Anomalous Quantum Reflection of Bose-Einstein Condensates from a Silicon Surface: The Role of Dynamical Excitations
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We investigate the effect of interatomic interactions on the quantum-mechanical reflection of Bose-Einstein condensates from regions of rapid potential variation. The reflection process depends critically on the density and incident velocity of the condensate. For low densities and high velocities, the atom cloud has almost the same form before and after reflection. Conversely, at high densities and low velocities, the reflection process generates solitons and vortex rings that fragment the condensate. We show that this fragmentation can explain the anomalously low reflection probabilities recently measured for low-velocity condensates incident on a silicon surface.
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There is great current interest in using condensed matter systems to provide new tools for manipulating ultracold atoms, for example, micron-scale current-carrying wires on a semiconductor surface [1]. Understanding and controlling interactions between the atoms and the surface is crucial for the development of these “atom chips.” Recent experimental studies of Na-atom Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) bouncing off a Si surface provide a powerful probe of such interactions [2]. When the approach velocity, \( v_x \), is low, the rapid variation of the surface potential causes quantum-mechanical reflection (QR) of the atom cloud. Although quantum-mechanical calculations predict that the reflection probability for a single atom will increase monotonically as \( v_x \) decreases [2,3], the measured reflection probability for the BEC decreases with decreasing \( v_x \) below \( \sim 2 \text{ mm s}^{-1} \): an interesting observation that is not yet understood [2].

In this Letter, we show that interatomic interactions can have a pronounced effect on the QR of BECs from Si surfaces, consistent with the anomalously low reflection probabilities observed in experiment [2]. Our results demonstrate that the underlying physics is a generic feature of the reflection of BECs from regions of rapid potential variation, attractive or repulsive. As the BEC approaches the surface, its density profile is modulated by the standing wave formed by superposition of incident and reflected matter waves. Because of the interatomic interactions in a BEC, this modulation can have a dramatic effect on the reflection process. In particular, for high density BECs and low incident velocities, it triggers the formation of dynamical excitations (solitons and vortex rings) that fragment the atom cloud. Our analysis shows that although this fragmentation has no intrinsic effect on the reflection probability, it disperses the atoms and can therefore produce an apparent reduction in the reflection probability at low velocities, as observed in experiment [2]. Our calculations enable us to identify regimes in which the fragmentation of the atom cloud that accompanies low-speed QR should be either strongly enhanced or suppressed, and thereby propose new experiments to test our interpretation of the reflection process.

We consider BECs containing \( N \) \(^{23}\)Na atoms in a cylindrically symmetrical harmonic trap. First we take parameters from recent experiments [2]: \( N = 3 \times 10^5 \) and longitudinal (radial) trap frequencies \( \omega_z (\omega_r) = 2 \pi \times 3.3(2 \pi \times 4.5) \text{ rad s}^{-1} \), which produce an atom cloud of equilibrium peak density \( n_0 = 2.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). At time \( t = 0 \text{ ms} \), we suddenly displace the harmonic trap by a distance \( \Delta x \) along the \( x \) direction [2], and hence accelerate the BEC towards a region of rapid potential energy variation at \( x = \Delta x \). We consider three different potential profiles: potential I [\( V_I(x) \), solid curve in Fig. 1(a)] is a sharp potential step of height \( V_s = 10^{-30} \text{ J} \); potential II [\( V_{II}(x) \), solid curve in Fig. 1(b)] is an abrupt potential drop of depth \( V_s \); potential III [\( V_{III}(x) \), solid curve in Fig. 1(c)] is a model of the Si surface, whose form is specified later. The model potentials I and II enable us to identify the key physical processes that occur when a BEC undergoes reflection, and thereby develop the understanding required to interpret experimental studies of BECs reflecting from the more complicated Si surface potential. Following the trap displacement, the total potential energy of each Na atom (mass \( m \)) in the BEC is \( V_T(x, r) = V_{step}(x) + \frac{1}{2} m [\omega_z^2 (x - \Delta x)^2 + \omega_r^2 r^2] \), where \( r \) is the radial coordinate and \( V_{step}(x) \) is \( V_I(x) \), \( V_{II}(x) \), or \( V_{III}(x) \).

We determine the dynamics of the BEC in three dimensions by using the Crank-Nicolson [4] method to solve the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation [5,6]

\[
\text{i} \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_T(x, r) + \frac{4\pi \hbar^2 a}{m} |\Psi|^2 \right] \Psi \quad (1)
\]

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian in cylindrical coordinates, \( a = 2.9 \text{ nm} \) is the \( s \)-wave scattering length, and \( \Psi(x, r, t) \) is the axially symmetrical condensate wave function at time \( t \), normalized such that \( |\Psi|^2 \) is the number of atoms per unit
We now explore the dynamics of the BEC in potential I. When the trap is displaced at \( t = 0 \) ms, the initial potential energy of the BEC is increased by \( \Delta V = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2_0 \Delta x^2 \), as shown in Fig. 1(a), causing the atoms to be incident on the potential step with a velocity \( v_x = \omega_0 \Delta x \). For the displacements considered here, \( \Delta V \ll V_0 \). Hence, in a classical picture, we expect the BEC to be completely reflected by the step. Figure 2 shows images of the BEC undergoing reflection from potential I after a trap displacement of 60 \( \mu \)m, for which \( v_x = 1.2 \) mm s\(^{-1} \). At \( t = 90 \) ms [Fig. 2(b)], approximately half the atoms have reached the barrier, and a standing wave has formed as a result of the superposition of the incident and reflected waves, causing periodic modulations in the density profile. Because of the interatomic interactions, the high density at the peaks of the standing wave causes atoms to be pushed out from the axis of cylindrical symmetry (the \( x \) axis), thus transferring momentum into the transverse direction. These atoms appear as the arrowed jetlike “lobes” at the top and bottom of Fig. 2(b). The lobes ride up the walls of the harmonic trap and are then reflected back towards the \( x \) axis. This causes a cylindrically symmetrical soliton to form, which appears as the two white stripes (arrowed) in Fig. 2(c). The soliton then decays via the snake instability [7] into two vortex rings centered on \( r = 0 \), which appear as pairs of density nodes within the dashed box in Fig. 2(d). The phase of the BEC wave function within the dashed box in Fig. 2(d) is shown to the right of the density profile. The figure reveals a \( 2\pi \) phase change around each density node, indicating quantized circulation.

At the end of the oscillation, the atom cloud still contains vortex rings, and has a fragmented appearance [Fig. 3(a)]. Similar dynamics have been observed for two-dimensional BECs reflecting from a circular hard wall [8]. For disruption to occur, the time taken for lobes to form, \( t_L = l_r/v_x \), where \( l_r \) is the BEC’s radial width and \( v_x = \sqrt{\hbar^2 n_0 a / \pi m} \) is the average speed of sound [9], must be less than the reflection time \( t_R = l_x/v_x \), where \( l_x \) is the BEC’s longitudinal width. We therefore estimate that reflection will not significantly disrupt the BEC when

\[
v_x \geq v_x (l_x / l_r),
\]

or, equivalently, when \( \Delta x \geq (v_x l_x) / (l_r \omega_x) \). Our numerical simulations confirm this [10]: for a large \( v_x = 2.1 \) mm s\(^{-1} \) (\( \Delta x = 100 \) \( \mu \)m), the reflected atom cloud at \( t = 150 \) ms [Fig. 3(b)] contains no excitations and has a smooth density
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\[
\text{FIG. 2. Gray-scale plots of atom density (black = high) in the x-r plane (axes inset) for a BEC with } n_0 = 2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \omega_x(\omega_x) = 2\pi \times 3.3(2\pi \times 4.5) \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{ and } v_x \approx 1.2 \text{ mm s}^{-1}, \text{ reflecting from potential I, shown at } t = 0 \text{ ms (a), 90 ms (b), 122 ms (c), and 143 ms (d). The phase of the BEC wave function within the dashed box in (d) is shown in the gray-scale plot (white = 0, black = 2\pi) to the right of the density profile. Horizontal bar shows scale.}
\]

\[
\text{FIG. 3. Gray-scale plots of atom density (black = high) in the x-r plane (axes inset) for a BEC with } n_0 = 2.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \omega_x(\omega_x) = 2\pi \times 3.3(2\pi \times 4.5) \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{ and } v_x \approx 1.2 \text{ mm s}^{-1}, \text{ reflecting from potential I, shown at } t = 150 \text{ ms. (c),(d) Same as (a),(b), but for potential II. (e),(f) Same as (a),(b), but for potential III. Horizontal bar shows scale. Arrows in (a),(c), and (e) indicate the direction of quantized circulation around vortex cores.}
\]
profile, similar to the original BEC ground state [Fig. 2(a)]. Similar behavior has been reported for BECs on Bragg reflection in optical lattices [6,11–13].

We now consider QR of a BEC from the abrupt potential drop (potential II) shown in Fig. 1(b). The reflected atom clouds at $t = 150$ ms for $v_x = 1.2$ and $2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ are shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively. They are remarkably similar to the equivalent images for potential I [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)], except that they are slightly smaller because fewer atoms are reflected. When $v_x = 1.2$ mm s$^{-1}$, the reflected atom cloud has a fragmented appearance and contains a vortex ring, enclosed by the arrows in Fig. 3(c). By contrast, when $v_x = 2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ the BEC contains no excitations and has a smooth density profile [Fig. 3(d)]. This is because, as for potential I, when $v_x = 2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ the reflection process is too fast for side lobes to form in response to the standing wave.

Having studied two simple potential steps, we now investigate QR from a Si surface [2] at $x = \Delta x$, which we model by potential III $[V_{\text{III}}(x)]$, solid curve in Fig. 1(c)]. Potential III is based on the Casimir-Polder potential $V_{\text{CP}}(x) = -C_4/x^4(x^2 + 3\lambda_x^2/2\pi^2)$, where $C_4 = 9.1 \times 10^{-56}$ J m$^4$, $\lambda_x = 590$ nm is the effective atomic transition wavelength [14], and $x' = x - \Delta x$ is the distance from the surface. For $x < \Delta x - \delta$, where $\delta = 0.15$ mm is a small offset from the surface [Fig. 1(c)], we set $V_{\text{III}}(x) = V_{\text{CP}}(x')$. However, since $V_{\text{CP}} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $x \rightarrow \Delta x$, for $x > \Delta x - \delta$ we set $V_{\text{III}}(x) = V_{\text{CP}}(\delta) - i(x - \Delta x + \delta)V_{\text{in}}$, where $V_{\text{in}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-26}$ J m$^{-1}$. No atoms are reflected when $x \geq \Delta x - \delta$ because the real part of $V_{\text{III}}(x)$ is constant. The imaginary part of $V_{\text{III}}(x)$ [dashed curve in Fig. 1(c)] models adsorption of Na atoms by the Si surface [15]. We expect that the BEC will undergo QR when $|d\lambda/dx| \approx 1$ [3], where $\lambda$ is the local de Broglie wavelength. For $v_x = 1$ mm s$^{-1}$, most atoms reflect $\sim 1$ mm from the surface, where $|d\lambda/dx|$ is maximal [16].

Figures 3(e) and 3(f) show the reflected atom clouds at $t = 150$ ms for $v_x = 1.2$ and $2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ respectively. Although they are smaller than the corresponding images for potentials I and II because fewer atoms are reflected, the qualitative behavior of the BEC is the same. When $v_x = 1.2$ mm s$^{-1}$, the atom cloud is disrupted and contains a vortex ring [Fig. 3(e)], whereas for $v_x = 2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$ the BEC has a smooth density profile and contains no excitations [Fig. 3(f)]. This is consistent with the experiments of Pasquini et al. [2], who observed “excited and sometimes fragmented” atom clouds following the QR of BECs incident on a Si surface at low $v_x$.

To quantify how the QR process depends on $v_x$, and to relate our simulations to experiment [2], we made numerical calculations of the probability, $R$, that each atom in the BEC will quantum reflect from the Si surface potential. The solid curves in Fig. 4 show $R$ versus $v_x$ calculated for atom clouds with (squares) and without (crosses) interatomic interactions. In each case, $R$ increases with decreasing $v_x$. Similar behavior was reported for single atoms in Refs. [2,3,17].

A key feature of Fig. 4 is that the $R(v_x)$ curves calculated with and without interatomic interactions are nearly identical, indicating that the interactions have little intrinsic effect on $R$ [18]. Consequently, interatomic interactions do not immediately explain why experimentally measured $R$ values decrease with decreasing $v_x \leq 2$ mm s$^{-1}$ [2]. However, a possible explanation of this anomalous behavior does emerge when we consider how the interactions affect the structure of the BEC at low $v_x$. In the absence of interactions, QR does not change the form of the atom cloud. By contrast, interactions cause disruption and fragmentation of the atom cloud when $v_x \leq 2$ mm s$^{-1} (\Delta x \leq 80 \mu m)$; precisely the regime where anomalously low $R$ values are observed in experiment [2]. Severe disruption of the cloud can make detection of atoms difficult in low-density regions of the BEC [19], facilitate thermal excitation, or even eject atoms from the trap [20], thus accounting for the anomalously low $R$ values observed in experiment. To simulate the effect of such losses, we recalculated $R$ omitting the contribution from atoms in low-density regions of the BEC for which $|\Psi|^2 \leq n_c$, where $n_c$ is a threshold below which detection of atoms can be difficult [19]. The dotted curve with circles in Fig. 4 shows $R(v_x)$, calculated for $n_c = 0.25$ $n_0$. In this case, $R$ dips below the equivalent single-atom result for $v_x < 2$ mm s$^{-1}$ as in experiment [2], and then decreases with decreasing $v_x < v_p < 1.5$ mm s$^{-1}$, in the regime where severe fragmentation of the atom cloud produces large areas of low density [21].

To explore the parameter space, we repeated our calculations for BECs in the same harmonic trap as before, but...
FIG. 5. Gray-scale plots of atom density (black = high) in the $x$-$r$ plane (axes inset) for a BEC with $n_0 = 2.2 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$, $\omega_s(\omega_p) = 2\pi(2\pi \times 4.5)$ rad s$^{-1}$, and $v_x \approx 2.1$ mm s$^{-1}$, reflecting from potential III, shown at $t = 0$ ms (a), 270 ms (b), and 460 ms (c). Horizontal bar shows scale. Arrows in (c) enclose a vortex ring.

with different values of $N$ and $n_0$. When we increase $N$ to $10^8$ ($n_0 = 3.6 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$), the peak in our calculated $R(v_x)$ curve (dot-dashed in Fig. 4) occurs at a higher $v_p$ of 1.9 mm s$^{-1}$ (corresponding to $\Delta x = 90$ µm). Simulations for BECs with a range of $N$ reveal that a plot of $\ln(v_p)$ versus $\ln(n_0)$ (Fig. 4 inset) is linear, with a gradient of 0.5 ± 0.01. This is because the peak in $R(v_x)$ coincides with the onset of disruption of the atom cloud so that, from inequality (2), $v_p \propto \sqrt{n_0(l_x/l_x)} \propto \sqrt{n_0}$, as $(l_x/l_x)$ is independent of $N$ and $n_0$. For $v_x$ set to 2.1 mm s$^{-1}$ ($\Delta x = 330$ µm), large side lobes form during QR [Fig. 5(b)], leading to fragmentation of the atom cloud and production of a vortex ring [Fig. 5(c)]. By contrast, for the same values of $n_0$ and $v_x$, the more spherical BEC shown in Fig. 2(a) is not disrupted by QR [Fig. 3(f)].

In summary, we have investigated the reflection of BECs from three abrupt potential steps, and shown that the dynamics are qualitatively the same in each case. For high $v_x$, reflection occurs cleanly, causing almost no change in the atom density profile. But at low $v_x$, the standing wave formed from the superposition of the incident and reflected waves generates dynamical excitations that disrupt the atom cloud. This disruption could explain the anomalously low $R$ values recently measured for BECs incident on a Si surface at low velocity. Fragmentation of the atom cloud is particularly dramatic for dense slow-moving cigar-shaped BECs, and further experiments in this regime would test our interpretation of the reflection process. Conversely, for low-density BECs, which are not significantly disrupted by QR, the observed values of $R$ should continue to increase with decreasing $v_x$, even when $v_x$ is small. In this regime, QR near a Si surface should provide an effective means of containing BECs and decoupling them from the surface.
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[10] Inequality (2) captures the essential physics of the reflection process and gives a qualitative estimate of the threshold $v_x$ required to suppress disruption of the BEC.
[13] During Bragg reflection of a BEC in an optical lattice, side lobes do not form because the standing wave extends across the entire atom cloud. In this case, other mechanisms can trigger disruption of the atom cloud (see, for example, [4–6,11,20] and references therein).
[15] We assume that atoms which do not undergo QR are either adsorbed by the Si or scatter inelastically [2].
[16] $|d\lambda/dx|$ becomes <1 close to the surface (for $x' \lesssim 0.04$ µm when $v_x = 1$ mm s$^{-1}$). Choosing $\delta = 0.15$ µm ensures that $V_{\text{in}}(x)$ incorporates most of the reflecting region, while avoiding regions where the Casimir-Polder potential varies too rapidly with $x'$ to allow accurate discretization of the wave function.
[18] For potential II, $R$ can be determined analytically in the absence of interatomic interactions by imposing the usual wave function matching conditions at $x = \Delta x$. The $R$ values obtained in this way for a single atom are within ~5% of those determined for a BEC from our numerical solution of Eq. (1): indicating that interatomic interactions have little effect on the $R$ values for potential II (as shown for potential III in Fig. 4).
[21] We see qualitatively similar behavior for all $n_x \approx 0.1n_0$.
[22] The reflected atom cloud (Fig. 5(c)) is much smaller than the incident cloud (Fig. 5(a)) because $R$ is only 0.14.
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