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Abstract

The pricing of Asian or basket options is directly related to finding the distributions of sums of lognormal random variables. There is no general explicit formula for those distributions. This paper looks at the limit distributions of sums of lognormal variables when volatility, or maturity, tends either to 0 or to infinity. The limits obtained are either normal or lognormal, depending on the normalization chosen. This justifies the lognormal approximation, much used in practice, and also gives an asymptotically exact distribution for averages of lognormals with a relatively small volatility; it has been noted that all the analytical pricing formulas for Asian options perform poorly for small volatilities. Asymptotic formulas are also found for the moments of the sums of lognormals. Results are given for both discrete and continuous averages.

Asian options; basket options; reciprocal Asian options; exponential functional of Brownian motion

1. Introduction

Asian (or average) options have payoffs expressed in terms of the average price of some security (stock, market index) or commodity. Basket options have payoffs which depend on linear combinations of the prices of several securities. Options on commodities (such as oil and gas) often replace the price of the underlying with an average in order to decrease volatility, or else to reduce the possibility of manipulating prices close to expiration. If, as in the Black-Scholes model, the underlying securities are modelled as geometric Brownian motions, then the pricing of Asian or basket options is intimately related to finding the distribution of the sum or of the integral of geometric Brownian motions; some explicit results are known in the particular case where an Asian option has continuous averaging with equal weights, see Geman & Yor (1993) or Dufresne (2000), for details. The case of continuous averaging is, of course, an idealization of reality, but more explicit results can be found regarding continuous averages than for discrete ones; the continuous-averaging formulas (with appropriate corrections) are good approximations of the discrete ones when the averaging dates are numerous enough and evenly spread through time, but, for other types of averages, there are no explicit formulas for option prices. Moreover, the explicit formulas known so far in the continuous case are relatively complex. The consequence is that practitioners rely on approximate formulas (the most common being the lognormal approximation and Edgeworth series) or on Monte Carlo simulations. The lognormal approximation is sometimes very accurate, a fact which has apparently not been justified mathematically so far; Taleb (1997, Chapters 22 and 23) mentions the lognormal approximation, but, with regard to Asian options, recommends the use Monte Carlo simulations whenever volatility exceeds 30%. This claim relates directly to the conclusions of this paper, as it will be shown that the limit distribution of the sums or averages involved in Asian or basket options are either normal or lognormal as volatility tends to 0. With the
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exception of two brief numerical examples in the Conclusion, this paper deals exclusively
with the mathematical derivations of the limit distributions; the numerical comparison of
option prices with their approximation is left for a subsequent paper. The combinations of
geometric Brownian motions considered are general enough to include all Asian or basket
options, whether discrete, continuous, or mixed.

Some preliminary comments will now be made regarding the only particular case
where explicit formulas are known. As explained in Geman & Yor (1993) and elsewhere,
in the Black-Scholes model the random variable of interest in the pricing of Asian options
with continuous averaging is

\[ \int_0^T S_0 e^{m_s + \sigma B_s} ds, \quad (1.1) \]

where \( S_0 \) is the initial price of the underlying security and \( B \) is standard Brownian motion
under the risk neutral measure. The drift \( m \) is, for example, equal to \( r - \sigma^2/2 \) when the
underlying does not pay dividends and the risk-free rate of interest is \( r \). In this and in
other situations \( m \) may be positive or negative.

Geman & Yor (1993), as well as several other papers by Yor (many of which are
reproduced in Yor (2001)) and by this author (Dufresne, 2000, 2001a, 2001b), use the
following transformation of (1.1): let

\[ t' = \frac{\sigma^2 T}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \frac{2m}{\sigma^2}; \quad (1.2) \]

then, by the scaling property of Brownian motion, the random variable in (1.1) has the
same distribution as \( 4S_0/\sigma^2 \) times

\[ A_t^{(\mu)} = \int_0^{t'} e^{2(\mu_s + B_s)} ds. \]

This parametrization is advantageous in many ways, as shown especially by the work of
Marc Yor.

However, the above transformation may not be the most natural one for the purpose
of finding the asymptotic distribution of (1.1) when \( T \) tends to zero or infinity. We will
instead use the following one: let

\[ t = \sigma^2 T, \quad \nu = \frac{m}{\sigma^2}; \quad (1.3) \]

Then

\[ \int_0^T S_0 e^{m_s + \sigma B_s} ds \overset{d}{=} \frac{S_0}{\sigma^2} M_t^\nu, \quad \text{where} \quad M_t^\nu = \int_0^t e^{\nu_s + B_s} ds. \]

(The symbol \( \overset{d}{=} \) means "has the same distribution as".) It can be seen that \( t \) is the
cumulative variance (or quadratic variation) of the log of the underlying security over time
period \( [0, t] \). The standardized drift \( \nu \) may be positive or negative.
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Both parametrizations (1.2) and (1.3) remove $\sigma$ from the algebraic manipulations. Letting $t'$ or $t$ tend to 0 can mean either letting the maturity $T$ fixed, while letting $\sigma$ decrease to 0, or else letting the volatility $\sigma$ fixed, while letting maturity decrease to 0. One can go from one set of parameters to the other by employing the identity in distribution

$$M^{(\mu)}_t \overset{d}{=} 4A^{(2\mu)}_{t^{1/4}}. \tag{1.4}$$

A complete list of references on Asian option pricing will not be given here, the reader is referred to Dufresne (2000) and Linetsky (2001). The greater difficulty of pricing Asian options with short maturities, or small volatilities, was noticed by Rogers & Shi (1995, p.1087), who solve the associated PDE numerically, and also by Fu et al. (1999), who invert the Geman & Yor (1993) Laplace transform for Asian calls. Dufresne (2000) was unable to compute Asian option prices for $t$ smaller than approximately .1, while the Laguerre series performed better as $t$ increased (the number of required terms decreases with increasing $t$). Linetsky (2001) also notices that more terms of his series expression for Asian option prices are required for small $t$; he is able to get an accurate price in a case where $t = .09$ at the cost of computing 57 terms of the series (400 terms are required in a case where $t = .01$), while larger $t$ require less computational effort. Now an option with a maturity of one year on an underlying with a volatility $\sigma = .30$ has a normalized maturity of $t = .09$. A one-month averaging period in an oil or gas price with 60% annual volatility yields $t = .03$. Much shorter standardized maturities $t$ result when the original maturity or volatility are smaller. A maturity $T = 1/12$ (one month) and a 10% annual volatility means $t = .000833$. Standardized volatilities of .0001 or less arise in practice. Therefore, it would seem that the analytical expressions known so far for Asian options, as well as some of the numerical procedures, are good mostly for relatively large values of $t$, which are not very common in practice. The conclusion is that there is a clear need for better approximations for small $t$. Observe that simulation does not seem to suffer from the small $t$ problem, but has, however, its own difficulties when used to price Asian options. See for instance Vazquez & Dufresne (1998), Fu et al. (1999), and Su & Fu (2000).

The same phenomenon is observed for the known formulas for the density of $A^{(\mu)}_t$. Yor (1992) derived the joint law of $(B_t, A^{(\mu)}_t)$,

$$P(A^{(\mu)}_t \in du \mid B_t + \mu t = x) = \sqrt{2\pi t} \frac{u}{u} \exp \left( \frac{x^2}{2t} - \frac{1}{2u} \left( 1 + e^{2u} \right) \right) \theta_{\mu/u}(t) du,$$

where

$$\theta_{\tau}(t) = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp \left( \frac{\tau^2}{2t} \right) \int_0^\infty dy \exp(-y^2/2t) \exp(-\tau \cosh(y))(\sinh(y) \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{t} \right)).$$

The trigonometric function in that expression causes numerical problems, because of the increasing oscillations of the integrand when $t$ gets smaller. Observe that the factor $\exp(\pi^2/(2t))$ is at the same time getting bigger. The Laguerre series obtained in Dufresne
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(2000) also suffer from the small $t$ problem, though the reason is apparently that the required moments of $1/A_t^{(b)}$ get very large when $t$ is small. Dufresne (2001b) obtains the following expression for the density of $1/(2A_t^{(b)})$:

$$f_{\mu}(x,t) = e^{-\gamma t/2} \frac{2}{\partial x - \frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma x \cosh^2 y} q(y,t) \cos \left( \frac{\mu}{t} (y - \mu) \right) H_\mu(\sqrt{2} \sinh y) \, dy,$$

for $x > 0$, where

$$q(y,t) = \frac{e^{\gamma t} - \frac{\mu^2}{t}}{\pi \sqrt{2t}} \cosh y$$

and $H_\mu(\cdot)$ is the Hermite function (Lebedev, 1972, p. 290). Again there is a trigonometric function with an argument in $1/t$ and a factor $\exp(\pi^2/(8t))$, which cause numerical instability when $t$ is small.

Sections 2 and 3 deal with continuous averaging. The limit distributions are normal or lognormal when $t$ tends to 0, and lognormal when $t$ tends to infinity. The lognormal approximation is given what may be its first rigorous justification. (As far as this author knows, the only prior justification of the lognormal approximation, though imperfect, was Theorem 3.3(b) below, which shows that, as $t$ tends to infinity, the normalized logarithm of $M_t^\mu$ tends to the law of the absolute value of a normal variable; Revuz & Yor (1999, p.48) trace this result back to Durrett (1982).) Section 2 looks at limits of $M_t^\mu$ when $t$ tends to 0, while Section 3 is concerned with limits as $t$ tends to infinity.

Section 4 defines a general integral functional of several geometric Brownian motions, which includes the combinations or averages involved in all Asian or basket options, and studies its distribution as the volatilities tend to 0. Again, normal and lognormal distributions are obtained in the limit. This paper does not tell which of the two approximations, normal or lognormal, will be best for pricing Asian options; this will be studied in a subsequent paper.

Section 5 compares two slightly different lognormal approximations, and also shows that the difference of two lognormals approximates combinations with both positive and negative weights. Section 6 looks at the limits of processes related to Asian option pricing when volatility tends to 0. Section 7 concludes the paper with a preview of a follow-up contribution, including two numerical examples of Asian option prices with their normal and lognormal approximation. The Appendix derives some asymptotic formulas for the moments of $1/A_t^{(b)}$, which are of interest by themselves, but that are used in some of the proofs.

The "big oh" and "small oh" symbols have their usual meanings:

$$a(t) = O(t^k) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+$$

if $|a(t)/t^k|$ remains bounded as $t$ decreases to 0, and

$$a(t) = o(t^k) \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+$$
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\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{a(t)}{t^k} = 0.
\]

We denote \(N(m, s^2)\) a random variable with a \(N(m, s^2)\) distribution, and \(X_t \overset{d}{\to} X^*\) means "\(X_t\) converges in distribution to \(X^*\)," while \(X_t \overset{a.s.}{\to} X^*\) means "\(X_t\) converges almost surely to \(X^*\)." We will use the following general results related to convergence in distribution (Billingsley, 1999, p.27):

(1) Suppose \(\| \cdot \|\) is a norm (in what follows either the Euclidean norm on \(\mathbb{R}^d\), or the sup norm on \(C[0,T]\)), and that \(X_n \overset{d}{\to} X^*\); if \(\|X_n - Y_n\| \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0\), then \(Y_n \overset{d}{\to} X^*\).

(2) Suppose that \(X_n \overset{d}{\to} X^*\) and that \(\{X_n\}\) is uniformly integrable; then \(\mathbb{E} X_n \to \mathbb{E} X^*\). A sufficient condition for uniform integrability is \(\sup_n \mathbb{E}|X_n|^{1+\epsilon} < \infty\) for some \(\epsilon > 0\); another one is that \(Y_1 \leq X_n \leq Y_2\) a.s. for all \(n\), where \(Y_1, Y_2\) are integrable.

Finally, \(B\) is one-dimensional standard Brownian motion, with

\[
B_t = \inf_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u, \quad \bar{B}_t = \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} B_u,
\]

and we write \(\mathcal{B} = B_{\cdot}, \bar{B} = \bar{B}_{\cdot}\). Each element of the vector \((B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(1)})\) is one-dimensional standard Brownian motion, and the above notation is also used for its running maximum and minimum, but it is not assumed that these Brownian motions are independent.

2. Limit distribution of \(M_t^\nu\) as \(t\) tends to 0

**Theorem 2.1. (Normal limit as \(t \to 0^+\))**

Let

\[
m(t) = t \quad \text{or} \quad m(t) = \mathbb{E} M_t^\nu
\]

\[
v(t) = \frac{t^3}{3} \quad \text{or} \quad v(t) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(M_t^\nu)}.
\]

Then, as \(t \to 0^+\),

\[
\frac{M_t^\nu - m(t)}{v(t)} \overset{d}{\to} N_{0,1}
\]

and, for \(k \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{M_t^\nu - m(t)}{v(t)} \right)^k \to \mathbb{E} N_{0,1}^k.
\]

**(Proof.** First, let \(m(t) = t, v(t) = t^3/3\). An obvious change of variable yields

\[
M_t^\nu = t \int_0^1 e^{\nu u + B_u t} du.
\]
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The distribution of $M_t^\nu$ is the same as that of

$$
\tilde{M}_t^\nu = t \int_0^1 e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} \, du.
$$

(2.2)

We find

$$
t^{-3/2}(\tilde{M}_t^\nu - t) = t^{-1/2} \int_0^1 (e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \, du.
$$

(2.3)

Now

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(e^{x\sqrt{t}} - 1) = x + \frac{x^2 \sqrt{t}}{2} - e^x \zeta,
$$

where $\zeta$ lies between 0 and $x\sqrt{t}$. Apply this with $x = \nu u \sqrt{t} + B_u$; since the trajectories of Brownian motion are a.s. continuous, they are also a.s. bounded over finite intervals, and the $\zeta$ above a.s. tends to 0 uniformly in $u$. We thus have

$$
t^{-1/2}(e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \overset{a.s.}{\to} B_u.
$$

Moreover, the function on the left is uniformly bounded for $0 < t, u < 1$ (considering a single continuous trajectory of $B$). Hence

$$
t^{-3/2}(\tilde{M}_t^\nu - t) \overset{a.s.}{\to} \int_0^1 B_u \, du \quad \text{as} \quad t \to 0 + .
$$

It is well-known that the distribution of the integral on the right is normal with mean 0 and variance $1/3$.

Finally, it is possible to replace $m(t) = t$ with $\mathbb{E} M_t^\nu$, because

$$
\frac{t - \mathbb{E} M_t^\nu}{t^{3/2}} \to 0
$$

as $t$ decreases to 0 (see (2.5) below). Similarly, $v(t) = t^{3/3}$ may be replaced with the variance of $M_t^\nu$, because of (2.7) below.

For the convergence of moments (Eq.(2.1)), we give two possible proofs, (i) an (ii). The first one is more straightforward, but incomplete.

(i) Suppose $m(t) = \mathbb{E} M_t^\nu$, $v(t) = t^{3/3}$. Recall the formula for the moments of $M_t^\nu$ (Dufresne, 1989; Yor, 1992):

$$
\mathbb{E}(M_t^\nu)^n = n! \sum_{k=0}^n e^{\alpha_k} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^n (\alpha_k - \alpha_j) \right]^{-1},
$$

(2.4)

where $\alpha_k = k\nu + k^2/2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular,

$$
\mathbb{E} M_t^\nu = \frac{e^{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t} - 1}{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})} = t + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) t^2 + O(t^3),
$$

(2.5)
and
\[ E(M_t^r)^2 = \frac{2}{(\nu + 1)(2\nu + 3)} e^{(2\nu+2)t} - \frac{2}{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})(\nu + \frac{3}{2})} e^{(\nu+\frac{1}{2})t} + \frac{2}{(\nu + 1)(2\nu + 1)} \] \tag{2.6}
\[ = t^2 + \left( \nu + \frac{5}{6} \right) t^3 + \mathcal{O}(t^4), \]
which implies (by subtracting the square of (2.5)) that
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\text{Var} M_t^r}{t^{3/3}} = 1. \tag{2.7} \]

We have thus proved (2.1) for \( k = 1, 2 \). The author has checked the cases \( k = 3, \ldots, 6 \) in the same way, that is, by considering the Taylor series of the moments up to the required order, and then simplifying (the reader is spared the messy details). The case of arbitrary \( k \) has not been proved in this fashion, though this appears feasible.

(ii) Suppose \( m(t) = t, \nu(t) = t^{3/3} \). Since \( 1 - e^{-x} \leq x \) for non-negative \( x \), we find that (see (2.3))
\[ t^{-1/2} \int_0^1 (e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \, du \geq t^{-1/2} \int_0^1 (e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \, du \]
\[ = t^{-1/2} \left( e^{\sqrt{t} B} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1}{\nu t} \right) + \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1 - \nu t}{\nu t^{3/2}} \right) \]
\[ \geq B \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1}{\nu t} \right) + \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1 - \nu t}{\nu t^{3/2}} \right). \tag{2.8} \]

Observe that the last expression converges to \( B \) as \( t \to 0^+ \). Similarly,
\[ t^{-1/2} \int_0^1 (e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \, du \leq t^{-1/2} \int_0^1 (e^{\nu tu + \sqrt{t} B_u} - 1) \, du \]
\[ = t^{-1/2} \left( e^{\sqrt{t} B} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1}{\nu t} \right) + \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1 - \nu t}{\nu t^{3/2}} \right) \]
\[ \leq B e^{\sqrt{t} B} \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1}{\nu t} \right) + \left( \frac{e^{\nu t} - 1 - \nu t}{\nu t^{3/2}} \right). \tag{2.9} \]
The last inequality follows from:
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (e^{\nu \sqrt{t}} - 1) = x e^x \leq xe^{x^2}, \]
where \( \zeta \) lies between 0 and \( x\sqrt{t} \), and which is valid for \( x > 0 \).

Noting that \( B \) and \( B e^{\sqrt{t} B} \) are both integrable, we have thus shown that the variables in (2.3) (for \( 0 < t < 1 \)) are bounded below and above by integrable random variables; they are hence uniformly integrable. Since (2.3) converges in distribution as \( t \to 0^+ \), those
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inequalities imply convergence of first moments to the first moment of the limit distribution (see the end of Section 1). The same reasoning works for higher moments, only raise the inequalities to the appropriate power, and note that the variables $B^k$ and $B_e e^{k\sqrt{TB}}$ are integrable for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The same results (in (i) or (ii)) are correct if $m(t) = E M^\nu_t$, instead of $m(t) = t$, since

$$E \left( \frac{M^\nu_t - E M^\nu_t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \right)^k - E \left( \frac{M^\nu_t - t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \right)^k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} E \left( \frac{M^\nu_t - t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \right)^j \left( \frac{t - E M^\nu_t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \right)^{k-j},$$

which is seen to tend to 0 by a recursive argument. The same limits (2.1) hold if $v(t)$ is replaced with $\text{Var} M^\nu_t$, because of (2.7).

\[ \square \]

Remark. Yor (2001, p.54) recently found that formula (2.4) for the moments of $M^\nu_t$ was known at least as far back as 1955, in a paper by Ramakrishnan. When one of the constants $\{\alpha_k; k \geq 1\}$ equals 0, the expressions for the moments are slightly different, as explained in Dufresne (1989). This does not affect the results above.

\[ \square \]

Theorem 2.2. (Lognormal limit as $t \to 0+$)

Let $m(t)$ and $v(t)$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Then, as $t \to 0+$,

$$\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( \frac{M^\nu_t}{m(t)} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N_{0,1},$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E \left( \frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( \frac{M^\nu_t}{m(t)} \right) \right)^k \to E N_{0,1}^k.$$

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that, as $n$ tends to infinity, the constants $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$ tend to 0.

(a) Suppose the sequence of random variables $\{Z_n; n \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to $Z^*$. Then

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \log (1 + a_n Z_n) 1_{1 + a_n Z_n > 0} \xrightarrow{d} Z^* \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

(b) Conversely, suppose that $\{U_n; n \geq 1\}$ converges in distribution to $U^*$. Then

$$\frac{e^{a_n U_n} - 1}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} U^* \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.3.** (a) Apply Skorohod’s Representation Theorem (Billingsley, 1999, p.70): there is a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ on which variables $\{Z_n; n \geq 1\}$, $Z^*$ are defined,
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such that $\tilde{Z}_n \overset{d}{=} Z_n$ for all $n$, $\tilde{Z}^* \overset{d}{=} Z^*$, and $Z_n$ converges almost surely ($\tilde{P}$) to $\tilde{Z}^*$. Clearly $1_{\{1 + a_n \tilde{Z}_n > 0\}}$ converges almost surely to 1 as $n$ tends to infinity, and so

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \log(1 + a_n \tilde{Z}_n) 1_{\{1 + a_n \tilde{Z}_n > 0\}} = \tilde{Z}_n \frac{1}{a_n \tilde{Z}_n} \int_0^{a_n \tilde{Z}_n} \frac{du}{1 + u} 1_{\{1 + a_n \tilde{Z}_n > 0\}} \overset{a.s.}{\to} \tilde{Z}^*. $$

Part (b) of the Lemma is proved similarly. \(\square\)

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** The limit distribution follows at once from part (a) of Lemma 2.3 and

$$\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( \frac{M_t^*}{m(t)} \right) = \frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{v(t)} M_t^* - m(t)}{m(t)} \right),$$

noting that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \sqrt{v(t)}/m(t) = 0$.

(b) Recall $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ from the proof of Theorem 2.1, and note that

$$e^{\sqrt{v(t)} \left( \frac{e^{vt} - 1}{vt} \right)} t \leq \tilde{M}^*_t \leq e^{\sqrt{v(t)} \left( \frac{e^{vt} - 1}{vt} \right)} t.$$  

Hence,

$$g(t)\mathcal{B} + h(t) \leq \frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( \frac{\tilde{M}^*_t}{m(t)} \right) \leq g(t)\mathcal{B} + h(t),$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t} m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \to \sqrt{3}, \quad h(t) = \log \left( \left( \frac{e^{vt} - 1}{vt} \right) \frac{t}{m(t)} \right) \to 0$$

as $t \to 0^+$. Hence, the variables in the middle of (2.11), raised to a power $k \geq 1$, are uniformly integrable, and thus all moments converge to those of the limit distribution. \(\square\)

Theorem 2.2 implies in particular

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \log M^*_t \right) = \log t + o(\sqrt{t}), \quad \text{Var}(\log M^*_t) \sim \frac{t}{3} \text{ as } t \to 0^+. $$

**Theorem 2.4.** *(Normal limit for reciprocal average as $t \to 0^+$)*

Let $m(t)$ and $v(t)$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Then, as $t \to 0+$,

$$\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( \frac{m(t)}{M^*_t} - 1 \right) \overset{d}{\to} N_{0,1},$$

and, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( \frac{m(t)}{M^*_t} - 1 \right) \right)^k \right] \to \mathbb{E} N^k_{0,1}.$$
**Proof.** There are at least two ways to prove convergence in distribution. A first one is to use part (b) of Lemma 2.3: let

$$
U_t = -\frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \log \left( \frac{M_t^r}{m(t)} \right) \quad \text{d} \quad U^* = N_{0,1}, \quad a_t = \frac{\sqrt{v(t)}}{m(t)}.
$$

A second more direct proof also yields convergence of moments. Initially, let \( m(t) = t \), and recall (2.2), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10). Then

$$
\frac{t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{M_t^r} \right) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{M_t^r} \right) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \int_0^1 (e^{\sqrt{1}\tau} B_u - 1) \, du. \quad (2.12)
$$

The last expression is easily seen to converge to

$$
\sqrt{3} \int_0^1 B_u \, du \sim N(0,1),
$$

while it is bounded below by

$$
\frac{t^{3/2}}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left[ B e^{-\sqrt{1}B} + \left( \frac{e^{\sqrt{1}t} - 1}{\sqrt{1}t} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{e^{\sqrt{1}t} - 1 - \sqrt{1}t}{\sqrt{1}t^{3/2}} \right) e^{-\sqrt{1}B} \right],
$$

and bounded above by

$$
\frac{t^{3/2}}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left[ B e^{\sqrt{1}(B-B)} + \left( \frac{e^{\sqrt{1}t} - 1}{\sqrt{1}t} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{e^{\sqrt{1}t} - 1 - \sqrt{1}t}{\sqrt{1}t^{3/2}} \right) e^{-\sqrt{1}B} \right].
$$

Those two bounds converge to \( \sqrt{3} \bar{B} \) and \( \sqrt{3} \bar{B} \), respectively, and are each uniformly bounded (for \( 0 < t < 1 \), say) by variables which have all moments finite.

The proof for \( m(t) = E M_t^r \) is obtained as follows. Denote \( X_t \) the right hand side of (2.12) and

$$
Y_t = \frac{m(t)}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( \frac{m(t)}{M_t^r} - 1 \right).
$$

Then

$$
Y_t - X_t = \frac{m(t) - t}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \left( \frac{m(t) + t}{M_t^r} - 1 \right),
$$

which tends to 0 almost surely as \( t \to 0^+ \). This shows that \( Y_t \) has the same limit distribution as \( X_t \). Finally, turn to moments: for \( k \geq 1 \),

$$
E Y_t^k - E X_t^k = \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} E[X_t^{k-j}(Y_t - X_t)^j],
$$
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where the expectations on the right all tend to 0 as \( t \to 0^+ \), and so the \( k \)-th moments of \( X_t \) and \( Y_t \) have the same limit.

This theorem implies that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{M_t^x} \right) = \frac{1}{t} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right), \quad \text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{M_t^x} \right) \sim \frac{1}{3t}
\]

as \( t \to 0^+ \). These could also be obtained (with a little more effort) from the integral formulas for the moments of one over \( M_t^x \), see Dufresne (2000, p.417).

As a last comment, observe that Lemma 2.3 may be reformulated as follows:

\textbf{Corollary 2.5.} Suppose \( a_n, b_n, X_n > 0, b_n/a_n \to 0 \). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) \( \frac{X_n - a_n}{b_n} \xrightarrow{d} U^* \).

(ii) \( \frac{a_n}{b_n} \log \left( \frac{X_n}{a_n} \right) \xrightarrow{d} U^* \).

(iii) \( \frac{a_n}{b_n} \left( \frac{a_n}{X_n} - 1 \right) \xrightarrow{d} -U^* \).

When considering limit distributions as \( t \to 0^+ \), the normal and lognormal limits occur simultaneously, because \( \sqrt{\nu(t)/m(t)} \to 0 \) as \( t \to 0^+ \). However,

\[
\frac{\sqrt{\text{Var} M_t^x}}{\mathbb{E} M_t^x} \not\to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty,
\]

which explains why there is a lognormal limit distribution in the next section, but no normal limit.

3. Limit distributions of \( M_t^x \) as \( t \) tends to infinity

Recall that (Dufresne, 1990) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} M_t^x = M_\infty \) is finite if, and only if, \( \nu < 0 \), and that, moreover

\[
\frac{2}{M_\infty} \sim \text{Gamma}(-2\nu, 1), \quad \nu < 0.
\]  

(3.1)

\textbf{Theorem 3.1.} (\textit{No normal limit for average as} \( t \to \infty \))

\textit{Let} \( m(t) = \mathbb{E} M_t^x, \nu(t) = \text{Var} M_t^x \). \textit{For any} \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), \textit{the reduced variable}

\[
\frac{M_t^x - m(t)}{\sqrt{\nu(t)}}
\]

\textit{does not converge to a normal distribution as} \( t \to \infty \). \textit{If} \( \nu \geq -1 \), \textit{then it tends to} 0 \textit{almost surely.}
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**Proof.** First, suppose \( \nu < 0 \). Then \( M^\nu_t \) converges almost surely to an inverse gamma variable, while the denominator tends either to a positive constant, or to \( +\infty \). A normal limit distribution is impossible. If \(-1 \leq \nu < 0\), then, by (2.5), (2.6) and (3.1), the variance of \( M^\nu_t \) tends to infinity, while the squared mean either tends to a constant, or tends to infinity at a slower rate than the variance. Hence, (3.2) tends to 0 almost surely if \(-1 \leq \nu < 0\).

Suppose next that \( \nu \geq 0 \). From (2.5)-(2.6),

\[
m(t) \sim \frac{1}{\nu + \frac{1}{2}} e^{(\nu + \frac{1}{2})t}, \quad \nu > -\frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{v(t)}} \sim e^{-(\nu+1)t}\sqrt{\nu+1}(\nu+3/2), \quad \nu > -1,
\]

and so \( m(t)/\sqrt{v(t)} \to 0 \); it is thus sufficient to consider the limit of \( M^\nu_t/\sqrt{v(t)} \). We get

\[
e^{-(\nu+1)t} \int_0^t e^{v(\nu+s+B_s)} \, ds \leq e^{-t+\overline{B}_t} \int_0^t e^{v(\nu+s)} \, ds,
\]

which tends to 0 almost surely as \( t \) tends to infinity. \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.2.** (No normal limit for reciprocal average as \( t \to \infty \))

For any \( \nu \in \mathbb{R} \), the distribution of

\[
\frac{1}{M^\nu_t} - E\left( \frac{1}{M^\nu_t} \right) \sqrt{\text{Var}\left( \frac{1}{M^\nu_t} \right)}
\]

(3.3)

does not converge to a normal distribution as \( t \to \infty \). If \( \nu \geq 0 \), then the above variable tends to 0 almost surely.

**Proof.** If \( \nu < 0 \), then the limit distribution is obviously a Gamma\((-2\nu,1)\) minus its mean and divided by its standard deviation.

For \( \nu \geq 0 \), it is perhaps easier to consider expression (3.3) with \( M^\nu_t \) replaced with \( A^{(\mu)}_{t} \), \( \mu = \nu/2 \). Refer to the Appendix for the asymptotic behaviour of the first two moments of \( A^{(\nu)}_{t} \) (see (A.6), (A.10) and the comment after (A.10)). For all \( \mu \geq 0 \), it can be seen that

\[
E\left( \frac{1}{A^{(\mu)}_{t}} \right) \sqrt{\text{Var}\left( \frac{1}{A^{(\mu)}_{t}} \right)} \to 0
\]
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as \( t \) tends to infinity. Thus, it only remains to show that

\[
\frac{1}{A_t^{(\mu)}} \sqrt{\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)} \to 0
\]

almost surely. In the case \( \mu = 0 \), this follows from Theorem 3.3(b) (see below), which will now be seen to imply that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{A_t^{(0)}}{t^p} = \infty \quad \text{a.s.}
\]

for all \( p \). Suppose there are \( C, p > 0 \) and a set \( E \) of positive probability such that

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{A_t^{(0)}}{t^p} \leq C
\]
on \( E \). Then it follows that

\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log A_t^{(0)} \leq 0
\]
on \( E \), which is a contradiction, since Theorem 3.3(b) says that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} P \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log A_t^{(0)} > 0 \right) = 1.
\]

Next, consider \( \mu > 0 \). First, note that

\[
e^{pt} A_t^{(\mu)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \infty, \quad p > -2\mu
\]
as \( t \) tends to infinity, since the above may be rewritten as

\[
e^{(p+2\mu)t+2t} A_t^{(\mu)} e^{-2\mu t-2B_t A_t^{(\mu)}};
\]
the first factor tends to \( \infty \) almost surely, while the second has a strictly positive limit in distribution. Eqs. (A.6) and (A.10), (see Appendix) show that

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Var} \left( \frac{1}{A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)}} \sim K t^p e^{a(\mu)t}
\]
as \( t \to \infty \), where \( K \) and \( p \) are constants, and

\[
a(\mu) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{\mu^2}{4} & \text{if } 0 < \mu \leq 4 \\
4 - 2\mu & \text{if } \mu > 4.
\end{cases}
\]
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Obviously $a(\mu) > -2\mu$ for all $\mu > 0$, which ends the proof.

\textbf{Theorem 3.3. (Limits of $\log M_t^\nu$ as $t \to \infty$)}

The following limits hold when $t \to \infty$.

(a) Suppose $\nu < 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (\log M_t^\nu - \nu t) \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log M_t^\nu \overset{a.s.}{\to} 0.$$

(b) Suppose $\nu = 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log M_t^0 \overset{d}{\to} |N_{0,1}| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log M_t^0 \right)^k \to \mathbb{E} |N_{0,1}|^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$  

(c) Suppose $\nu > 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} [\log (M_t^\nu) - \nu t] \overset{d}{\to} N_{0,1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} [\log (M_t^\nu) - \nu t] \right)^k \to \mathbb{E} N_{1,1}^k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

\textbf{Proof.} Part (a) is an obvious consequence of (3.1). The limit distribution in (b) has a well-known proof, see Contet et al. (1998, Section 3.1), or Revuz & Yor (1999, Exercise 1.18, p.23). We will give another proof, based on Bougerol's identity (for more details on the results used below, see Bougerol (1983) and Alili et al. (1997)). This identity says that if $(V, W)$ is two-dimensional Brownian motion, then

$$\int_0^t e^{\nu s} dW_s \overset{d}{=} \sinh(W_t)$$

for each fixed $t > 0$. This is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{A_t^{(0)}} N_{0,1} \overset{d}{=} \sinh(\sqrt{t} N_{0,1}),$$

if $N_{0,1}$ is independent of $A_t^{(0)}$. This implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log A_t^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log(N_{0,1}^2) \overset{d}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log[\sinh^2(\sqrt{t} N_{0,1})]$$

The second term on the left hand side tends to $0$ almost surely as $t$ tends to infinity, and $\sinh^2 y$ behaves like $e^{2|y|}/4$ as $y \to \pm \infty$, which yields

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log A_t^{(0)} \overset{d}{=} 2|N_{0,1}|.$$

This is the result sought, by (1.4).
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Convergence of moments results from the same uniform integrability argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, after noting that

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log t + B \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log M_t^0 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log t + B. \]

(b) Time reversal implies that, for any \( \nu \) \( \text{(Dufresne, 1989)}, \)

\[ M_t^\nu \overset{d}{=} e^{\nu t + B_t} \int_0^t e^{-\nu u - B_u} \, du. \]

Take logs on either side, subtract \( \nu t \), and divide by \( \sqrt{t} \) to get

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (\log M_t^\nu - \nu t) \overset{d}{=} \frac{B_t}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log \int_0^t e^{-\nu u - B_u} \, du. \]

The first term on the right has an \( \mathcal{N}(0,1) \) distribution, while the second one converges to 0 almost surely. To prove convergence of moments, it is sufficient to show that the last expression is uniformly integrable. This is done by noting that it has lower and upper bounds

\[ \frac{B_t - B_1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\nu t}}{\nu} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_t - B_1}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log \left( \frac{1 - e^{-\nu t}}{\nu} \right), \]

respectively. Those bounds are uniformly integrable, because

\[ \frac{B_t - B_1}{\sqrt{t}} \overset{d}{=} B_t - B_1, \quad \frac{B_t - B_1}{\sqrt{t}} \overset{d}{=} B_1 - B_1. \]

Part (b) implies that, as \( t \to \infty \),

\[ \mathbb{E}(\log M_t^0) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2t}{\pi}}, \quad \text{Var}(\log M_t^0) \sim t \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right), \]

while part (c) means that for \( \nu > 0 \),

\[ \mathbb{E}(\log M_t^\nu) = \nu t + o(\sqrt{t}), \quad \text{Var}(\log M_t^\nu) \sim t. \]

Observe that exact integral expressions can be found for the moments of \( \log M_t^\nu \), using the density \( (1.5) \). Comtet et al. (1998) give other formulas regarding the first moment of \( \log M_t^\nu \).

4. Convergence of more general sums of lognormals

The continuous straight averages studied in the previous sections are important mathematically, as they allow explicit formulas for many quantities of interest. However, financial
computations concern discrete averages with weights which are not necessarily equal, and these are not always well approximated by continuous averages with equal weights. In this section, we consider more general averages involving any number of different securities. This includes all Asian and basket payoffs, as well as hybrids of the two types. For instance, an option’s payoff might be based on the sum of the time-weighted averages of two securities $S^{(1)}$ and $S^{(2)}$, say

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} w_j^{(1)} S_{t_j}^{(1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} w_j^{(2)} S_{t_j}^{(2)}.$$  \hfill (4.1)

Here $(S^{(1)}, S^{(2)})$ would often be correlated lognormal processes.

We consider $n$ securities $(S^{(1)}, \ldots, S^{(n)})$, modelled as correlated geometric Brownian motions ("lognormal processes"), and we look at the limit distributions of general averages (such as (4.1) above) when the volatilities of all the securities tend to 0. Rather than letting all the separate volatilities tend to 0, we simplify the algebra by introducing a factor $p$ in all the volatilities:

volatility of security $k = p \sigma_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$

As $p$ decreases to 0, all the volatilities tend to 0. We assume that

$$S_t^{(k)} = S_0^{(k)} \exp(\mu_k t + p \sigma_k B^{(k)}), \quad k = 1, \ldots, n.$$  

Here $(B^{(1)}, \ldots, B^{(n)})$ is, under the risk-neutral measure, a vector of (possibly correlated) standard Brownian motions.

We now describe how the averages are denoted. Rather than writing averages as in (4.1), we prefer writing any time-weighted combination of security $k$ as an integral of the process $e^{p \sigma_k B^{(k)}_t}$ with respect to a signed measure $F^{(k)}$:

combination of prices of security $k = \int_0^T e^{p \sigma_k B^{(k)}_t} dF^{(k)}_t.$

(N.B. A measure $m$ is a set function which satisfies: $m(A) \geq 0$ for all $A$; $m(\emptyset) = 0$; and $m(\bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_j) = \sum_{j \geq 1} m(A_j)$ for all disjoint $\{A_j\}$. A signed measure satisfies the last two properties, but not necessarily the first one (non-negativity); a signed measure can always be expressed as the difference of two measures.)

This notation accommodates both discrete and continuous averages, or combinations of these. A discrete combination of security $S^{(k)}$, with weights $w_j^{(k)}$ at time $t_j, j = 1, \ldots, n_k$ is therefore written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_k} w_j^{(k)} S_{t_j}^{(k)} = \int_0^T e^{p \sigma_k B^{(k)}_t} dF^{(k)}_t.$$
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where the measure \( F^{(k)} \) assigns mass \( \left. \frac{S_0^{(k)} e^{\mu_k t_j} w_j^{(k)} }{T} \right|_{s_1}^{s_2} \) to the time point \( t_j \), for \( j = 1, \ldots, n_k \). A continuous average over \([0, T]\) is written as the right-hand side of the last equation, but now

\[
F^{(k)}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{S_0^{(k)}}{T} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{\mu_k t} \, dt
\]

for any interval \((s_1, s_2)\) with \( 0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq T \). To avoid trivialities, we assume that, for each \( k \), \( F^{(k)} \) is not the zero measure, \( F^{(k)}[0, T] \) is finite, and \( \sigma_k \) is strictly greater than 0.

In order to include all the above types of combinations of securities, we consider random variables of the type

\[
X_p = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_0^T e^{\rho_k t} B_t^{(k)} \, dF_t^{(k)}
\]

where \( F^{(1)}, \ldots, F^{(n)} \) are signed measures, and look for limit distributions of \( X_p \) (suitably normalized) as \( p \) tends to 0. First (Theorem 4.1), we consider normal limit distributions for

\[
X_p - E X_p \quad p 
\]

Observe that signed measures may assign a negative mass to a set, and so, in this case, negative weights \( w_j^{(k)} \) are allowed in Eq. (4.1). Next (Theorem 4.2), we restrict the analysis to proper measures (that is, all weights must now be non-negative), and look for the limit distribution of

\[
\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{E X_p} \right)
\]

It will turn out that \( E X_p \) can always be replaced with \( X_0 \) in the above expressions. Similar results will be obtained for \( 1/X_p \) as well (Theorem 4.3).

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose \( F^{(1)}, \ldots, F^{(n)} \) are signed measures. Then, as \( p \) tends to 0,

\[
\frac{X_p - E X_p}{p} \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k \int_0^T B_t^{(k)} \, dF_t^{(k)} \sim N_{0, \Psi^2},
\]

and, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
E \left( \frac{X_p - E X_p}{p} \right)^k \to \nu^k E N_{0, 1},
\]

where

\[
\nu^2 = \text{Var}(Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_k^2 \int_0^T \int_0^T (t_1 \wedge t_2) \, dF_{t_1}^{(k)} \, dF_{t_2}^{(k)} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \rho_{jk} \sigma_j \sigma_k \int_0^T \int_0^T (t_1 \wedge t_2) \, dF_{t_1}^{(j)} \, dF_{t_2}^{(k)}.
\]

These results also hold if \( E X_p \) is replaced with \( X_0 \).
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**Proof.** No generality is lost by assuming that \( p > 0 \). We find

\[
\frac{X_p - \mathbb{E}X_p}{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_0^T \frac{e^{p\sigma_k B^{(k)}_t} - 1}{p} \, dF^{(k)}_t,
\]

and the almost sure limit follows from dominated convergence, given that

\[
\frac{e^{p\sigma_k B^{(k)}_t} - 1}{p} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \sigma_k B^{(k)}_t.
\]

The variance of \( Y \) is found by expanding \( Y^2 \) and then taking expectations.

Convergence of moments is established by noting that, for \( 0 < p < 1 \),

\[
\left| \frac{e^{p\sigma_k B^{(k)}_t} - 1}{p} \right| \leq \sigma_k (B^{(k)}_t - \tilde{B}^{(k)}_t) e^{\sigma_k \tilde{B}^{(k)}_t},
\]

where \( B^{(k)}_t = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_t \) and \( \tilde{B}^{(k)}_t = \min_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_t \).

The same results hold if \( \mathbb{E}X_p \) is replaced with \( X_0 \), because, as \( p \) tends to 0,

\[
\frac{\mathbb{E}X_p - X_0}{p} \rightarrow 0.
\]


**Theorem 4.2.** Suppose \( F^{(1)}, \ldots, F^{(n)} \) are measures. Then, as \( p \) tends to 0,

\[
\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{\mathbb{E}X_p} \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{Y}{X_0},
\]

and, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{\mathbb{E}X_p} \right) \right]^k \rightarrow \left( \frac{v}{X_0} \right)^k \mathbb{E} N^{(k)}_{0,1},
\]

where \( Y \) and \( v \) are as in Theorem 4.1. These results also hold if \( \mathbb{E}X_p \) is replaced with \( X_0 \).

**Proof.** The first claim results from

\[
\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{\mathbb{E}X_p} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left[ 1 + \frac{p}{\mathbb{E}X_p} \left( \frac{X_p - \mathbb{E}X_p}{p} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{Y}{X_0}.
\]

Moreover,

\[
\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{X_0} \right) - \frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{\mathbb{E}X_p} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \log \left[ 1 + \frac{p}{X_0} \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}X_p - X_0}{p} \right) \right] \rightarrow 0.
\]
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Coverage of moments results from dominated convergence, after noting that

\[ X_0 \exp \left( p \min_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}) \right) \leq X_k \leq X_0 \exp \left( p \max_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}) \right). \]

This theorem implies

\[ \mathbb{E}(\log X_p) = \log X_0 + o(p), \quad \text{Var}(\log X_p) \sim \frac{p^2 v^2}{X_0^3}. \]

**Theorem 4.3.** Suppose \( F^{(1)}, \ldots, F^{(n)} \) are measures. Then, as \( p \) tends to 0,

\[ \frac{1}{p} \left[ \frac{1}{X_p} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{X_p} \right) \right] \xrightarrow{a.s.} -\frac{Y}{X_0^2}, \]

and, for \( k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[ p^{-k} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{X_p} - \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{X_p} \right) \right]^k \to \left( \frac{v}{X_0^2} \right)^k \Lambda_{0,1}^k, \]

where \( Y \) and \( v \) are as in Theorem 4.1. The results above also hold if \( \mathbb{E}(1/X_p) \) is replaced with \( 1/X_0 \), or with \( 1/\mathbb{E}(X_p) \).

**Proof.** From

\[ Z_p = \frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p}{X_0} \right) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{Y}{X_0}, \]

it follows that

\[ \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{X_p} - \frac{1}{X_0} \right) = \frac{1}{p X_0} (e^{-p Z_p} - 1) \xrightarrow{a.s.} -\frac{Y}{X_0^2}. \]

In the expressions on the left, \( 1/X_0 \) may be replaced with \( \mathbb{E}(1/X_p) \), since, for \( 0 < p < 1 \),

\[
\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{X_0} - \frac{1}{X_p} \right) \leq \exp \left[ -\min_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}) \right] \left( \exp \left[ \max_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}) \right] - 1 \right) \tag{4.2}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{X_0} - \frac{1}{X_p} \right) \geq -\exp \left[ -\min_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}) \right] \min_k (\sigma_k B_t^{(k)}).
\]

These two bounds are integrable, the left hand side tends to 0 almost surely, and so

\[ \frac{1}{p} \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{X_p} \right) \to 0. \]

Similarly, \( 1/X_0 \) may be replaced with \( 1/\mathbb{E} X_p \), because

\[ \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{X_0} - \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} X_p} \right) = \frac{1}{X_0 \mathbb{E} X_p} \left( \frac{\mathbb{E} X_p - X_0}{p} \right) \to 0. \]
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Convergence of moments results from bounds (4.2).

This theorem implies

\[ E\left(\frac{1}{X_p}\right) = \frac{1}{X_0} + O(p), \quad \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{X_p}\right) \sim \frac{p^2v^2}{X_0^3}. \]

5. Some comments on lognormal approximations

We first compare two lognormal approximations in the case where each \( F^{(k)} \) is a measure, and then discuss a lognormal difference approximation when at least one \( F^{(k)} \) is a signed measure.

The usual way to find a lognormal approximation for a non-negative distribution is to match first and second moments, which leads to \( X_p \approx \text{LogNormal}(m_{1p}, s_{1p}^2) \), where

\[ s_{1p}^2 = \log \left[ \frac{E X_p^2}{(E X_p)^2} \right], \quad m_{1p} = \log(E X_p) - s_{1p}^2/2. \]

Now Theorem 4.2 suggests a different lognormal approximation:

\[ X_p \approx X_0 e^{p\sqrt{v}/X_0} \sim \text{Lognormal}(m_{2p}, s_{2p}^2), \quad \text{with} \quad m_{2p} = \log X_0, \quad s_{2p}^2 = \frac{p^2v^2}{X_0^3}. \]

The following result shows that the two sets of lognormal parameters are close when volatilities are small.

**Theorem 5.1.** As \( p \to 0 \),

\[ m_{1p} - m_{2p} = O(p^2), \quad s_{1p}^2 - s_{2p}^2 = O(p^4). \]

**Proof.** First, there exist \( \xi_p, \eta_p \), both between 0 and \( p^2 \), such that

\[ \frac{1}{p^4}(s_{1p}^2 - s_{2p}^2) = \frac{1}{p^4} \log \left[ e^{-p^2\xi^2 / X_0^2} \frac{E X_p^2}{(E X_p)^2} \right] = \frac{1}{p^4} \log \left\{ 1 - \frac{p^2v^2}{X_0^2} + \frac{p^4v^4}{2X_0^2} e^{-\xi_p v^2 / X_0^2} + \frac{p^2}{(E X_p)^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{p^2v^2}{X_0^2} e^{-\eta_p v^2 / X_0^2} \right] \left( \frac{E X_p^2}{(E X_p)^2} \right) \right\}. \]

The expression inside the curly brackets may be rewritten as \( 1 + p^4 K_p \), where \( K_p \) can be shown to have a finite limit as \( p \) tends to 0. For the first parameters the situation is simpler:

\[ \frac{1}{p^2}(m_{1p} - m_{2p}) = \frac{1}{p^2} \log \left( \frac{E X_p}{X_0} \right) - \frac{s_{1p}^2}{2p^2} \to \frac{1}{X_0} \sum_k \sigma_k^2 \int t dF^{(k)} - \frac{v^2}{2X_0^2}. \]
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Next, turn to the case where at least one of the $F^{(k)}$ is not a proper measure, that is, that there are positive and negative weights in the combination of securities. Theorem 4.1 suggests a normal approximation for $X_p$, but numerical computations (shown in a subsequent paper) reveal that a better approximation in this case is a difference of lognormals. Separate the positive and negative components and express $X_p$ as the difference of two positive sums, and apply Theorem 4.2 to each sum separately; the following result justifies the approximation of $X_p$ by the difference of two lognormals (the simple proof is omitted):

**Theorem 5.2** Suppose $X_p^{(1)}$ and $X_p^{(2)}$ are as in Theorem 4.2, with

$$\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{X_p^{(j)}}{X_0^{(j)}} \right) \to Y^{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\lim_{p \to 0} \frac{1}{p} \left( X_p^{(1)} - X_p^{(2)} - (X_0^{(1)} e^{pY^{(1)}}/X_0^{(1)}) - X_0^{(2)} e^{pY^{(2)}}/X_0^{(2)} \right) = 0 \quad a.s.$$

This justifies considering the approximation

$$X_p^{(1)} - X_p^{(2)} \approx X_0^{(1)} e^{pY^{(1)}}/X_0^{(1)} - X_0^{(2)} e^{pY^{(2)}}/X_0^{(2)}.$$

6. Limits of some related stochastic processes

The following results, given without proof, concern some stochastic processes which arise in the study of Asian options with continuous averaging. We let, for $\sigma > 0, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$M_t^{\nu, \sigma} = \int_0^t e^{\nu s + \sigma B_s} \, ds, \quad S_t^{\nu, \sigma} = xe^{\nu t + \sigma B_t} + e^{\nu t + \sigma B_t} \int_0^t e^{-\nu s - \sigma B_s} \, ds$$

$$X_t^{\nu, \sigma} = \frac{M_t^{\nu, \sigma} - M_t^{\nu, 0}}{\sigma}, \quad Y_t^{\nu, \sigma} = \frac{S_t^{\nu, \sigma} - S_t^{\nu, 0}}{\sigma}. $$

$$\check{X}_t^{\nu, \sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \log \left( \frac{M_t^{\nu, \sigma}}{M_t^{\nu, 0}} \right), \quad \check{Y}_t^{\nu, \sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \log \left( \frac{S_t^{\nu, \sigma}}{S_t^{\nu, 0}} \right).$$

It is known that, if $x = 0$, then $M_t^{\nu, \sigma}$ and $S_t^{\nu, \sigma}$ have the same distribution for fixed $t$; however, the second process is Markov, while the first one is not. The theorem shows that both processes have Gaussian limits, when suitably normalized, as $\sigma \to 0+$.

**Theorem 6.1.** In each of the following, convergence is almost sure in the sup norm over $[0, T]$, for any $T < \infty$.

(a) The process $X_t^{\nu, \sigma}$ converges to $X_t^{\nu, 0}$, where

$$X_t^{\nu, 0} = \int_0^t e^{\nu s} B_s \, ds.$$
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(b) The process $Y_{t}^{\nu,\sigma}$ converges to $Y_{t}^{\nu,0}$, where

$$Y_{t}^{\nu,0} = z e^{\nu t} B_{t} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{\nu(t-s)} (B_{t} - B_{s}) \, ds$$

$$dY_{t}^{\nu,0} = \nu Y_{t}^{\nu,0} \, dt + S_{t}^{\nu,0} \, dB_{t}.$$ 

(c) The process $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\nu,0}$ converges to $\tilde{X}_{t}^{\nu,0}$, where

$$\tilde{X}_{t}^{\nu,0} = \frac{X_{t}^{\nu,0}}{M_{t}^{\nu,0}}, \quad \tilde{X}_{0}^{\nu,0} = 0.$$

(d) If $x \geq 0$, the process $\tilde{Y}_{t}^{\nu,0}$ converges almost surely to $\tilde{Y}_{t}^{\nu,0}$, where

$$\tilde{Y}_{t}^{\nu,0} = \frac{Y_{t}^{\nu,0}}{S_{t}^{\nu,0}}, \quad \tilde{Y}_{0}^{\nu,0} = 0.$$

7. Conclusion

The main conclusions of this paper are:

1. For combinations of lognormal securities with small volatilities, or short maturities, the limit distributions may be normal or lognormal, depending on the normalization chosen; the normal and lognormal are equivalent because, intuitively, the standard deviation of the sums are small relative to the mean, as volatilities tend to 0.

2. When maturities tend to infinity, lognormal limit distributions are sometimes obtained, but no instance of a normal limit has been found.

Further theoretical and numerical work is required to determine the value of these results for pricing Asian and basket options, and this will be done in a subsequent paper. As a preview, however, two numerical examples are briefly presented below.

Example 7.1. Consider case 1 in Example 7.2 of Dufresne (2000), which had also been used in other papers. An at-the-money Asian call option, with continuous averaging, has maturity $T = 1$ year, the volatility is $\sigma = .10$, the risk-free rate of interest is .02, and the initial stock price is 2. Monte Carlo simulations (with 200,000 replications) give a 95% confidence interval for the price of .05602 ± .00017. The Laguerre series studied in the same paper work when $t = \sigma^2 T$ is large enough, but they fail here, because $t = .01$ is too small. The improved Laguerre series of Schröder (2002) may give an accurate answer (this particular computation has not been performed), but the required programming and computing are far from trivial. The expansion given in Linetsky (2001), with 400 terms and very significant programming and computing efforts, yields .055886.

The normal approximation gives .0557, and the usual (moment-matching) lognormal approximation yields .0560537, with, in each case, an insignificant computing effort. The
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relative errors are .005 and .001, respectively. The lognormal approximation is well within the 95% confidence interval found by simulation.

Example 7.2. Figure 7.1 shows the relative errors (as percentages of the prices obtained by Monte Carlo simulation) of normal and lognormal approximations for the prices of at-the-money Asian call options (again with continuous averaging), for different maturities. The quantities approximated are

\[ c(t) = e^{-rt}E\left(\left(\frac{1}{t}M_t^p - 1\right)^+\right). \]

(As explained in the Introduction, here \( t \) stands for \( \sigma^2 T \). For instance, \( t = .04 \) might correspond to \( \sigma = 20\% \) and \( T = 1 \), or to \( \sigma = 40\% \) and \( T = .25 \).) It is seen that, for both approximations, the relative errors tend to zero as \( t \) tends to 0, but that the lognormal approximation produces relative errors which are about 10 times smaller than those of the normal approximation. The relative errors are roughly linear in \( t \), and tend to 0 as \( t \) tends to 0.
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APPENDIX

Asymptotic expressions for the first two moments of $1/(2A_t^{(\mu)})$

In this Appendix, we find asymptotic formulas for the first two moments of $1/(2A_t^{(\mu)})$ as $t$ tends to infinity. We use results from Dufresne (2000, 2001b),

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}}\right) = \frac{e^{-\mu t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-y^2/(2t)} \frac{\cosh[(\mu - 1)y]}{\sinh(y)} \, dy$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\mu t^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-y^2/(2t)} \frac{e^{(\mu-2)y} + e^{-\mu y}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

$$E\left(\frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu+2)}}\right) = e^{-(\mu+2)t} \left[ \mu + E\left(\frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}}\right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and, from Dufresne (2001a),

$$\frac{1}{2A_t^{(-\mu)}} \overset{d}{=} \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} + G_{\mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

for all $\mu > 0$, where $G_{\mu}$ is independent of $A_t^{(\mu)}$ and has a Gamma($\mu, 1$) distribution.

It is enough to find an asymptotic formula for $0 \leq \mu < 2$, and then use (A.2) – (A.3) for the other $\mu$.

First, let $0 < \mu < 2$. Then both $\mu - 2$ and $-\mu$ are strictly negative, and (A.1) is a function of $t$ times the sum of two integrals of the form

$$\int_0^{\infty} y e^{-y^2/(2t)} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

with $a > 0$. For $n \geq 1$, there is $\zeta(y)$, between 0 and $y^2$, such that

$$(2t)^{n/2} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-y^2/(2t)} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2t)^k} \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^{\infty} y^{2k+1} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy \right]$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} y^{2n+1} e^{-\zeta(y)/(2t)} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy - \int_0^{\infty} y^{2n+1} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \, dy$$

as $t \to \infty$. The last integral is related to the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function, $\psi(z)$, which has the following expression (Lebedev, 1972, p.7)

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = \Gamma'(1) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-u} - e^{-2u}}{1 - e^{-u}} \, du, \quad \text{Re}(z) > 0.$$
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Hence,

\[ \int_0^\infty y^{2n+1} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1-e^{-2y}} dy = 2^{-2n-2} \int_0^\infty u^{2n+1} \frac{e^{-au/2}}{1-e^{-u}} du = 2^{-2n-2} \psi^{(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2} \right), \]

and (A.4) has the asymptotic expansion

\[ \int_0^\infty y e^{-y^2/(2t)} \frac{e^{-ay}}{1-e^{-2y}} dy \sim \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{4(8t)^k k!} \psi^{(2k+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2} \right). \]

Finally,

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) \sim \frac{e^{-\mu^2 t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha_k^{(\mu)}}{t^k}, \quad 0 < \mu < 2, \tag{A.5} \]

as \( t \to \infty \), with

\[ \alpha_k^{(\mu)} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{3k+1} k!} \psi^{(2k+1)} \left( \frac{\mu}{2} \right) + \psi^{(2k+1)} \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{2} \right). \]

Now turn to the case \( \mu = 0 \). Since

\[ \frac{e^{-2y} + 1}{1-e^{-2y}} = 1 + 2 \frac{e^{-2y}}{1-e^{-2y}} \]

and

\[ \int_0^\infty y e^{-y^2/(2t)} dy = t, \]

the preceding considerations yield

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(0)}} \right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha_k^{(0)}}{t^k} \right) \]

with

\[ \alpha_k^{(0)} = \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{3k+1} k!} \psi^{(2k+1)} (1), \quad k \geq 0. \]

Using (A.2)-(A.3), these formulas allow the derivation of asymptotic expressions for the first moment of \( 1/2A_t^{(\mu)} \) for any \( \mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). For example,

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(2)}} \right) \sim \frac{e^{-2t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha_k^{(0)}}{t^k} \right) \]

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(-\mu)}} \right) \sim -\mu + \frac{e^{-\mu^2 t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha_k^{(-\mu)}}{t^k}, \quad -2 < \mu < 0 \]

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) \sim (\mu - 2)e^{-(\mu-2)t} + \frac{e^{-\mu^2 t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha_k^{(\mu-2)}}{t^k}, \quad 2 < \mu < 4. \]
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For the purposes of this paper, the first term in the asymptotic expressions is required, which are easily seen to be

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(0)}} \right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \]  
\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) \sim \frac{e^{-\mu^2t/2} \alpha_0^{(\mu)}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}}, \quad 0 < \mu < 2 \]  
\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(2)}} \right) \sim \frac{e^{-2t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \]  
\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) \sim (\mu - 2)e^{-(2\mu - 2)t}, \quad \mu > 2. \]  

(A.6a) \hspace{1cm} (A.6b) \hspace{1cm} (A.6c) \hspace{1cm} (A.6d)

Next, consider the second moment of $1/(2A_t^{(\mu)})$. From Dufresne (2000, p.417),

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 = \int_0^\infty \phi_\mu(2,t,y) \frac{\cosh[(\mu - 1)y]}{\sinh(y)} \, dy, \]

where

\[ \phi_\mu(2,t,y) = \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{4t} - \frac{y^2}{4t^2} \frac{e^{-\mu^2t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \frac{y e^{-y^2/(2t)}}{\sinh(y)}. \]  

(A.7)

The second moment of $1/2A_t^{(\mu)}$ is then a sum of three integrals, and finding the asymptotic expansion of each of these integrals yields (for $0 < \mu < 2$)

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-\mu^2t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \beta_k^{(\mu)} \frac{t^k}{k!}, \]  

(A.8)

with $\beta_0^{(\mu)} = (1 - \frac{\mu}{2})^2 \alpha_0^{(\mu)}$. From Dufresne (2001b, Corollary 3.4, let $r = n = 1$ in the first formula),

\[ E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu+2)}} \right)^2 = e^{-(2\mu+2)t} \left[ (\mu - 1)E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) + E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu+2)}} \right)^2 \right]. \]  

(A.9)

This formula implies, in view of (A.5), that (A.8) holds also for $2 < \mu < 4$ (the constants $\beta_k^{(\mu)}; k \geq 0$ are again combinations of derivatives of $\psi(\cdot)$). For the same values of $\mu$, (A.6d) then implies

\[ (\mu - 1)E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) + E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 \sim (\mu - 1)(\mu - 2)e^{-(2\mu - 2)t}, \]

(A.9)
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which in turn gives

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right)^2 \sim (\mu - 3)(\mu - 4)e^{-(4\mu - 8)t}, \quad 4 < \mu < 6.
\]

It can be checked by induction that the same formula holds for \( \mu \in (2n, 2n + 2) \), for all \( n \geq 2 \). Now suppose \( \mu \) is an even, non-negative integer. From (A.7),

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4t} - \frac{y^2}{4t^2} \right] y e^{y^2/(2t)} \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{e^{-2y}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \right] dy,
\]

Proceeding as for the first moment, we find that

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right)^2 = \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{4t} \right] E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \int_0^\infty y^3 e^{-y^2/(2t)} \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{e^{-2y}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \right] dy.
\]

The expression in curly brackets has the asymptotic expansion

\[
\frac{1}{4t^2} \int_0^\infty y^3 e^{-y^2/(2t)} \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{e^{-2y}}{1 - e^{-2y}} \right] dy \sim \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{32t^2} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{(8t)^k k!} \psi^{(k+3)}(1),
\]

and so

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\beta_k^{(0)}}{t^k} \right),
\]

where the constants \( \{\beta_k\} \) are combinations of the derivatives of \( \psi(z) \) at \( z = 1 \). In particular,

\[
\beta_0^{(0)} = \alpha_0^{(0)} + \frac{1}{4}.
\]

Using (A.9), this yields

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(2)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-2t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{t} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\beta_k^{(0)} - \alpha_k^{(0)}}{t^k} \right),
\]

In the same fashion, it is seen that (A.10e) (below) holds:

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(0)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \quad \text{(A.10a)}
\]

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2 A_t^{(2)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-\mu^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi \mu^3}} \beta_0^{(0)}, \quad 0 < \mu < 2 \quad \text{(A.10b)}
\]
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\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(2)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-2t}}{4\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \quad (A.10c)
\]

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-\mu^2t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t^3}} \beta_0^{(\mu)}, \quad 2 < \mu < 4 \quad (A.10d)
\]

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(4)}} \right)^2 \sim \frac{e^{-8t}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \quad (A.10e)
\]

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 \sim (\mu - 3)(\mu - 4)e^{-(4\mu - 8)t}, \quad \mu > 4. \quad (A.10f)
\]

By subtracting the square of (A.6), it is seen that, in all cases, the first term of the asymptotic expansion of \(\text{Var}(1/A_t^{(\mu)})\) is also given by the right hand sides of (A.10).

Asymptotic formulas for \(\mu < 0\) can be found by appealing to (A.3), which yields

\[
E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(-\mu)}} \right)^2 = E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right)^2 + 2\mu E \left( \frac{1}{2A_t^{(\mu)}} \right) + \mu(\mu + 1).
\]
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