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Abstract

This study investigated the relationship between the development of Effortful Control (EC), a temperamental measure of self-regulation, and concurrent development of three regions of the prefrontal cortex (anterior cingulate cortex, ACC; dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, dLPFC; ventrolateral prefrontal cortex, vLPFC) between early- and mid-adolescence. It also examined whether development of EC mediated the relationship between cortical maturation and emotional and behavioral symptoms.

Ninety-two adolescents underwent baseline assessments when they were approximately 12 years old and follow-up assessments approximately 4 years later. At each assessment, participants had MRI scans and completed the Early Adolescent Temperament Questionnaire-Revised, as well as measures of depressive and anxious symptoms, and aggressive and risk taking behavior. Cortical thicknesses of the ACC, dLPFC and vLPFC, estimated using the FreeSurfer software, were found to decrease over time. EC also decreased over time in females. Greater thinning of the left ACC was associated with less reduction in EC. Furthermore, change in effortful control mediated the relationship between greater thinning of the left ACC and improvements in socioemotional functioning, including reductions in psychopathological symptoms. These findings highlight the dynamic association between EC and the maturation of the anterior cingulate cortex, and the importance of this relationship for socioemotional functioning during adolescence.
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1. Introduction

Self-regulation refers to internal and/or transactional processes that regulate emotion, cognition and behavior (Karoly, Boekaerts, & Maes, 2005; Steinberg, 2005). It plays a crucial role in the development of various aspects of functioning, and has been associated with increased social competence (Spinrad et al., 2006), superior coping abilities (Eisenberg et al., 1997), and academic success (Duckworth & Seligman, 2005; Eisenberg, Spinrad, & Eggum, 2010). Poorer regulatory capabilities, on the other hand, are related to problems with attention and hyperactivity, sexual risk taking, and addiction (Crockett, Raffaelli, & Shen, 2006; Quinn & Fromme, 2010), as well as other externalizing and internalizing problems (Eisenberg et al., 2010; Valiente et al., 2004).

Self-regulation has often been studied by developmental researchers within a temperamental framework (Bridgett, Oddi, Laake, Murdock, & Bachmann, 2013; Zhou, Chen, & Main, 2012). Effortful control (EC), a construct that consistently arises from factorial analyses of temperament questionnaires, describes the ability to shift and focus attention, and inhibit a dominant response and/or activate a subdominant response (Rothbart & Rueda, 2005). The current study examined EC using a self-report measure of temperament specifically designed for adolescents: the Early Adolescent Temperament Questionnaire-Revised (EATQ-R; Capaldi & Rothbart, 1992; Ellis & Rothbart, 2001). EC is composed of three subscales within the EATQ-R; activity control, inhibitory control, and attentional control. Individuals with high levels of EC have been found to exhibit greater regulation of their attention, emotions and behavior, which is thought to have positive effects for their social and emotional functioning (Eisenberg & Spinrad, 2004).
Rothbart and colleagues (2005; 2003) propose that individual differences in EC are determined by the functioning of the executive attention network (Posner, 2012; Rothbart, Sheese, & Posner, 2007), which is thought to comprise the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and lateral prefrontal cortices (Posner, 2012; Rothbart & Rueda, 2005). Specifically, the ACC is thought to monitor competition between conflicting processes and signal this information to the lateral PFC (Botvinick, Nystrom, Fissell, Carter, & Cohen, 1999; Casey, Durston, & Fossella, 2001). Within the lateral PFC, the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dLPFC) is involved in keeping goals active within working memory and directing attention, and the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vLPFC) is implicated in selection of goal-appropriate responses based on information from semantic memory, such as the causes, significance and potential outcomes of the situation (Kalisch, 2009; Ochsner & Gross, 2008; Ochsner, Silvers, & Buhle, 2012).

1.1. Adolescent development

Adolescence is characterized by significant improvements in self-regulatory abilities. Although the development of EC has not been examined during this period, numerous studies have identified improvements in related constructs. For example, performance on tasks of cognitive control that tap into the same executive attention network that is implicated in EC has been found to improve into early adulthood (Leon-carrion, Garcia-Orza, & Perez-Santamaria, 2004; Luna, Garver, Urban, Lazar, & Sweeney, 2004). Similarly, emotion regulatory abilities have been found to continue to develop through adolescence (Garnefski & Kraaij, 2006; McRae et al., 2012). Furthermore, there is also marked cortical maturation during adolescence, including post-pubertal
reductions in gray matter thickness (T. T. Brown et al., 2012; Mills, Lalonde, Clasen, Giedd, & Blakemore, 2012; Mutlu et al., 2013; Shaw et al., 2008; Tamnes, Østby, Fjell, et al., 2010a). Specifically, higher-order association cortices within the prefrontal region, such as those underlying EC, have been found to exhibit protracted development into the early adult years (Gogtay et al., 2004).

However, little is known about the development of EC in relation to structural maturation of its presumed neurobiological substrates during adolescence. Although investigators have postulated that the protracted development of self-regulation may be attributed to delayed prefrontal cortical maturation (E. Nelson, Leibenluft, McClure, & Pine, 2005; Sowell, 2004), limited research has specifically investigated this relationship. To our knowledge, only one longitudinal study has directly examined within-subject change in gray matter structure in relation to change in self-regulatory abilities. Tamnes and colleagues (2013) found that improvements in working memory were related to reductions in cortical volume of the prefrontal and posterior parietal regions between 9 and 20 years of age. Cross-sectional studies are also limited, with inconsistent results to date (Fjell et al., 2012; Tamnes, Østby, Walhovd, et al., 2010b; Østby, Tamnes, Fjell, & Walhovd, 2011). Therefore, further research is needed to examine the relationship between cortical maturation and development of self-regulatory processes.

Adolescence is also a period of increasing prevalence of various forms of psychopathology, such as depression, anxiety, substance use, and conduct problems (Paus, Keshavan, & Giedd, 2008). There is now a well-established role of regulatory processes in the development of psychopathology. Low levels of EC have
consistently been related to the presence of internalizing symptoms, such as depression and anxiety, as well as externalizing symptoms, such as aggression, conduct problems, risk taking and hyperactivity (Eisenberg et al., 2001; Muris & Meesters, 2009; Oldehinkel, Hartman, De Winter, Veenstra, & Ormel, 2004; Valiente et al., 2004). Therefore, EC may be one potential indirect pathway through which neurobiological changes in the prefrontal regulatory regions impact on behavioral outcomes.

1.2 The current study

Given the paucity of research examining concurrent development of neurobiology and behavior, the current study investigated the relationship between development of EC and concurrent maturation of three hypothesized neural substrates (ACC, dIPFC, and vIPFC), in a community sample of adolescents. The study also investigated whether change in EC mediated the relationship between cortical maturation and the development of internalizing and externalizing symptoms. Sex effects in these relationships were also explored as there is some evidence of differences between males and females in cortical maturation (Mutlu et al., 2013; Raznahan et al., 2010) and in EC (Else-Quest, Hyde, Goldsmith, & Van Hulle, 2006).

A longitudinal design was employed to assess within-subject change between early- and mid-adolescence, with the age range of the sample being strictly constrained at both periods of assessment. To our knowledge, no longitudinal research has been conducted in this area using self-reported temperamental assessment of self-regulation, or using measures of psychopathology symptoms. Given that cortical maturation is characterized by reductions in thickness during adolescence, and more...
rapid thinning has been associated with higher levels of cognitive ability (Tamnes et al., 2013), it was hypothesized that greater thinning of the lateral PFC and ACC would be associated with an increase in EC, which would in turn be associated with improvements in socioemotional functioning and reductions in symptoms of psychopathology between early- and mid-adolescence.

2. Methodology

2.1 Participants

The sample described in the current study was derived from the Orygen Adolescent Development Study (OADS) cohort, a large longitudinal study of risk and resilience for the development of psychopathology, conducted in Melbourne, Australia. This project was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at the University of Melbourne, Australia. Consent to participate in the study was obtained from both the child and at least one parent at all time points.

The OADS recruited 2453 students in their final year of primary school from schools across metropolitan Melbourne to participate in an initial school-screening phase, which involved completion of the Early Adolescent Temperament Questionnaire-Revised (see below EATQ-R; Capaldi & Rothbart, 1992; Ellis & Rothbart, 2001). Selection into the OADS was based on scores on two temperamental dimensions, Negative Emotionality and EC, based on their hypothesized role as risk factors for emotional and behavioral disorders. Accordingly, equal numbers of male and female students were selected from each of the following ranges of scores (i.e. “bins”) on each dimension: 0–1, 1–2, 2–2.5, and greater than 2.5 SD above and below the mean. This produced a smaller risk-enriched sample of 425 (16%) that showed a relatively
even distribution across EC and Negative Emotionality, while maintaining the range of temperament scores evident in the initial school screening sample (Yap, Allen, & Ladouceur, 2008a).

Of the selected adolescents, 245 (58%) agreed to participate in further research. These participants were screened for DSM-IV Axis-I disorders using the Schedule for Affective Disorder and Schizophrenia for School-Aged Children: Present and Lifetime Version (Kaufman, Birmaher, Brent, Rao, & Flynn, 1997) and those who met the criteria for current or past MDD were excluded due to the broader aims of the study. Remaining participants were invited to take part in brain Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and temperament assessments (see below) at two time points, when they were aged approximately 12 and 16, respectively. A number of adolescents declined participation in the MRI assessments, resulting in 120 participants completing all assessments at both baseline and follow-up. Based on visual inspection of processed MRI data (i.e., FreeSurfer cortical parcellation, see below) by researchers trained in neuroanatomy, 17 of these participants were excluded due to poor MRI image quality and parcellation. Only 11 participants were predominantly left handed, as assessed by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (Oldfield, 1971). Given that this number was too small to examine handedness effects, left-handers were excluded due to potential laterality effects (Good et al., 2001).

Following MRI and handedness exclusions, 92 participants (47 = females) were available for analysis. Males and females did not differ on the demographic and cognitive variables listed in table 1 (all $p$ values > 0.05). IQ was assessed at baseline using a short form of the Weschler Intelligence Scale for Children, Fourth Version
(Wechsler, 2003) and socioeconomic status (SES) was based on the Australian National University Four (ANU4) 100-point scale (Jones & McMillan, 2001). The final sample did not differ from the initial school screening sample (N = 2453) on socioeconomic disadvantage ($t_{(2439)} = 0.598; p = 0.550$), EC ($t_{(96)} = 0.592; p = 0.555$) or gender (Pearson’s $\chi^2 = 0.799; p = 0.939$). The observed frequency of participants within each temperament “bin” in the final sample did not differ from that of the original selected sample (N = 415). Fifteen participants of the final sample met the criteria for past or current psychiatric disorder at baseline and twenty-six participants met the criteria for a psychiatric diagnosis between baseline and follow-up (of which seventeen had not met any criteria at baseline). Refer to table S1 for further detail on psychiatric diagnoses.

--insert Table 1 about here--

2.2 MRI acquisition and analysis

2.2.1 Image Acquisition

At baseline, MRI scans were performed on a 3 Tesla GE scanner at the Brain Research Institute, Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre, Melbourne, Australia, with the following parameters: repetition time = 36 msec; echo time = 9msec; flip angle = 35°, field of view = 20cm$^2$, 124 T1-weighted contiguous slices (voxel dimensions = 0.4883 x 0.4883 x 1.5mm). MRI scans at follow-up were performed on a 3 Tesla Siemens scanner at the Royal Children’s Hospital, Melbourne, Australia, with the following parameters: repetition time = 1900 milliseconds; echo time = 2.24 milliseconds; flip angle = 9°, field of view = 23cm; 176 T1-weighted contiguous 0.9-mm thick slices (voxel dimensions = 0.9mm$^3$).
2.2.2 Processing

Images were transferred to an SGI/Linux workstation for morphometric analysis. Cortical reconstruction was performed using the FreeSurfer image analysis suite (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). In order to address issues arising from the longitudinal and multisite MRI locations (such as geometric distortion and voxel dimension drift), images were processed through the longitudinal stream of FreeSurfer (Reuter, Schmansky, Rosas, & Fischl, 2012), which creates a within-unbiased subject template space and average image from both time points using robust, inverse consistent registration (Reuter & Fischl, 2011; Reuter, Rosas, & Fischl, 2010). The template is used as an estimate to initialize subsequent segmentation processes in the longitudinal stream for each time point, providing common information regarding anatomical structures. This process can deal with different intensity scales, guarantees inverse consistency (i.e., symmetry), and automatically estimates a sensitivity parameter to detect outlier regions in the image. It significantly improves the repeatability and power of cortical thickness measurements, having superior robustness with respect to noise, intensity scaling and outliers when compared to alternate registration tools (Reuter et al., 2010). All images were also corrected for tissue signal inhomogeneity using FreeSurfer’s N3 correction (optimized for 3 Tesla images), a non-parametric non-uniformity intensity normalization method, which reduces sensitivity to changes in scanner platform and improves accuracy and robustness during cortical segmentation (Boyes et al., 2008; Han & Fischl, 2007; Zheng, Chee, & Zagorodnov, 2009). All FreeSurfer image processing was conducted on a high performance computing facility at the Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Melbourne, Australia.
2.2.3 ROI delineation

A customized ACC ROI was created by combining the rostral and caudal ACC labels defined by FreeSurfer’s automated cortical parcellation procedure (Desikan et al., 2006; Fischl, 2004). The dlPFC ROI was created by combining the superior frontal, rostral middle frontal and caudal middle frontal gyri, while the vlPFC ROI was created by combining the pars opercularis, pars triangularis and pars orbitalis, as labeled by FreeSurfer. A coronal cut was applied at Talairach coordinate y=26 to the dlPFC and vlPFC ROIs so that only prefrontal regions were included (in order to conform to the conservative Talairach criteria described by Rajkowska & Goldman-Rakic (1995). In addition, another cut was made along the superior edge of medial wall of the brain for the dlPFC ROI, in order to exclude the medial surface of the brain.

2.2.5 Interscanner reliability

Given that different scanners were used at time 1 and 2, a reliability analysis was undertaken to address concerns that changes in cortical thickness over time may be due to measurement bias from the different scanner platforms and acquisition parameters. Four individuals, aged 23, 28, 35 and 36 were each scanned at RCH and BRI within a two-week period. The same acquisition parameters were used at each location to those described above, as well as the same semi-automated methods of processing to extract ROI thickness.

Based on mean absolute thickness difference, inter-scanner variability was found to vary from 0.04mm to 0.09mm across ROIs, as shown in table 2. These variations are
consistent with within scanner estimates previously reported (Han et al., 2006). Table 2 also contains test-retest reproducibility errors and 95% confidence intervals for ROIs. The reproducibility errors for each subject were calculated as the absolute test-retest percent change relative to the mean test-retest value. These values were then averaged across subjects. These results did not reveal a systematic bias due to changing scanners, as the confidence intervals for reproducibility errors contained zero for all ROIs apart from the right dlPFC. Given the importance of this issue, the data from the inter-scanner reliability analysis was applied to the current sample using the descriptive procedure proposed by Lebel and Beaulieu (2011). Details of the inter-scanner reliability study are presented in the Supplementary section. In summary, the results indicated that, for all ROIs, the majority of individuals (>50%) experienced greater change over time, in the predicted direction, than would be attributed to inter-scanner variance alone based on the reliability estimates.

--insert Table 2 about here--

2.3 Effortful Control

Participants completed the EATQ-R, which consists of 65 items used to derive ten subscales that load onto four higher order factors (EC, negative affectivity, surgency, and affiliation), and two additional behavioral scales to examine socioemotional functioning. Of interest to the current study is the EC factor, which is comprised of the activity control, inhibitory control, and attentional control subscales. Attentional control is the capacity to focus and shift attention as required, inhibitory control refers to the capacity to plan and suppress inappropriate behavior, and activity control is the
ability to perform an action when there is a strong desire/tendency to avoid it. Higher scores reflect higher EC.

2.4 Socioemotional functioning

Participants completed a number of measures assessing socioemotional functioning. At baseline and follow-up, depressive symptoms were measured using the self-report Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The questionnaire contains 20 items that relate to mood, somatic complaints, relations with others, and motor functioning during the past week. The CES-D has been found to be a valid and reliable measure for use in adolescent populations (Garrison, Addy, Jackson, McKeown, & Waller, 1991). Based on the recommended clinical cut-off, 28% of adolescents at baseline and 17% of adolescents at follow-up exhibited clinically significant levels of depressive symptoms. Anxiety symptoms were also measured at baseline and follow-up using the self-report Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI). The questionnaire contains 21 items relating to anxiety symptoms in the past week. The BAI has been found to be valid and highly reliable (Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988). Based on the recommended clinical cut-off, 18% of adolescents at baseline and 11% of adolescents at follow-up experienced clinically significant levels of anxiety symptoms. Aggressive behavior was also measured at both time points using the EATQ-R. As mentioned above, the EATQ-R is a self-report questionnaire with strong validity and reliability. The aggressive behavior scale is one of the two behavioral scales within the EATQ-R that assess socioemotional functioning (Ellis & Rothbart, 2001). Risk taking behavior was measured at follow-up using the Youth Risk Behavior Scale (YRBS). This YRBS is a self-report questionnaire assessing engagement in substance use, risky sexual behavior, unhealthy dietary practices,
violence, and other reckless behavior adolescents. It has been found to be reliable and valid (Brener, Collins, Kann, Warren, & Williams, 1995).

2.5 Puberty

Pubertal development was assessed at baseline using the parent-report Pubertal Development Scale (Petersen, Crockett, Richards, & Boxer, 1988). For females, this measure includes 8 items assessing the stage of breast development, hair growth, acne presence, hip width and menarcheal status. For males, this measure includes 11 items assessing genitalia development, hair growth, acne presence and voice change. Reliability and validity of the PDS has been well established (Brooks-Gunn, Warren, Rosso, & Gargiulo, 1987; Petersen et al., 1988). For descriptive purposes, the PDS data was coded into a 5-point scale in accordance with the Tanner stages based on Shirtcliff et al. (2009). This information is presented in table S2.

2.6 Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 and results were considered significant at p < 0.05. Separate linear mixed models (LMMs) were used to investigate change in each ROI thickness (6 models), EC (1 model) and each behavior/psychopathology measure (5 models). All LMMs included the main effect of time (within-subjects factor) and sex (between-subjects factor), the interaction between time and sex, as well as baseline puberty and SES as covariates. All models were re-analyzed using baseline age, instead of baseline puberty, as a covariate.

Given that the time interval between baseline and follow-up varied between individuals (see Table 1), correlational analyses were conducted to examine whether
differences in time interval between baseline and follow-up assessments (i.e., T2 – T1 age) were associated with development (i.e., T2 – T1 cortical thickness/behavioral scores). Statistically significant associations were identified between time interval and change in right dlPFC thickness ($r = -0.216$) and EC ($r = -.207$). When time interval was also included in the LMMs for right dlPFC development and EC development, however, the pattern of significant findings did not change. Thus, variation in time interval was deemed to be non-meaningful, and time interval was modeled as a categorical (rather than nuisance) variable.

Subsequently, in order to examine associations between change in each measure, change scores for each measure were calculated as standardized residuals, by regressing follow-up scores on baseline scores. These residual change scores were used in mediation analyses to examine the indirect effect of changes in cortical thickness on changes in behavior/psychopathology symptoms, through changes in EC. Mediation analyses were performed using macros developed by Preacher and Hayes (2004), implemented in SPSS 20. Mediation was tested by assessing the significance of the cross product of the coefficients for the IV (brain change) to mediator (EC change) relation (the a path), and the mediator to DV (change in behavior/psychopathology symptoms) relation, controlling for the IV (the b path). The a-b cross product tests the statistical significance of the difference between the total effect, or c path, and the direct effect, or c’ path, which is the impact of the IV on the DV adjusting for the effect of the mediator (see figure 1 for an example of the mediation analysis). Sex, SES and puberty at baseline were used as covariates in the mediation analyses. Finally, moderated-mediation analyses were used to assess whether the relationship between brain change and change in
behavior/psychopathological symptoms, as mediated by change in EC, was moderated by sex. SES and puberty at baseline were used as covariates in these moderated-mediation analyses. Again, all mediation and moderated-mediation models were re-analyzed using baseline age, instead of baseline puberty, as a covariate.

A bootstrapping method was applied to test the significance of the mediation effect (Preacher & Hayes, 2004). This method generates an empirical approximation of the sampling distribution of a statistic by repeated random resampling from the available data, and uses this distribution to construct bias corrected and accelerated confidence intervals (Hayes, 2009). If the confidence intervals do not contain zero, the point estimate is significant at the level indicated.

2.7 Treatment of missing data

Six, seven and three participants in the final sample had missing EATQ-R (EC and Aggressive behavior scales), CESD and BAI data at baseline or follow-up, respectively. Ten participants had missing puberty data at T1, and one participant had missing YRBS data at T2. Little’s MCAR test was found to be non-significant for all variables (p > .05), indicating that the data were missing completely at random, and thus, missing data was imputed using the Expectation Maximization procedure in SPSS version 20.

3. Results

3.1 Development of the cortex, EC, and socioemotional functioning
Descriptive statistics for ROI thickness, EC, behavior and psychopathology symptoms at T1 and T2 are reported in table 3. Refer to table S4 for correlations between all variables of interest. LMMs revealed significant reductions in thickness between T1 and T2 for all six regions (see figure 2; left ACC: $b = 0.048; t_{(91)} = 2.710, p = 0.008$; right ACC: $b = 0.119; t_{(91)} = 6.560, p < 0.001$; left dlPFC: $b = 0.270; t_{(91)} = 14.769, p < 0.001$; right dlPFC: $b = 0.304; t_{(91)} = 20.510, p < 0.001$; left vlPFC: $b = 0.212; t_{(91)} = 11.616, p < 0.001$; right vlPFC: $b = 0.113; t_{(91)} = 6.545, p < 0.001$). There was also a significant main effect of sex on left dlPFC ($b = 0.070; t_{(88)} = 2.791, p = 0.006$), right dlPFC ($b = 0.093; t_{(88)} = 3.791, p < 0.001$), left vlPFC thickness ($b = 0.063; t_{(88)} = 1.995, p = 0.049$), and right vlPFC thickness ($b = 0.120; t_{(88)} = 3.680, p < 0.000$), with males having thicker cortices across the lateral PFC compared to females. No other effects were significant.

LMMs investigating change in behavior and psychopathology symptoms did not reveal any significant main effects or interactions.

The LMM investigating EC development revealed a significant interaction between time and sex ($b = -2.39; t_{(90)} = -2.235, p = 0.028$; see figure 3). Post-hoc analyses conducted separately for each sex showed significant reductions in EC between T1 and T2 in females ($b = 0.386; t_{(46)} = 6.325, p < 0.001$), but no such effect in males ($b = 0.146; t_{(44)} = 1.642, p = 0.108$). Females and males did not differ in EC scores at T1 or T2 ($p > 0.05$).
When these models were re-analyzed with baseline age as a covariate, as opposed to puberty, the pattern of significant and non-significant effects remained the same. Baseline age had a significant main effect on right vlPFC thickness alone (b = -0.104; $t_{(88)} = -3.2896, p = 0.005$), with older age related to thinner cortices.

### 3.2 The effect of cortical development on change in socioemotional functioning through EC development

Mediation models examined the indirect effect of change in cortical thickness on changes in behavior and psychopathology symptoms, through change in EC (change for each variable of interest was calculated as standardized residual scores). The results for all mediation models involving the left ACC are reported in table 4. Results revealed that greater thinning of left ACC thickness was associated with less reductions in EC (path a, see figure 4), and that less reductions in EC were related to reductions in depression, anxiety, and aggressive behavior, as well as lower risk taking at T2 (path b, see figure 5). There was no significant direct effect of left ACC thinning (path c’, i.e., controlling for EC change) or total effect (path c, i.e., not controlling for EC change) on depression, anxiety and risk taking. However, there was a significant direct and total effect of left ACC thinning of aggressive behavior, with greater thinning related to reductions in aggression (see figure 6a). Furthermore, bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals showed that less reduction in EC significantly mediated the relationship between greater left ACC thinning and reductions in depression, anxiety and aggressive behavior, as well as lower risk taking.
at T2. Sex had a significant main effect on change in anxiety (b = 0.44, SE = 0.20; t = 2.14, p = 0.035), with females exhibiting an increase in symptoms compared to males.

Mediation models analyzing other ROIs did not identify any significant effects on symptoms, apart from a significant direct effect of left vIPFC thickness on aggressive behavior (b = 0.22, SE = 0.10; t = 2.29, p = 0.025). As shown in figure 6b, greater left vIPFC thinning was related to reductions in aggressive behavior.

As moderated mediation is possible in the absence of simple mediation (Preacher & Hayes, 2004), we tested the moderating role of sex in the above analyses (using model 58 of macro). The interaction between cortical development and sex in the mediator model (i.e. predicting EC) was not found to be significant for any of the ROIs. However, there was a significant interaction between EC and sex in predicting anxiety (i.e. the dependent variable model). Running analyses separately by sex revealed that less reduction in EC was significantly related to reductions in anxiety symptoms in females (b = -0.47, SE = 0.16; t = -3.05, p = 0.04), but not in males (b = -0.03, SE = 0.14; t = -0.22, p = 0.83). However, indirect effects of cortical development on anxiety symptoms through EC were not present when examining the two sexes separately (males: CI = -0.054—0.079, M = 0.01, SE = 0.03; females: CI = -0.010—0.391, M = 0.12, SE = 0.10). No such significant interaction effects were identified in relation to depression, aggressive behavior or risk taking.
Mediation and moderated-mediation analyses controlling for baseline age, as opposed to puberty, largely resulted in the same pattern of significant and non-significant results. The direct effect of left vlPFC thickness on aggressive behavior was now trending towards significance (\(b = 0.17, \text{SE} = 0.10; t = 1.78, p = 0.078\)). There was also a significant effect of age on depression (\(b = 0.43, \text{SE} = 0.21; t = 2.01, p = 0.047\)), with older participants experiencing increased depressive symptoms over time.

4. Discussion

This study is the first to describe the relationship between maturation of the prefrontal cortex and temperamental EC during adolescence using a within-subjects longitudinal design. A reduction in thickness of the ACC, dPFC and vlPFC was identified between early- and mid-adolescence, as well as a reduction in EC in females over the same period. Change in EC over time was found to mediate the relationship between thinning of the left ACC and reductions in internalizing and externalizing symptoms for the overall sample. Sex was not found to moderate this mediation effect.

Reductions in thickness of the dPFC, vlPFC and ACC identified between early- and mid-adolescence are consistent with cross-sectional and longitudinal MRI studies of brain development (T. T. Brown et al., 2012; Mills et al., 2012; Mutlu et al., 2013; Shaw et al., 2008; Sowell, 2004; Tamnes, Østby, Fjell, et al., 2010a). It has been hypothesized that these changes reflect neurobiological processes that improve neuronal efficiency, stability and temporal precision of neuronal firing patterns (Lewis, 1997; Rutherford, Nelson, & Turrigiano, 1998). Synaptic pruning, changes in
axonal calibre and proliferation of glial cells have all been postulated to account for
grey matter reductions (Bourgeois & Rakic, 1993; Huttenlocher & Dabholkar, 1997;
Paus et al., 2008). Additionally, increased myelination during this time period may
encroach on previously unmyelinated tissue at the periphery of the brain, thus
decreasing the amount of tissue appearing as grey, compare to white, on MRI
(Sowell, 2004). Consistent with these changes, activity in the prefrontal cortex has
been found to become less diffuse and more focal over adolescence (Durston &
Casey, 2006), suggesting that concurrent development of self-regulation is highly
likely during this period.

This study failed to identify any sex differences in cortical maturation (i.e.,
interactions between sex and time). While there is some evidence of females maturing
earlier than males (Lenroot et al., 2007; Mutlu et al., 2013), one study has found
greater cortical thinning in males (Raznahan et al., 2010), and others have failed to
identify any sex differences (Koolschijn & Crone, 2013; Sowell et al., 2007; van
Soelen et al., 2012). The lack of significant sex differences in cortical maturation in
the current study may also be due to the availability of data from only two time
points, which limited modeling to linear patterns of change, or due to increased noise
in the data from changing scanners and coils between the two time points. Therefore,
further research is needed to fully understand sex differences in cortical maturation
during adolescence.

Our findings also indicate that effortful control remains stable between early- and
mid-adolescence in males, but decreases over time in females. This is inconsistent
with the hypothesis of improved self-regulatory abilities during adolescence. Most
existing research focuses on EC development during infancy and childhood (Rothbart & Rueda, 2005; Zhou et al., 2012), with a lack of longitudinal studies examining adolescent EC development using temperament questionnaires. One potential explanation for our finding is that females may struggle to modulate their behavior early in adolescence due to the dramatic rise in emotional reactivity that occurs around the onset of puberty (W. A. Collins, 2003). Indeed, females have been found to exhibit greater emotional reactivity and responding during adolescence compared to males (Hampel & Petermann, 2006; Hankin, Mermelstein, & Roesch, 2007; Rudolph, 2002). Our mediation analyses also indicated that females experienced increasing anxiety symptoms compared to males, which is consistent with past findings of greater increase in psychopathological symptoms associated with emotion regulation in females than males during this period (Costello, Mustillo, Erkanli, Keeler, & Angold, 2003; Hayward & Sanborn, 2002; Lewinsohn, Hops, Roberts, Seeley, & Andrews, 1993). Another potential explanation for the reduction in EC relate to the sampling strategy used in this study, which over-sampled adolescents in the extreme ends of temperamental distributions. However, reductions in EC were correlated with higher risk taking and increases in aggressive behavior and depressive symptoms across the sample, as well as increases in anxiety symptoms in females alone. This is consistent with past research that has identified a significant negative relationship between EC and socioemotional functioning, including psychopathology (Eisenberg et al., 2001; Muris & Meesters, 2009; Oldehinkel et al., 2004; Valiente et al., 2004), and also supports the convergent validity of EC measured using the EATQ-R.
As hypothesized, greater cortical thinning of the left vIPFC and left ACC was associated with reductions in aggressive behavior. Although, to our knowledge, no prior research has examined brain development predicting psychopathology during adolescence, the findings are consistent with past research that has identified less cortical thinning in typically developing 6 to 18 year olds with higher levels of inattention problems, and depression/anxiety symptoms, as assessed by parent-reported Child Behavior Checklist at baseline (Ducharme et al., 2013; 2012). Similarly, Shaw et al. (2011) also identified slower cortical thinning in typically developing children with higher levels of hyperactivity and impulsivity during baseline assessments, as well as in children with ADHD. Interestingly, Whittle et al. (2013), using the same sample of adolescents as the current study, found that the development of psychopathology between early and mid-adolescence was associated with greater thickening of the left superior parietal cortex. However, Whittle et al. (2013) examined the development of case-level psychopathology (combining externalizing and internalizing disorders) as a predictor of brain change, while the current study examined externalizing behaviors and internalizing symptoms as an outcome.

Furthermore, normative thinning of the cortex was associated with maturation of regulatory abilities. Specifically, greater thinning of the left ACC was associated with less reduction in EC for the overall sample. This finding is consistent with past research that has identified a positive relationship between prefrontal and posterior cortical thinning and cognitive control abilities (Tamnes et al., 2013; Tamnes, Østby, Walhovd, et al., 2010b). Greater thinning of the left lateral dorsal frontal and left lateral parietal regions has also been associated with improved vocabulary abilities in
a longitudinal study of children aged 5 to 11 (Sowell, 2004). This area of research is, however, in its infancy, and is characterized by inconsistent findings, which are likely due to differences in age groups, methodology and sample sizes. Indeed, Tamnes et al. (2010b) also found that less cortical thinning was related to superior Stroop performance, Fjell et al. (2012) and Ostby (2011) failed to identify any relationships between cortical development of cognitive control in adolescents. Whether this relates to task-specific effects or the cross-sectional nature of some studies remains to be discovered, but our findings highlight the importance of conducting longitudinal research on development.

Finally, this study revealed that the relationship between cortical thinning and change in socioemotional functioning during adolescence was mediated by concurrent change in EC. Specifically, less reduction in EC was found to mediate the relationship between greater thinning of the left ACC and reductions in depression, anxiety and aggressive behavior, as well as lower risk taking, across the sample. EC is a particularly suited to mediate this relationship given that is thought to play a central role in the etiology and maintenance of internalizing and externalising disorder (Muris & Ollendick, 2005). It is postulated that higher levels of EC allow individuals to restrain their impulses and undesirable urges, thus preventing externalizing problems. Higher levels of EC enable the restraint of impulses and undesirable urges, as well as regulate emotions through appropriate deployment of attentional resources. As mentioned above, numerous studies have also found that lower levels of EC are related to the presence of internalizing symptoms, such as depression and anxiety, as well as externalizing symptoms, such as aggression, conduct problems and risk taking (Eisenberg et al., 2001; Muris & Meesters, 2009; Oldehinkel et al., 2004; Valiente et
These relationships have been found to remain significant after accounting for the effects of emotionality (Muris & Ollendick, 2005; Muris, Meesters, & Blijlevens, 2007; Olson, Sameroff, Kerr, Lopez, & Wellman, 2005). There is also evidence that EC moderates the relationship between emotionality and psychopathology, with low levels of EC in combination with high levels of emotionality being associated with psychopathology (Lonigan & Vasey, 2009). Therefore, our findings provide valuable insight into one psychological factor that mediates the relationship between neurobiological development and mental health outcomes during adolescence.

4.1 Limitations

These findings must be considered within the context of several limitations. The availability of data from only two time points limited modeling to linear patterns of development between early- and mid-adolescence, thus we cannot comment on non-linear trajectories of brain development or changes occurring during the late adolescent period.

Another limitation of the study was that scans at baseline and follow-up were acquired from different scanners, with different head coils and sequences. The inter-scanner reliability study, however, suggested that the significant change in cortical thickness observed in our sample of adolescents was unlikely to be due to inter-scanner bias, and thus reflected true developmental thinning.

It is important to keep in mind that a selective sampling bias was introduced in the study, whereby a greater proportion of participants in the extreme ends of the
distribution of temperamental factors EC and Negative Affectivity were recruited. As mentioned above, this may affect the overall pattern of change in EC and explain discrepancies between our findings and the hypothesized results. However, the sampling strategy should not have influenced the relationship between cortical development and EC, but rather provided greater variance to model this relationship.

Alternatively, our findings of EC reductions in females may reflect a lack of relevance of the questionnaire items to older adolescents. That is, certain items on the EATQ-R may become less relevant with increasing age, such as completion of homework or concentrating on studies (functions tapped by the instrument). Given these issues, future research may benefit from incorporating other measures of self-regulation, such as tasks of cognitive control or emotion regulation that have been found to be correlated with EC. Indeed, there is no current consensus on how to best measure self-regulation and there is a general lack of research investigating associations between different measures of self-regulation (Bridgett et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2012). Consequently, future studies should employ a multi-method approach to cover different aspects of self-regulation.

While the localized ROI approach employed by the current study provides valuable knowledge about the ACC, dIPFC, and vIPFC, three regions integral to the executive attention network, future studies should employ whole brain analyses to explore other cortical regions and networks underlying self-regulatory abilities. Indeed, previous research with this sample has shown that the development of psychopathology is related to thickness development in the parietal cortex, and volumetric development of the hippocampus and amygdala (Whittle et al., 2013).
4.2 Conclusions

The findings from this study shed light on the relationship between cortical maturation and temperamental EC in adolescents. While previous research has focused on the neural correlates of EC using cross-sectional analyses, our findings revealed a dynamic relationship between the development of the ACC, EC and socioemotional behavior and psychopathology symptoms during adolescence. This highlights the importance of studying neural correlates of self-regulation using a longitudinal framework during this developmental period.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants from the Colonial Foundation, the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC; Australia; Program Grant 350241) and the Australian Research Council (ARC; Discovery Grant DP0878136). Ms. Vijayakumar is supported by a Melbourne International Research Scholarship. Dr. Whittle is supported by an NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (ID: 1007716). Ms Dennison is supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award. Prof. Yücel is supported by an NHMRC Fellowship (ID: 1021973).

Neuroimaging analysis was facilitated by the Neuropsychiatry Imaging Laboratory at the Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre. The authors would like to thank the Brain Research Institute and Royal Children’s Hospital for support in acquiring the neuroimaging data, and the families who participated in the study.

Financial Disclosures
Drs Whittle, Dennison, Yücel, Lubman, Pantelis, Simmons, and Allen, and Ms Vijayakumar report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Note


References


and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. *Archives of general psychiatry, 60*(8), 837–844. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.60.8.837


doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.06.003


doi:10.1093/scan/nss113


doi:10.1007/s10567-005-8809-y

problem behavior and “Big Three” personality factors. Journal of Adolescence, 30(6), 1035–1049. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2007.03.003


connectivity of the fronto-parietal verbal working memory network in
doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.10.001

doi:10.1038/nrn2513


Longitudinally mapping the influence of sex and androgen signaling on the
dynamics of human cortical maturation in adolescence. *Proceedings of the


doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.076


1402–1418. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.02.084

Mayr, E. Awh, & S. Keele (Eds.), *Developing individuality in the human brain: A
Psychological Association.

mechanisms of temperamental effortful control. *Journal of Personality, 71*(6),
1113–1144.

effortful control: Linking temperament, brain networks, and genes. *Child
Development Perspectives, 1*(1), 2–7.

Rudolph, K. D. (2002). Gender differences in emotional responses to interpersonal


Tamnes, C. K., Walhovd, K. B., Grydeland, H., Holland, D., Østby, Y., Dale, A. M.,
& Fjell, A. M. (2013). Longitudinal working memory development is related to
structural maturation of frontal and parietal cortices. *Journal of Cognitive
Neuroscience, 25*(10), 1611–1623. doi:10.1162/jocn_a_00434

Walhovd, K. B. (2010a). Brain maturation in adolescence and young adulthood:
regional age-related changes in cortical thickness and white matter volume and

Fjell, A. M. (2010b). Neuroanatomical correlates of executive functions in
children and adolescents: A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study of cortical

(2004). The relations of effortful control and reactive control to children's
externalizing problems: A longitudinal assessment. *Journal of Personality, 71*(6),
1171–1196.

van Soelen, I., Brouwer, R. M., Van Baal, G. C. M., Schnack, H. G., Peper, J. S.,

Visser, T. A. W., Ohan, J. L., Whittle, S., Yücel, M., Simmons, J. G., & Allen, N. B.
(2013). Sex differences in structural brain asymmetry predict overt aggression in
doi:10.1093/scan/nst013


Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105(9), 3652–3657.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0709815105

Whittle, S., Yap, M. B. H., Yücel, M., Sheeber, L., Simmons, J. G., PANTELIS, C., 
& Allen, N. B. (2009b). Maternal responses to adolescent positive affect are 
associated with adolescents' reward neuroanatomy. Social Cognitive and Affective 
Neuroscience, 4(3), 247–256. doi:10.1093/scan/nsp012

(2012b). Adolescents’ depressive symptoms moderate neural responses to their 
mothers’ positive behavior. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 7(1), 
23–34. doi:10.1093/scan/nsr049

al. (2008b). Neuroanatomical Correlates of Temperament in Early Adolescents. 
Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 47(6), 682– 
693. doi:10.1097/CHI.0b013e31816bffca

Whittle, S., Yücel, M., Lorenzetti, V., Byrne, M. L., Simmons, J. G., WOOD, S. J., et 
al. (2012c). Pituitary volume mediates the relationship between pubertal timing 
and depressive symptoms during adolescence. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 37(7), 

nearal correlates of emotion: Evidence from neuroimaging. Biological 
Psychology, 87(3), 319–333. doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2011.05.003

positive affect: the impact of invalidation on adolescent emotion regulation and 
doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01196.x


## Tables

Table 1. Sample Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at Baseline (years)</td>
<td>12.67; 0.422;</td>
<td>12.65; 0.457;</td>
<td>12.67; 0.438;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at Follow Up</td>
<td>16.42; 0.464;</td>
<td>16.44; 0.54;</td>
<td>16.43; 0.503;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(years)</td>
<td>14.96 – 17.27</td>
<td>15.28 – 17.49</td>
<td>14.96 – 17.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval (years)</td>
<td>3.76; 0.166;</td>
<td>3.78; 0.295;</td>
<td>3.77; 0.240;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.48 – 4.12</td>
<td>2.69 – 4.56</td>
<td>2.69 – 4.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate Full Scale IQ</td>
<td>107.06; 11.023;</td>
<td>104.07; 10.924;</td>
<td>105.53; 11.015;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79 - 128</td>
<td>87 - 123</td>
<td>79 - 128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES(^b)</td>
<td>58.98; 20.22;</td>
<td>58.53; 22.13;</td>
<td>58.76; 21.220;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.4 - 96.0</td>
<td>14.0 – 100.0</td>
<td>14.0 – 100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: Values represent Mean; standard deviation; range

\(^a\) Time interval between baseline and follow-up MRI scan.

\(^b\) Data missing for one female participant
Table 2. Reproducibility errors (%) and confidence interval of the reproducibility errors (%) of ROI thickness for individuals scanned at both sites for reliability analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Mean absolute difference (mm)</th>
<th>Reproducibility Error (%)</th>
<th>95% CI for Reproducibility Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left ACC</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>-1.151, 3.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ACC</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>2.531</td>
<td>-1.671, 6.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dIPFC</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>3.450</td>
<td>-0.316, 7.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dIPFC</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>3.141</td>
<td>0.907, 5.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left vIPFC</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>3.221</td>
<td>-0.383, 6.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right vIPFC</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>3.091</td>
<td>-0.700, 6.251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: The group reproducibility error for each structure is derived averaging the reproducibility errors across subjects, where for each subject the error is estimated as the absolute test–retest thickness percent change relative to the mean test–retest thickness.
Table 3. Mean (SD) of ROI thickness, EC, behavior and psychopathology symptoms at baseline (T1) and follow-up (T2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ACC</td>
<td>3.27 (0.25)</td>
<td>3.22 (0.24)</td>
<td>3.30 (0.21)</td>
<td>3.24 (0.23)</td>
<td>3.23 (0.28)</td>
<td>3.20 (0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right ACC</td>
<td>3.26 (0.23)</td>
<td>3.14 (0.22)</td>
<td>3.26 (0.21)</td>
<td>3.14 (0.17)</td>
<td>3.26 (0.25)</td>
<td>3.15 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left dlPFC</td>
<td>3.07 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.80 (0.15)</td>
<td>3.11 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.82 (0.17)</td>
<td>3.03 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.77 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dlPFC</td>
<td>3.16 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.82 (0.15)</td>
<td>3.19 (0.15)</td>
<td>2.91 (0.14)</td>
<td>3.12 (0.13)</td>
<td>2.80 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left vlPFC</td>
<td>3.06 (0.18)</td>
<td>2.85 (0.18)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.18)</td>
<td>2.89 (0.19)</td>
<td>3.04 (0.17)</td>
<td>2.82 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right vlPFC</td>
<td>3.13 (0.19)</td>
<td>3.02 (0.180</td>
<td>3.18 (0.19)</td>
<td>3.09 (0.16)</td>
<td>3.08 (0.18)</td>
<td>2.94 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>3.53 (0.63)</td>
<td>3.27 (0.67)</td>
<td>3.41 (0.65)</td>
<td>3.26 (0.64)</td>
<td>3.66 (0.60)</td>
<td>3.27 (0.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>31.10 (8.25)</td>
<td>30.05 (7.43)</td>
<td>31.54 (8.92)</td>
<td>28.77 (7.14)</td>
<td>30.52 (7.61)</td>
<td>31.28 (7.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>8.25 (7.72)</td>
<td>7.66 (6.74)</td>
<td>7.75 (7.33)</td>
<td>5.86 (5.96)</td>
<td>8.73 (8.13)</td>
<td>9.38 (7.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive behavior</td>
<td>2.15 (0.74)</td>
<td>2.05 (0.65)</td>
<td>2.29 (0.80)</td>
<td>2.14 (0.60)</td>
<td>2.02 (0.66)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk taking</td>
<td>7.08 (6.24)</td>
<td>6.76 (6.59)</td>
<td>7.58 (5.94)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mediation analyses: the indirect effect of left ACC development on behavior and psychopathology symptoms through EC development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Path b</th>
<th>Path c': Direct effect</th>
<th>Path c: Total effect</th>
<th>Indirect effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>b = 0.22, SE = 0.10, t = -2.08, p = 0.041</td>
<td>b = -0.43, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = -0.03, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = 0.06, SE = 0.11, CI: 0.018—0.195,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>b = 0.22, SE = 0.10, t = -2.08, p = 0.041</td>
<td>b = -0.21, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = -0.01, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = 0.03, SE = 0.10, CI: 0.003—0.143,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive behavior</td>
<td>b = -0.22, SE = 0.10, t = -2.08, p = 0.041</td>
<td>b = -0.44, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = 0.19, SE = 0.10, t</td>
<td>b = 0.28, SE = 0.10, CI: 0.022—0.19,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk taking</td>
<td>b = -0.22, SE = 0.10, t = -2.08, p = 0.041</td>
<td>b = -2.13, SE = 0.66, t</td>
<td>b = 0.22, SE = 0.65, t</td>
<td>b = 0.67, SE = 0.67, CI: 0.060—1.215,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval, M: mean, SE: standard error
Highlights

- Longitudinal study investigating the relationship between development of cortical thickness and effortful control during adolescence.
- Examined whether change in effortful control mediated the relationship between cortical development and change in socioemotional functioning, including psychopathology symptoms.
- Greater cortical thinning of the left ACC was associated with superior development of effortful control.
- Changes in effortful control mediated the relationship between greater thinning of the left ACC and improvements in socioemotional functioning.
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a) Change in EATQ-R aggressive behaviour vs. change in left ACC thickness

b) Change in EATQ-R aggressive behaviour vs. change in left vlPFC thickness