

DR. ERIC J. LAWITZ (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-4234-224X)



Efficacy and Safety of a Two-Drug Direct-Acting Antiviral Agent Regimen Ruzasvir 180 mg and Uprifosbuvir 450 mg for 12 Weeks in Adults with Chronic Hepatitis C Virus Genotype 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6

Eric Lawitz¹, Edward Gane², Jordan J. Feld³, Maria Buti⁴, Graham R. Foster⁵, Mordechai Rabinovitz⁶, Eduard Burnevich⁷, Helena Katchman⁸, Krzysztof Tomasiewicz⁹, Fred Lahser¹⁰, Beth Jackson¹⁰, Melissa Shaughnessy¹⁰, Stephanie Klopfer¹⁰, Wendy W. Yeh¹⁰, Michael N. Robertson¹⁰, George J. Hanna¹⁰, Eliav Barr¹⁰, Heather L. Platt¹⁰ on behalf of the C-BREEZE-2 Study Investigators

¹Texas Liver Institute, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; ²Auckland Clinical Studies, Auckland, New Zealand; ³Toronto Centre for Liver Disease, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁴Liver Unit Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron and Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Hepáticas y Digestivas (CIBERehd) del Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain; ⁵Queen Mary University, London, UK; ⁶University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, University of Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ⁷I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, Russia; ⁸Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁹Medical University of Lublin, Lublin, Poland; ¹⁰Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> <u>10.1111/JVH.13132</u>

Author for correspondence: Eric Lawitz Texas Liver Institute, University of Texas Health 607 Camden Street San Antonio, TX 78215 USA E-mail: <u>lawitz@txliver.com</u> Phone: 210-253-3426 Fax: 210-477-1808

Running title: Ruzasvir/Uprifosbuvir for HCV Infection

These data were presented at The Liver Meeting[®] 2017; October 20-24, 2017; Washington, DC. Lawitz E et al. *Hepatology*. 2017;66(suppl):34A-35A.

Target journal: Journal of Viral Hepatitis

Word count: 4000 word limit (exc title page, refs, legends); current word count is 3994.

Tables / figures: Limit 6 figures / tables. Current draft has 3 tables and 3 figures.

Autho

1 Acknowledgments

2 We extend our gratitude to the participants, their families, investigators, and site personnel who 3 participated in these studies. The C-BREEZE-2 Study Investigators were: Stuart C. Gordon, Eric J. Lawitz, Mordechai Rabinovitz, Peter Jerome Ruane, Amandeep Sahota, Norah A. Terrault, 4 Naoky Tsai, Sumodh C. Kalathil, Gautham Reddy, Wayne Ghesquiere, Sergio Borgia, Brian 5 Conway, Jordan Feld, Keith Tsoi, Curtis L. Cooper, Peter Maged Ghali, Alexander James Venn 6 Thompson, Edward Gane, Jose Luis Calleja Panero, Sabela Lens Maria Buti Ferret, Luis 7 Margusino Franinan, Maria de los Angeles Castro Iglesias, Ashley Brown, Kaushik Agarwal, 8 Graham Foster, Matthew Cramp, Eli Zuckerman, Ella Veitsman, Helena Katchman, Michal 9 Cohen, Yoav Lurie, Ziv Ben Ari, Ewa Janczewska, Aleksandra Szymczak, Krzysztof 10 Tomasiewicz, Waldemar Halota, Robert Flisiak, Adam Mahomed, Mark Wayne Sonderup, Zelda 11 Erika Punt, Mpho Klaas Kgomo, David C Bernhardi, Eduard Z. Burnevich, and Svetlana Kizhlo. 12 13 Medical writing assistance was provided by Jennifer M. Kulak, PhD, and Tim Ibbotson, PhD, of 14 ApotheCom (Yardley, PA, USA) and funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of 15 16 Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. 17 Funding: Funding for this study was provided by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of 18 Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA 19 20 Abbreviation List: 21 AEs - adverse events 22 ALT - alanine aminotransferase 23 24 APRI - aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index 25 AST - aspartate aminotransferase

- 26 CI confidence interval
- 27 DAAs direct-acting antiviral agents
- 28 FAS full analysis set

- 29 FW follow-up week
- 30 GT genotype
- 31 HCV hepatitis C virus
- 32 HIV human immunodeficiency virus
- 33 LLoQ lower limit of quantitation
- 34 NS3/4A nonstructural protein 3/4A
- 35 NS5A nonstructural protein 5A
- 36 NS5B nonstructural protein 5B
- 37 PP per-protocol
- 38 RAP resistance analysis population
- 39 RAS resistance-associated substitution
- 40 SVR sustained virologic response
- 41 SVR12 sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after the completion of study therapy
- 42 TW treatment week
- 43
- 44 Abstract

45 Ruzasvir (MK-8408, an NS5A inhibitor) and uprifosbuvir (MK-3682, a nonstructural protein 5B nucleotide inhibitor) are highly potent direct-acting antiviral agents for the treatment of hepatitis 46 C virus (HCV) infection. A phase III clinical trial evaluating the two-drug combination of 47 48 ruzasvir 60 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg suggested suboptimal efficacy in certain HCV genotypes (C-BREEZE 1; NCT02759315). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the 49 efficacy and safety of rusasvir in combination with uprifosbuvir administered at a higher dose 50 than that assessed in the earlier study (C-BREEZE 2: NCT02956629 /Merck protocol PN041). 51 Treatment-naive or interferon (with or without ribavirin)-experienced participants with or 52 without compensated cirrhosis were enrolled. All participants received ruzasvir 53

180 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg once daily for 12 weeks. The primary objectives were the 54 proportion of participants with HCV RNA <15 lU/mL at 12 weeks after the end of study therapy 55 (SVR12), and safety and tolerability of the study drug. Overall, 282 participants were enrolled. 56 SVR12 (n/N) was 91.3% (42/46) in participants infected with HCV genotype (GT) 1a; GT1b, 57 96.7% (29/30); GT2, 91.5% (43/47); GT3, 73.8% (45/61); GT4, 98.2% (55/56); GT5, 100.0% 58 (18/18); GT6, 90.9% (20/22). Adverse events (AEs) were reported by 61.3% of participants; 59 drug-related AEs were reported by 33.3%. The most frequent (≥5% of participants) drug-related 60 AEs in all participants were fatigue (7.8%) and headache (7.4%). In conclusion, the two-drug 61 combination of ruzasvir 180 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg for 12 weeks was highly effective and 62 well-tolerated in participants infected with HCV GT1, GT2, GT4, GT5, and GT6, with a lower 63 efficacy in GT3-infected persons. 64

65

66 Keywords: hepatitis C virus; clinical trial; genotype; safety; efficacy

The development of direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs) has transformed the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection,¹ and pangenotypic regimens are now available that result in high rates of sustained virologic response (SVR) across all HCV genotypes (GT).² Treatment options have transitioned from genotype-specific regimens such as sofosbuvir/ledipasvir,³ elbasvir/grazoprevir,⁴ and paritaprevir/ombitasvir/ritonavir/dasabuvir⁵ to pangenotypic regimens such as glecaprevir/pibrentasvir ⁶ and sofosbuvir/velpatasvir.⁷ An additional regimen under investigation for pangenotypic activity is the combination of ruzasvir/uprifosbuvir.

74 Ruzasvir (MK-8408) is a potent HCV nonstructural protein 5A (NS5A) complex inhibitor.⁸ In vitro, it retains activity against RASs selected by first-generation NS5A inhibitors 75 76 in individuals infected with HCV GT1a.8 Uprifosbuvir (MK-3682) is a potent HCV NS5B polymerase nucleotide inhibitor with pangenotypic activity in vitro and a high barrier to 77 resistance. The safety and efficacy of ruzasvir, uprifosbuvir, and the nonstructural protein 3/4A 78 protease inhibitor grazoprevir was explored in the phase 2 C-CREST studies (ClinicalTrials.gov 79 80 numbers NCT02332707 and NCT02332720).⁹⁻¹¹ In these studies, the three-drug regimen of ruzasvir 60 mg and uprifosbuvir 450 mg in combination with grazoprevir 100 mg, with or 81 without ribavirin, demonstrated high efficacy and excellent tolerability in a broad population that 82 included treatment-naive and prior interferon-experienced, cirrhotic and noncirrhotic 83

participants with HCV GT1-6 infection, and also participants who had experienced virologic 84 relapse after treatment with all-oral DAA regimens.⁹⁻¹¹ Given the high efficacy of 85 ruzasvir/uprifosbuvir/grazoprevir, the relative contribution of grazoprevir was evaluated in a 86 phase II nonrandomized study by evaluating the two-drug regimen of ruzasvir 60 mg and 87 uprifosbuvir 450 mg. Removal of grazoprevir would eliminate drug-drug interactions caused by 88 the NS3/4A protease inhibitor drug class, and would also eliminate concerns regarding hepatic 89 transaminase elevations with the protease inhibitor, thus potentially enabling use of the two-drug 90 regimen in a broader range of populations. In the C-BREEZE 1 study (NCT02759315), ruzasvir 91 60 mg and uprifosbuvir 450 mg was well tolerated but demonstrated lower efficacy for those 92 infected with GT3 and GT6 infection compared with those with GT1, GT2, or GT4 infection.¹² It 93 was hypothesized that a higher dose of ruzasvir might improve the efficacy of this regimen and 94 95 support a pangenotypic profile. In the present study, we evaluated the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of the combination of ruzasvir 180 mg and uprifosbuvir 450 mg in participants with 96 HCV GT1-GT6 infection. 97

98

99

METHODS

100 Study Design

101 This was a phase II, nonrandomized, open-label clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT02956629/Merck protocol PN041). The study was conducted in accordance with 102 the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Independent institutional 103 104 review boards or ethics committees reviewed and approved the protocol and applicable amendments for each institution, and all participants gave written informed consent. All 105 participants received ruzasvir 180 mg (3×60 mg capsules) plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg (3×150 106 mg tablets) orally once daily as separate medications administered under fasting conditions for 107 12 weeks. Dose modifications were not permitted during the study. 108

After the first 50 participants of any HCV GT were allocated to treatment, allocation was paused to assess general safety and tolerability at treatment week (TW) 4. When general safety and tolerability were assessed to be acceptable, the subsequent 200 participants were allocated to treatment with an overall stratification based on genotype. The first 50 participants were included in the overall treatment allocation target of the trial.

115 Participants

Adults aged >18 years with chronic HCV GT1-6 infection were enrolled. Participants were either 116 treatment-naive or had experienced virologic failure following treatment with an interferon-117 containing treatment regimen. Participants with fibrosis stage F0-F4 were eligible; cirrhosis was 118 defined as a liver biopsy study consistent with METAVIR F4, FibroScan[®] performed within 12 119 months with a result of >12.5 kPa, or a FibroSure[®] (FibroTest[®]) performed during screening 120 with a score of >0.75 and an aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to platelet ratio index (APRI) of 121 >2. Among those infected with HCV GT1-GT4, the allocation target was 25%-30% individuals 122 with cirrhosis. Participants could also be either HCV-monoinfected or coinfected with HCV and 123 human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Participants receiving HIV medications other than 124 tenofovir, abacavir, lamivudine, emtricitabine, raltegravir, dolutegravir, or rilpivirine were 125 excluded. 126 Participants who had previously received a DAA-based treatment regimen, with hepatitis 127

B virus coinfection (defined as hepatitis B surface antigen-positive), with evidence of 128 decompensated liver disease (presence or history of ascites, esophageal or gastric variceal 129 130 bleeding, hepatic encephalopathy), with Child-Pugh class B or C cirrhosis (a Child-Pugh-Turcotte score >6), or with evidence of hepatocellular carcinoma were excluded. Participants 131 with alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or AST >10× upper limit of normal, hemoglobin <10 g/dL, 132 platelets $<50 \times 10^{3}/\mu$ L, serum albumin <3.0 g/dL, international normalized ratio >1.7 (unless 133 134 stable on anticoagulant regimen), or estimated glomerular filtration rate <50 mL/min/1.73 m² were also excluded. 135

136

137 End points

138 The primary efficacy end point was sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after the

139 completion of therapy (SVR12). HCV RNA was assessed using the Roche COBAS[®]

140 AmpliPrep/COBAS[®] TaqMan[®] HCV Test v2.0 with a lower limit of quantitation (LLoQ) <15

141 IU/mL. Virologic relapse was defined as HCV RNA ≥LLoQ following completion of all study

therapy, after becoming undetectable at end of treatment. Secondary end points included the

143 proportion of participants with virologic failure.

144

Safety and tolerability were assessed through the clinical evaluation of adverse events (AEs),
vital signs, physical examinations, electrocardiograms, and standard laboratory safety tests.

147

Resistance analyses were conducted in all participants with available sequencing data and a 148 treatment outcome of SVR12 or virologic failure (resistance analysis population; RAP). The 149 prevalence and impact on SVR12 of baseline RASs in the NS5A and NS5B regions were 150 assessed using next-generation sequencing (15% sensitivity threshold). NS5A substitutions at 151 amino acid positions 28, 30, 31, or 93 were assessed in all participants. In addition, NS5A RASs 152 at position 58 were assessed in participants with HCV GT3, GT4, or GT6 infection, and RASs at 153 positions 24 or 62 were assessed in participants with GT3 infection. Regardless of HCV 154 genotype, participants who experienced virologic failure had baseline samples sequenced for 155 156 NS5A RASs at positions 24, 28, 30, 31, 58, 62, or 93. NS5B substitutions at amino acid positions 159, 239, 282, 316, 320, or 321 were also assessed for all genotypes. 157 158 159 Statistics 160 Planned enrollment was approximately 250 participants, with an allocation target of 50 participants with HCV GT1 infection, 50 with GT2 infection, 50 with GT3 infection, 50 with 161 GT4 infection, and 25 each with GT5 and GT6 infection. The sample size was based on practical 162 considerations that enabled a reasonable estimation of the SVR12 for each genotype. The full 163 164 analysis set (FAS) population included all participants who received at least one dose of study drug; in this study, the safety population is identical to the FAS. The per-protocol (PP) 165 166 population excluded participants who discontinued treatment for administrative reasons (lost to follow-up, withdrew consent). However, participants who discontinued treatment because of 167 168 drug-related AEs were included in the PP population and counted as treatment failures, and any 169 participant categorized as a reinfection was considered a success in the PP population. The RAP excluded participants who discontinued for reasons other than virologic failure. 170

- 171
- 172

173 **Results**

174 In total, 329 people were screened, and 282 participants were enrolled between November 2016

and June 2017. Most participants were male (55.3%); median age was 51.0 years; 78.4% were

- 176 white; 27.7% had HCV GT1 infection (GT1a, n=46; GT1b, n=30; GT1-other, n=2); 21.6%
- 177 (n=61) had GT3 infection; 73.0% had baseline HCV RNA >800,000 IU/mL; 20.6% were
- 178 cirrhotic; 84.0% were treatment-naive; and 3.9% were HCV/HIV co-infected (Table 1). Nearly
- all participants (273/282, 96.8%) received at least one dose of study medication and completed
- 180 the follow-up week (FW) 12 visit (Figure 1).
- 181
- 182 SVR

The SVR12 rate was 89.7% (n/N=253/282; 95% confidence interval [CI], 85.6%-93.0%) in the
FAS population. Twenty-nine participants did not achieve SVR12; of these, 19 experienced
virologic relapse, 2 experienced drug-related AEs, 1 had reinfection, and 7 participants
discontinued for non-study medication-related reasons. In the PP population, the SVR12 was
92.3% (251/272; 88.4%-95.2%) (Table 2).

The SVR12 rate in participants with HCV GT1a infection was 89.6% (43/48; 95% CI 188 77.3%-96.5%) (Table 2). Of the five participants with GT1a infection who failed to achieve 189 SVR12, two discontinued from the trial (one participant withdrew from study medication prior to 190 191 TW2 owing to an AE of substance abuse, and one participant withdrew from the trial prior to TW4) and three relapsed. Of those who relapsed, two relapsed at FW4 and one relapsed at FW12 192 193 with GT11 that was categorized as GT1a for the analysis. SVR12 appeared to be unaffected by cirrhosis status, with SVR12 rates of 88.9% (8/9) and 97.1% (33/34) in cirrhotic and non-194 195 cirrhotic participants, respectively (Table 3; FAS population is summarized in Supplementary Table 1). SVR12 in participants infected with GT1b infection was 96.7% (29/30; 81.8%-99.9%) 196 197 (Table 2). The only participant with GT1b infection who failed to achieve SVR12 was lost to follow-up at FW4. SVR12 was unaffected by cirrhosis status: 100% (7/7) in cirrhotic participants 198 199 and 100.0% (22/22) in non-cirrhotic participants, although the number of cirrhotic participants was low (Table 3; Supplementary Table 1). 200 SVR12 was 91.5% (43/47; 95% CI, 79.6%-97.6%) in participants with HCV GT2 201

infection (Table 2). Among the four participants with GT2 who failed to achieve SVR12, one
 experienced virologic relapse at FW8. The site reported significant non-compliance with study
 medication dosing for this participant, who took each dose of medication with food and had
 confirmed low drug exposure. The other three participants who failed to achieve SVR12 all
 discontinued from the trial: one discontinued owing to drug-related AEs of insomnia and fatigue

prior to TW6, and two were lost to follow-up after FW8. SVR12 was 100% (10/10) in cirrhotic

208 participants and 94.1% (32/34) in non-cirrhotic participants, and was unaffected by baseline

HCV RNA (94.1% [16/17] in those with baseline HCV RNA $\leq 2,000,000$ IU/mL and 96.3%

210 [26/27] in those with baseline HCV RNA >2,000,000 IU/mL) (Table 3; Supplementary Table

211 1).

SVR12 rates were the lowest in participants with HCV GT3 infection (73.8%; 45/61;

213 95% CI 60.9%-84.2%) (**Table 2**). Of the 16 participants with GT3 infection who failed to

achieve SVR12, 1 had evidence of reinfection at FW8 (GT3 at baseline and GT1a at FW8), 1

discontinued from the trial owing to a non-drug-related AE of substance abuse prior to TW4,

and 14 relapsed (12 by FW4 and 2 by FW8). SVR12 was 68.4% (13/19) in cirrhotic participants

with HCV GT3 infection and 80.0% (32/40) in non-cirrhotic participants, and was 91.3% (21/23)

in those with baseline HCV RNA $\leq 2,000,000$ IU/mL and 66.7% (24/36) in those with baseline

219 HCV RNA >2,000,000 IU/mL (Table 3; Supplementary Table 1).

220 The SVR12 rate was 98.2% (55/56; 95% CI, 90.4%-100.0%) in participants with HCV

GT4 (**Table 2**). The one participant with HCV GT4 infection who failed to achieve SVR12

discontinued study medication owing to a non-drug-related AE of abdominal pain prior to TW6.

In the PP population, the SVR12 rate was 100% regardless of cirrhosis status or baseline HCV

224 RNA (Table 3; Supplementary Table 1).

SVR12 was 100% in participants with HCV GT5 infection (18/18; 95% CI, 84.7%-225 226 100.0%) and 90.9% (20/22; 95% CI,70.8%-98.9%) in those with GT6 infection (Table 2). Two participants infected with HCV GT6 failed to achieve SVR12: one relapsed at FW4 and the other 227 228 discontinued study medication prior to Day 7 because of the drug-related AEs of anxiety and nausea. In participants with GT6 infection, the SVR12 rate was 100% (4/4) in those with 229 230 cirrhosis and 88.9% (16/18; 65.3%-98.6%) in those without cirrhosis, and 88.9% (8/9) in participants with baseline HCV RNA <2,000,000 IU/mL and 92.3% (12/13) in those with 231 baseline HCV RNA <2,000,000 IU/mL (Table 3; Supplementary Table 1). 232 Compared with SVR12 data for the FAS population, two participants (both with GT6 233 234 infection) relapsed between FW12 and FW24.

235

236 Efficacy by baseline NS5A RASs

The prevalence of baseline NS5A RASs varied according to HCV genotype, ranging from 0.0% 237 among those infected with HCV GT5 to 86.4% among those infected with HCV GT2, where the 238 239 majority of these (32/38) were 31L/M (Supplementary Table 2). Among those with GT1a infection, the presence of NS5A RAS impacted efficacy (84.6% [11/13] vs 100.0% [31/31] in 240 those with and without RASs, respectively). (Figure 2) When efficacy was evaluated according 241 to RAS position, lower efficacy was observed only in the two participants with GT1a infection 242 who had Y93 baseline RAS; one participant had a single Y93 substitution at baseline and the 243 other had substitutions at Y93 and Q30L. 244

245

Efficacy for participants with GT1b (n=29) and GT4 (n=54) was not impacted by the presence of 246

NS5A RASs; SVR12 was 100.0% regardless of the presence of RASs (Figure 2). Similarly, 247

detectable NS5A RASs did not significantly impact efficacy in those with HCV GT2 infection 248

(SVR12 rates were 97.4% [37/38] and 100.0% [6/6] for those with and without RASs, 249

respectively) (Figure 2); the one participant who relapsed had baseline NS5A 31M RAS. 250

251

SVR12 rates were lower in participants with HCV GT3 infection and NS5A RASs at baseline 252 compared with those with no RASs at baseline (70% [21/30] vs 86.2% [25/29]) (Figure 2). The 253 lower efficacy in GT3-infected participants with baseline RASs was not clearly associated with 254 any specific RAS (Figure 3). 255

256

All 18 participants with HCV GT5 infection achieved SVR12 (100%; 18/18); none of these 257

258 participants had detectable NS5A RASs (Figure 2). Finally, the rate of SVR12 was 90.9%

(10/11) for those with GT6 infection without detectable NS5A RASs and 100.0% (9/9) for those 259 260 with detectable substitutions (Figure 2).

261

Efficacy by baseline NS5B RASs 262

The prevalence of baseline NS5B RASs varied among persons in the RAP depending on 263 HCV genotype, ranging from 0.0% among those infected with HCV GT1a, GT3, GT5, or GT6 to 264 265 24.1% among those infected with GT1b (Supplementary Table 2). There was no impact of baseline NS5B RAS on efficacy across genotypes. All participants with baseline NS5B RASs 266

achieved SVR12 (9/9; 100%) compared with 92.9% (234/252) of participants without baseline
NS5B RASs.

269

270 Treatment-emergent RASs

Both of the participants with HCV GT1a infection who relapsed had RASs in the NS5A 271 272 gene region at baseline; one had substitutions at Y93C, and one at Q30L, H58L, and Y93H. The participant with baseline Y93C had two treatment-emergent substitutions: L31V and Y93H. The 273 other participant had two additional substitutions at failure: the addition of L31V and the further 274 diversity of position 58 (52% L, 46% P). The participant with GT11 infection who relapsed had a 275 baseline R300 RAS, and an additional treatment-emergent Y93H at failure. The one participant 276 with GT2 infection who experienced virologic failure had a baseline RAS in the NS5A gene 277 278 region at T24A and L31M, and no substitutions in the NS5B gene region. These substitutions were also present at failure, and no treatment-emergent RASs were detected. The one participant 279 280 with HCV GT6e infection who relapsed at FW4 did not have detectable baseline RAS; but had treatment-emergent L31M and T93S at the time of relapse. Among the two other participants 281 282 with GT6 infection who relapsed at FW24, one was GT6q and one was GT6e; neither had any RASs at NS5A or NS5B at baseline or at the time of relapse. 283

Fourteen participants infected with HCV GT3 had a virologic relapse; 11/14 relapsed by 284 FW4, 2/14 by FW8, and 1 at FW12. Four participants did not have RASs at baseline but had 285 286 treatment-emergent Y93H at relapse; one of the four also had treatment-emergent S62T. Eight participants had RASs at position S62 at baseline (S62T, n=5; S62M, n=1; S62V, n=1; S62I, 287 n=1); the participant with S62I also had A30S and Y93H at baseline, and one participant with 288 S62T also had a Y93H at baseline. All five participants with S62T at baseline also had S62T at 289 290 relapse; 3/5 also had treatment-emergent Y93H at relapse, 1/5 had Y93H at baseline and relapse, and 1/5 had treatment-emergent L31F RAS. The participant with A30S, S62I, and Y93H at 291 baseline had the same RASs at relapse. The participant with an S62M RAS at baseline had 292 treatment-emergent S62V/Y93H at relapse, and the participant with S62V at baseline had 293 294 S62V/Y93H RASs at relapse. The two other participants with GT3 infection who relapsed had 295 A30M/A30K/Y93H and A30K at baseline, and A30K/Y93H and A30K/L31V at relapse (both at FW4). 296

Treatment-emergent NS5B RASs were observed in one participant with HCV GT3 infection. This participant did not have any NS5A or NS5B RASs detected at baseline, and experienced virologic failure at FW8 with treatment-emergent Y93H in the *NS5A* gene region and S282T RAS in the *NS5B* gene region; however, no NS5B RASs were detected in this region at FW12 and FW24.

302

303 Safety

The majority of participants reported at least one AE (173/282; 61.3%); the most common AEs 304 (reported in \geq 5%) were headache (n=33, 11.7%), fatigue (n=29, 10.3%), nausea (n=19, 6.7%), 305 and diarrhea (n=14, 5.0%). Drug-related AEs were reported by 94 participants (33.3%); the most 306 common were fatigue (n=22, 7.8%) and headache (n=21, 7.4%). Seven (2.5%) participants 307 308 reported 12 serious AEs during the trial (1 each of acute coronary syndrome, diarrhea, abscess limb, cellulitis, sinusitis, fall, rhabdomyolysis, acute kidney injury, increased ammonia level, 309 increased blood creatinine level, decreased glomerular filtration rate, and ovarian cyst), none of 310 which were assessed to be drug-related. Five participants (1.8%) reported AEs leading to 311 312 discontinuation of study medication, including substance abuse (n=2), anxiety and nausea (n=1), insomnia and fatigue (n=1), and abdominal pain (n=1); two (0.7%) of these were considered 313 drug-related AEs (anxiety and nausea; insomnia and fatigue). 314 Two participants experienced hepatic events of clinical interest, defined as the first 315 316 instance of ALT or AST >500 IU/L, or ALT or AST >3× nadir and >3× the upper limit of normal (ULN) from the initiation of study therapy through the first 14 days of follow-up. One 317 318 participant had an asymptomatic elevation of AST (153 U/L) at TW4 associated with an elevated creatine phosphokinase level. One participant sustained a fall with resultant rhabdomyolysis that 319 320 was reported as a serious AE not related to study medication, and the associated elevated ALT

321 met criteria for a hepatic event of clinical interest. This same participant met criteria for a renal

- event of clinical interest, defined as the first instance of an estimated glomerular filtration rate $<50 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$ from the initiation of study therapy through 14 days following treatment, or
- the first instance of serum creatinine grade 2 or higher (> $1.3 \times ULN$) and elevated from baseline

from the initiation of study therapy through 14 days following treatment.

- 326
- 327

328 Discussion

The combination of ruzasvir 180 mg and uprifosbuvir 450 mg for 12 weeks was generally well tolerated. High efficacy was observed in participants with HCV GT1, GT2, GT4, GT5, and GT6 infection, with lower efficacy in those with GT3 infection. Although the number of participants was small, the study results suggest a potential impact of baseline NS5A Y93 RAS in persons with GT1a. Efficacy was not affected by cirrhosis status or RASs in those with GT1b, GT2, GT4, and GT5 infection. Efficacy was lower in participants infected with HCV GT3 regardless of cirrhosis status and was only partially accounted for by baseline NS5A RASs.

The C-BREEZE-1 trial of ruzasvir 60 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg did not show 336 337 pangenotypic activity; SVR12 rates were high among those with HCV GT1a (96%; 52/54), GT1b (100%; 15/15), GT2 (97% 28/29), and GT4 infection (90%; 18/20), with lower efficacy in 338 those with GT3 (77%; 33/39) and GT6 infection (67%; 2/3).¹² In the phase II C-CREST trials, 339 the three-drug regimen of ruzasvir 60 mg and uprifosbuvir 450 mg in combination with 340 341 grazoprevir 100 mg, with or without ribavirin, resulted in SVR12 rates of >90% in a broad patient population with HCV GT1, GT2, GT3, GT4, or GT6 infection.^{9,10} It was hypothesized 342 that a higher dose of ruzasvir might improve pangenotypic activity of the ruzasvir and 343 uprifosbuvir combination and compensate for the lack of NS3/4A inhibition provided by 344 345 grazoprevir in the C-CREST trials. In the current trial of uprifosbuvir 450 mg and the higher dose of ruzasvir 180 mg, SVR12 rates in the FAS population were not meaningfully higher than 346 those observed with the lower dose of ruzasvir 60 mg in combination with uprifosbuvir. 347

In the current trial, we hypothesized that an increased dose of ruzasvir would overcome 348 the lower efficacy in individuals with HCV GT3 infection observed in C-BREEZE-1.12 349 However, we observed that the ruzasvir 180 mg dose used in the present study did not result in 350 351 higher efficacy in participants with GT3 infection compared with the 60-mg dose of ruzasvir used in C-BREEZE-1 (SVR12 rates of 77% and 73.8%, respectively).¹² It is possible that a 352 plateau was reached on the dose-response curve such that increasing the dose of ruzasvir did not 353 result in any increase in efficacy for GT3 infection. The larger number of participants with GT6 354 infection who were enrolled in C-BREEZE-2 enabled us to more thoroughly evaluate efficacy in 355 356 this population compared with C-BREEZE-1 (90.9% [20/22] vs 67% [2/3]). Although there was no appreciable difference in SVR12 rates between the lower and the higher ruzasvir doses used 357

in C-BREEZE-1 and C-BREEZE-2, a difference was seen between the ruzasvir plus uprifosbuvir
two-drug combination regimen and the ruzasvir-uprifosbuvir-grazoprevir triple-drug regimen
evaluated in the C-CREST studies,⁹⁻¹¹ suggesting that for the particular combination of ruzasvir
and uprifosbuvir, a third drug is required to ensure a pangenotypic profile. A three-drug
combination including uprifosbuvir, grazoprevir, and ruzasvir at the higher dose of 180 mg of
ruzasvir has not been evaluated.

In the C-BREEZE-1 trial, lower efficacy was observed in cirrhotic participants; 10 of 13 364 participants who relapsed had cirrhosis, including 6 of 9 participants with HCV GT3 infection 365 (67%).¹² Coupled with an analysis of RASs, the data from C-BREEZE-1 suggested that infection 366 with HCV GT1a, GT3, or GT6 in participants who were cirrhotic and/or had baseline RASs was 367 associated with lower SVR rates after treatment with ruzasvir 60 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg.¹² 368 In the current analysis, SVR12 rates in the GT3 subtype were 68.4% (13/19) in cirrhotic 369 participants and 80.0% (32/40) in noncirrhotic participants (PP population). However, 57.1% 370 371 (8/14) of GT3-infected participants in the PP population with virologic failure were noncirrhotic, suggesting that cirrhosis status may not have as clinically relevant an impact on SVR12 as 372 373 previously hypothesized.

374 Historically, the presence of RASs at baseline has been associated with lower SVR12 rates with some DAA regimens. In our trial, all three participants with HCV GT1 infection who 375 experienced virologic failure (GT1a, n=2; GT11, n=1) had baseline RASs (Y93C, Q30L/Y93H, 376 377 and R30Q, respectively). Participants with HCV GT3 infection who experienced virologic failure were likely to have NS5A RASs at baseline (71.4%; 10/14) and at relapse (100%; 14/14), 378 which is in contrast to the results of the C-BREEZE-1 trial, where two of nine participants with 379 GT3 infection who relapsed had baseline NS5A RASs.¹² The most common baseline NS5A RAS 380 381 in GT3-infected participants was at S62 (57.1%; 8/14), and the most common treatmentemergent RAS was Y93H (71.4% 10/14). The presence of Y93H at baseline has previously been 382 shown to impact the efficacy of DAAs, while the double RAS combinations A30K + L31M and 383 A30K + Y93H and the triple combination A30K + L31M + Y93H have been shown to severely 384 impact susceptibility to daclatasvir, velpatasvir, and elbasvir and to modestly impact 385 susceptibility to pibrentasvir.¹³ It has also been shown that S62 substitutions, while not directly 386 impacting the SVR rate achieved with daclatasvir, may modify the effects of other RASs.¹⁴ Of 387

note, 75% (6/8) of HCV GT3-infected participants with baseline S62 RASs had treatment-

- emergent RASs: five had treatment-emergent Y93H and one had treatment-emergent L31F.
- While single S62 substitutions cause minimal (0.2 to 20-fold) shifts in the ruzasvir EC_{50} in vitro,
- the presence of additional RASs on the same genome can result in substantial potency losses.
- 392 The combination of S62I/L/T and Y93H in the GT3 replicon conferred potency losses >1000-
- fold to ruzasvir. Thus, selection of the treatment-emergent RASs in addition to the baseline S62
- 394 substitutions impacted ruzasvir potency in those virologic failures.
- Interpretation of this analysis is subject to several limitations. The size of each genotype
 population was small, limiting the precision of efficacy measures. This is particularly notable for
 subpopulations with baseline RASs. The study also had limited enrollment of potentially
 important subpopulations, such as black participants and those co-infected with HCV/HIV.
 Finally, there was no direct comparison to either standard-of-care DAA regimens nor to ruzasvir
 60 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg in the same trial.
- In conclusion, data from the present study indicate that the combination of ruzasvir 180
 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg once daily for 12 weeks was well tolerated overall but was
 suboptimal as a pangenotypic regimen.
- 404

405 Statement of Interests

406 Conflict of Interests: This study was funded by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of
407 Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA (MSD).

Eric Lawitz reports grants from MSD, AbbVie, and Gilead and personal fees from AbbVie andGilead.

- 410 Edward J. Gane has received personal fees as a member of the clinical advisory board for MSD,
- Gilead Sciences, and AbbVie and has received personal fees as a member of the speakers bureaufor Gilead Sciences and AbbVie.
- Jordan J. Feld has received grant and personal fees for consultant and advisory board work for

414 AbbVie, Janssen, Gilead, and MSD; consultant personal fees from Contravir and Enanta; and

415 grant fees from Wako.

- 416 Maria Buti has received personal fees from MSD, Gilead, and AbbVie.
- 417 Graham R. Foster has received grant and personal fees from MSD, Gilead, and AbbVie.
- 418 Krzysztof Tomasiewicz has received grants, personal fees, and non-financial support from
- 419 Gilead, AbbVie, and BMS and personal fees and non-financial support from MSD.
- 420 Frederick Lahser, Beth Jackson, Stephanie Klopfer, Melissa Shaughnessy, Wendy W. Yeh,
- 421 Michael N. Robertson, George J. Hanna, Eliav Barr, and Heather Platt are employees of MSD.
- 422 Stephanie Klopfer, Melissa Shaughnessy, Wendy W. Yeh, Michael N. Robertson, George J.
- 423 Hanna, Eliav Barr, and Heather Platt may own stock in Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ,
- 424 USA.
- The following authors have nothing to disclose: Mordechai Rabinovitz, Eduard Z. Burnevich,
 Helena Katchman.
- 427

428 Author Contributions

Eric Lawitz contributed to the acquisition of the data, interpretation of the results, and critically 429 reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Edward Gane 430 431 contributed to the acquisition of the data, interpretation of the results, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Jordan J. Feld contributed to the 432 433 conception, design, and planning of the study, interpretation of the results, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Maria Buti contributed 434 435 to the acquisition of the data, analysis of the data, interpretation of the results, drafting of the manuscript, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual 436 content. Graham R. Foster contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the study, 437 acquisition of the data, analysis of the data, interpretation of the results, and critically reviewing 438 and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Mordechai Rabinovitz contributed 439 to the acquisition of the data and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important 440 441 intellectual content. Eduard Burnevich contributed to the acquisition of the data and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Helena Katchman 442 contributed to the acquisition of the data and drafting of the manuscript. Krzysztof Tomasiewicz 443

contributed to the acquisition of the data and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for 444 important intellectual content. Fred Lahser contributed to the interpretation of the results and 445 critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Beth Jackson 446 contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the study, analysis of the data, 447 interpretation of the results, and drafting of the manuscript. Melissa Shaughnessy contributed to 448 449 the conception, design, and planning of the study, acquisition of the data, analysis of the data, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Stephanie 450 Klopfer contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the study, analysis of the data, 451 interpretation of the results, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important 452 intellectual content. Wendy W. Yeh contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the 453 study, interpretation of the results, drafting of the manuscript, and critically reviewing and 454 455 revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Michael N. Robertson contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the study, interpretation of the results, and critically 456 457 reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. George J. Hanna contributed to the analysis of the data, interpretation of the results, and critically reviewing and 458 459 revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Eliav Barr contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the study, analysis of the data, interpretation of the results, 460 drafting of the manuscript, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important 461 intellectual content. Heather L. Platt contributed to the conception, design, and planning of the 462 463 study, acquisition of the data, analysis of the data, interpretation of the results, drafting of the manuscript, and critically reviewing and revising the manuscript for important intellectual 464 465 content.

466

467 **REFERENCES**

- American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Infectious Diseases Society of America. HCV Guidance:Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C. Available at: https://www.hcvguidelines.org. Accessed June 8, 2018.
 Jacobson IM. The HCV treatment revolution continues: resistance considerations,
- 472 pangenotypic efficacy, and advances in challenging populations. *Gastroenterol Hepatol.*473 2016;12(10 suppl 4):12.
- 474 3. Harvoni [prescribing information]. Foster City, CA: Gilead Sciences Inc.; 2016.

4. Zepatier [prescribing information]. Kenilworth, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc.; 2018. 475 5. Viekira Pak [prescribing information]. North Chicago, IL: AbbVie Inc.; 2018. 476 477 6. Mavyret [prescribing information]. North Chicago, IL: AbbVie Inc.; 2018. Epclusa [prescribing information]. Foster City, CA: Gileacd Sciences, Inc.; 2017. 7. 478 8. Tong L, Yu W, Chen L, et al. The discovery of ruzasvir (MK-8408): a potent, pan-479 genotype HCV NS5A inhibitor with optimized activity against common resistance-480 associated polymorphisms. J Med Chem. 2017;60(1):290-306. 481 Gane EJ, Pianko S, Roberts SK, et al. Safety and efficacy of an 8-week regimen of 9. 482 grazoprevir plus ruzasvir plus uprifosbuvir compared with grazoprevir plus elbasvir plus 483 uprifosbuvir in participants without cirrhosis infected with hepatitis C virus genotypes 1, 484 2, or 3 (C-CREST-1 and C-CREST-2, part A): two randomised, phase 2, open-label 485 trials. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;2(11):805-813. 486 10. Lawitz E, Buti M, Vierling JM, et al. Safety and efficacy of a fixed-dose combination 487 regimen of grazoprevir, ruzasvir, and uprifosbuvir with or without ribavirin in 488 participants with and without cirrhosis with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1, 2, or 3 489 490 infection (C-CREST-1 and C-CREST-2, part B): two randomised, phase 2, open-label trials. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;2(11):814-823. 491 Wyles D, Wedemeyer H, Ben-Ari Z, et al. Grazoprevir, ruzasvir, and uprifosbuvir for 492 11. hepatitis C virus after NS5A treatment failure. Hepatology. 2017;66(6):1794-1804. 493 494 12. Lawitz E, Poordad F, Anderson L, et al. C-BREEZE 1: efficacy and safety of ruzasvir 60 mg plus uprifosbuvir 450 mg for 12 weeks in adults with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) 495 genotype (GT)1, 2, 3, 4, or 6 infection. Presented at: The Liver Meeting[®] 2017; October 496 20-24, 2017; Washington, DC. 497 Smith D, Magri A, Bonsall D, et al. Resistance analysis of genotype 3 HCV indicates 498 13. subtypes inherently resistant to NS5A inhibitors [published online ahead of print, 499 February 9, 2018]. Hepatology. doi: 10.1002/hep.29837. 500 McPhee F, Hernandez D, Zhou N. Effect of minor populations of NS5A and NS5B 14. 501 502 resistance-associated variants on HCV genotype-3 response to daclatasvir plus sofosbuvir, with or without ribavirin. Antivir Ther. 2017;22(3):237-246. 503 504

505 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

506 Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for

507 this article.

508

509



510 **TABLE 1** Baseline patient demographics.

		All					
	GT1	GT2	GT3	GT4	GT5	GT6	participants
	n=78	n=47	n=61	n=56	n=18	n=22	N=282
Characteristic							
Sex, n (%)						1	
Male	43	23 (48.9)	34	33 (58.9)	7 (38.9)	16 (72.7)	156 (55.3)
	(55.1)		(55.7)				
Female	35	24 (51.1)	27	23 (41.1)	11	6 (27.3)	126 (44.7)
σ	(44.9)		(44.3)		(61.1)		
Age, median	49.5	54.0 (27-	51.0	48.0 (23-	57.5	57.5 (33-	51.0 (19-81)
(range), years	(19-69)	73)	(26-67)	81)	(29-74)	69)	
Race, n (%)				1			
Asian	2 (2.6)	2 (4.3)	2 (3.3)	0 (0)	1 (5.6)	22	29 (10.3)
						(100.0)	
Black	4 (5.1)	0 (0)	1 (1.6)	8 (14.3)	9 (50.0)	0 (0)	22 (7.8)
Multiple	1 (1.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	4 (22.2)	0 (0)	6 (2.1)
Native Hawaiian	2 (2.6)	1 (2.1)	0 (0)	1 (1.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (1.4)
or other Pacific							
Islander							
White	69	44 (93.6)	57	47 (83.9)	4 (22.2)	0 (0)	221 (78.4)
	(88.5)		(93.4)				
Ethnicity, n (%)							
Hispanic/Latino	13	14 (29.8)	12	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	39 (13.8)
	(16.7)		(19.7)				

Not Hispanic or	64	32 (68.1)	49	54 (96.4)	18	22	239 (84.8)
Latino	(82.1)		(80.3)		(100.0)	(100.0)	
Not reported	1 (1.3)	1 (2.1)	0 (0)	2 (3.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (1.4)
Body-mass index						11	
kg/m ² , median	26.8	27.5	27.4	25.3	30.2	24.8	26.8 (14.2-
(range)	(14.2-	(18.9-	(17.1-	(18.9-	(17.3-	(17.3-	50.4)
	42.6)	50.4)	41.6)	35.7)	40.3)	30.1)	
\geq 30 kg/m ² , n	22	14 (29.8)	18	11 (19.6)	9 (50.0)	1 (4.5)	75 (26.6)
(%)	(28.2)		(29.5)				
Baseline HCV		I				11	
RNA, n (%)							
>800,000	59	34 (72.3)	45	39 (69.6)	14	15 (68.2)	206 (73.0)
IU/mL	(75.6)		(73.8)		(77.8)		
>2,000,000	44	29 (61.7)	36	24 (42.9)	11	13 (59.1)	157 (55.7)
IU/mL	(56.4)		(59.0)		(61.1)		
Cirrhosis, n (%)	17	10 (21.3)	20	6 (10.7)	1 (5.6)	4 (18.2)	58 (20.6)
	(21.8)		(32.8)				
HCV/HIV co-	4 (5.1)	1 (2.1)	0 (0)	6 (10.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	11 (3.9)
infected, n (%)							
Treatment history, n						I	
(%)							
Treatment-	67	45 (95.7)	52	42 (75.0)	14	17 (77.3)	237 (84.0)
naive	(85.9)		(85.2)		(77.8)		
Treatment-	11	2 (4.3)	9 (14.8)	14 (25.0)	4 (22.2)	5 (22.7)	45 (16.0)
experienced	(14.1)						
IL28B genotype, n						<u> </u>	
(%)							
СС	23	23 (48.9)	24	17 (30.4)	1 (5.6)	16 (72.7)	104 (36.9)
	(29.5)		(39.3)				
Non-CC	53	24 (51.1)	35	39 (69.6)	17	6 (27.3)	174 (61.7)

	(67.9)		(57.4)		(94.4)		
Unknown	2 (2.6)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (1.4)

511 GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; SD, standard

- 512 deviation.
- 513

514

Januscri Z Nuth

<u> </u>		HCV genotype							
O	GT1a	GT1b	GT2	GT3	GT4	GT5	GT6	All	
								participants	
Full analysis set	n=48	n=30	n=47	n=61	n=56	n=18	n=22	N=282	
SVR, n/N (%)	43/48	29/30	43/47	45/61	55/56	18/18	20/22	253/282	
S	(89.6%)	(96.7%)	(91.5%)	(73.8%)	(98.2%)	(100%)	(90.9%)	(89.7%)	
Non-SVR, n	5	1	4	16	1	0	2	29	
Relapse	3	0	1	14	0	0	1	19	
Reinfection	0	0	0	1 ^a	0	0	0	1	
AE	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	
DRAE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	
LTFU/withdrawn	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	
Per-protocol analysis	n=45	n=29	n=44	n=59	n=55	n=18	n=22	N=272	
Excluded from PP, n	3	1	3	2	1	0	0	10	
SVR ^{b,c,d}	1 ^b	0	1°	1 ^d	0	0	0	3	
Non-SVR	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	7	
AE	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	
LTFU/withdrawn	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	
SVR, n/N (%)	42/45	29/29	42/44	45/59	55/55	18/18	20/22	251/272	
	(93.3%)	(100%)	(95.5%)	(76.3%) ^a	(100%)	(100%)	(90.9%)	(92.3%)	
Non-SVR, n	3	0	2	14	0	0	2	21	

TABLE 2 SVR12 in the full analysis set population and the per protocol population.

Relapse	3	0	1	14	0	0	1	19
DRAE	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2

AE, adverse event. DRAE, drug-related adverse event; GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; LTFU, lost to follow-up; PP, perprotocol; SVR, sustained virologic response.

^aOne participant with HCV GT3 infection had evidence of reinfection during follow-up (GT3 at baseline, GT1a at follow-up week 8). This participant was considered as non-SVR within the full analysis set (FAS) analysis but was considered as achieving SVR within the PP analysis.

^bOne participant with HCV GT1a infection withdrew during treatment but continued in the study and had plasma collection 12 weeks after treatment discontinuation; this participant was considered as achieving SVR within the FAS analysis but was excluded from the PP analysis owing to withdrawal from study.

^cOne participant with HCV GT2 infection withdrew during treatment but continued in the study and had plasma collection 12 weeks after treatment discontinuation; this participant was considered as achieving SVR within the FAS analysis but was excluded from the PP analysis due to withdrawal from study.

^dOne participant with HCVGT3 infection withdrew during treatment but continued in the study and had plasma collection 12 weeks after treatment discontinuation; this participant was considered as achieving SVR within the FAS analysis but was excluded from the PP analysis owing to physician decision.

TABLE 3 SVR12 in select subgroups (per-protocol population).

HCV genotype

	GT1a	GT1b	GT2	GT3	GT4	GT5	GT6	All
	n=45	n=29	n=44	n=59	n=55	n=18	n=22	participants
t								N=272
Race, % (n/N)								
White	95.0 (38/40)	100.0	97.6	78.2	100.0	100.0 (4/4)	0	92.9
\mathbf{O}		(26/26)	(40/41)	(43/55)	(46/46)			(197/212)
Black	50.0 (1/2)	100.0 (1/1)	0	0 (0/1)	100.0 (8/8)	100.0 (9/9)	0	90.5
								(19/21)
Asian	0	100.0 (2/2)	100.0 (2/2)	50.0 (1/2)	0	100.0 (1/1)	90.9	89.7
							(20/22)	(26/29)
Native Hawaiian	100.0 (2/2)	0	0 (0/1)	0	100.0 (1/1)	0	0	75.0 (3/4)
or other Pacific								
Islander								
Multiple	100.0 (1/1)	0	0	100.0 (1/1)	0	100.0 (4/4)	0	100.0 (6/6)
Cirrhosis status, %								
(n/N)								
Cirrhotic	88.9 (8/9)	100.0 (7/7)	100.0	68.4	100.0 (6/6)	100.0 (1/1)	100.0 (4/4)	87.5
			(10/10)	(13/19)				(49/56)
Non-cirrhotic	97.1 (33/34)	100.0	94.1	80.0	100.0	100.0	88.9	93.5
		(22/22)	(32/34)	(32/40)	(49/49)	(17/17)	(16/18)	(202/216)
Baseline HCV								
RNA, % (n/N)								

≤800,000 IU/mL	100.0 (10/10)	100.0 (7/7)	91.7	100.0	100.0	100.0 (4/4)	85.7 (6/7)	97.2
			(11/12)	(15/15)	(16/16)			(70/72)
>800,000 IU/mL	91.4 (32/35)	100.0	96.9	68.2	100.0	100.0	93.3	90.5
		(22/22)	(31/32)	(30/44)	(39/39)	(14/14)	(14/15)	(181/200)
≤2,000,000	100.0 (17/17)	100.0	94.1	91.3	100.0	100.0 (7/7)	88.9 (8/9)	96.6
IU/mL		(13/13)	(16/17)	(21/23)	(31/31)			(115/119)
>2,000,000	89.3 (25/28)	100.0	96.3	66.7	100.0	100.0	92.3	88.9
IU/mL		(16/16)	(26/27)	(24/36)	(24/24)	(11/11)	(12/13)	(136/153)

GT, genotype, HCV, hepatitis C virus.

Author Man

FIGURE 1 Participant disposition by HCV genotype for SVR12.

AE, adverse event; GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; LTFU, lost to follow-up; SVR12, sustained virologic response 12 weeks after end of treatment. ^aIncludes all participants who received at least one dose of study medication. ^bIncludes two individuals with HCV GT1-other infection.

FIGURE 2 SVR12 by HCV genotype and presence of baseline NS5A resistance-associated substitutions. Pie charts indicate prevalence of baseline RASs. NS5A substitutions at amino acid positions 28, 30, 31, and 93 were evaluated for all participants with HCV GT1-GT6 infection). In participants with GT3, GT4, GT5, and GT6 infection, NS5A substitutions at position 58 were also evaluated, and additionally, in participants with GT3 infection, substitutions at position 62 were evaluated.

GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; NS5A, nonstructural protein 5 A; RAS, resistanceassociated substitution; SVR12, sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after completion of therapy.

^aIncludes two participants with HCV GT1-other infection.

FIGURE 3. SVR12 by HCV GT3 genotype and RAS. GT, genotype; HCV, hepatitis C virus; RAS, resistance-associated substitution; SVR12, sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after completion of therapy.

Auth







University Library



A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Lawitz, E;Gane, E;Feld, JJ;Buti, M;Foster, GR;Rabinovitz, M;Burnevich, E;Katchman, H;Tomasiewicz, K;Lahser, F;Jackson, B;Shaughnessy, M;Klopfer, S;Yeh, WW;Robertson, MN;Hanna, GJ;Barr, E;Platt, HL;C-BREEZE-2 Study Investigators,

Title:

Efficacy and safety of a two-drug direct-acting antiviral agent regimen ruzasvir 180#mg and uprifosbuvir 450#mg for 12#weeks in adults with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.

Date:

2019-09

Citation:

Lawitz, E., Gane, E., Feld, J. J., Buti, M., Foster, G. R., Rabinovitz, M., Burnevich, E., Katchman, H., Tomasiewicz, K., Lahser, F., Jackson, B., Shaughnessy, M., Klopfer, S., Yeh, W. W., Robertson, M. N., Hanna, G. J., Barr, E., Platt, H. L. & C-BREEZE-2 Study Investigators, (2019). Efficacy and safety of a two-drug direct-acting antiviral agent regimen ruzasvir 180#mg and uprifosbuvir 450#mg for 12#weeks in adults with chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 6.. J Viral Hepat, 26 (9), pp.1127-1138. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvh.13132.

Persistent Link: http://hdl.handle.net/11343/286144