

1	
2	DR ADRIAN KM LAI (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-8931-0878)
3	
4	
5	Article type : Original Article
6	
7	
8	Differences in in-vivo muscle fascicle and tendinous tissue behaviour between the ankle
9	plantarflexors during running
10	Adrian K.M. Lai ^{1*} , Glen A. Lichtwark ² , Anthony G. Schache ³ , Marcus G. Pandy ³
11	¹ Department of Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC,
12	Canada
13	² Centre for Sensorimotor Performance, The School of Human Movement Studies, The University of
14	Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia
15	³ Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia
16	0
17	Submitted to the Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports
18	
19	* Address for correspondence: Department of Biomedical Physiology and Kinesiology, Simon Fraser
20	University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC, Canada, V5A 1S6
21	Phone: +1(778) 782-8445
22	Email: <u>adrian_lai@sfu.ca</u>

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/sms.13089</u>

23

24

25 Abstract

26 The primary human ankle plantarflexors, soleus (SO), medial gastrocnemius (MG) and lateral 27 gastrocnemius (LG), are typically regarded as synergists and play a critical role in running. However, 28 due to differences in muscle-tendon architecture and joint articulation, the muscle fascicles and 29 tendinous tissue of the plantarflexors may exhibit differences in their behaviour and interactions 30 during running. We combined *in-vivo* dynamic ultrasound measurements with inverse dynamics 31 analyses to identify and explain differences in muscle fascicle, muscle-tendon unit (MTU) and 32 tendinous tissue (SEE) behaviour of the primary ankle plantarflexors across a range of steady-state 33 running speeds. Consistent with their role as a force generator, the muscle fascicles of the uni-34 articular SO shortened less rapidly than the fascicles of the MG during early stance. Furthermore, the 35 MG and LG exhibited delays in tendon recoil during the stance phase, reflecting their ability to 36 transfer power and work between the knee and ankle via tendon stretch and storage of elastic strain 37 energy. Our findings add to the growing body of evidence surrounding the distinct mechanistic 38 functions of uni- and bi-articular muscles during dynamic movements.

39 Keywords: running, muscle fascicles, tendon, bi-articular, muscle function

40 Introduction

The primary human ankle plantarflexors, soleus (SO), medial gastrocnemius (MG) and lateral gastrocnemius (LG), play an important role in supporting and accelerating the body across a wide range of running speeds ^{1,2}. They connect to a common Achilles tendon and together are the dominant contributors to ankle torque generation. In this respect, the SO, MG and LG are typically regarded as synergists. Nevertheless, for a variety of reasons, it is plausible that the mechanical behaviour of these muscles, as well as the muscle fascicle and tendinous tissue interactions, differ during running.

The SO is a uni-articular muscle spanning the ankle whereas the MG and LG are bi-articular muscles spanning both the ankle and knee. Previous studies have suggested that muscles which span multiple joints have distinct functions — uni-articular muscles function primarily as force and power 51 generators whereas bi-articular muscles modulate the moments and powers developed about the joints they span^{3,4}. A previous modelling study using a joint power approach suggested that during 52 the absorption and push-off phases of running, the gastrocnemii muscles transport mechanical 53 power between the ankle and knee 5. However, this study was unable to distinguish the 54 55 contributions of the muscle fascicles and associated tendon. Alternatively, modelling and in-vivo 56 studies have observed that during jumping, the mechanical power transported between the knee 57 and ankle via the gastrocnemii muscles contributes to the enhanced storage of elastic strain energy ^{6,7}. This enhanced storage of strain energy was in part due to the delayed onset of tendon recoil of 58 the gastrocnemii muscles compared with the SO during the propulsion phase. It remains unclear, 59 60 however, whether observed functional differences between the uni- and bi-articular muscles during 61 movements such as jumping are also evident in the *in-vivo* behaviour of the ankle plantarflexors during running. 62

63 Ultrasound studies have demonstrated that the SO and MG have differing MTU and in-vivo muscle fascicle behaviours during walking. Ishikawa et al. (2005) and Cronin et al. (2013) observed that the 64 muscle fascicles of the SO have slower shortening velocities than those of the MG, and that the SO 65 appears to play a more significant functional role in the catapult-like action of the plantarflexors ^{8,9}. 66 67 This behaviour is consistent with a recent modelling study showing that the SO shortened at slower speeds than MG during walking and was therefore metabolically more efficient ¹⁰. However, walking 68 69 and running place different mechanical demands on the ankle plantarflexors; for example, the knee 70 undergoes a larger joint excursion and generates a higher extensor moment during the stance phase of running compared to walking ¹¹, which likely impacts the behaviour of the gastrocnemii. 71 72 Therefore, *in-vivo* muscle fascicle and MTU behaviour during running is unlikely to mimic muscle-73 tendon behaviour observed for walking.

The present study combined *in-vivo* ultrasound measurements of the SO, MG and LG with an inverse dynamics analysis to identify and explain differences in *in-vivo* muscle fascicle, MTU and tendinous tissue behaviour of the ankle plantarflexors across a range of steady-state running speeds. We hypothesised that (1) the SO muscle fascicles will display a slower shortening velocity relative to the MG and LG across all speeds; and (2) compared with the SO, tendon recoil in the MG and LG will be delayed as these muscles transfer mechanical power between the knee and ankle at all running speeds.

82 Methods

83 Human experiments

Ten recreational athletes (9 males and 1 female; mean (SD) age = 27.5(5.6) years; height = 180.8(7.7) cm; body mass = 80.2(11.7) kg) with no pre-existing musculoskeletal injuries were recruited to this study, which was approved by the research ethics committees at the University of Melbourne and the University of Queensland.

Small retro-reflective markers (14 mm diameter) were placed at specific anatomical locations on the participants' trunk, arms and lower-limbs (for full details of marker locations, see Lai et al. (2015)). Participants ran at four different steady-state speeds (2 m s⁻¹, 3 m s⁻¹, 4 m s⁻¹ and 5 m s⁻¹) using a selfselected foot strike pattern, cadence and stride length. Participants wore minimalist shoes (Xeroshoes, Boulder, CO, USA) to protect their feet from the surface of the instrumented treadmill and to maintain exposure of the dorsal aspect of the foot for marker placement.

94 Three-dimensional (3D) marker trajectories were recorded using an 8-camera, video-based, motion 95 analysis system (Qualisys, Gothenburg, Sweden) sampling at 250 Hz. Ground reaction forces (GRFs) 96 were recorded using two force plates embedded in an instrumented treadmill (Tandem Treadmill, 97 Advanced Mechanical Technology Inc. (AMTI), Watertown, MA, USA) sampling at 1500 Hz. A 98 'stitching' algorithm was used to compute the resultant GRF, centre of pressure and free moment 99 vectors from measurements obtained from the two force plates. Marker trajectories were filtered 100 with a fourth-order, low-pass, Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 15 Hz while the resultant 101 GRF data were filtered using a fourth-order, low-pass, critically-damped filter operating at a cut-off frequency of 15 Hz. 102

A PC-based B-mode ultrasound scanner (Telemed Echo Blaster 128, Vilnius, Lithuania) recorded images at 80 Hz. A 96-element, linear, flat-profiled ultrasound probe operated at a scanning depth and width of 60 mm and a sampling frequency of 7 MHz. Ultrasound data were recorded from the right leg of each participant. Ultrasound images of the MG, LG and SO muscle fascicles were collected in two separate trials. The MG was imaged by placing the ultrasound transducer at the midbelly of the MG whereas the SO and LG were imaged by placing the transducer at the mid-belly of

81

the LG. The ultrasound probe was manipulated until the deep and superficial aponeuroses and the
 distinct striated patterns of connective tissue defining the muscle fascicles were visible ¹². We
 assumed homogeneous behaviour in the muscle fascicle throughout the muscle belly ¹³.

Electromyographic (EMG) signals from Ag/AgCl bi-polar surface electrodes were recorded 112 113 simultaneously with the ultrasound data using a wireless system (Noraxon, Scotsdale, AZ, USA) sampling at 1500 Hz. The EMG electrodes were placed on the mid-bellies of the SO, MG and LG of 114 the right leg according to standardised recommendations¹⁴. A linear envelope of the raw EMG signal 115 116 was calculated using the root-mean-square of a moving window (100ms). Each muscle's linear 117 envelope was then normalised to the peak magnitude of the respective linear EMG envelope 118 measured for running at 5 m s⁻¹. All experimental data were synchronised via a digital output signal 119 generated by the ultrasound scanner that triggered the collection of the marker trajectories, ground reaction forces and EMG signals. 120

121 Computational simulations

OpenSim[™] (v3.3)¹⁵ was used to calculate joint angles, joint angular velocities, net joint moments 122 and joint powers for the ankle and knee as well as MTU lengths and velocities for the SO, MG and LG. 123 124 A generic 12-segment, 31-degree-of-freedom (DOF) musculoskeletal model was scaled to each 125 participant's body anthropometry (Arnold et al., 2010). The knee and ankle were each represented 126 as a 1-DOF hinge joint. Inverse kinematics and inverse dynamics were used to compute ankle and 127 knee joint angles and net joint moments, respectively. Joint powers were found by multiplying the 128 net moment at each joint by the corresponding angular velocity at each time interval. Net joint 129 moments and joint powers were normalised to body mass.

130 Data processing

131 *In-vivo* muscle fascicle length and pennation angle were obtained from the ultrasound images (Fig. 132 1). The length of the muscle fascicle was defined as the distance between the superficial and deep 133 aponeuroses parallel to the collagenous tissue. The pennation angle (α) was defined as the angle 134 between the collagenous tissue and the deep aponeurosis (Fig. 1). A previously validated automatic 135 tracking algorithm was used to quantify the muscle fascicle length and pennation angle ¹⁶. Tracked 136 muscle fascicle lengths and pennation angles were visually examined to ensure the automatic 137 algorithm accurately tracked the length and angle changes. Whenever the muscle fascicle length or

pennation angle was deemed inaccurate, the two points defining the muscle fascicles were manuallyrepositioned.

140 The MTU length of each plantarflexor was computed at each time interval using the distance 141 between the scaled origin and insertion sites in the musculoskeletal model and the instantaneous 142 joint angle. The series elastic element (SEE) represented all tendinous tissue, which included the free 143 tendon, aponeurosis and connective tissue. SEE was defined as the difference between the model-144 based MTU length and the ultrasound-measured muscle fascicle length, which was rotated by the 145 ultrasound-measured pennation angle to align with the direction of the line of force application. 146 Each plantarflexor MTU was modelled with an individual SEE rather than a common Achilles tendon. 147 MTU and muscle fascicle lengths were normalised by resting muscle fascicle length during static 148 standing (I_s^m) . SEE lengths were normalised by resting SEE length during static standing (I_s^t) . Mean resting fascicle and SEE lengths during static standing were 0.051 ± 0.009 m and 0.282 ± 0.018 m for 149 150 the SO, respectively; 0.058 ± 0.01 m and 0.481 ± 0.029 m for the MG, respectively; and 0.063 ± 0.007 151 m and 0.479 ± 0.026 m for the LG, respectively. MTU, muscle fascicle and SEE length changes were 152 calculated as the change from the normalised length of each component at foot strike. MTU, muscle 153 fascicle and SEE velocities were computed by differentiating the lengths of each component with 154 respect to time.

155 Data analysis

156 Data were processed for five stance phases of running for each participant. The experimental data 157 were interpolated to 200 sample points, averaged for each participant, and used to calculate a group 158 mean. Stance phase was further separated into two periods: the first between foot strike and the 159 time of peak ankle moment (henceforth 'ankle moment development'), and the second between the 160 time of peak ankle moment and toe-off (henceforth 'ankle moment decline'). A two-way repeated measures ANOVA (IBM SPSS v23.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to test whether 161 162 significant main effects were present for 'muscle' (3 levels: SO, MG, LG) and 'running speed' (4 levels: 2 m s⁻¹, 3 m s⁻¹, 4 m s⁻¹ and 5 m s⁻¹) and whether a significant interaction existed between these two 163 main effects. The following outcome measures were tested: mean velocity of the MTU and muscle 164 165 fascicles during ankle moment development and ankle moment decline; and peak SEE length change, 166 timing of peak SEE recoil, and timing of peak EMG activity during the entire stance phase. When 167 significant main effects were found, a post-hoc pairwise comparison using Fisher's least significant difference was used to determine which muscle and which speed was significantly different. All data extracted for statistical analysis were assessed to be normally distributed using a Shapiro-Wilk normality test (p > 0.05). Given the number of comparisons, a conservative p-value of 0.01 was assumed.

172 Results

For all running speeds other than 5 m s⁻¹, the SO muscle fascicles exhibited a slower shortening 173 174 velocity throughout stance compared to the MG and LG fascicles. During ankle moment 175 development, the SO fascicles shortened significantly slower than the MG fascicles (Fig. 2, Table 1; p = 0.001), especially at 2 m s⁻¹ and 3 m s⁻¹, where the difference in shortening velocity was on average 176 0.38 $I_s^m s^{-1}$. The SO fascicles shortened on average 0.15 $I_s^m s^{-1}$ slower than the LG fascicles during the 177 same period of the stance phase, but this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.022). 178 The SO fascicles also shortened on average 0.07 I_s^m s⁻¹ slower than the MG fascicles during ankle 179 moment decline at all speeds, but this comparison also did not reach statistical significance (p=0.1). 180 181 There was no statistically significant difference in fascicle shortening velocity between the SO and LG 182 during ankle moment decline (p=0.15) (Table 1; Fig. 2).

183 The reduced shortening velocities in the SO muscle fascicles during ankle moment development 184 compared with those of the MG, and to a lesser extent LG, coincided with differences in MTU and 185 SEE behaviour (Figs. 3-4; Table 1). During early stance, the MG and LG MTUs underwent a period of initial shortening between foot strike and approximately 20% of stance, before lengthening 186 187 thereafter until the time of peak ankle moment (Fig. 3). The initial shortening was consistent with 188 the period when the knee flexed and absorbed power (Fig. 5), and consequently, the MTU and SEE 189 lengthening velocities were significantly greater for the SO compared to the MG (both p < 0.001) and LG (both p < 0.001) during early stance (Figs. 3-4). Similarly, during late stance, MTU shortening 190 velocity and SEE recoil velocity were significantly greater for the SO compared to the MG (both p <191 192 0.001) and LG (both p < 0.001).

The SEE for SO stretched more and commenced recoiling earlier during stance phase relative to the SEEs for MG and LG (Fig. 4). The SO SEE stretched on average 0.04 and 0.046 I_s^t longer than the MG SEE (p < 0.001) and LG SEE (p < 0.001), respectively, across all speeds (Table 1). Furthermore, the SO SEE began to recoil on average 8.8% of the stance phase earlier than the MG SEE (p < 0.001) and 197 6.5% of the stance phase earlier than the LG SEE (p < 0.001) across all running speeds (Table 1). The 198 SO SEE began to recoil at the start of the ankle moment decline when the ankle power transitioned 199 from absorption to generation, whereas the MG and LG SEEs continued to stretch and only began to 200 recoil once the knee extension moments and powers were negligible (Fig. 5). Finally, there were no 201 significant differences in the timing of peak EMG activity between SO, MG and LG (Fig. 6; Table 1).

202

203 Discussion

204 The aim of this study was to identify and explain differences in *in-vivo* muscle fascicle and tendinous 205 tissue behaviour between the ankle plantarflexors across a range of steady-state running speeds. We 206 found that during the early portion of the stance phase, when the ankle joint moment was rapidly 207 increasing and the ankle absorbed power, the SO muscle fascicles shortened at a slower rate than 208 the MG and LG muscle fascicles, which coincided with increased stretching and greater lengthening 209 velocities in the MTU and SEE of the SO compared to the MG and LG. In addition, even though the 210 MG and LG SEEs stretched less than the SO SEE, they continued stretching for a longer portion of the stance phase. This behaviour was most likely attributable to the bi-articular nature of the 211 212 gastrocnemii, which, we speculate, enabled the power generated by the knee extensors to be stored 213 as elastic strain energy in tendon, thus delaying tendon recoil and enhancing power output at the 214 ankle during the push-off phase of running.

Our observations that the SO muscle fascicles shortened at a lower velocity than those of the MG and LG is consistent with findings of previous *in-vivo* studies of walking ^{8,9}. The profiles of our MTU and muscle fascicle length changes are also qualitatively similar to results obtained from previous *invivo* ultrasound studies involving running ^{13,17,18}. Previous investigators have reported *in-vivo* muscle fascicle length changes for the SO and MG ranging from 5 to 20 mm for running at speeds ranging from 2 m s⁻¹ to 5 m s⁻¹, which is consistent with our absolute peak muscle fascicle length changes of 9, 13 and 9 mm for the SO, MG and LG, respectively.

The relatively slow shortening velocity of the SO muscle fascicles coupled with a greater lengthening of the MTU and greater SEE stretch compared with the MG and LG suggests that the SO functions primarily as a force and work generator, consistent with the behaviour of a uni-articular muscle. The slower shortening velocity of the SO muscle fascicles relative to those of the MG and LG during ankle

226 moment development may allow the SO muscle fascicles to generate force more efficiently by 227 shortening at a slower rate and maintaining a favourable force-velocity relationship (Fig. 2). Hence, 228 the SO likely generated a higher force and contributed to a greater proportion of the ankle moment 229 during running compared with the MG and LG. In addition, guasi-isometric behaviour of the muscle 230 fascicles of the SO allowed its SEE to undergo greater stretch and recoil during active contraction and 231 thus store and recover a greater amount of elastic strain energy. These functions are consistent with 232 recent modelling studies that quantified muscle fibre and tendon work during running at a 233 comparable steady-state speed ¹⁹.

234 In contrast, the behaviours of the muscle fascicles and SEEs of the MG and LG are consistent with 235 their bi-articular function of transferring power and work between the ankle and knee joints. During 236 early stance, the MTU and muscle fascicles of the MG and LG primarily shortened as the knee flexed 237 and generated an extension moment. During the same period of stance, the ankle generated a 238 plantarflexion moment. It is therefore likely that a portion of the positive muscular power and work 239 generated by the active contraction of the MG and LG was absorbed by the knee extensors during 240 early stance. Thereafter, as the ankle transitioned from absorbing to generating power and work, the 241 MG and LG SEEs continued to stretch and store elastic strain energy until the knee moments and 242 powers were diminished. This type of behaviour is consistent with previous suggestions that mechanical power is transported between the knee and ankle by the MG and LG⁵. However, our 243 244 results further expand on the mechanisms associated with power transportation by suggesting that 245 some of the power generated by the knee extensors is absorbed by the initial stretch of the MG and 246 LG SEEs, and then returned later during stance, in contrast with the behaviour of the SO SEE. Our 247 findings are also consistent with previous jumping studies, where the transfer of work and power 248 generated by the knee extensors during the propulsion phase enhances the storage of elastic strain energy and delays the onset of elastic recoil in the SEEs of the gastrocnemii muscles ^{6,7}. Overall, our 249 250 study adds to the body of evidence surrounding the function of the bi-articular muscles to modulate 251 moments and powers between joints ⁴.

There are limitations to the *in-vivo* ultrasound and inverse dynamics approach used in this study. First, we did not directly measure or calculate the individual forces generated by the SO, MG and LG during running. Instead, our interpretations regarding the functions of the SO, MG and LG were based on the measured length changes of the MTU, muscle fascicles and SEE as well as the net 256 moments and powers generated by the muscles spanning the knee and ankle computed from inverse dynamics. Second, our MTU and muscle fascicle measurements may have been affected by 257 the intermuscular transmission of force between the plantarflexors during contraction ²⁰. However, 258 259 recent studies have shown that these forces are likely to have a minimal effect on the overall function of the plantarflexors²¹. Third, joint mechanics may have varied between the trials in which 260 261 the ultrasound images of the MG, SO and LG were recorded. Fortunately, however, cross-correlation 262 found that no differences existed in the spatio-temporal characteristics of the joint angles and torques between the trials. Fourth, the plantarflexors were modelled as individual MTUs with 263 individual SEEs rather than a common Achilles tendon. Recent in-vivo and modelling studies have 264 shown that the Achilles tendon experiences non-uniform strain during walking ²², suggesting that the 265 266 plantarflexors may interact with the highly complex and intertwined Achilles tendon in an independent manner²³. Finally, length changes of the SEE were calculated indirectly using MTU and 267 268 in-vivo fascicle measurements and represented all tendinous tissue, which included the free tendon, 269 aponeurosis and connective tissue. Therefore, it is possible that differences in the force-generating properties of these individual tendinous tissues ²⁴ and the indirect nature of the SEE calculations ²⁵ 270 271 affected the overall strain exhibited by the SEE. Nonetheless, the timings of SEE stretch and recoil of 272 the plantarflexors are unlikely to be affected by the heterogeneity of the tendinous tissues.

273 In summary, we found that the primary human ankle plantarflexors exhibited differences in *in-vivo* 274 muscle fascicle, MTU and tendinous tissue behaviour during running. The slower shortening 275 velocities of the uni-articular SO muscle fascicles reflected its function as a force generator, whereas 276 more rapid shortening in the muscle fascicles and the delayed onset of the SEE recoil of the bi-277 articular MG and LG reflected these muscles' abilities to transfer power and work between the knee and ankle joints. We suggest that differences in MTU architecture and joint articulation help to 278 279 explain the observed variations in MTU, muscle fascicle and tendinous tissue behaviour of the SO, MG and LG during running. 280

281 Perspective

Historically, the ankle plantarflexors have been considered to work as synergists with their primary function being to generate joint torques about the ankle. However, recent developments in *in-vivo* measurement techniques and musculoskeletal modelling have raised questions regarding their synergistic function, particularly in relation to whether differences in MTU architecture and joint articulation influence muscle-tendon behaviour and interactions, for example, by maximising power output through the storage and utilisation of tendon strain energy. As more experimental and modelling data come to light, through advances in tendon research ²⁶ and continued investigation on other purportedly synergistic muscles such as the vasti and rectus femoris (e.g. ²⁷), it is hoped that the distinct mechanistic functions of uni- and bi-articular muscles will be further illuminated.

- 291
- 292

293 Acknowledgements

Supported by the Australian Research Council (LP110100262) and an Innovation Fellowship from the
Victorian Endowment for Science, Knowledge and Innovation to M.P.

296 Conflict of interest

297 No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author(s).

298 Author contribution

A.L., A.S. and M.P. designed the study; A.L and G.L. performed the experiments and analysed thedata; and all authors drafted, edited and revised the manuscript.

301 References

- Dorn TW, Schache AG, Pandy MG. Muscular strategy shift in human running: Dependence of
 running speed on hip and ankle muscle performance. J Exp Biol 2012;215:1944–1956.
- Hamner SR, Delp SL. Muscle contributions to fore-aft and vertical body mass center
 accelerations over a range of running speeds. J Biomech 2013;46:780–787.
- van Ingen Schenau GJ, Boots PJM, de Groot G, Snackers RJ, van Woensel WWLM. The
 constrained control of force and position in multi-joint movements. Neuroscience
 1992;46:197–207.
- van Ingen Schenau G, Bobbert M, Van Soest A. 1990. The unique action of bi-articular muscles
 in leg extensions. In: Winters JM, Woo SL, eds. Multiple Muscle Systems: Biomechanics and

312	5.	Prilutsky BI, Zatsiorsky VM. Tendon action of two-joint muscles: Transfer of mechanical
313		energy between joints during jumping, landing, and running. J Biomech 1994;27:25–34.
314	6.	Bobbert MF, Huijing PA, van Ingen Schenau GJ. An estimation of power output and work done
315		by the human triceps surae musle-tendon complex in jumping. J Biomech 1986;19:899–906.
316	7.	Farris DJ, Lichtwark GA, Cresswell AG. The role of human ankle plantar flexor muscle-tendon
317		interaction & architecture in maximal vertical jumping examined in vivo. J Exp Biol
318		2016;219:528–534.
		\mathbf{C}
319	8.	Ishikawa M, Komi P V., Grey MJ, Lepola V, Bruggemann GP. Muscle-tendon interaction and
320		elastic energy usage in human walking. J Appl Physiol 2005;99:603–608.
321	9.	Cronin NJ, Avela J, Finni T, Peltonen J. Differences in contractile behaviour between the soleus
322		and medial gastrocnemius muscles during human walking. J Exp Biol 2013;216:909–914.
323	10.	Krishnaswamy P, Brown EN, Herr HM. Human leg model predicts ankle muscle-tendon
324		morphology, state, roles and energetics in walking. PLoS Comput Biol 2011;7:e1001107.
325	11.	Winter DA. Energy generation and absorption at the ankle and knee during fast, natural, and
326		slow cadences. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983;174:147–154.
327	12.	Seynnes OR, Bojsen-Moller J, Albracht K, Arndt A, Cronin N, Finni T, Magnusson SP.
328		Ultrasound-based testing of tendon mechanical properties : A critical evaluation. J Appl
329		Physiol 2015;118:133–141.
330	13.	Lichtwark GA, Bougoulias K, Wilson AM. Muscle fascicle and series elastic element length
331		changes along the length of the human gastrocnemius during walking and running. J Biomech
332		2007;40:157–164.
333	14.	Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, Rau G. Development of recommendations for
334		SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2000;10:361–374.

Movement Organization. New York: Springer, 638–652.

311

335 15. Delp SL, Anderson FC, Arnold AS, Loan P, Habib A, John CT, Guendelman E, Thelen D.
336 OpenSim: Open-source software to create and analyze dynamic simulations of movement.

337

IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2007;54:1940–1950.

- 16. Cronin NJ, Carty CP, Barrett RS, Lichtwark G. Automatic tracking of medial gastrocnemius
 fascicle length during human locomotion. J Appl Physiol 2011;111:1491–1496.
- Lai A, Lichtwark GA, Schache AG, Lin YC, Brown NAT, Pandy MG. In vivo behavior of the
 human soleus muscle with increasing walking and running speeds. J Appl Physiol
 2015;118:1266–1275.
- 18. Cronin NJ, Finni T. Treadmill versus overground and barefoot versus shod comparisons of
 triceps surae fascicle behaviour in human walking and running. Gait Posture 2013;38:528–
 533.
- Lai A, Schache AG, Lin YC, Pandy MG. Tendon elastic strain energy in the human ankle plantar flexors and its role with increased running speed. J Exp Biol 2014;217:3159–3168.
- Bojsen-Møller J, Schwartz S, Kalliokoski KK, Finni T, Magnusson SP. Intermuscular force
 transmission between human plantarflexor muscles in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2010;109:1608–
 1618.
- Tijs C, van Dieën JH, Maas H. No functionally relevant mechanical effects of epimuscular
 myofascial connections between rat ankle plantar flexors. J Exp Biol 2015;218:2935–2941.
- Franz JR, Slane LC, Rasske K, Thelen DG. Non-uniform in vivo deformations of the human
 Achilles tendon during walking. Gait Posture 2015;41:192–197.
- 355 23. Handsfield GG, Inouye JM, Slane LC, Thelen DG, Miller GW, Blemker SS. A 3D model of the
 356 Achilles tendon to determine the mechanisms underlying nonuniform tendon displacements.
 357 J Biomech 2017;51:17–25.
- Azizi E, Roberts TJ. Biaxial strain and variable stiffness in aponeuroses. J Physiol
 2009;587:4309–4318.
- Zelik KE, Franz JR. It 's positive to be negative: Achilles tendon work loops during human
 locomotion. PLoS One 2017;12:e0179976.
- 362 26. Finni T, Lichtwark GA. Rise of the tendon research. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2016;26:992–994.

363 27. Bojsen-Møller J, Hansen P, Aagaard P, Kjaer M, Magnusson SP. Measuring mechanical

properties of the vastus lateralis tendon-aponeurosis complex in vivo by ultrasound imaging.
 Scand J Med Sci Sports 2003;13:259–65.

366

367



368 Figure and table captions

Figure 1: Exemplar ultrasound images of the (A) medial gastrocnemius (MG), (B) lateral gastrocnemius (LG), and (C) soleus (SO). The length of the muscle fascicle (yellow lines) was calculated as the distance between the superficial and deep aponeuroses (red dotted lines) parallel to the collagenous tissue. The pennation angle (α) was calculated as the angle between the line of collagenous tissue and the deep aponeuroses.

Figure 2: Muscle fascicle length changes and velocities for the SO (black solid line), MG (blue dotted 374 line) and LG (red dash-dotted line) for running at four steady-state speeds. Muscle fascicle lengths 375 376 and velocities were normalised to their corresponding resting fascicle lengths during static standing (I_s^m) . Muscle fascicle length changes were calculated as the change from the normalised muscle 377 378 fascicle lengths at foot strike. Negative and positive velocities denote shortening and lengthening, 379 respectively. The vertical dashed and dotted lines denote the time of peak ankle moment and the 380 time of toe-off, respectively. The images at the top of the figure indicate the configuration of the 381 musculoskeletal model at foot strike, time of peak ankle moment, and toe-off for each running 382 speed. The shaded regions represent ±1SD of the fascicle length changes and velocities for the respective muscles. 383

Figure 3: Muscle-tendon unit (MTU) length changes and velocities for the SO (black solid line), MG (blue dotted line) and LG (red dash-dotted line) for running at four steady-state speeds. MTU lengths and velocities were normalised to their corresponding resting fascicle lengths during static standing (I_s^m). MTU length changes were calculated as the change from the normalised MTU lengths at foot strike. Negative and positive velocities denote shortening and lengthening, respectively. The vertical dashed and dotted lines denote the time of peak ankle moment and the time of toe-off, respectively. The images at the top of the figure indicate the configuration of the musculoskeletal model at foot

strike, time of peak ankle moment, and toe-off for each running speed. The shaded regions
 represent ±1SD of the MTU length changes and velocities for the respective muscles.

393 Figure 4: Series elastic element (SEE) length changes and velocities for the SO (black solid line), MG 394 (blue dotted line) and LG (red dash-dotted line) for running at four steady-state speeds. SEE lengths 395 and velocities were normalised to their corresponding resting SEE lengths during static standing (I_s^t) . 396 SEE length changes were calculated as the change from the normalised SEE lengths at foot strike. 397 Negative and positive velocities denote shortening and lengthening, respectively. The vertical dashed 398 and dotted lines denote the time of peak ankle moment and the time of toe-off, respectively. The 399 images at the top of the figure indicate the configuration of the musculoskeletal model at foot strike, 400 time of peak ankle moment, and toe-off for each running speed. The shaded regions represent ±1SD of the SEE length changes and velocities for the respective muscles. 401

Figure 5: Joint angles, joint angular velocities, net moments and powers calculated at the ankle and
knee for running at four steady-state speeds. Net joint moments and joint powers were normalised
to body mass.

Figure 6: EMG linear envelope for the SO (black solid line), MG (blue dotted line) and LG (red dashdotted line) measured for the stance phase of running for four steady-state speeds. EMG activity was normalised to the peak EMG activity measured for running at 5 m s⁻¹. The vertical dashed and dotted lines denote the time at which peak ankle moment was developed and the time at which toe-off occurred, respectively. The images at the top of the figure indicate the configuration of the musculoskeletal model at foot strike, time of peak ankle moment, and toe-off for each running speed.

Table 1: Spatial-temporal parameters illustrating muscle fascicle, MTU and SEE behaviour of the ankle plantarflexors during the stance phase of running at four steady-state speeds. These parameters were used to test statistical significance across muscles and running speeds. When significant main interactions were found, a post-hoc pairwise comparison was performed to determine which muscle and speed was significantly different. Negative and positive velocities denote shortening and lengthening, respectively.



sms_13089_f1.jpg

Author Manu











University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Lai, AKM;Lichtwark, GA;Schache, AG;Pandy, MG

Title:

Differences in in vivo muscle fascicle and tendinous tissue behavior between the ankle plantarflexors during running

Date:

2018-07

Citation:

Lai, A. K. M., Lichtwark, G. A., Schache, A. G. & Pandy, M. G. (2018). Differences in in vivo muscle fascicle and tendinous tissue behavior between the ankle plantarflexors during running. SCANDINAVIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICINE & SCIENCE IN SPORTS, 28 (7), pp.1828-1836. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13089.

Persistent Link:

http://hdl.handle.net/11343/283789