (mean, 6y9m) postoperatively. The average length of use of implant was 2y6m. Five of the children were in an auditory-oral educational setting and 5 in total communication. The age range for the children in group 2 was 3y4m to 8y10m (mean, 6y3m) preoperatively and 5y1m to 11y10m (mean, 8y8m) postoperatively. Average length of implant use was 2y2m. Seven of the children were in an auditory-oral setting and 3 were in total communication.

Two samples were chosen for each child on the basis that the preoperative sample was as close to the date of implant as possible and the other was as recent as possible. All samples featured the child on videotape interacting with a familiar adult. Props such as toys, family photographs, books, and pictures were used to facilitate a conversational speech sample of at least 6 minutes (mean, 13 minutes). A minimum of 50 utterances was transcribed for all samples, with the exception of 8 group 1 samples and 4 group 2 samples because the sample was less than 50 utterances. A computer program, written as part of a larger research project entitled Computer Aided Speech and Language Analysis (CASALA), was used to collate and statistically analyze the data. Phonetic inventories were plotted from the broad transcriptions from group 1 samples. A phoneme was considered present in the child's repertoire if it occurred at least once. The samples from group 2 were transcribed narrowly and the percentages of correctly produced phonemes for vowels, consonants, and clusters (initial, medial, and final positions) were compared. The relative occurrence of phonologic processes was also computed and investigated for this group.

RESULTS

The phonetic inventories for group 1 indicated that for vowels (Fig 1A), all monophthongs were represented pre-implant, with the low-central vowels and schwa being present in the inventories of 8 or more children. Postimplant, all vowels, including diphthongs, increased in representation. High and mid-front vowels and some of the high and low-back monophthongs were now present in the inventory of 8 or more children. Diphthongs were still relatively underrepresented. In Fig 1B, consonants were arranged in the order of acquisition for normal-hearing children. Preoperatively, 40% or more of the subjects used [w, m, b], [d], and [j] for place characteristics and [b, d], [m], [h], and [w, j] for manner. Voiceless phonemes were infrequently used. Postoperatively, only [ch, v, th, zh] were used by less than 40% of the children.

An analysis of variance on the group 2 data indicated that initial consonants were the most accurately produced, followed by medial and final, which were the least accurate (p < .001). The average total percentage of correctly produced vowels, consonants, and clusters indicated a significant improvement from before to after implantation (p < .001; Fig 2A). The χ² analyses of the pre- and post-implant single-subject data for the number of correctly versus incorrectly produced phonemes showed that 80% of the subjects improved significantly on production of vowels, 60% on consonants (Fig 2B), and 30% on clusters (p < .05). The relative frequency of phonologic processes was also calculated from the group 2 data. The most frequently occurring processes for the group for both preimplant and postimplant samples were elongation, nasalization, and monophthongization for vowels and voicing, stopping, deletion, and cluster reduction for consonants. Consonant deletion was the only process that was significantly reduced from before to after implantation (p < .05 Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

DISCUSSION

The change in the phonetic inventories from before to after implantation indicated that over time, the acquisition of sounds became closer to that of normal-hearing children. Other researchers have studied phonetic changes in implanted groups of subjects. There are similarities in the general pattern of results, although direct comparison is difficult because of the wide range of methodologies and analyses used. In the present study, for vowels, monophthongs were used more frequently both before and after implantation with an increase in the use of diphthongs postimplant. Geers and Tobey,3 investigating vowel accuracy, reported that diphthongs were

---

Fig 2. Group 2 results, before and after implantation. A) Combined, for percentage of correctly produced vowels, consonants, and clusters. B) Individual, for percentage of correctly produced consonants. Asterisks — subjects with significant improvement.
respectively. For manner characteristics, the greatest increases reported similar findings, although some have reported a use of one or two members of the stop, nasal, glide, and stops were predominant. These results are supported by those fricatives. In summary, use of liquids, alveolars, and voice­
findings in other studies, although none reported the frequent fricative categories. The most common place characteristic
was bilabial, and for manner and voice characteristics, voiced deletions of final consonants was common in the speech of hearing-impaired children. Older children’s production of phonemes became more accurate with time. Gains are most likely due to a combination of maturation, habilitation, and implant expe­
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differences among the three groups of phonemes. Eighty percent improved significantly on vowel accuracy and 60% on consonants. Thirty percent of the subjects improved on vowels and consonants, and a further 30% on vowels, cons­
ents, and clusters. No subjects improved on consonants or clusters alone. This suggests that vowels are easier to produce than consonants. Clusters are clearly the most difficult for implanted subjects to produce accurately.

The most common phonologic processes occurring both preimplant and postimplant for vowels were elongation, na­
salization, and monophthongization. Deletion, voicing, stop­
ing, and cluster reduction were most common for conso­
nants. The reduction in consonant deletion postimplantation was the only process to reach statistical significance for the group, despite the fact that several other processes were reduced considerably for individual subjects. Possibly because of the relatively small number of subjects, consonant deletion had the most opportunity to occur, whereas other processes affect only a small number of targets. The above list of processes was compared to one described by Murphy and Dodd in relation to hearing-impaired speakers with similar results. A more narrow classification system such as final and initial consonant deletion, prevocalic voicing, and postvocalic devoicing was used by Murphy and Dodd, and these may be useful in future studies in order to study more closely the patterns of phonologic changes over time.

The results from this study suggest that as a group, children receiving a multichannel cochlear implant improve on their segmental features of speech production in everyday conversa­tion over time. Younger children acquired more phonemes with time and used speech that had a greater proportion of recognizable words. Certain vowels and consonants were more likely to be acquired, depending on the place and man­ner of articulation. Older children’s production of phonemes became more accurate with time. Gains are most likely due to a combination of maturation, habilitation, and implant expe­

A significant difference was found for the correct produc­tion of consonants, depending on their position in the word. Initial consonants were found to be more accurately produced than medial, and final consonants were the least accurately produced. This is an important finding, as other studies on phoneme accuracy did not differentiate between initial, me­
dial, and final positions. The reason for the apparent difficulty in accurately producing final consonants is an area for further study. Murphy and Dodd reported that a high number of deletions of final consonants is common in the speech of hearing-impaired children, as opposed to initial and medial, and this may, at least in part, be the reason for significantly more final consonant errors.

The group performance for correct production of vowels, consonants, and clusters did significantly improve from be­
6 more closely resembles the pattern of conso­

dant acquisition of children with normal hearing (ie, de­
creasing from top to bottom) than the preimplant inventory.

A significant difference was found for the correct produc­tion of consonants, depending on their position in the word. Initial consonants were found to be more accurately produced than medial, and final consonants were the least accurately produced. This is an important finding, as other studies on phoneme accuracy did not differentiate between initial, me­
dial, and final positions. The reason for the apparent difficulty in accurately producing final consonants is an area for further study. Murphy and Dodd reported that a high number of deletions of final consonants is common in the speech of hearing-impaired children, as opposed to initial and medial, and this may, at least in part, be the reason for significantly more final consonant errors.

for postimplantation. Other studies on phoneme accuracy in implanted subjects confirm this result.1-3,4 Individual re­
results in the present study provide further information on
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