Universality in rotating strongly interacting gases
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We analytically determine the properties of two interacting particles in a harmonic trap subject to a rotation or a uniform synthetic magnetic field, where the spherical symmetry of the relative Hamiltonian is preserved. Thermodynamic quantities such as the entropy and energy are calculated via the second-order quantum cluster expansion. We find that in the strongly interacting regime the energy is universal; however, the entropy changes as a function of the rotation or synthetic magnetic-field strength.
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Over the last few years ultracold degenerate gases have attracted much interest due to their controllability and stability. Advances in tight confining harmonic traps and the use of magnetic fields and Feshbach resonances in controlling atomic collisions have made it possible to explore the BCS–Bose-Einstein-condensate (BEC) crossover [1–3]. Difficulties with developing a many-body theory for these systems in the strongly interacting regime using mean-field approximations have motivated the study of few-body problems as a means to gain insight into the many-body problem. Few-body systems with contact interactions are exactly solvable or numerically tractable [4–7], particularly in the strongly interacting regime, and have been experimentally studied in their own right [8]. The virial expansion of few-body physics can be used to calculate the thermodynamics of many-body systems [9–11] and has been verified experimentally [12].

In this work we address the problem of unitary gases subject to a rotation or synthetic magnetic field by solving the two-body problem and finding the virial expansion to second order. This enables us to show that entropy in the presence of a rotation or synthetic magnetic field is not universal, in contrast to the universal character of the total energy.

A system subject to a rotation and one subject to a synthetic magnetic field have several similarities. In both systems angular momentum states and time-reversal symmetry are broken. Furthermore, both problems can be described by gauge-dependent Hamiltonians, making it convenient to consider the systems together and to draw comparisons between the two. In ultracold trapped gases the dominant contribution to the low-energy behavior is from the two-particle s-wave interactions.

To begin the analysis the rotating system is considered first. Specifically, the motion of two particles of mass m in a harmonic trap potential $V_{\text{trap}}(r)$ subject to a rotation $\Omega$ and a contact interaction potential $V_{\text{int}}(r_1 - r_2)$ is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m} + V_{\text{trap}}(r_1) + V_{\text{trap}}(r_2) + \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 \times \mathbf{p}_2 + V_{\text{int}}(r_1 - r_2),$$

where $r_i$ and $p_i$ are the positions and momenta of each particle. Equation (1) can be decoupled in center-of-mass and relative coordinates, yielding

$$H_{\text{c.m.}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\text{c.m.}}^2}{4m} + \mathbf{V}_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{R}) + \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P},$$

$$H_{\text{rel.}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\text{rel.}}^2}{m} + \mathbf{V}_{\text{trap}}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} + V_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{r}),$$

where $\mathbf{R} = (r_1 + r_2)/2$ and $\mathbf{r} = r_1 - r_2$ are the center-of-mass and relative coordinates and $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are the momenta.

This enables us to show that the energy in the presence of a rotation or synthetic magnetic field is not universal, in contrast to the universal character of the total energy.
we therefore set \( A = \frac{\eta}{2} (y, x, 0) \). It can then be shown that the eigenstates and eigenenergies for Eq. (9) are given exactly by Eqs. (5) and (8) with redefined parameters

\[
\xi_{\text{cm}} = \frac{\omega_x}{\omega_z} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{\text{cm}} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega_x^2 + \omega_z^2}{4\omega_z^2}}. \tag{10}
\]

where \( \omega_x = |qB/M| \) is the cyclotron frequency with \( M = 2m \). For the single-particle Hamiltonian, the eigenstates and eigenenergies are expressed in terms of \( \xi_1 \) and \( \eta_1 \) using \( M = m \), and for the relative Hamiltonian the eigenstates and eigenenergies are expressed in terms of \( \xi_{\text{rel}} \) and \( \eta_{\text{rel}} \) using \( M = m/2 \). In contrast, for the rotating case the relative, center-of-mass and single particle are parametrized by the same \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) as defined in Eq. (4).

Having solved the center-of-mass Hamiltonian in both the rotating and synthetic magnetic-field cases, the relative Hamiltonian needs to be solved exactly. The low-energy regularized \( s \)-wave contact interaction is [13]

\[
V_{\text{int}}(r) = \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} \delta(r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \tag{11}
\]

where \( a \) is the scattering length. In the single-particle basis the relative wave function can be written as

\[
\psi_{\text{rel}}(r) = \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} \psi_{n0k}(\rho, \phi, z), \tag{12}
\]

where the \( m \neq 0 \) states are omitted because they do not contribute, due to the centrifugal barrier in cylindrical coordinates. As such, the projection of angular momentum along the \( z \) axis due to the rotation or synthetic magnetic field is contained entirely within the center-of-mass energy. Following [14] we find that the energy spectrum of the relative motion can be determined from

\[
\mathcal{F} \left( -\frac{E - \eta - \frac{1}{2}}{2} \right) = -\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{d}{a}, \tag{13}
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{F}(x) = \int_0^\infty dt \left[ \frac{e^{-xt}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-t}(1 - e^{-\eta t})}} + \frac{1}{\Gamma^{2}} \right]. \tag{14}
\]

Note that Eq. (13) is consistent with Eq. (21) of Ref. [14], which is in dimensionless form. This integral is not analytic in general and is formally valid only for \( x > 0 \) but can be extended to all energies by the recurrence relation

\[
\mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(x + \eta) = \eta \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x + 1/2)}. \tag{15}
\]

In Fig. 1 we plot the energy eigenspectrum Eq. (13) as a function of inverse scattering length \( d/a \) for two values of \( \eta \). For the case \( \eta = 1 \), Fig. 1(a) shows states evenly spaced by \( 2\hbar\omega_z \), with a smooth transition across the Feshbach resonance and a single bound state as the ground state in the repulsive regime. This spherically symmetric case can be treated in two equivalent ways. In the spherical basis the \( s \)-wave interaction only permits a single state at each energy level, one with no angular momentum. In the cylindrical basis we omit states with \( m \neq 0 \) but there are still degeneracies due to different excitations in the transverse and axial directions. However, these degenerate states can be added together in such a way to give a single state with no angular momentum, as required. If the spherical symmetry is broken so that \( \eta \neq 1 \) then the degeneracies are lifted, as shown in Fig. 1(b) where \( \eta = 1.1 \). Total angular momentum is not conserved in a anisotropic system and we cannot take a linear combination of nondegenerate wave functions. If \( \eta \) is rational, by the properties of Eq. (8) some of these degeneracies are restored. For the special case when \( \eta \), or \( 1/\eta \), is an integer then all degeneracies are restored [15]. The most extreme case is when \( \eta \) is irrational, for which there can be no degeneracies with higher states. As such, one could argue that as soon as the spherical symmetry of the problem is broken the properties of the gas become independent of the relative Hamiltonian and hence the interactions. Of course, this cannot be correct and to overcome this dichotomy one must consider an interaction potential that is regularized in accordance with the symmetries of the system [16], and including contributions from higher-order (non-\( s \)-wave scattering) processes [17–20].

In the following analysis, we assume spherical symmetry of the relative Hamiltonian. In the rotation case, \( \eta = 1 \) means that the trap is isotropic and the relative eigenenergies are unaffected by the rotation. In the synthetic magnetic-field case, \( \eta_{\text{rel}} = 1 \) is equivalent to \( \omega_x = [\omega_z^2 - (qB/m)^2]^{1/2} / \omega_z \), from Eq. (10). This means that for a given magnetic field there is a particular trap shape that preserves the spherical symmetry of \( H_{\text{rel}} \).

Few-body physics has importance beyond small scale systems into the thermodynamics of a many-particle gas. We can achieve this through a quantum cluster expansion of the grand thermodynamic potential \( \Omega = -k_BT \ln Z \), in terms of the fugacity \( z \):

\[
\Omega = -k_BT \Omega_1(z + b_2z^2 + \cdots), \tag{16}
\]

where

\[
b_2 = (Q_2 - Q_2^2/2)/Q_1 \tag{17}
\]

is the second virial coefficient and the \( N \)-particle partition function \( \Omega_N = \text{Tr}[\exp(-\mathcal{T}/k_BT)] \) is determined from solving the \( N \)-body problem [21].

In order to calculate the thermodynamics at unitarity it is more convenient to consider the difference between the interacting and noninteracting systems and define

\[
\Delta b_2 = (b_2 - b_2^{(0)}) = (Q_2 - Q_2^{(0)})/Q_1, \tag{18}
\]

where the superscript “0” denotes noninteracting quantities. The thermodynamics of a noninteracting two-component
Fermi gas in an anisotropic trap with a constant magnetic field or a rotation are determined from the grand potential \( \Omega^{(0)} \).

Using the energy spectrum Eq. (8) to determine the density of states it can be shown that

\[
\Omega^{(0)} = -k_B T Q_1^{(0)} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dE E^2 \ln(1 + z e^{-E}),
\]

where

\[
Q_1^{(0)} = 2 \left( \frac{\hbar \omega_z}{k_B T} \right)^3 \left( \frac{1}{2 \eta(\xi + \tilde{\xi})} + \frac{1}{2 \eta(\xi - \tilde{\xi})} \right).
\]

Equations (19) and (20) reduce to the more familiar forms in the limits of no rotation or synthetic magnetic field (\( \xi \to 0 \) and isotropic trapping (\( \eta \to 1 \)) [10]. The integral in Eq. (19) can be expanded in powers of the fugacity \( z \) to obtain the noninteracting virial coefficients \( b_n^{(0)} = (-1)^{n+1}/n^4 \).

In the thermodynamic limit, \( \Delta b_2 \) is independent of temperature but in the following calculations it is useful to introduce the small parameter \( \tilde{\omega} = \hbar \omega_z / k_B T \). Expanding the virial coefficients and cluster partition function in the small parameter \( \tilde{\omega} \) allows us to determine their universality.

Since the two-body problem may be separated into relative and center-of-mass coordinates, we can sum over the center-of-mass component \( Q_{c.m.} \) and the relative component independently so that Eq. (18) becomes

\[
\Delta b_2 = \frac{Q_{c.m.}}{Q_1} \sum_{E_{rel}} (e^{-E_{rel}/\tilde{\omega}} - e^{-E_{rel}^{(0)}/\tilde{\omega}}).
\]

In the case of a rotating gas both \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_{c.m.} \) are determined from the single-particle energy spectrum Eq. (8). Including a factor of two to account for the spin states,

\[
Q_1 = \frac{2\tilde{\omega} \tilde{\delta}(\eta + \xi)}{(\tilde{\omega} - 1) e^{\tilde{\omega}/4} - e^{\tilde{\omega}/2} e^{\tilde{\omega}(\eta + \xi) - 1)}
\]

and \( Q_{c.m.} = Q_1/2 \). In the high-temperature limit (\( \tilde{\omega} \to 0 \)) the leading-order behavior of Eq. (22) is exactly as in Eq. (20).

The magnetic-field case is more complicated. As in the rotating case the same energy spectrum is used. However, \( Q_1 \) is obtained by exchanging \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) as in Eq. (22) with \( \eta_1 \) and \( \xi_1 \).

Similarly, \( Q_{c.m.} \) is obtained by exchanging \( \eta \) and \( \xi \) with \( \eta_{c.m.} \) and \( \xi_{c.m.} \), and omitting the spin-counting factor of two.

To perform the remaining sums in Eq. (21) we need the eigenenergies of \( H_{rel} \). Specifically, the case of \( \eta = \eta_{rel} = 1 \), where the relative Hamiltonian is isotropic, is considered for the unitary regime. We are only considering the thermodynamics of a unitary gas. In order to calculate this we require both the noninteracting (\( a = 0 \)) spectrum \( E_{rel}^{(0)} = 2n + \frac{3}{2} \) and the spectrum in the unitary regime (\( a \to \infty \)) \( E_{rel} = 2n + 1/2 \) [4]. For attractive interactions all states are included, but for repulsive interactions the \( n = 0 \) bound state is omitted since bound states are undesirable in experiments.

For a rotating trapped gas in the high-temperature limit with a large number of particles, the second virial coefficients for attractive and repulsive interactions are, respectively,

\[
\Delta b_2^{at} = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{32} + \cdots,
\]

\[
\Delta b_2^{rep} = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}}{4} + \cdots.
\]

and for a trapped gas in a synthetic magnetic field

\[
\Delta b_2^{at} = \frac{\xi_1^2 - \eta_1^2}{4(\xi_{c.m.}^2 - \eta_{c.m.}^2)}
\]

\[
+ \frac{(\xi_1^2 - \eta_1^2)(\eta_1^2 + \xi_1^2 - \eta_{c.m.}^2 - \xi_{c.m.}^2 - \frac{1}{2})}{48(\xi_{c.m.}^2 - \eta_{c.m.}^2)}\tilde{\omega}^2 + \cdots.
\]

We are now able to calculate thermodynamic quantities like the total energy \( E = \Omega + TS + \mu N \) and entropy \( S = -\partial \Omega/\partial T \) of the gas from the grand potential Eq. (16) [21].

To determine the energy and entropy the fugacity \( z = \exp(\mu / k_B T) \) must be calculated first, where \( \mu \) is the chemical potential. To do this we find \( N(z) = -\partial \Omega/\partial \mu \), which is quadratic in \( z \). In the rotating case there is always a single positive root of \( N(z) \) and so the fugacity is always physical. In contrast, in the synthetic magnetic-field case the roots are nontrivial. Despite the temperature regime relative to the trap ground-state energy being set by \( \tilde{\omega} \), the temperature decreases as \( \xi_1 \) increases, where \( \xi_1 \) parametrizes the synthetic magnetic field. This is due to the restriction of isotropy in the relative coordinate, i.e., \( \eta_{rel} = 1 \), so that the transverse trapping must change as the magnetic-field changes. For the attractive case, in all regimes there is a pole at \( \xi_1 = 4/\sqrt{3T} \approx 0.718 \). For magnetic-field strengths below this there is always one non-negative solution, which is physical. Above this value, we see that for larger \( \tilde{\omega} \) more critical points appear at \( \xi_1 = 4/\sqrt{28} \approx 0.756 \) and \( 4/\sqrt{19} \approx 0.918 \). Specifically, this occurs when \( \tilde{\omega} > [(820 + 32\sqrt{651})/(9N)]^{1/3} \). Between these two critical points there is always one physical solution, but...
outside these values both solutions are complex. As a result of this behavior, we consider that the virial expansion for the synthetic magnetic-field case in the attractive regime is valid for $\xi_1 \lesssim 0.75$. This problem is not present for the rotating gas where the parametrization merely restricts $\xi < 1$, which is not an issue because we already expect that when $|\Omega_z| > \omega_z$ the gas becomes effectively untrapped. The same calculations can be performed for repulsive interactions to determine where the virial expansion is valid.

For the case of attractive interactions, we plot in Fig. 2 the energy [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)] and entropy [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)] per particle of a gas in a harmonic trap, subject to a rotation [Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)] or a synthetic magnetic field [Figs. 2(b) and 2(d)] in the case $\eta = \eta_{rel} = 1$. Increasing the rotation or the synthetic magnetic field at a given temperature does not significantly affect the total energy of the system. In both cases the rotation and synthetic magnetic field can be viewed as a reparametrization of the transverse trapping frequencies, but at unitarity the interactions still dominate the energy of the system. This dominant contribution is reflected specifically in the universality of the second virial coefficient. The center-of-mass contribution, which does depend on rotation or synthetic magnetic field, is weak. This accounts for the slight differences in the curves of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). In contrast, for both systems the entropy increases for larger $\xi$ (or $\xi_1$), as more states become accessible to the center of mass because the rotation or synthetic magnetic field couples higher angular momentum states to lower energies. In the case of a synthetic magnetic field the validity of the virial expansion is limited not only by temperature but also by the divergences in the fugacity discussed above for large field strengths. The minimum in the entropy for a large ($\xi_1 = 0.75$) synthetic magnetic field in Fig. 2(d) is because this is the extreme limit of the approximation. In the case of repulsive interactions, although the details are different, the same calculations can be performed and the results are qualitatively the same; the total energy of the gas is universal, but the entropy increases as rotation frequency or synthetic magnetic-field strength is increased.

In conclusion, we have parametrized the problem of two ultracold atoms in a harmonic trap subject to a rotation or a synthetic magnetic field to retain spherical symmetry in the relative Hamiltonian. When the spherical symmetry is broken, even by a small perturbation, the $s$-wave contact interaction allows many more distinct relative energy states. These energy states appear because the spherical nature of the regularized interactions is incompatible with the cylindrical symmetry of the trap.

In the special case of the relative Hamiltonian being isotropic in the unitary limit the total energy in the thermodynamic limit is universal and the entropy is not. This is due to the rotation or synthetic magnetic field coupling higher-angular-momentum states to lower-energy levels and increasing the number of states available to the center of mass of the system in a given energy range. However, in the unitary limit the total energy is dominated by the relative energy, which is determined by the interaction energy and hence is largely independent of the rotation or synthetic magnetic field.
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