

TITLE OF WRITE-UP: Early intervention starting in the neonatal nursery to improve child development

REVIEWED BY

Alice Burnett, PhD
Knowledge Translation Fellow
Murdoch Children's Research Institute
alice.burnett@mcri.edu.au

Alicia Spittle, PhD
Professor of Physiotherapy
University of Melbourne
aspittle@unimelb.edu.au

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Alice Burnett, PhD
alice.burnett@mcri.edu.au
+613 9936 6679

MANUSCRIPT CITATION

Colditz PB, Boyd RN, Winter L, Pritchard M, Gray PH, Whittingham K, O'Callaghan M, Jardine L, O'Rourke P, Marquart L, Forrest K, Spry C, Sanders MR. (2019). A Randomized Trial of Baby Triple P for Preterm Infants: Child Outcomes at 2 Years of Corrected Age. *J Pediatr*, 210, 48-54.e42. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.01.024

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/APA.15147](https://doi.org/10.1111/APA.15147)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

COMMENTARY

Infants born very preterm (<32 weeks' gestation) are at heightened risk of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes.(1) Interventions to improve these vulnerable children's outcomes should ideally start in the neonatal period and focus on supporting the family unit to promote child development.(2)

In their recent study, Colditz and colleagues report the findings of an appropriately powered, randomised controlled trial of the Baby Triple P for Preterm Infants (3), compared with care as usual.(4, 5) The Triple P approach aims to reduce child behavioural problems by supporting the parenting relationship. This Triple P is distinct from van Os and colleagues "Triple P study" of progesterone as a preventative of preterm birth.(6)

The intervention did not yield benefits for the primary outcome of child behaviour at 2 years' corrected age. This was a surprising finding given the nature and history of the Triple-P intervention. The authors note that floor effects on the primary outcome measure, the ITSEA, may have limited sensitivity to identify any effects. For instance, the mean scores on the ITSEA subdomains were at least -0.5SD from the normative mean in both groups, suggesting the overall rate of problematic behavioural in the sample was low. In addition, the authors note that the content regarding child behaviour was not delivered at the developmentally appropriate time for parents to apply this information immediately. After the completion of the initial 8 sessions, families engaged with the telephone support offered around 58% of the time. Thus, the interval between the delivery of this aspect of the intervention and the final outcome measurement may have contributed to a loss of efficacy. However, the benefits seen for cognitive, motor, and some aspects of communication development are encouraging.

Although no benefits were found for child behaviour outcomes, this study has provided some promising evidence about the power of supporting parents in caring for their vulnerable preterm infants from the start of their parenting journey. The effect size on motor development is higher than reported in the Cochrane review of early developmental interventions (motor scale development quotient [DQ] standardised mean difference [SMD]: 0.10, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.19; p value = 0.03; 12 studies; 1895 participants), whilst the

effects on cognitive outcomes are slightly lower (0.32 SMD DQ; 95% CI 0.16 to 0.47; p value < 0.001; 16 studies; 2372 participants).(7) Longer-term follow-up of such interventions will be crucial in determining the persistence of any benefits to children and families. In addition, some families may benefit more than others from different kinds of interventions,(8) and the factors that predict intervention success should be investigated to ensure interventions are most efficiently provided.

URL LINK: URL TO THE FULL REVIEW ON THE EBNEO WEBSITE

FUNDING

Centre of Research Excellence in Newborn Medicine (Australian National Health & Medical Research Council 1153176); Career Development Fellowship (1108714 to AJS); Victorian Government Operational Infrastructure Support Program.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

1. Johnson S, Marlow N. Early and long-term outcome of infants born extremely preterm. *Arch Dis Child*. 2017;102(1):97-102.
2. Spittle A, Treyvaud K. The role of early developmental intervention to influence neurobehavioral outcomes of children born preterm. *Semin Perinatol*. 2016;40(8):542-8.
3. Colditz PB, Boyd RN, Winter L, Pritchard M, Gray PH, Whittingham K, et al. A Randomized Trial of Baby Triple P for Preterm Infants: Child Outcomes at 2 Years of Corrected Age. *J Pediatr*. 2019;210:48-54.e2.
4. Colditz P, Sanders MR, Boyd R, Pritchard M, Gray P, O'Callaghan MJ, et al. Prem Baby Triple P: a randomised controlled trial of enhanced parenting capacity to improve developmental outcomes in preterm infants. *BMC Pediatr*. 2015;15:15.
5. Msall ME. Promoting Parenting Supports and Engagement for Infants Born Preterm. *J Pediatr*. 2019;210:10-2.

6. van Os MA, van der Ven JA, Kleinrouweler CE, Pajkrt E, de Miranda E, van Wassenaer A, et al. Preventing preterm birth with progesterone: costs and effects of screening low risk women with a singleton pregnancy for short cervical length, the Triple P study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2011;11:77.
7. Spittle A, Orton J, Anderson PJ, Boyd R, Doyle LW. Early developmental intervention programmes provided post hospital discharge to prevent motor and cognitive impairment in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015(11):Cd005495.
8. Spittle AJ, Treyvaud K, Lee KJ, Anderson PJ, Doyle LW. The role of social risk in an early preventative care programme for infants born very preterm: a randomized controlled trial. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2018;60(1):54-62.



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Burnett, A; Spittle, A

Title:

Early intervention starting in the neonatal nursery to improve child development

Date:

2020-05-01

Citation:

Burnett, A. & Spittle, A. (2020). Early intervention starting in the neonatal nursery to improve child development. ACTA PAEDIATRICA, 109 (5), pp.1071-1072.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/apa.15147>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/275339>

File Description:

Accepted version