

Article type : Research letter

Article begins on page three of this document.

Title	Diagnosis of <i>Mycobacterium ulcerans</i> disease: be alert to the possibility of negative initial PCR results
--------------	---

Authors:

	Title	First name	Mid initials	Last name	Postnom (eg, PhD)	Position1	Address1	Position2	Address2	Tel	Email
1	Assoc. Prof.	Daniel	P	O'Brien	MBBS, FRACP, DMedSc, Dip Anat	Infectious Diseases Consultant	1				DANIELO@BarwonHealth.org.au
2	Ms.	Maria		Globan	Bachelor of Science	Scientist	2				maria.globan@vidrl.org.au
3	Dr.	Janet	M	Fyfe	BScHons, PhD	Scientist	2				janet.fyfe@vidrl.org.au
4	Ms.	Caroline	J	Lavender	MPH, BSc(Hons), BA	Medical Scientist	2				Caroline.Lavender@vidrl.org.au
5	Dr.	Adrian		Murrie	MBBS, FRACGP	General Practitioner	3				amurrie@sorrentomedical.com.au
6	Dr.	Damian		Flanagan	MBBS, MWell, FASLM	General Practitioner	4				drdamian@icloud.com
7	Dr.	Peter		Meggyesy		General Practitioner	4				meggyesy@gmail.com
8	Dr.	Jonathan	T	Priestley	MBBS, FRACGP	General Practitioner	4				drjonathanpriestley@gmail.com
9	Dr.	David		Leslie		Microbiologist	2				david.leslie@vidrl.org.au

Number of corresponding author:	1
Number of alternative corresponding author:	

Addresses:

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/MJA2.50046](https://doi.org/10.1002/MJA2.50046)

	Institution	Postal address	City	State	Post Code	Nation
1	University Hospital Geelong	Bellerine Street	Geelong	VIC	3220	
2	Mycobacterium Reference Laboratory, Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory	Doherty Institute – Level 3	Melbourne	VIC	3000	
3	Sorrento Medical Centre	166 Ocean Beach Road	Sorrento	VIC	3943	
4	South Coast Medical	2841 Point Nepean Road	Blairgowrie	VIC	3942	
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

Postal address of first corresponding author (if different from the institutional address given above)	
--	--

Primary Keywords [Office use only]	Infectious diseases; General medicine
Secondary keywords [Office use only]	Mycobacterium; Diagnosis
Notes:	

Article details (press ctrl – 9 to enter details):

Article type	Research letter
Blurb	Correct swabbing technique and caution when interpreting negative results are needed
Pullquote	

Office use

<i>Ms. Number</i>	mja18.00969.R2
<i>Medical editor</i>	Francis Geronimo
<i>Medical editor email</i>	fgeronimo@mja.com.au
<i>Structural editor</i>	Paul Foley
<i>Structural editor email</i>	pfoley@mja.com.au
<i>Section/Category</i>	Research letter
<i>Strapheading</i>	Research letter
<i>Substrap</i>	

Elsevier – file data:

Filename for copyediting	obr_mja18.00969_ms.docx
Accompanying graphics	None
Stock images	None
Appendices	None

Office use – history:

Event	Date
Original submission received	14/09/2018

Event	Date
Accept	05/12/2018

Proof sent to author	
Proof returned by author	
Published (date format xx/xx/xx)	20/05/19
Issue	9
Vol	210
DOI	10.5694/mja18.00969
Journal	The Medical Journal of Australia
Original article DOI (for response)	

Author Manuscript

Diagnosis of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease: be alert to the possibility of negative initial PCR results

Mycobacterium ulcerans causes necrotising infections of the skin and soft tissue (Buruli ulcer), a disease that is endemic in the coastal regions of Victoria and northern Queensland. Most lesions (> 85%) are painless ulcers, but some are non-ulcerative.¹ As the incidence of Buruli ulcer rises in Victoria,² Australian health practitioners are increasingly required to recognise this disease in people who reside in or have travelled to endemic areas, with early diagnosis vital for good outcomes.³

The most important diagnostic method for Buruli ulcer in terms of accuracy, speed, and ease of performance is the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay of lesion tissue for the DNA insertion element IS2404.⁴ In Australia, the sensitivity and specificity of the assay are each reported to be 100%.⁴ However, some often unrecognised pitfalls can lead to missed diagnoses and serious adverse outcomes.

We report our experience in Barwon Health, Victoria. Of 551 patients with prospectively confirmed *M. ulcerans* disease diagnosed between 25 March 1998 and 13 February 2018, the PCR result for the initial swab specimen was negative in 34 cases (6.2%), but PCR results for repeat samples were positive (biopsy samples, 15; swab specimens, 19). The initial negative test led to a diagnostic delay of as long as 74 days (median, 17 days; interquartile range [IQR], 9–33 days).

We analysed risk factors associated with a negative initial PCR result for patients with subsequently confirmed *M. ulcerans* disease. At the time of the initial PCR test, the median duration of symptoms was significantly shorter for patients with negative results (22 days; IQR, 14–41 days) than for those with positive results (42 days; IQR, 28–84 days; $P < 0.001$). Further, a significantly larger proportion of children (15 years old or younger) had negative initial results (11/49, 22%) than of adults (16–50 years, 11/160, 6.4%; ≥ 51 years, 12/331, 3.6%; χ^2 test, $P < 0.001$).

Our findings indicate that health practitioners should be cautious when interpreting negative PCR results from people with lesions suggestive of *M. ulcerans* disease, especially when testing early lesions or children. The lesion may not yet have ulcerated when the swab sample was collected (nine of the 34 lesions with negative initial results were non-ulcerative at the time of diagnosis). PCR results for swab samples from non-ulcerative lesions can be negative because the lesion surface is free of bacteria. There may also be fewer organisms in these lesions; all mycobacterial smears and cultures

performed at the time of negative initial PCR tests were also negative.

Incorrect swabbing technique probably explains negative initial PCR results, with insufficient clinical material collected for detecting bacteria. It is imperative that swab samples are taken by circling the entire undermined edge of a lesion, and checking that clinical material from the lesion is visible on the swab surface.⁵ Errors can also be introduced if single swabs are first processed in the laboratory for smear and culture before referral for PCR testing. A separate swab sample should be collected for PCR testing (Box).⁵

Competing interests: No relevant disclosures.

Received 14 September 2018, accepted 5 December 2018.

Author details

Daniel P O'Brien¹

Maria Globan²

Janet M Fyfe²

Caroline J Lavender²

Adrian Murrie³

Damian Flanagan⁴

Peter Meggyesy⁴

Jonathan T Priestley⁴

David Leslie²

1 University Hospital Geelong, Geelong, VIC.

2 Mycobacterium Reference Laboratory, Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, Melbourne, VIC.

3 Sorrento Medical Centre, Sorrento, VIC.

4 South Coast Medical, Blairgowrie, VIC.

DANIELO@BarwonHealth.org.au

doi: 10.5694/mja18.00969

References

- 1 Boyd SC, Athan E, Friedman ND, et al. Epidemiology, clinical features and diagnosis of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in an Australian population. *Med J Aust* 2012; 196: 341-344. <https://www.mja.com.au/journal/2012/196/5/epidemiology-clinical-features-and-diagnosis-mycobacterium-ulcerans-australian>
- 2 O'Brien DP, Athan E, Blasdel K, De Barro P. Tackling the worsening epidemic of Buruli ulcer in Australia in an information void: time for an urgent scientific response. *Med J Aust* 2018; 208: 287-289. <https://www.mja.com.au/journal/2018/208/7/tackling-worsening-epidemic-buruli-ulcer-australia-information-void-time-urgent>
- 3 O'Brien DP, Friedman ND, McDonald A, et al. Wound healing: natural history and risk factors for delay in Australian patients treated with antibiotics for *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2018; 12: e0006357.
- 4 Fyfe JA, Lavender CJ, Johnson PD, et al. Development and application of two multiplex real-time PCR assays for the detection of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in clinical and environmental samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; 73: 4733-4740.
- 5 Department of Human Services (Victoria). *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection. *Health.vic*; 2018. <https://www2.health.vic.gov.au/public-health/infectious-diseases/disease-information-advice/mycobacterium->

ulcerans (viewed May 2018).

Box. Key points for interpreting polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test results in cases of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease

- IS2404 PCR testing of lesion material is the most accurate method for diagnosing *M. ulcerans* disease (Buruli ulcer).
- The PCR result for an initial swab specimen from a patient with *M. ulcerans* disease is not infrequently negative, particularly for samples from early lesions or children.
- A negative PCR test result for a suspicious lesion does not exclude *M. ulcerans* disease; the test should be repeated, preferably with a punch biopsy specimen.
- The PCR result for a swab sample from the surface of a non-ulcerative lesion is usually negative. PCR testing of non-ulcerative lesions requires biopsy (for fresh tissue) or fine needle aspiration (for tissue fluid).

Author Manuscript



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

O'Brien, DP; Globan, M; Fyfe, JM; Lavender, CJ; Murrie, A; Flanagan, D; Meggyesy, P; Priestley, JT; Leslie, D

Title:

Diagnosis of Mycobacterium ulcerans disease: be alert to the possibility of negative initial PCR results

Date:

2019-05-01

Citation:

O'Brien, D. P., Globan, M., Fyfe, J. M., Lavender, C. J., Murrie, A., Flanagan, D., Meggyesy, P., Priestley, J. T. & Leslie, D. (2019). Diagnosis of Mycobacterium ulcerans disease: be alert to the possibility of negative initial PCR results. MEDICAL JOURNAL OF AUSTRALIA, 210 (9), pp.416-416. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.50046>.

Persistent Link:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11343/285490>

File Description:

Accepted version