

1	
2	PROFESSOR KEVIN F SMITH (Orcid ID : 0000-0001-6828-7480)
3	
4	
5	Article type : Review Paper
6	
7	0
8	Title: Image-based high-throughput phenotyping for the estimation of persistence
9	of perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) - A review
10	A short running title: HTP for pasture persistence estimation
11	Chinthaka Jayasinghe ^{1,2} , Pieter Badenhorst ¹ , Joe Jacobs ^{2,3} , German Spangenberg ^{4,5} and Kevin
12	Smith ^{1,2,*}
13	¹ Agriculture Victoria Research, Hamilton, Victoria 3300, Australia;
14	chinthaka.jayasinghe@agriculture.vic.gov.au; pieter.badenhorst@agriculture.vic.gov.au
15	² School of Agriculture and Food, Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, The University
16	of Melbourne, Victoria 3010, Australia; <u>kfsmith@unimelb.edu.au</u>
17	³ Agriculture Victoria Research, Ellinbank Centre, Ellinbank, Victoria 3821, Australia;
18	Joe.Jacobs@agriculture.vic.gov.au
19	⁴ Agriculture Victoria Research, AgriBio, Centre for AgriBioscience, Bundoora, Victoria 3083,
20	Australia; german.spangenberg@agriculture.vic.gov.au
21	⁵ School of Applied Systems Biology, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 3086, Australia
22	The corresponding author: Kevin Smith, <u>kfsmith@unimelb.edu.au</u>
	This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1111/GFS.12520

Acknowledgments: This review was funded by Dairy Australia, Gardiner Dairy Foundation and Agriculture Victoria Research. The authors wish to acknowledge the research staff members of Agriculture Victoria research who shared their knowledge to improve the content of the review.



Abstract: Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) is considered the most important pasture species 33 in temperate agriculture, with over six million hectares of sown area in Australia alone. However, 34 35 perennial ryegrass has poor persistence in some environments because of low tolerance to a range of both abiotic and biotic stresses. To breed perennial ryegrass cultivars with greater 36 37 persistence and productivity may require evaluation of genotypes over a number of years. 38 Persistence assessment in pasture breeding depends on manual ground cover estimation or counting the number of surviving plants or tillers in a known area. These methods are subjective 39 40 and labour intensive, which may limit data collection in large scale breeding programs. With the 41 rapid development of sensors and image processing algorithms, image-based high-throughput phenotyping (HTP) is becoming commonplace in the breeding of major food crops. Image-based 42 43 HTP approaches consist of the deployment of a wide range of sensors on ground-based or 44 airborne platforms and data analyzed through image-processing pipelines. Image-based HTP show high potential for use in pasture phenotyping in breeding programs and may be able to reduce 45 timeframes for releasing new cultivars. Moreover, existing image-based HTP approaches could be 46 47 further developed to include precise tools for phenotyping pasture persistence traits such as pasture senescence, botanical composition, pathogen and pest resistance. In this paper, we 48 49 reviewed existing image-based HTP approaches in precision agriculture and discussed their

feasibility for perennial ryegrass persistence estimation in pasture breeding. Although the paper
 focuses on application in perennial ryegrass, the principles equally apply to other perennial forage
 species.

Keywords: perennial ryegrass persistence; pasture breeding; high-throughput phenotyping;
sensors; image analysis

60 61

55

56

57

58

59

62 1.Introduction

USCI

Perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) is the most important pasture species in temperate 63 grazing systems, exceeding over six million hectares of sown area in Australia alone (Cunningham 64 et al, 1994; Moate et al, 2012). Individual plants of perennial ryegrass may show low tolerance 65 to both abiotic and biotic stresses, resulting in poor persistence. Poor pasture persistence creates 66 a great challenge for livestock producers, who must utilise their agricultural lands productively 67 68 and intensively within sustainable limits (Culvenor and Simpson, 2014). Perennial ryegrass is an 69 outbreeding self-incompatible species (Wilkins and Humphreys, 2003), with a high degree of 70 genetic diversity between individual plants in natural populations. Current limitations of pasture phenotyping methods coupled with its high degree of genetic diversity within breeding 71 populations (Lin et al, 2017), results in new cultivar development in perennial ryegrass taking 72 73 longer than most annual crops, taking 10-15 years from initial nursery establishment to the 74 registration of a new cultivar (Hayes et al, 2013). Annual pasture dry matter production per unit 75 area is an important consideration from the farmer's perspective since it directly influences the 76 financial return of their capital investment (Wilkins, 1991). Long-term productivity of a sward depends on pasture persistence, which represents plant density or plant size (tiller number) in a 77 known area (Waller and Sale, 2001). As such, the primary objectives of pasture breeding focus 78

on increasing annual pasture productivity with an improved pattern of seasonal production and to extend the productive life of the pasture (persistence). In pasture breeding programs, individual plants with more desirable attributes are selected by phenotyping morphological, physiological and biochemical traits over multiple years. Trait assessment depends on traditional methods based on visual observations, manual measurements or biochemical analysis (Walter et al, 2012). These methods are time-consuming and sometimes subjective, which may limit data collection in large scale pasture breeding programs.

With rapid technology development, interest in the use of sensors for phenotyping plant 86 traits has increased in agriculture research. The recent application of high-throughput 87 88 phenotyping (HTP) across a range of crops includes a wide range of imaging and non-imaging sensors, deployed on ground-based or airborne platforms. However, image-based platforms 89 have become the favoured approach in precision agriculture due to their low-cost, high 90 91 resolution and high throughput. Image-based HTP platforms can detect reflectance values of plants, and reliably generate phenomic data representing plant development, architecture, 92 growth or biomass productivity of single plants or populations. The existing HTP sensor-based 93 tools utilised in other crops may have potential use in in pasture breeding programs (Walter et 94 95 al, 2012) and could improve the efficiency of plant phenotyping for various aspects of pasture 96 breeding. For example, image-based HTP pipeline showed robust estimation of herbage yield (Gebremedhin et al, 2019) and persistence (Jayasinghe et al, 2019; Jayasinghe et al, 2020) in 97 perennial ryegrass breeding programs using both aerial-based and ground-based HTP platforms. 98 99 However, the assessment of pasture persistence within breeding trials is not straightforward and still depends on conventional methods. Conventional plant phenotyping methods are often 100 101 destructive that depend on expensive manual operations (Ubbens and Stavness, 2017). Therefore, conventional plant phenotyping methods have very limited throughput for 102 103 comprehensive analysis of plant traits of an individual plant or across a population (Ubbens and 104 Stavness, 2017). The objective of this review is to investigate existing image-based HTP approaches for field phenotyping and to evaluate their potential to replace conventional 105 106 methods of persistence estimation in pasture breeding programs.

107

108 **2. Conventional methods for pasture persistence estimation**

109 Pasture persistence is usually evaluated through field observations in the second or third year after sowing using conventional methods (Wilkins, 1991). Ground cover of a pasture cultivar 110 111 with low persistence decays rapidly over time and creates bare ground within the sward, that provides an opportunity for weed ingression (Fulkerson et al, 1993). Ingression of weeds changes 112 sward composition (perennial ryegrass vs. weeds), generally lowers the nutritive value of the 113 114 sward (Doyle et al, 1989) and can be considered as an indicator of persistence of a sward (Waller and Sale, 2001). Annual pasture dry matter production of a cultivar with poor persistence will 115 decrease over time due to depletion of surviving plants. Therefore, analysing long term dry 116 117 matter production data from a single species sward or breeding plots would be a way to assess 118 the expression of persistence (Chapman et al, 2014). Pasture senescence may indicate cessation of plant growth, development and resistance to both abiotic and biotic stresses (Makanza et al, 119 2018a), and the amount of senescent pasture on the soil surface of breeding plots can be used 120 as a key indicator of persistence in cultivar evaluation. Pasture ground cover, botanical 121 composition, dry matter yield and pasture senescence can be used as measurements or 122 processes to evaluate the expression of pasture persistence in breeding programs (Borra-Serrano 123 et al. 2018). 124

125

126 2.1 Ground cover

Plant ground cover is the fractional area of soil covered by plants when viewed from the 127 nadir position (Luscier et al, 2006). Plant ground cover may include pasture, weeds, other 128 herbage and litter, and can be measured as a percentage or fractional unit (Calera et al, 2001). 129 Techniques to estimate pasture ground cover include point quadrat (Cunningham et al, 1994), 130 line intercept (Henry et al, 1995), visual estimation (Cayley and Bird, 1996), digital image analysis 131 132 (Richardson et al, 2001), and gap counting methods. Point frame, ocular telescope, and line intercept methods are a variation of the point quadrat method, which have been used in many 133 studies to estimate pasture ground cover (Freebairn and Boughton, 1981; Lang and McCaffrey, 134 135 1984; Eldridge and Rothon, 1992; Bari et al, 1995). A number of non-destructive techniques for 136 plant ground cover estimation have been developed using digital red-green-blue (RGB) cameras

(McIvor et al, 1995; Zhou et al, 1998; Vanha-Majamaa et al, 2000; Borra-Serrano et al, 2018;
Jayasinghe et al, 2019). However, the visual estimation method remains commonly used as it
does not require any specialised equipment or training (Lodge and Murphy, 2006).

140

141 2.2 Species composition

Species composition of a sward can change over time (Minnee et al, 2017), and may provide 142 a potential indicator of the persistence of a pasture population. Ingression of less desirable and 143 invasive weed species can contribute to sward decline, reducing farm productivity and 144 profitability (Brazendale et al, 2011). A simple technique for composition estimation is reviewing 145 146 the presence or absence of species in randomly selected guadrats, and this method is subjective 147 and has limited value for most agronomic research due to its lack of consistency throughout data collection (Lynch, 1966). The rod point technique is a straightforward alternative method to the 148 point quadrat method (Little and Frensham, 1993) and offers a rapid estimation of sward 149 botanical composition. This method requires a thin rod to be placed horizontally at random 150 151 points of the sward, where species touching the rod are noted. The number of readings for each species can be compared over the total number of readings to acquire the botanical composition 152 153 of a sward. This rod point technique shows less bias between different operators and does not 154 require skilled labour to estimate botanical composition of a sward. (Mannetje and Haydock, 1963) introduced the dry matter ranking technique for pasture botanical composition estimation. 155 The process of dry matter ranking requires a given area of pasture to be mechanically harvested 156 and manually sorted into categories according to their species. Manual sorting depends on 157 observer ability to assess the species. The DAFOR scale (D = dominant, A = abundant, F = frequent, 158 159 O = occasional, and R = rare) is a method for visually assessing botanical composition in a sward, providing quantitative visual assessments of botanical composition within a known area of 160 161 pasture mass (Martinson et al, 2017). . Dry matter ranking, point quadrat, rod point and the 162 DAFOR scale methods rely on the same principles of taking multiple readings at points or in random patterns in a defined area (Rotz, 2006), these conventional techniques are highly 163 dependent on observer knowledge about plant species. Manual methods have a higher 164 165 possibility of under or over-estimating species, depending on the size of the species or the size

of the investigation area, which will in turn lead to having poor accuracy of species composition estimation. However, image sensors and advanced image processing algorithms have a great potential to assess pasture composition under field conditions (Skovsen et al, 2017; Bateman et al, 2020).

170

171 2.3 Pasture dry matter

A study conducted by (Ludemann et al, 2015) measured pasture persistence as a change in 172 the rate of decline in annual pasture dry matter production due to a decline in surviving plants in 173 an area. Pasture dry matter of a known area can be determined by mechanically clipping the 174 pasture, oven-drying the samples at 105 °C for 24 hours or until constant weight is achieved and 175 weighing the dried sample (Cayley and Bird, 1996). This is the most straightforward dry matter 176 yield measurement technique in pasture breeding (Martinson et al, 2017). However, it is a time-177 178 consuming and involves destructive sampling of the pasture area (Brummer et al, 1994). A pasture ruler is the first straightforward non-destructive tool to measure available pasture mass 179 in sward or breeding plot (MLA, 2014). The single-probe capacitance meter, weighted-disc 180 (Vickery and Nicol, 1982), rising-plate meter (Earle and McGowan, 1979), and "HFRO" sward stick 181 182 (Hutchings, 1991) are all developments on the principle of a pasture ruler for point based 183 assessment of pasture dry matter yield. The rising plate meter is widely used by researchers and farmers to estimate standing herbage mass (Martinson et al, 2017) due to its reasonably accurate 184 estimation capability and ease of use. Due to the lack of efficiency of these conventional 185 methods, gathering long-term dry matter data from a breeding trial is challenging for pasture 186 persistence expressed as dry matter production changes over time (Ludemann et al, 2015). 187 However, some existing phenomic approaches in precision agriculture, such as air-borne 188 189 multispectral images and sensor-based plant height estimates may enable high-throughput 190 phenotyping of yield in perennial ryegrass sward or breeding programs (Trotter et al, 2010; Alem et al, 2019; Gebremedhin et al, 2019; Karunaratne et al, 2020). Moreover, with varying climatic 191 conditions each year, long term annual dry matter data may not be a precise element to attribute 192 193 changes to pasture persistence (Tharmaraj et al, 2014; Ludemann et al, 2015).

194

195 2.4 Pasture senescence

Accumulated dried matter from leaves, stems and pseudostems in perennial ryegrass swards 196 result from pasture senescence (Woodward, 1998), due to subsequent death of mature tissue. 197 198 Pasture senescence is the process of remobilisation and transfer of soluble constituents from 199 mature to immature plant tissues that occur with advancing age of plant parts, or through abiotic and biotic stresses (Allen et al, 2011). Pasture senescence rate may indicate a positive 200 201 relationship between plant growth, development and resistance to abiotic and biotic stressors (Daily et al, 2013). For example, if pasture species are exposed to stress conditions such as water 202 deficit and extreme temperature, strongly resistant cultivars may produce the smallest 203 204 proportion of senescent tissue on the soil surface (Calviere and Duru, 1995). Therefore, 205 estimation of the amount of senescent pasture in breeding plots can be used to monitor 206 persistence of pasture species. The estimation of pasture senescence in breeding plots can be 207 achieved using dry matter ranking methods or visual observations (Mannetje and Haydock, 208 1963). Advanced ML algorithms and some of the high-throughput phenotyping approaches in precision agriculture have a great potential to develop precise tools to estimate pasture 209 senescence in breeding programs (Higgins et al, 2014; Ren et al, 2016). 210

211 Phenotypic data collected from conventional methods for pasture persistence estimation 212 are recorded either visually or through destructive harvesting, however, data collection is time-213 consuming, sometimes subjective and labour intensive. The chance of errors in measurement 214 can also be increased due to uneven ground, trampling of pasture, plant heterogeneity, and 215 sward height differences (Murphy et al, 1995). The development of cost-effective efficient 216 methods for pasture persistence evaluation in pasture breeding programs may be achieved by 217 using high throughput phenotyping techniques (Borra-Serrano et al, 2018).

218

219 3. High-throughput plant phenotyping

In the past few decades, precision agriculture has emerged as a major discipline to optimise the use of natural resources in arable lands (Pratap et al, 2015). Plant phenotyping refers to a comprehensive assessment of plant morphological, physiological and biochemical traits such as plant growth, development, resistance against stresses, architecture and physiology (Walter et al, 2015). Consequently, traditional plant phenotyping methods have evolved towards HTP
approaches. HTP consists of sensor technologies mounted on platforms to allow for data capture
at scale and a data handling workflow to acquire targeted-specific plant traits for individual
plants, plots or paddocks (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Elements of high throughput phenotyping technologies that could be used for estimating expression of pasture persistence under field conditions, where LiDAR is light detection and ranging, and ML is machine learning.

247

High-throughput phenotyping offers greater potential to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of phenotyping more complex traits in both indoor environment or under outdoor field conditions (Walter et al, 2012; Fiorani and Schurr, 2013). Due to the high genetic diversity of perennial ryegrass populations, phenotyping plant persistence may require examining many 252 genotypes under field conditions (Wilkins, 1991). Therefore, in this review, we focused on image-

253 based high throughput techniques for pasture phenotyping under field conditions.

254

255 4. High throughput phenotyping platforms

Field-based HTP platforms range from simple handheld devices to complex ground-based or space-borne systems (Figure 2). In order to facilitate high-throughput data capture, phenomic platforms are integrated with various sensors, that may allow the simultaneous measurement of multiple phenotypic traits under field conditions (Ruicheng et al, 2018).

260

261 4.1 Ground-based platforms

Ground-based platforms include handheld devices or modified vehicles. Handheld devices 262 such as SPAD (soil plant analysis development) meters can be used to measure chlorophyll 263 264 concentration, or GreenSeeker can be used to measure NDVI (Qiu et al, 2018). Poor accuracy and 265 the time to measure multiple plant traits may be a key bottleneck of implementing handheld 266 devices for pasture phenotyping under field conditions. Ground-based small motor vehicles like buggies, tractors and quad bikes have been modified and equipped with a wide range of sensors 267 268 to overcome this bottleneck. These ground-based platforms can be driven in the field manually 269 or automatically using computer technology (Lam et al, 2018). Ground-based modified vehicles could replace manual labour and provide more precise data for agricultural research 270 (Gebremedhin et al, 2019). However, the application of ground-based vehicles is challenging 271 272 under some field conditions due to uneven geometry (Bochtis and Sorensen, 2009), extreme weather conditions, and obstacles in the field (Bochtis et al, 2014). 273

274

275 4.2 Airborne platforms

Aerial-based platforms include unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), low altitude aircraft, hot air balloons and helicopters which can be used as a solution for some limitations associated with ground-based and spaceborne platforms in plant phenotyping (Pratap et al, 2015). However, the effectiveness of an airborne platform may depend on the capability for integration with multiple sensors, payload and weather conditions and associated acquisition time (Tsach et al, 2010). Due

to the recent technology development, UAVs have been undergoing extraordinary development 281 282 and are now considered a powerful sensor-bearing platform for many agricultural and 283 environmental applications (Narayanan et al, 2015). Flying and maintenance costs of a UAV is 284 relatively low, compared to the cost associated with other airborne and spaceborne platforms. 285 UAVs can be integrated with ultra-high spatial resolution sensors for phenomics data acquisition and can be deployed as frequently as necessary (Hardin and Hardin, 2010). Flying time and 286 287 battery-life of UAVs can be limited according to body type, payload, and operating conditions, 288 and this may create a bottleneck for the use of UAVs for plant phenotyping.



308 4.3 Spaceborne platforms

309 The use of satellites for plant phenotyping began about three decades ago after satellites were equipped with high-resolution spectral sensors (Hoepffner and Zibordi, 2009), and 310 311 presently, there are a total of 2,666 satellites orbiting earth (UCS, 2020). The majority of satellites 312 provide data at 10-30 m spatial resolution with, on average, 16 days revisiting time (Chang and Clay, 2016). However, modern satellites offer high resolution spatial data with a shorter revisiting 313 time. For instance, WorldView-2 has 2.4 m spatial resolution and a revisiting time of 1.1 - 3.7 days 314 315 (Chang and Clay, 2016). Pasture persistence estimation may require data from plant traits over many years. Satellites are capable of providing long-term spectral data to the public for free, such 316 as the moderate resolution spectral data from Sentinel 2, LANDSAT 7 ETM+ and LANDSAT 8 OLI. 317 Satellite remote sensing platforms are considered to show great prospects for pasture 318 persistence estimation of a sward (Phiri and Morgenroth, 2017). Buying high resolution data from 319 satellites (e.g. GeoEye-1, WorldView-3 and QuickBird) is still a costly process (Chang and Clay, 320 2016; EOS, 2019), which makes use of high resolution satellite data for pasture phenotyping 321 challenging in small scale breeding programs. Some of the sensors equipped with satellites such 322 as spectral cameras and LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) sensors may have reduced quality 323 depending on atmospheric conditions or may not penetrate through clouds or water vapour 324 325 (Hoepffner and Zibordi, 2009). The spatial and temporal resolution of satellites combined with 326 the dependency on good atmospheric conditions when passing over the target site may limit the regular application of satellite remote sensing for plant phenotyping. 327

328

329 **5. Sensors for high-throughput phenotyping**

The most important aspect of all remote sensing platforms is the sensors themselves. These, 330 331 acquire energy in a specific region of the electromagnetic spectrum (EMS) that represents plant 332 morphological, physiological and biochemical properties of the target object (Tsach et al, 2010; 333 Qiu et al, 2018; Che Ya et al, 2019). Sensors can be classified into two different classes for 334 precision agriculture in terms of imaging and non-imaging functionality (Zhu et al, 2018). Image sensors have become the dominant class of sensor used in precision agriculture for plant 335 336 phenotyping due to the rapid progress in image capturing and processing technologies (Ruicheng 337 et al, 2018).

338

339 **5.1 Image sensors for phenotyping pasture persistence**

340 Image sensors capture reflected energy of an object in the visible, near-infrared, or 341 shortwave infrared region of the EMS and generate monochrome, RGB, multi- and hyperspectral, 342 LiDAR or thermal imagery (Li et al, 2014; Perez et al, 2017; Ruicheng et al, 2018). Image sensors collect information about phenomenon through active or passive acquisition systems (Lillesand 343 344 et al, 2015, Zhu et al, 2018). Active image sensors use their own energy source to generate reflected spectrum of a target object and capture reflected energy wavelengths from the target. 345 In contrast, solar radiation is the primary energy source for passive image sensors. Existing image-346 based HTP approaches show that image sensors can be sensitive to discriminate 347 morphophysiological characteristics of plants, and have higher throughput, reliability and 348 repeatability at all scales of measurements than conventional plant screening techniques, such 349 as, visual estimation and manual counting (Perez et al, 2017). The ground sampling distance 350 (GSD) is the distance between two consecutive pixel centers measured on the ground, and it 351 352 depends on the image acquisition height and the spatial resolution of the sensor (Popescu et al, 2016). GSD of modern imaging sensors is low compared to conventional analogue camera due to 353 354 the high spatial resolution. This may allow quantification of complex plant traits related to growth, dry matter yield and stress resistance in a controlled environment or under field 355 conditions (Walter et al, 2012). As such, image-based HTP approaches may have high applicability 356 for persistence estimation in perennial ryegrass breeding programs. 357

358

359 5.1.1 RGB imaging

RGB imaging sensors capture reflected energy in the visible region of the EMS (400–700 nm) with the help of a charge-coupled device (CCD) or a complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor (CMOS) (Perez et al, 2017). Properties of spectral reflectance of pasture in the visible range of the EMS may depend on plant morphological and physiological traits such as plant size, leaf arrangement and chlorophyll concentration (Gates et al, 1965). RGB imaging sensors deployed on aerial or ground-based platforms may have feasibility for quantifying changes in plant growth, development and health status over time. These phenotypic traits may help to differentiate 367 tolerant and sensitive cultivars in breeding programs (Rajendran et al, 2015). There are a number of RGB image-based phenomic tools available for perennial ryegrass persistence estimation. For 368 369 example, recent studies have shown that proximal RGB images could be used to quantify and 370 classify perennial ryegrass ground cover using automated phenomics pipelines (Figure 3), which 371 could replace traditional visual estimations of perennial ryegrass persistence in pasture breeding programs (visual ground cover vs. RGB sensor-based ground cover, r- 0.75, p = 0.001; visual 372 ground cover vs. multispectral sensor-based ground cover -r - 0.80, p = 0.001) (Jayasinghe et al, 373 2019). Moreover, vegetation indices extracted from airborne RGB were tested for use in 374 perennial ryegrass persistence estimation (Borra-Serrano et al, 2018), pathogen detection in 375 376 crops (Zhu et al, 2018), biomass estimation in wheat (Kipp et al, 2014) and biomass estimation in perennial ryegrass (Borra-Serrano et al, 2019). However, the overlapping of leaves in plants may 377 378 reduce the accuracy of RGB image-based phenomics data (Golzarian et al, 2011). The background 379 noise from soil brightness can also affect the quality of RGB image acquisition. Moreover, the visible region of reflected spectra provides only limited information about biochemical characters 380 of the plants, which may limit the application of RGB sensors for phenotyping traits related to 381 crop and pasture nutritive parameters (Fiorani and Schurr, 2013). 382

383 384



387

388

389

390

391

392





Figure 3. Application of proximal RGB imaging for perennial ryegrass ground cover estimation in
 pasture breeding plots; (a) A DSLR camera mounted on a tripod for RGB image acquisition (b)
 RGB image (12 megapixels) from nadir position and (c) classified RGB image using a pixel-based

image analysis techniques, where red colour represents perennial ryegrass green fraction,adapted from (Jayasinghe et al, 2019).

398

399 5.1.2 Spectral imaging

400 Spectral sensors acquire reflected radiation of an object in the visible region (400 - 700 nm) and the infrared (IR) region (700 - 2500 nm) of the EMS (Qiu et al, 2018). According to the spectral 401 402 resolution, spectral cameras can be separated into two groups, namely multispectral and 403 hyperspectral cameras (Ferrato and Forsythe, 2013). Multispectral cameras capture 3-25 discrete 404 spectral bands (broadbands) across the EMS, including red, green, blue, and NIR (near infrared reflectance) with spectral resolution of >10 nm for each band (Perez et al, 2017). Hyperspectral 405 cameras may capture continuous spectral bands (>25 bands) within a specific range of 406 wavelengths and spectral resolution can be less than 10 nm per band (Kise et al, 2010). Pasture 407 408 nutritive characteristics prediction (Pullanagari et al, 2015; Pullanagari et al, 2018; Shorten et al, 2019), mapping the spatial distribution of botanical composition and herbage mass in pasture 409 410 (Kise et al, 2010), multi-temporal assessment of grassland dynamics (Gholizadeh et al, 2020), monitoring of grassland degradation (Wang et al, 2010), classification of grassland successional 411 412 stages (Möckel et al, 2014), insect damage identification in crops (Jianrong et al, 2012), , identification of insect-damaged in wheat kernels (Singh et al, 2010) and early detection of rice 413 blast at seedling stage (Yang, 2012) are some of the recent applications of hyperspectral sensors 414 in precision agriculture. Airborne multispectral sensors have also been used in precision 415 agriculture for mapping and monitoring of pasture biomass and grazing patterns (Michez et al, 416 417 2019), estimation of spatial and temporal variability of pasture growth and digestibility (Insua et al, 2019), prediction of biomass yield in perennial ryegrass breeding programs (Gebremedhin et 418 al, 2020), estimation of pasture dry matter yield at paddock scale (Karunaratne et al, 2020). Sward 419 420 botanical composition, herbage yield, pathogen and insect resistance and pasture ground cover can be used as a population trait to evaluate persistence of perennial ryegrass (described in more 421 422 detail in section 2). (Jayasinghe et al, 2019) have recently developed a spectral camera-based tool for perennial ryegrass persistence estimation that allows for the estimation of perennial ryegrass 423 424 ground cover in pasture breeding (Figure 4). However, further research is required to improve

the accuracy and feasibility of the tool for paddock scale applications. Modification of these applications may be promising as a more precise sensor-based tool to assess persistence of perennial ryegrass in breeding plots or swards. Spectral sensors produce large datasets and may require advanced computational power for data handling and storing (Yang et al, 2020). The quality of image acquisition from spectral sensors may depend on ambient conditions and extensive calibration protocols may be required during data acquisition and data processing to improve the quality of phenomic outcomes.



Figure 4. The multispectral spectral image-based high-throughput pipeline for perennial ryegrass
 ground cover estimation; (a, b) unmanned aerial vehicle and the ground control unit, (c) NDVI
 orthomosaic, where the intensity of pseudocolour green represents NDVI variation of the orthomosaic, (d) the ground cover classification map where green represents perennial ryegrass
 rground cover; orange represents soil and weed cover within the experimental plot boundaries, (adapted from Jayasinghe et al, 2019).

454

455 456

457 5.1.3 Thermography

458 Reflected radiation in the IR (infrared) region (wavelength range: 9-14 μ m) shows a 459 relationship with the overall heat of its surface, which is referred to as the "heat signature" 460 (Gonzalez-Dugo et al, 2013). Thermography is an imaging technique of infrared radiation, which visualises an object using a heat signature (Walter et al, 2012). The transpiration rate can be 461 reduced due to the stomata of leaves close, under water deficit conditions (Nanda et al, 2018). 462 463 Due to lowering transpiration rate, plant canopy temperature of well-watered plants can be lower than plants that are water-stressed (Walter et al, 2012). Thermal imaging may have its 464 greatest potential in identifying drought-tolerant genotypes in plant breeding programs 465 (Gonzalez-Dugo et al, 2013; Zia et al, 2013). Drought resistance of perennial ryegrass may in turn 466 support its persistence during drier summers, which can be phenotyped using thermal imaging 467 in pasture breeding. Data acquisition time is very important in thermography as subtle changes 468 469 in environmental conditions can affect acquired data (Still et al, 2019). A good knowledge of 470 emissivity of the object and its surrounds is also required for more accurate image acquisition 471 (Havens and Sharp, 2016). Thermal imaging is more suited to aerial vehicles with an altitude that may minimise the amount of time and images required to capture the whole trial site. 472

473

474 5.1.4 LiDAR

Light Detection and Ranging is laser technology, that uses a laser pulse to produce a point 475 476 cloud by calculating the time difference between laser pulse emission and reflected light 477 detection (Kumar et al, 2015). The point cloud is a constructed 3D structure (Lin, 2015), which 478 may comprise physical dimensions of a target object. The LiDAR sensor has been a widely used 479 technology in different agricultural studies since 1980 (Lee et al, 2010). Pasture biomass estimation (Wiering et al, 2019), dry matter yield and growth rate measurement in perennial 480 481 ryegrass (Ghamkhar et al, 2019), mapping and monitoring of biomass and grazing in pasture 482 (Michez et al, 2019), weed detection and discrimination from grass in agricultural lands (Escolà

483 et al, 2012; Andújar et al, 2013), pest/disease monitoring in the field (Gebru et al, 2017; Pham et 484 al, 2018; Song et al, 2020), determination of foliage yield and growth rate in perennial ryegrass 485 (Ghamkhar et al, 2019) and estimation of herbage yield in tall fescue (Schaefer and Lamb, 486 2016) are recent applications of UAV-based LiDAR in precision agriculture. These approaches 487 show prospects to use LiDAR sensors as an HTP tool for phenotyping pasture traits such as canopy cover, root architecture, herbage biomass, plant volume and plant structure, species composition 488 489 and pest resistance. However, it may require complex data analysis pipelines for phenomics data 490 extraction from LiDAR images as it generates "big data sets" (Qiu et al, 2019). LiDAR sensors are a relatively expensive technology compared to other imaging sensors and the quality of data 491 492 acquisition may be impacted by environmental factors such as moisture and small particles in the air (Xharde et al, 2006). 493

494

495 5.1.5 Fluorescence imaging

Fluorescence imaging is an acquisition of the fluorescence signal in which particular chemical 496 compounds, when hit with a specific wavelength emit a different specific wavelength 497 (Wouterlood and Boekel, 2009). The fluorescing part of plant tissue is the chlorophyll complex. 498 499 Plant pathogens are severe constraints to productivity and persistence of pasture in the 500 temperate region, and early detection of pathogens is essential to minimise the spreading of infections. Investigation of pathogen resistance in pasture breeding is often based on artificial 501 502 inoculation in controlled environments, and these procedures are biased and time-consuming 503 for targeted improvement of disease resistance. In recent studies, fluorescence imaging was used 504 as a precise tool to diagnose virus infection in crops and early response to biotic and abiotic stress 505 in relation to changes of photosynthetic pigments in crops (Lohaus et al, 2000; Chaerle et al, 506 2007). Identification of perennial ryegrass genotypes with greater pathogen resistance could be 507 achieved using fluorescence imaging. The fluorescence imaging technique could also be used in 508 research for screening plant traits such as frost and salt tolerance (Buschmann and Lichtenthaler, 1998; Gorbea and Calatayud, 2013). An ability to survive in freezing temperatures is an important 509 510 trait, which can be affected pasture survival rate in the temperate region (Wilkins, 1991). Most 511 of the fluorescence imaging studies are limited at the level of a single leaf or an individual plant level, and the level of power requirement for generating fluorescence signal may limit the use ofthis technique in field-based applications (Li et al, 2014).

514

515 5.1.6 X-ray computed tomography

X-ray computed tomography (X-ray CT) is a non-destructive technique for visualising interior 516 features of solid objects, which can generate a 3D image of an object from an extensive series of 517 2D radiographic images (Li et al, 2014). X-ray computed tomography has been applied for many 518 519 crops, including barley, maize, wheat, and chickpea, to examine non-destructive root structure (Lontoc-Roy et al, 2006; Hargreaves et al, 2009). Perennial ryegrass plants with longer root 520 521 systems can uptake available nutrition and water from deeper soil layers, which may support 522 plants to survive under some abiotic stresses such as drought and nutrition deficiency. (Sokolovic et al, 2013) discovered that perennial ryegrass cultivars with better persistency showed higher 523 proportions of deep roots which were 8 % heavier in total compared to poorly persisting cultivars. 524 The implementation of X-ray CT for phenotyping pasture root morphology can be used to identify 525 drought resistance genotypes in breeding programs. However, X-ray CT is a time-consuming 526 technique and requires a high energy supply to generate an X-ray CT image (Li et al, 2014). 527 528 Therefore, applications of X-ray CT for root phenotyping may limit for small scale under field conditions. 529

530

531 Field deployment of image sensors is promising as an effective and efficient source of reliable 532 phenomics data for pasture phenotyping. However, data extraction from images, data 533 interpretation and statistical analysis must also be considered when developing image-based 534 tools for perennial ryegrass persistence estimation and these will be covered in the following 535 sections.

536

537 6. Phenomic feature extraction from images

The process of quantitative feature extraction from images involves a pre-processing workflow and feature extraction pipeline. Prediction of some pasture traits such as dry matter yield can be achieved using vegetation indices (Gebremedhin et al, 2019). However, development of prediction tools for some measurements such as ground cover classification may require advanced machine learning algorithms for phenomic feature extraction. The complexity of the required pipeline for feature extraction may depend on the target trait. Estimation of perennial ryegrass persistence may require investigating changes in more complex processes such as botanical composition and plant senescence (described in more detail in section 2.). Therefore, we have reviewed a range of phenomic features such as VIs, object-based and pixels-based approaches and their feasibility for perennial ryegrass persistence estimation.

548

549 6.1. Image preprocessing

550 In preprocessing, images are imported into a computer-based script and run through a series of 551 steps such as image cropping, contrast improvement, colour noise reduction, image smoothing, image reconstruction, geometric correction and radiometric calibration (Perez et al, 2017). Image 552 preprocessing may improve the quality of data extraction in subsequent steps (Wang et al, 2017). 553 Geometric error of acquired airborne images is a common defect of remote sensing data due to 554 555 altitude differences between the camera and the target position on the ground (Gebremedhin et al, 2019). Geometric calibration (georectification) is the process to accurately link geotagged 556 557 images with a known ground location. The most common way of geometric calibration is done 558 by matching geo locations of ground control points (GCP) with geotagged airborne images (Hu et al, 2018). 559

Airborne and spaceborne images may have fluctuation in the radiometric resolution due to 560 changes in environmental factors such as brightness, cloudiness or surface temperature during 561 image acquisition (Wyatt, 1978b). Several approaches of radiometric calibration methods are 562 available, depending on the data acquisition and extraction methods (Wyatt, 1978c). The use of 563 564 known spectral values of ground-based panels is a widely implemented calibration method 565 (Wyatt, 1978a; Guo et al, 2019). To estimate pasture persistence in breeding plots may require 566 evaluating images from many years, and image data acquisitions could happen under a range of environmental conditions at different times of the year. Therefore, radiometric calibration in 567 568 image preprocessing is an important element to maintain consistency of airborne images. After

preprocessing, images analysis algorithms are executed through a computer vision workflow to
extract target phenomic features such as VIs, ground cover and texture.

571

572 6.2 Feature extraction

Total incident solar radiation reflects, transmits, and absorbs on the surface of an object, and 573 the ratio of the reflected portion to the entire incident solar radiation is called spectral reflectance 574 (Zwiggelaar, 1998). The spectral reflectance of an object consists of a full spectrum, and the 575 amplitudes of spectral reflectance within a specific region of the EMS may be subject to biophysical 576 properties of a target object (Gates et al, 1965)(Figure 5). An image sensor captures particular 577 578 regions of spectral reflectance, called "spectral bands", which stacks properties of spectral information in small regions, called "pixels" (Abdou et al, 1996). In plant phenomics, spectral 579 properties of pixels may transform into a legible format such as vegetation indices, pixel-based and 580 581 object-based features such as ground cover and plant volume using an automated or a semiautomated workflow. 582



Figure 5. (a) The acquisition of reflectance bands from spaceborne hyperspectral sensor (e.g. Landsat-7 ETM; Enhanced Thematic Mapper), (b) typical spectral reflectance curves for soil, water, and plants where colour and grey area represents locations of acquired spectral bands of the hyperspectral sensor (e.g. Landsat-7 ETM+; data source for the graph from Roy et al., 2016).

598 6.2.1 Vegetation indices

599 Vegetative indices (VIs) are an algebraic combination of two or more spectral bands 600 designed for quantitative and qualitative evaluations of plant physiological and biochemical 601 properties in remote sensing studies (Abdou et al, 1996). The first two VIs; ratio index (RI) and 602 vegetation index number (VIN) were developed by (Pearson and Miller, 1972) for mapping of 603 standing crop biomass and estimation of the productivity of the shortgrass, combining NIR and 604 red spectral bands (Abdou et al, 1996). With the recent technological progress, image sensors have been improved in acquisition speed, spatial resolution, and sensitivity range of spectral 605 sensor, which may result in a wide range of VIs in precision agriculture. In this section, we discuss 606 607 recent applications of VIs in precision agriculture and their feasibility for pasture persistence 608 estimation.

609 Annual dry matter yield data across consecutive years is a useful means to assess persistence in pasture breeding. However, the weaknesses of conventional methods for pasture mass 610 611 estimation may create a great challenge to breeders to use long-term dry matter yield data for assessment of persistence (Zwiggelaar, 1998). Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is 612 the normalised ratio between the red and near-infrared bands, proposed by Rouse (Rouse et al, 613 614 1974). Normalized difference vegetation index has a positive relationship with chlorophyll and 615 nitrogen concentration in plant materials (Xue and Su, 2017). The mathematical equation of NDVI can be expressed as NDVI = $(R_n - R_r)/(R_n + R_r)$, where R_n and R_r are the reflectance values of near-616 infrared and red bands. A recent study showed that combining NDVI and plant height offers a 617 robust method to estimate herbage dry matter yield in perennial ryegrass breeding programs 618 (Gebremedhin et al, 2019). Moreover, NDVI derived from seasonal time-series LANDSAT images 619 620 showed a high throughput for forest aboveground biomass estimation (Zhu and Liu, 2015). 621 However, the NDVI measure is known to saturate after a certain biomass density or ground cover 622 is achieved (Lu, 2006). The green normalised difference vegetation index, GNDVI = $(R_n - R_g)/(R_n +$ R_g) is the normalised ratio between the green and near-infrared bands of EMS. Green normalised 623 difference vegetation index is sensitive to variations in green vegetation (Xue and Su, 2017). 624 625 However, the index can be saturated in dense vegetation conditions when the leaf area index 626 becomes high. Green normalised difference vegetation index derived from satellite remote

sensing was efficiently used for assessment of winter crop biomass (Raymond et al, 2013).
Further development of these approaches for pasture breeding may open a great opportunity to
assess persistence in large-scale breeding programs.

630 The estimation of fractional vegetation cover is feasible using image-based HTP (Balfourier et al, 1998), which may replace manual methods of ground cover estimation. UAV-based digital 631 colour images were used to estimate the green fraction of pasture breeding plots using VIs 632 (Borra-Serrano et al, 2018), and this approach has shown a strong relationship between manual 633 ground cover and vegetation indices such as excess green $(ExG2) = (2 \times G - R - B)/(R + G + B)$, 634 green leaf index (GLI) = $(2 \times G - R - B)/(2 \times G + R + B)$ and normalised green intensity (NGI) = G/(R)635 + G + B); where R, G, and B represent red, green and blue bands (visual ground cover vs. sensor-636 based ground cover - r > 0.88, p = 0.001). The senescent fraction of ground cover may be used as 637 a parameter for pasture persistence estimation. However, current methods for pasture 638 639 senescence estimation in pasture breeding methods depend on hand sorting clipped samples. A laboratory radiometric method has been developed for the rapid determination of green and 640 senescent fractions in clipped perennial ryegrass samples using NDVI (Tucker, 1980). This method 641 may permit the use of rapid green and senescent fraction determinations to replace hand sorting, 642 643 and may also apply as ground-truth sampling where destructive dead and green biomass is 644 necessary for validating remote sensing methods. With further development, this method could be implemented to estimate pasture senescence as an indicator of pasture persistence. However, 645 separation of perennial ryegrass dead fraction from soil may be problematic using a multispectral 646 647 camera as both soil, and dead fraction provide a relatively similar spectral signature in the visible region of EMS. However, spectral properties of the dead fraction may show different spectral 648 649 properties in the shortwave NIR region of EMS due to the presence of cellulose and lignin (Nagler 650 et al, 2003). The cellulose absorb index (CAI) and plant senescence reflectance index (PSRI) 651 describe the average depth of the cellulose absorption feature at 2.1 nm wavelength in 652 reflectance spectra and have been implemented to estimate plant litter in grassland (Ren et al, 2012). (Jayasinghe et al, 2020) have successfully used Vis, CAI and PSRI extracted from a proximal 653 654 hyperspectral sensor for pasture senescence estimation.

655

Cellulose Absorption Index (CAI) = $0.5 (R_{2.0} + R_{2.2}) - R_{2.1}$ (Nagler et al, 2003)

Normalised Difference Lignin Index (NDLI) = $\frac{\log(\frac{1}{R_{1.5}}) - \log(\frac{1}{R_{1.6}})}{\log(\frac{1}{R_{1.7}}) + \log(\frac{1}{R_{1.6}})}$ (Serrano et al, 2002)

R_{2.0}, R_{2.1}, R_{2.2}, R_{1.7} and R_{1.6} are reflectance factors in bands at 2.00-2.05, 2.08-2.13, 2.19-2.24, 1.754, and 1.680 μm,
respectively.

659

This study showed a positive relationship between these VIs and senescent pasture in perennial ryegrass breeding plots and the application of these approaches may enable rapid estimation of plant senescence in pasture breeding to assess resistance to abiotic stress such as drought and nutrition deficiencies (Regression model for senescent fraction prediction, y= 429x₁ + 21.83, R² = 0.57, SE = 3.64; y= 595.67x₂ + 17.335, R² = 0.43, SE = 4.20; where y is senescent fraction, x₁ is CAI and x₂ is NDLI).

666 Estimation of sward botanical composition has received more attention from researchers in 667 recent decades (Peng et al, 2018) because variations in sward botanical composition can effect 668 pasture productivity (Waller and Sale, 2001; Reed et al, 2011). Spectral heterogeneity among 669 perennial ryegrass and weeds may offer potential to develop a rapid non-destructive method to 670 estimate weed ingression in breeding plots or a sward (Walter et al, 2012). Plant species diversity was precisely estimated at a fine-scale using hyperspectral indices such as ratio vegetation index 671 $(RVI) = R_{675}/R_{782}$, NDVI = $(R_{782} - R_{675})/(R_{782} + R_{675})$, difference vegetation index (DVI) = $R_{810} - R_{680}$ 672 and soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI) = $((R_{782}-R_{675})/(R_{782} + R_{675} + 0.2))$ (1.2), where the ratio 673 of R represent reflectance at ith band to the sum reflectance value (Peng et al, 2018). Moreover, 674 675 a recent study has demonstrated the potential of hyperspectral-based NDVI for mapping the spatial distribution of botanical composition in pasture using linear discriminant analysis models 676 677 (Suzuki et al, 2012). These studies have discovered the close connection between plant diversity and spectral indices, and it may enable the estimation of weed ingression to assess persistence 678 in pasture breeding. 679

The automated estimation of plant diseases and insect attack at an early stage is vital for precision crop protection. Many studies have revealed that vegetation indices derived from remote sensing platforms have a high potential for discriminating healthy and diseased or pest stressed plants (Chew et al, 2014). Discrimination of plants that were non-inoculated or

656

684 inoculated with Uromyces betae was achieved using the NDVI-based classification model at 71% accuracy (Rumpf et al, 2009). Moreover, VIs; chlorophyll absorption index (CAI) = $R_n \propto R_r/R_e x_2$, 685 686 where R_n, R_r and R_g are spectral reflectance of NIR, red and green bands; photochemical radiation index (PRI) = $(R_{531} - R_{570})/(R_{531} + R_{570})$ where the ratio of R represents reflectance at the ⁱth band 687 688 to the sum reflectance value. PRI has shown noticeable reduction of index value from the infected plants under light stress conditions (p = 0.001) (Chew et al, 2014). Analysing the 689 relationship between manual disease rating and selected vegetation indices from pasture 690 691 breeding plots could be used to develop a robust model for plant disease and pest susceptibility 692 rating in terms of pasture persistence estimation.

693 Current remote sensing studies in precision agriculture show a robust empirical relationship between plant canopy characteristics and VIs. However, the relationships developed between 694 ground truth sampling and remotely sensed data might not be accurate due to high-resolution 695 saturations, soil brightness or other factors such as dust, cloud and shadowing. For example, in 696 wheat, the mathematical relationships developed between ground truth observations of plant 697 canopy characteristics, and corresponding values of vegetation indices for five different 698 geographical locations were qualitatively similar but differed in the specific values of the 699 700 coefficients in the relationships across the sites (Wiegand et al, 1992). Therefore, HTP approaches 701 for pasture persistence estimation may need to move forward with more advanced image analysing procedures such as pixel-based or object image analysis scripts. 702

703

6.2.2 A pixel-based image analysis for feature extraction

705 The pixel-based phenomics pipeline analyses the spectral properties of every pixel within the 706 area of interest using either a supervised or unsupervised classification or some combination 707 (Weih and Riggan, 2010). It has been found that use of pixel-based methods for high-resolution images (at least 118 pixels per cm) results in low accuracy in image classification due to a "salt 708 709 and pepper" effect (De Jong et al, 2001; Whiteside et al, 2011). Perennial ryegrass may have more heterogeneous individuals in large-scale breeding programs (Wilkins and Humphreys, 2003), and 710 711 because perennial ryegrass is a densely tillered plant with leaves overlapping, this may cause 712 shadows and scattering in reflectance spectrum. The increased spectral heterogeneity of neighboring pixels within ground cover classes often leads to an inconsistent classification (Whiteside et al, 2011). This can be overcome by averaging pixel number (e.g. 2x2-4 pixels) in image analysis pipeline, which is available with modern image analysis algorithms. Therefore, alternative learning algorithms such as an object-based image analysis (OBIA) may require extracting more accurate phenomic data for pasture phenotyping.

718

719 6.2.3 Object-based image analysis for feature extraction

An object-based image analysis was developed in the 1970s for remote sensing studies in the Alpine forest environment (De Kok et al, 1999). However, the initial application was limited by hardware, software, and poor resolution of images (Flanders et al, 2003). In the process of OBIA, image pixels cluster together into vector objects, based on their spectral, textural and contextual information (Figure 6) (Yan et al, 2006).



736

Figure 6. The process of image analysis in high throughput phenotyping pipeline for phenomics data extraction; a) image preprocessing, b) image segmentation, c) image classification, and d) classified objects of RGB image for feature extraction; where the image was classified in two types of objects to demonstrate an object-based image analysis pipeline using "k-nearest neighbor" classification algorithm in eCognition developer 9.3.2 software. 743 Image segmentation is a preliminary step in the OBIA. Image segmentation splits images into 744 homogeneous logical partitions based on properties of pixels such as compactness, shape, and 745 scale and knowledge about features of interest (Tan, 2016). After image segmentation, each 746 logical particle is classified into classes on the basis of one or more statistical properties of the 747 contained pixels (Perez et al, 2017). This means that all pixels within a segment are assigned to a 748 class, eliminating problems associated with pixel-based approaches. Several studies have 749 confirmed the superiority of OBIA over pixel-based classifications, especially in heterogeneous 750 agricultural landscapes (Yan et al, 2006). As such, OBIA may have potential to extract phenomic 751 features such as ground cover, plant number and senescent fraction to assess persistence of perennial ryegrass. Application of machine learning scripts such as k-NN (k-nearest neighbor), 752 753 support vector machine (SVM) in OBIA optimise the accuracy of phenomics feature extraction (Perez et al, 2017). 754

755

756 6.2.4 Machine Learning approaches for phenomics feature extraction

757 Image pixels show a non-linear relationship with a range of plant traits such as leaf, fruit, 758 plant organ, plant height, growth rate, and dry matter yield (Furbank and Tester, 2011). The 759 standard image analysis pipelines depend on hand-engineered image processing parameters and 760 have minimal throughput to extract these complex phenomic features from images causing a phenotyping bottleneck (Furbank and Tester, 2011; Walter et al, 2015). In the last two decades, 761 machine learning techniques have become very popular in plant phenomics due to their 762 robustness, accuracy and capability to handle more sophisticated "big data" (Liakos et al, 2018). 763 764 Machine learning may be a supportive tool to combine phenomic, genomic and environment 765 interactions to describe plant performance in a given environment (Dechter, 1986). Machine 766 learning is expected to establish a prominent place in the future of image-based HTP under both 767 controlled environment and field conditions. In terms of pasture persistence estimation, scientists cannot solely rely on linear function plant traits and may need to capture data on more 768 769 complex traits such as root related traits or leaf morphology. Investigation of existing ML 770 technology and their approaches in smart agriculture may enable the phenotyping of more

742

complex plant attributes for pasture persistence estimation. For instance, the reflectance spectra 771 772 of senescent pasture and bare ground lack the unique spectral signature in the visible region 773 (400–700 nm wavelength) of the reflectance spectrum (Aase and Tanaka, 1991). This makes the 774 discrimination between soil and senescent pasture in RGB images difficult or nearly impossible using a standard image analysis algorithm. However, a recent study used advanced ML 775 776 algorithms; the k-nearest neighbor (k-NN) to discriminate senescent perennial ryegrass from the bare ground for persistence estimation (Regression model for senescent fraction prediction, y₁= 777 0.858x + 2.9845, R² = 0.65, SE = 3.08; y₂ = 1.5207x + 2.73, R² = 0.71, SE = 4.07; where y₁ is visual 778 779 senescent fraction, y₂ is dry matter percentage of senescent fraction and x is k-NN based 780 senescent fraction) (Jayasinghe et al, 2020). In the k-NN analysis, RGB images are segmented into small equal partitions (1pixel x 1pixel) and classified according to the relationship of its k-NN with 781 training samples (Figure 3.). 782

783

784 **7. Phenomics data modelling**

The current and future image-based platforms generate terabytes of information. Therefore, 785 data modelling needs to become an essential framework for plant phenomics to develop 786 787 hypotheses allowing multi-scale interpretations of features extracted from images (Tardieu et al, 788 2017). Due to the precision of image-based HTP, a phenomics pipeline may allow the extraction of multiple traits that contribute to pasture persistence to be measured at high temporal and 789 spatial resolution. The data modelling can be used to identify the features most responsible for 790 791 pasture persistence estimation in breeding trials. For instance, a recent study showed that an empirical model developed using features generated from airborne multispectral data and a 792 793 machine learning modelling framework offers an excellent prospect for pasture dry matter yield 794 prediction (manual dry matter vs. ML-based - Lin's concordance values > 0.8, root mean squared 795 error < 25%) (Karunaratne et al, 2020). Moreover, a machine learning technique, Cubist was used 796 to analyse canopy spectra to predict perennial ryegrass nutritive characteristics, which may speed up the process of pasture nutritive value estimation under field conditions (Laboratory 797 data vs. ML-based - R^2 = 0.49-0.82, Lin's concordance values = 0.68-0.89) (Smith et al, 2020). 798 799 Invasion of weeds and less productive species may reduce dry matter production in grazing 800 systems and important pasture nutrient concentration of an animal intake such as crude protein (CP) acid detergent fibre (ADF) (Hume and Sewell, 2014). Therefore, the model developed in 801 802 these recent studies could be adapted to monitor the expression of persistence of a sward. 803 Perennial ryegrass adapts to manage biotic and abiotic stressors via changing morphological 804 traits and adjusting their physiological behaviour (Waller and Sale, 2001). Perennial ryegrass may 805 show complex interactions between genotypes, endophyte and environment at different scales 806 to manage the plant development and mortality. The interactions between these three factors are still unclear. Statistical dynamic models such as artificial neural network and support vector 807 808 machines have been proven to be an efficient phenomic approach to identify abiotic and biotic 809 effects on plant phenotypes using machine learning algorithms (Safa et al, 2019; van Eeuwijk et 810 al, 2019; Castro et al, 2020). Implementation of modern data modelling techniques may help to 811 find answers to unsolved queries related to pasture persistence.

812

813 8. Challenges of image-based HTP for pasture breeding

814 Over the past few decades, plant phenomics has seen significant improvements through 815 development of novel sensors and sensor-bearing platforms for phenotyping a wide range of 816 traits, and biophysical processes. However, data handling and processing remain major 817 challenges when translating sensor information into phenotyping knowledge (Yang et al, 2020). The primary aim of pasture phenotyping focusses on the quantification of biomass, nutritive 818 819 characteristics and persistence at the single plant or population level under field conditions. Field 820 conditions are heterogeneous and environmental factors make results difficult to interpret. 821 However, indoor growth chambers or glasshouses are not the best substitutes for pasture 822 phenotyping. Simulation and providing actual field conditions in a crossing room may create a 823 real challenge for pasture breeders. Pasture breeding is driven by open pollination in field 824 conditions, resulting in highly heterozygous populations. Therefore, understanding genotype x 825 environment interaction using HTP is a challenging process due to the complexity of the perennial 826 ryegrass genome (Araus and Cairns, 2013).

Application of sensor-based technologies for pasture persistence estimation will be a robust process. However, it may require long-term, sustained records of sensor-based data. Phenomics

829 data, derived from airborne platforms are prone to have uncertainty due to changes in various 830 environmental factors such as light conditions, solar radiation angle, atmospheric temperature, 831 strong wind and air moisture (Ruicheng et al, 2018; Gebremedhin et al, 2019). Phenotyping 832 technologies and protocols have no or inadequate capacity to assess some of the plant traits under field conditions (Araus and Cairns, 2013), and these traits may be vital factors for assessing 833 pasture persistence. For instance, drought tolerance of forage grasses has a close relationship 834 835 with both root morphology and physiology. However, available technology for root phenotyping are invariably destructive, time-consuming and their application for field phenotyping is limited 836 or similar to ordinary traditional methods. 837

838 Current image analysis software offers a wide range of image processing algorithms. However, there is a lack of information available on the comparison of performances of these 839 algorithms (Madabhushi and Lee, 2016). Therefore, validation of image processing algorithms 840 841 requires comparing image data with ground truth data, which can be based on numerical real physical measurements. Some pasture breeding trials may occupy a large area (hectares in size) 842 and collecting ground truth data from large breeding trials may be challenging and expensive 843 (Gebremedhin et al, 2019; Gebremedhin et al, 2020). In an image, some of the pixels may 844 845 potentially have a completely different colour profile from neighboring pixels due to leaf overlap 846 and false colouring (Chianucci et al, 2018), and this will lead to overfitting or classification bias in image analysis. Therefore, It is important to have a quality inspection step in the image analysis 847 pipeline using model cross/independent validation or appropriate algorithms, such as the 848 849 watershed algorithm (Pahikkala et al, 2015; Wang et al, 2018).

Application of HTP platforms in precision agriculture may be costly due to high initial purchase and maintenance costs associated with HTP platforms, that may compensate with the cost related with manual phenotyping methods in large scale breeding programs (Yang et al, 2020). However, pasture farmers or small-scale pasture breeders may not be interested in using HTP platforms in their farm or breeding programs due to a low financial incentive. Moreover, applications of HTP platforms may require pre-training and knowledge, that may make use of HTP platforms problematic at the farm scale. Therefore, expenses associated with HTP platforms

857 may create significant challenges in precision agriculture to develop low-cost, user-friendly 858 solutions for small scale applications.

859

860 Conclusions

Persistence estimation in pasture breeding depends on manual ground cover estimation or 861 counting number of plants in a given area. These conventional methods are time consuming and 862 subjective and not suitable for persistence estimation in large scale field trials. The investigation 863 of sensor-based technology for pasture persistence estimation has commenced in pasture 864 breeding programs. Phenotyping data from a recently developed persistence estimation tool 865 866 showed a strong relationship with manual ground-based observations. However, this tool was 867 not sensitive enough to phenotype complex traits such as sward composition in breeding plots. Expression of persistence in perennial ryegrass populations can be estimated by investigating 868 fractions of ground cover, long-term annual dry matter production, intensity of weed ingression, 869 870 pest attack and disease infection. With the rapid development in sensor technologies and image 871 processing software, image-based HTP has been widely implemented in precision agriculture to discover solutions for compelling issues in crop and pasture, including yield prediction, ground 872 873 cover estimation, pathogen and disease severity estimation, weed discrimination and yield and 874 nutritive characteristics prediction. Image-based HTP approaches have encountered various challenges due to a lack of knowledge in image processing and limitations of sensors such as poor 875 876 temporal and spatial resolution. However, existing airborne and ground based HTP approaches 877 in precision agriculture offers opportunities for pasture phenotyping, and further development of these approaches may enable a precise sensor-based tool to assess persistence of perennial 878 879 ryegrass in pasture breeding. The use of image-based HTP for persistence estimation may reduce 880 the required time for releasing new cultivars achieving industry targets in an acceptable time 881 frame.

- 882 Author Contributions: CJ.; design, writing original draft, P.B.; J.J.; and G.S.; review and editing,
- 883 K.S.; design, supervision, review and editing. All authors read and approved the final
- 884 manuscript.
- 885 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest

886 References

887	Aase, J. K., & Tanaka, D. L. (1991). Reflectances from Four Wheat Residue Cover Densities as
888	Influenced by Three Soil Backgrounds. Agronomy Journal, 83 , 753-757.

- Abdou, B., Morin, D., Bonn, F., & Huete, A. (1996). A review of vegetation indices. Remote Sensing
 Reviews, 13, 95-120.
- Adam, E., Mutanga, O., & Rugege, D. (2010). Multispectral and hyperspectral remote sensing for
 identification and mapping of wetland vegetation: a review. Wetlands Ecology and
 Management, 18, 281-296.
- Alem, G., B., P. E., Wang, J., Spangenberg, G. C., & Smith, K. F. (2019). Prospects for Measurement
 of Dry Matter Yield in Forage Breeding Programs Using Sensor Technologies. Agronomy,
 9, 65.
- Allen, V. G., Batello, C., Berretta, E. J., Hodgson, J., Kothmann, M., Li, X., McIvor, J., Milne, J.,
 Morris, C., Peeters, A., & Sanderson, M. (2011). An international terminology for grazing
 lands and grazing animals. Grass and Forage Science, 66, 2-28.
- Andújar, D., Moreno, H., Valero, C., Gerhards, R., & Griepentrog, H. W. (2013) 'Weed-crop
 discrimination using LiDAR measurements.'
- Andujar, D., Rueda-Ayala, V., Moreno, H., Rosell-Polo, J., Escola, A., Valero, C., Gerhards, R.,
 Fernandez-Quintanilla, C., Dorado, J., & Griepentrog, H. W. (2013). Discriminating Crop,
- Weeds and Soil Surface with a Terrestrial LIDAR Sensor. Sensors (Basel, Switzerland), 13,
 14662-75.
- Araus, J., & Cairns, J. (2013). Field high-throughput phenotyping: The new crop breeding frontier.
 Trends in Plant Science, 19, 52-61.
- Balfourier, F., Charmet, G., & Ravel, C. (1998). Genetic differentiation within and between natural
 populations of perennial and annual ryegrass (Lolium perenne and L. rigidum). Heredity,
 81, 100-110.
- Bari, F., Wood, M. K., & Murray, L. (1995). Livestock grazing impacts on interrill erosion in
 Pakistan. Journal of Range Management, 48, 251-257.

913	Bateman, C. J., Fourie, J., Hsiao, J., Irie, K., Heslop, A., Hilditch, A., Hagedorn, M., Jessep, B.,
914	Gebbie, S., & Ghamkhar, K. (2020). Assessment of Mixed Sward Using Context Sensitive
915	Convolutional Neural Networks. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11,
916	Bochtis, D., & Sorensen, C. (2009). The Vehicle Routing Problem in Field Logistics Part I.
917	Biosystems Engineering, 104,
918	Bochtis, D., Sorensen, C., & Busato, P. (2014). Advances in agricultural machinery management:
919	A review. Biosystems Engineering, 126 , 69–81.
920	Borra-Serrano, I., De Swaef, T., Aper, J., Ghesquiere, A., Mertens, K., Nuyttens, D., Saeys, W.,
921	Somers, B., Vangeyte, J., Roldán-Ruiz, I., & Lootens, P. (2018). Towards an objective
922	evaluation of persistency of Lolium perenne swards using UAV imagery. Euphytica, 214,
923	142.
924	Borra-Serrano, I., De Swaef, T., Muylle, H., Nuyttens, D., Vangeyte, J., Mertens, K., Saeys, W.,
925	Somers, B., Roldán-Ruiz, I., & Lootens, P. (2019). Canopy height measurements and non-
926	destructive biomass estimation of Lolium perenne swards using UAV imagery. Grass and
927	Forage Science, 74 , 356-369.
928	Brazendale, R., Bryant, J. R., Lambert, M. G., Holmes, C. W., & Fraser, T. J. Mercer, C. F. (Ed.)
929	(2011) 'Pasture persistence: how much is it worth?, Pasture persistence symposium.'
930	Hamilton, New Zealand. (New Zealand Grassland Association: Dunedin, New Zealand:
931	Brummer, J. E., Nichols, J. T., Russell, K. E., & Eskridge, K. M. (1994). Efficiency of Different Quadrat
932	Sizes and Shapes for Sampling Standing Crop. Journal of Range Management, 47 , 84-89.
933	Buschmann, C., & Lichtenthaler, H. K. (1998). Principles and characteristics of multi-colour
934	fluorescence imaging of plants. Journal of Plant Physiology, 152 , 297-314.
935	Calera, A., Martínez, C., & Melia, J. (2001). A procedure for obtaining green plant cover: Relation
936	to NDVI in a case study for barley. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 22, 3357-
937	3362.
938	Calviere, I., & Duru, M. (1995). Leaf appearance and senescence patterns of some pasture
939	species. Grass and Forage Science, 50, 447-451.
940	Castro, W., Junior, J., Polidoro, C., Osco, L., Gonçalves, W., Rodrigues, L., Santos, M., Jank, L.,
941	Barrios, S., Valle, C., Simeão, R., Carromeu, C., Silveira, E., Castro, L., & Matsubara, E.

- 942 (2020). Deep Learning Applied to Phenotyping of Biomass in Forages with UAV-Based RGB
 943 Imagery. Sensors, **20**, 4802.
- Cayley, J. W. D., & Bird, P. R. (1996). Techniques for measuring pasture. Pastoral Research
 Institute, Hamilton, Agriculture Victoria, Australia.
- Chaerle, L., Lenk, S., Hagenbeek, D., Claus, B., & Dominique, V. D. S. (2007). Multicolor
 fluorescence imaging for early detection of the hypersensitive reaction to tobacco mosaic
 virus. J Plant Physiol, 164, 253-62.
- 949 Chang, J., & Clay, D. (2016). Matching Remote Sensing to Problems. pp. 22.
- 950 Chapman, D., Beca, D., Hill, J., Tharmaraj, J., Jacobs, J., & Cullen, B. (2014). Increasing home-grown
- 951 forage consumption and profit in non-irrigated dairy systems. 4. Economic performance.
 952 Animal Production Science, 54, 256.
- Che Ya, N., Fadzilah, M., Roslin, N., Zainol, A., N.Z., W., Tarmidi, Z., & Candra, F. (2019). Unmanned
 Aerial Vehicle Applications In Agriculture. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and
 Engineering, **506**, 012063.
- Chew, W. C., Hashim, M., Lau, A. M. S., Battay, A. E., & Kang, C. S. (2014). Early detection of plant
 disease using close range sensing system for input into digital earth environment. IOP
 Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 18, 012143.
- Chianucci, F., Lucibelli, A., & Dell'Abate, M. T. (2018). Estimation of ground canopy cover in
 agricultural crops using downward-looking photography. Biosystems Engineering, 169,
 209-216.
- 962 Culvenor, R. A., & Simpson, R. J. (2014). Persistence traits in perennial pasture grasses: the case
 963 of phalaris (Phalaris aquatica L.). Crop and Pasture Science, 65, 1165-1176.
- Cunningham, P. J., Blumenthal, M. J., Anderson, M. W., Prakash, K. S., & Leonforte, A. (1994).
 Perennial ryegrass improvement in Australia. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural
 Research, 37, 295-310.
- Daily, H. G., Lane, P. A., Lisson, S. N., Bridle, K. L., Anderson, S. A. J., & Corkrey, R. (2013). Leaf
 growth and senescence rates of three pasture grasses and wheat. Crop and Pasture
 Science, 64, 660-672.

970	De Jong, S. M., Hornstra, T., & Maas, HG. (2001). An integrated spatial and spectral approach to
971	the classification of Mediterranean land cover types: the SSC method. International
972	Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 3 , 176-183.
973	De Kok, R., Schneider, T., & Ammer, U. (1999). Object-Based Classification And Applications In
974	The Alpine Forest Environment.
975	Dechter, R. (1986) 'Learning While Searching in Constraint-Satisfaction-Problems.'
976	Doyle, P., Rowe, J., & Warren, B. (1989) 'Nutritive value of pasture species, Proceedings of the
977	5th Australian agronomy conference.' Parkville, Vic.
978	Earle, D., & McGowan, A. (1979). Evaluation and calibration of an automated rising plate meter
979	for estimating dry matter yield of pasture. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture,
980	19 , 337-3 43.
981	Eldridge, D. J., & Rothon, J. (1992). Runoff and sediment yield from a semi-arid woodland in
982	eastern Australia. 1. The effect of pasture type. The Rangeland Journal, 14, 26-39.
983	EOS (2019). 'High resolution images are close as never before ' Available at
984	https://eos.com/blog/high-resolution-images-are-close-as-never-before/ [Accessed
985	25/08/2020].
986	Escolà, A., Andújar, D., Dorado, J., Fernandez-Quintanilla, C., & Rosell-Polo, J. (2012) 'Weed
987	detection and discrimination in maize fields using ultrasonic and lidar sensors.
988	Fawcett, T. (2006). An introduction to ROC analysis. Pattern Recognition Letters, 27, 861-874.
989	Ferrato, LJ., & Forsythe, K. (2013). Comparing Hyperspectral and Multispectral Imagery for Land
990	Classification of the Lower Don River, Toronto. Journal of Geography and Geology, 5,
991	Fiorani, F., & Schurr, U. (2013). Future scenarios for plant phenotyping. Annu Rev Plant Biol, 64,
992	267-91.
993	Flanders, D., Hall-Beyer, M., & Pereverzoff, J. (2003). Preliminary evaluation of eCognition object-
994	based software for cut block delineation and feature extraction. Canadian Journal of
995	Remote Sensing, 29 , 441-452.
996	Fletcher, J. E., & Robinson, M. E. (1956). A capacitance meter for estimating forage weight.
007	Journal of Range Management 9

- Freebairn, D. M., & Boughton, W. C. (1981). Surface runoff experiments on the Eastern Darling
 Downs. Australian Journal of Soil Research, 19, 133-146.
- Fulkerson, W., Sinclair, K., Moore, K., & Rolfe, C. (1993) 'Management of Lolium
 perenne/Trifolium repens pastures in the subtropics. I. Effect of defoliation interval,
 seeding rate and application of N and lime.'
- Furbank, R. T., & Tester, M. (2011). Phenomics technologies to relieve the phenotyping
 bottleneck. Trends in Plant Science, 16, 635-644.
- Gates, M. D., Keegan, J. H., Schleter, C. J., & Weidner, R. V. (1965). Spectral Properties of Plants.
 Applied Optics, 4, 11-20.
- Gebremedhin, A., Badenhorst, P., Wang, J., Giri, K., Spangenberg, G., & Smith, K. (2019).
 Development and Validation of a Model to Combine NDVI and Plant Height for High Throughput Phenotyping of Herbage Yield in a Perennial Ryegrass Breeding Program.
 Remote Sensing, **11**, 2494.
- Gebremedhin, A., Badenhorst, P., Wang, J., Shi, F., Breen, E., Giri, K., Spangenberg, G. C., & Smith,
 K. (2020). Development and Validation of a Phenotyping Computational Workflow to
 Predict the Biomass Yield of a Large Perennial Ryegrass Breeding Field Trial. Frontiers in
 Plant Science, 11,
- Gebru, A., Jansson, S., Ignell, R., Kirkeby, C., & Brydegaard, M. (2017) 'Multispectral polarimetric
 modulation spectroscopy for species and sex determination of Malaria disease vectors,
 Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics.' San Jose, California, 2017/05/14. Available at
 <u>http://www.osapublishing.org/abstract.cfm?URI=CLEO_AT-2017-ATh1B.2</u>
- Ghamkhar, K., Irie, K., Hagedorn, M., Hsiao, J., Fourie, J., Gebbie, S., Hoyos-Villegas, V., George,
 R., Stewart, A., Inch, C., Werner, A., & Barrett, B. (2019). Real-time, non-destructive and
 in-field foliage yield and growth rate measurement in perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne
 L.). Plant Methods, 15, 72.
- 1023 Gholizadeh, H., Gamon, J. A., Helzer, C. J., & Cavender-Bares, J. (2020). Multi-temporal 1024 assessment of grassland α - and β -diversity using hyperspectral imaging. Ecological 1025 Applications, **30**, e02145.

1026	Gitelson, A. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Stark, R., & Rundquist, D. (2002). Novel algorithms for remote
1027	estimation of vegetation fraction. Remote Sensing of Environment, 80, 76-87.
1028	Golzarian, M. R., Frick, R. A., Rajendran, K., Berger, B., Roy, S., Tester, M., & Lun, D. S. (2011).
1029	Accurate inference of shoot biomass from high-throughput images of cereal plants. Plant
1030	Methods, 7 , 2.
1031	Gonzalez-Dugo, V., Zarco-Tejada, P., Nicolás, E., Nortes, P. A., Alarcón, J. J., Intrigliolo, D. S., &
1032	Fereres, E. (2013). Using high resolution UAV thermal imagery to assess the variability in
1033	the water status of five fruit tree species within a commercial orchard. Precision
1034	Agriculture, 14 , 660-678.
1035	Gorbea, E., & Calatayud, A. (2013). Review Applications of chlorophyll fluorescence imaging
1036	technique in horticultural research: A review. Scientia Horticulturae, 138,
1037	Guo, Y., Senthilnath, J., Wu, W., Zhang, X., Zeng, Z., & Huang, H. (2019). Radiometric Calibration
1038	for Multispectral Camera of Different Imaging Conditions Mounted on a UAV Platform.
1039	11 , 978.
1040	Hardin, P. J., & Hardin, T. J. (2010). Small-Scale Remotely Piloted Vehicles in Environmental
1041	Research. 4 , 1297-1311.
1042	Hargreaves, C. E., Gregory, P. J., & Bengough, A. G. (2009). Measuring root traits in barley
1043	(Hordeum vulgare ssp. vulgare and ssp. spontaneum) seedlings using gel chambers, soil
1044	sacs and X-ray microtomography. 316 , 285-297.
1045	Havens, K. J., & Sharp, E. J. (2016). Chapter 7 - Thermal Imagers and System Considerations. In
1046	'Thermal Imaging Techniques to Survey and Monitor Animals in the Wild.' (Eds Havens, K.
1047	J.,&Sharp, E. J.) pp. 101-119. (Academic Press: Boston)
1048	Hayes, B. J., Cogan, N. O. I., Pembleton, L. W., Goddard, M. E., Wang, J., Spangenberg, G. C., &
1049	Forster, J. W. (2013). Prospects for genomic selection in forage plant species. 132, 133-
1050	143.
1051	Henry, B. E., Verba, S., & Schlozman, K. L. (1995). Beyond Ses: A Resource Model of Political
1052	Participation. The American Political Science Review, 89, 271-294.

- Higgins, M. A., Asner, G. P., Perez, E., Elespuru, N., & Alonso, A. (2014). Variation in
 photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic vegetation along edaphic and compositional
 gradients in northwestern Amazonia. Biogeosciences, **11**, 3505-3513.
- Hoepffner, N., & Zibordi, G. (2009). Remote Sensing of Coastal Waters. In 'Encyclopedia of Ocean
 Sciences (Second Edition).' (Ed. Steele, J. H.) pp. 732-741. (Academic Press: Oxford)
- Hosoi, F., Nakabayashi, K., & Omasa, K. (2011). 3-D modeling of tomato canopies using a highresolution portable scanning lidar for extracting structural information. Sensors (Basel),
 1060 11, 2166-74.
- Hu, P., Chapman, S. C., Wang, X., Potgieter, A., Duan, T., Jordan, D., Guo, Y., & Zheng, B. (2018).
 Estimation of plant height using a high throughput phenotyping platform based on
 unmanned aerial vehicle and self-calibration: Example for sorghum breeding. European
 Journal of Agronomy, 95, 24-32.
- Hume, D. E., & Sewell, J. C. (2014). Agronomic advantages conferred by endophyte infection of
 perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and tall fescue (Festuca arundinacea Schreb.) in
 Australia. Crop and Pasture Science, 65, 747-757.
- Hutchings, N. J. (1991). Spatial heterogeneity and other sources of variance in sward height as
 measured by the sonic and HFRO sward sticks. Grass and Forage Science, 46, 277-282.
- Insua, J. R., Utsumi, S. A., & Basso, B. (2019). Estimation of spatial and temporal variability of
 pasture growth and digestibility in grazing rotations coupling unmanned aerial vehicle
 (UAV) with crop simulation models. PLOS ONE, 14, e0212773-e0212773.
- Jayasinghe, C., Badenhorst, P., Jacobs, J., Spangenberg, G., & Smith, K. (2020). High-Throughput
 Ground Cover Classification of Perennial Ryegrass (Lolium Perenne L.) for the Estimation
 of Persistence in Pasture Breeding. Agronomy, **10**, 1206.
- Jayasinghe, C., Badenhorst, P., Wang, J., Jacobs, J., Spangenberg, G., & Smith, K. (2019). An
 Object-Based Image Analysis Approach to Assess Persistence of Perennial Ryegrass
 (Lolium perenne L.) in Pasture Breeding. Agronomy, 9, 501.
- Jianrong, H., Liao, H., Yubo, Z., Sun, J., Sun, Q., & Liu, X. (2012). Hyperspectral detection of rice
 damaged by rice leaf folder (Cnaphalocrocis medinalis). Computers and Electronics in
 Agriculture, 82, 100–107.

- Karunaratne, S., Thomson, A., Morse-McNabb, E., Wijesingha, J., Stayches, D., Copland, A., &
 Jacobs, J. (2020). The Fusion of Spectral and Structural Datasets Derived from an Airborne
 Multispectral Sensor for Estimation of Pasture Dry Matter Yield at Paddock Scale with
 Time. Remote Sensing, 12, 2017.
- 1086 Kipp, S., Mistele, B., Baresel, P., & Schmidhalter, U. (2014). High-throughput phenotyping early 1087 plant vigour of winter wheat. European Journal of Agronomy, **52**, 271-278.
- Kise, M., Park, B., Heitschmidt, G. W., Lawrence, K. C., & Windham, W. R. (2010). Multispectral
 imaging system with interchangeable filter design. Computers and Electronics in
 Agriculture, 72, 61-68.
- 1091 Kumar, J., Pratap, A., & Kumar, S. (2015). Plant Phenomics: An Overview. pp. 1-10.
- Lam, L. M., Christopher, K. Y., Koonjul, Y., & Nagowah, L. (2018). A low cost autonomous
 unmanned ground vehicle. Future Computing and Informatics Journal, 3, 304-320.
- Lang, R. D., & McCaffrey, L. A. H. (1984) 'Ground cover its affects on soil loss from grazed runoff
 plots, Gunnedah.'
- Lee, W. S., Alchanatis, V., Yang, C., Hirafuji, M., Moshou, D., & Li, C. (2010). Sensing technologies
 for precision specialty crop production. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 74, 2 33.
- Li, L., Zhang, Q., & Huang, D. (2014). A Review of Imaging Techniques for Plant Phenotyping.
 Sensors, 14, 20078.
- Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine Learning in
 Agriculture: A Review. Sensors (Basel), 18,
- Lillesand, T. M., Kiefer, R. W., & Chipman, J. W. (2015) 'Remote sensing and image interpretation.'
 (Wiley:
- Lin, Y. (2015). LiDAR: An important tool for next-generation phenotyping technology of high potential for plant phenomics? Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, **119**, 61-73.
- Lin, Z., Wang, J., Cogan, N. O. I., Pembleton, L. W., Badenhorst, P., Forster, J. W., Spangenberg, G.
 C., Hayes, B. J., & Daetwyler, H. D. (2017). Optimizing Resource Allocation in a Genomic
 Breeding Program for Perennial Ryegrass to Balance Genetic Gain, Cost, and Inbreeding.
 Crop Science, 57, 243-252.

- Little, D. L., & Frensham, A. B. (1993) 'A rod-point technique for estimating botanical composition
 of pastures.'
- Lodge, G. M., & Murphy, S. R. (2006). Root depth of native and sown perennial grass-based pastures, North-West Slopes, New South Wales. 1. Estimates from cores and effects of grazing treatments %J Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. **46**, 337-345.
- Lohaus, G., Heldt, H. W., & Osmond, C. B. (2000). Infection with Phloem Limited Abutilon Mosaic
 Virus Causes Localized Carbohydrate Accumulation in Leaves of Abutilon striatum:
 Relationships to Symptom Development and Effects on Chlorophyll Fluorescence
 Quenching During Photosynthetic Induction. 2, 161-167.
- Lontoc-Roy, M., Dutilleul, P., Prasher, S. O., Han, L., Brouillet, T., & Smith, D. L. (2006). Advances in the acquisition and analysis of CT scan data to isolate a crop root system from the soil medium and quantify root system complexity in 3-D space. Geoderma, **137**, 231-241.
- 1123 Lu, D. (2006). The potential and challenge of remote sensing-based biomass estimation.
 1124 International Journal of Remote Sensing, 27, 1297-1328.
- Ludemann, C. L., Jacobs, J. L., & Smith, K. F. (2015). The economic significance of maintaining pasture production at its peak value. Crop and Pasture Science, **66**, 205-213.
- Luscier, J., L. Thompson, W., Wilson, J., E. Gorham, B., & Dråguţ, L. (2006) 'Using digital
 photographs and object-based image analysis to estimate percent ground cover in
 vegetation plots.'
- 1130Lynch, P. B. (1966). Conduct of Field Experiments. Available at http://nzgfa.co.nz/wp-1131content/uploads/2017/06/Conduct-of-Field-ExperimentsLQ-1.pdf
- Madabhushi, A., & Lee, G. (2016). Image analysis and machine learning in digital pathology:
 Challenges and opportunities. Medical Image Analysis, **33**, 170-175.
- Makanza, R., Zaman-Allah, M., Cairns, J. E., Magorokosho, C., Tarekegne, A., Olsen, M., &
 Prasanna, B. M. (2018a). High-Throughput Phenotyping of Canopy Cover and Senescence
 in Maize Field Trials Using Aerial Digital Canopy Imaging. 10, 330.
- Makanza, R., Zaman-Allah, M., Cairns, J. E., Magorokosho, C., Tarekegne, A., Olsen, M., &
 Prasanna, B. M. (2018b). High-Throughput Phenotyping of Canopy Cover and Senescence
 in Maize Field Trials Using Aerial Digital Canopy Imaging. Remote Sensing, **10**, 330.

1140	Mannetje, L., & Haydock, K. P. (1963). The dry weight rank method for the botanical analysis of
1141	pasture. Grass and Forage Science, 18 , 268-275.
1142	Martinson, K. L., Siciliano, P. D., Sheaffer, C. C., McIntosh, B. J., Swinker, A. M., & Williams, C. A.
1143	(2017). A Review of Equine Grazing Research Methodologies. Journal of Equine Veterinary
1144	Science, 51 , 92-104.
1145	McIvor, J. G., Williams, J., & Gardener, C. J. (1995). Pasture management influences runoff and
1146	soil movement in the semi-arid tropics. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture,
1147	35 , 55-65.
1148	Mehl, P. M., Chao, K., Kim, M. S., & Chen, YR. (2001) 'Detection of contamination on selected
1149	apple cultivars using reflectance hyperspectral and multispectral analysis.' (SPIE:
1150	Michez, A., Lejeune, P., Bauwens, S., Herinaina, A. A. L., Blaise, Y., Castro Muñoz, E., Lebeau, F.,
1151	& Bindelle, J. (2019). Mapping and Monitoring of Biomass and Grazing in Pasture with an
1152	Unmanned Aerial System. Remote Sensing, 11 , 473.
1153	Minnee, E. M. K., McCready, T. B., & Woodward, S. L. (2017). Herbage production, botanical
1154	composition and survival of perennial ryegrass- and tall fescue-based swards in simple
1155	and diverse species mixtures in a dryland environment. Animal Production Science, 57,
1156	1405-1413.
1157	MLA (2014). 'Improving pasture use with the MLA Pasture Ruler.' Available at
1158	https://www.mla.com.au/CustomControls/PaymentGateway/ViewFile.aspx?1DzSU1GM
1159	e3lahrLvRs6NX/izeZ+FqtK8xrBWP9Y6/RMfzdQfJppzWoK4aEqDgBin3EYMKKAfsht7d1Tnt
1160	<u>3BqiA</u> == [Accessed 28/10/2019].
1161	Moate, P. J., Williams, S. R. O., Grainger, C., Hannah, M. C., Mapleson, D., Auldist, M. J.,
1162	Greenwood, J. S., Popay, A. J., Hume, D. E., Mace, W. J., & Wales, W. J. (2012). Effects of
1163	wild-type, AR1 and AR37 endophyte-infected perennial ryegrass on dairy production in
1164	Victoria, Australia. Animal Production Science, 52 , 1117-1130.
1165	Möckel, T., Dalmayne, J., Prentice, H. C., Eklundh, L., Purschke, O., Schmidtlein, S., & Hall, K.

(2014). Classification of Grassland Successional Stages Using Airborne Hyperspectral
Imagery. Remote Sensing, 6, 7732-7761.

1168	Murphy, W. M., Silman, J. P., & Barreto, A. D. M. (1995). A comparison of quadrat, capacitance
1169	meter, HFRO sward stick, and rising plate for estimating herbage mass in a smooth-
1170	stalked, meadowgrass-dominant white clover sward. Grass and Forage Science, 50, 452-
1171	455.
1172	Nagler, P. L., Inoue, Y., Glenn, E. P., Russ, A. L., & Daughtry, C. S. T. (2003). Cellulose absorption
1173	index (CAI) to quantify mixed soil–plant litter scenes. Remote Sensing of Environment, 87,
1174	310-325.
1175	Nanda, M. k., Giri, U., & Bera, N. (2018). Canopy Temperature-Based Water Stress Indices:
1176	Potential and Limitations. pp. 365-385.
1177	Narayanan, L., R., G., & Oliver, C. I. (2015). Joint Network for Disaster Relief and Search and
1178	Rescue Network Operations. In 'Wireless Public Safety Networks 1.' (Eds Câmara,
1179	D.,&Nikaein, N.) pp. 163-193. (Elsevier:
1180	Pahikkala, T., Kari, K., Mattila, H., Lepistö, A., Teuhola, J., Nevalainen, O., & Tyystjärvi, E. (2015).
1181	Classification of plant species from images of overlapping leaves. Computers and
1182	Electronics in Agriculture, 118 , 186-192.
1183	Pearson, R. L., & Miller, L. D. (1972) 'Remote Mapping of Standing Crop Biomass for Estimation
1184	of the Productivity of the Shortgrass Prairie, Pawnee National Grasslands, Colorado.'
1185	Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Remote Sensing of the Environment.
1186	(Department of Watershed Sciences, College of Forestry and Natural Resources, Colorado
1187	State University. Available at <u>https://books.google.com.au/books?id=x6XYGwAACAAJ</u>
1188	Peng, Y., Fan, M., Song, J., Cui, T., & Li, R. (2018). Assessment of plant species diversity based on
1189	hyperspectral indices at a fine scale. Scientific Reports, 8, 4776.
1190	Perez, F., Lorente, P. J. N., & Egea-Cortines, M. (2017). Plant phenomics: an overview of image
1191	acquisition technologies and image data analysis algorithms. GigaScience, 6 , 1-18.
1192	Pham, H., Lim, Y., Gardi, A., & Sabatini, R. (2018) 'A Novel Bistatic LIDAR System for Early-
1193	Detection of Plant Diseases from Unmanned Aircraft.'
1194	Phiri, D., & Morgenroth, J. (2017). Developments in Landsat Land Cover Classification Methods:
1195	A Review. 9 , 967.

- Popescu, G., Iordan, D., & Păunescu, V. (2016). The Resultant Positional Accuracy for the
 Orthophotos Obtained with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). Agriculture and
 Agricultural Science Procedia, **10**, 458-464.
- Pratap, A., Tomar, R., Kumar, J., Pandey, V. R., Mehandi, S., & Katiyar, P. K. (2015). HighThroughput Plant Phenotyping Platforms. In 'Phenomics in Crop Plants: Trends, Options
 and Limitations.' (Eds Kumar, J., Pratap, A.,&Kumar, S.) pp. 285-296. (Springer India: New
 Delhi)
- Pullanagari, R., Kereszturi, G., Yule, I., & Irwin, M. (2015) 'Determination of pasture quality using
 airborne hyperspectral imaging.'
- Pullanagari, R. R., Kereszturi, G., & Yule, I. (2018). Integrating Airborne Hyperspectral,
 Topographic, and Soil Data for Estimating Pasture Quality Using Recursive Feature
 Elimination with Random Forest Regression. Remote Sensing, 10, 1117.
- Qiu, Q., Sun, N., Bai, H., Wang, N., Fan, Z., Wang, Y., Meng, Z., Li, B., & Cong, Y. (2019). Field-Based
 High-Throughput Phenotyping for Maize Plant Using 3D LiDAR Point Cloud Generated
 With a "Phenomobile". Frontiers in Plant Science, 10,
- Qiu, R., Wei, S., Li, H., Sun, H., Liu, G., & Li, M. (2018). Sensors for measuring plant phenotyping:
 A review. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, **11**, 1-17.
- Rajendran, K., Patil, S., & Kumar, S. (2015). Phenotyping for Problem Soils. In 'Phenomics in Crop
 Plants: Trends, Options and Limitations.' (Eds Kumar, J., Pratap, A.,&Kumar, S.) pp. 129 146. (Springer India: New Delhi)
- Raymond, H. E., Doraiswamy, P. C., McMurtrey, J. E., Daughtry, C. S. T., Perry, E. M., & Akhmedov,
 B. (2013). A visible band index for remote sensing leaf chlorophyll content at the canopy
 scale. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 21, 103112.
- Reed, K., Nie, Z., Walker, L. V., Mace, W., & Clark, S. (2011). Weather and Pasture Characteristics
 Associated with Outbreaks of Perennial Ryegrass Toxicosis in Southern Australia. Animal
 Production Science, **51**, 738-752.

- Ren, H., Zhou, G., Zhang, F., & Zhang, X. (2012). Evaluating cellulose absorption index (CAI) for
 non-photosynthetic biomass estimation in the desert steppe of Inner Mongolia. Chinese
 Science Bulletin, 57, 1716-1722.
- Ren, S., Chen, X., & An, S. (2016). Assessing plant senescence reflectance index-retrieved
 vegetation phenology and its spatiotemporal response to climate change in the Inner
 Mongolian Grassland. International Journal of Biometeorology, 61,
- Richardson, M. D., Karcher, D. E., & Purcell, L. C. (2001). Quantifying Turfgrass Cover Using Digital
 Image Analysis. Crop Science, 41, 1884-1888.
- Rotz, J. D. (2006). Comparison of techniques for estimating pasture herbage mass and productive
 ground cover for Lakota prairie grass, Kentucky 31 endophyte free tall fescue, Kentucky
 31 endophyte infected tall fescue and Quantum 542 tall fescue grazed by stocker steers.
- 1235 Rouse, J. J. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., & Deering, D. (1974). Monitoring vegetation systems in 1236 the great plains with ERTS.

State University, Virginia.

1234

- Roy, D. P., Kovalskyy, V., Zhang, H. K., Vermote, E. F., Yan, L., Kumar, S. S., & Egorov, A. (2016).
 Characterization of Landsat-7 to Landsat-8 reflective wavelength and normalized
 difference vegetation index continuity. Remote Sensing of Environment, 185, 57-70.
- Ruicheng, Q., Shuang, W., Man, Z., Han, L., Hong, S., Gang, L., & Minzan, L. (2018). Sensors for
 measuring plant phenotyping: A review. International Journal of Agricultural & Biological
 Engineering, 11, 1-17.
- Rumpf, T., Mahlein, A., Dörschlag, D., & Plümer, L. (2009) 'Identification of combined vegetation
 indices for the early detection of plant diseases.' (SPIE:
- Safa, M., Martin, K. E., Kc, B., Khadka, R., & Maxwell, T. M. R. (2019). Modelling nitrogen content
 of pasture herbage using thermal images and artificial neural networks. Thermal Science
 and Engineering Progress, **11**, 283-288.
- Schaefer, M. T., & Lamb, D. W. (2016). A Combination of Plant NDVI and LiDAR Measurements
 Improve the Estimation of Pasture Biomass in Tall Fescue (Festuca arundinacea var.
 Fletcher). Remote Sensing, 8, 109.

- Serrano, L., Peñuelas, J., & Ustin, S. L. (2002). Remote sensing of nitrogen and lignin in
 Mediterranean vegetation from AVIRIS data: Decomposing biochemical from structural
 signals. Remote Sensing of Environment, **81**, 355-364.
- Shorten, P. R., Leath, S. R., Schmidt, J., & Ghamkhar, K. (2019). Predicting the quality of ryegrass
 using hyperspectral imaging. Plant Methods, **15**, 63.
- Singh, C., Jayas, D., Paliwal, J., & White, N. (2010). Identification of insect-damaged wheat kernels
 using short-wave near-infrared hyperspectral and digital colour imaging. Computers and
 Electronics in Agriculture COMPUT ELECTRON AGRIC, 73, 118-125.
- Skovsen, S., Dyrmann, M., Mortensen, A. K., Steen, K. A., Green, O., Eriksen, J., Gislum, R.,
 Jørgensen, R. N., & Karstoft, H. (2017). Estimation of the Botanical Composition of Clover Grass Leys from RGB Images Using Data Simulation and Fully Convolutional Neural
 Networks. Sensors, **17**, 2930.
- Smith, C., Karunaratne, S., Badenhorst, P., Cogan, N., Spangenberg, G., & Smith, K. (2020).
 Machine Learning Algorithms to Predict Forage Nutritive Value of In Situ Perennial
 Ryegrass Plants Using Hyperspectral Canopy Reflectance Data. Remote Sensing, **12**, 928.
- Sokolovic, D., Babic, S., Radovic, J., Milenkovic, J., Lugic, Z., Andjelkovic, S., & Vasic, T. (2013)
 'Genetic Variation of Root Characteristics and Deep Root Production in Perennial Ryegrass
 Cultivars Contrasting in Field Persistency.' Dordrecht. (Springer Netherlands:
- Song, Z., Zhang, B., Feng, H., Zhu, S., Hu, L., Brydegaard, M., Li, Y., Jansson, S., Malmqvist, E.,
 Svanberg, K., Zhao, G., Bood, J., Svanberg, S., & Li, D. (2020). Application of lidar remote
 sensing of insects in agricultural entomology on the Chinese scene. Journal of Applied
 Entomology, 144, 161-169.
- Still, C., Powell, R., Aubrecht, D., Kim, Y., Helliker, B., Roberts, D., Richardson, A. D., & Goulden,
 M. (2019). Thermal imaging in plant and ecosystem ecology: applications and challenges.
 Ecosphere, 10, e02768.
- Sun, S., Li, C., & Paterson, A. (2017). In-Field High-Throughput Phenotyping of Cotton Plant Height
 Using LiDAR. Remote Sensing, 9, 377.

- Suzuki, Y., Okamoto, H., Takahashi, M., Kataoka, T., & Shibata, Y. (2012). Mapping the spatial
 distribution of botanical composition and herbage mass in pastures using hyperspectral
 imaging. 58, 1-7.
- 1281 Tan, Y. (2016). Chapter 11 Applications. In 'Gpu-Based Parallel Implementation of Swarm 1282 Intelligence Algorithms.' (Ed. Tan, Y.) pp. 167-177. (Morgan Kaufmann:
- 1283 Tardieu, F., Cabrera-Bosquet, L., Pridmore, T., & Bennett, M. (2017). Plant Phenomics, From 1284 Sensors to Knowledge. Current Biology, **27**, R770-R783.
- Tardieu, F., & Tuberosa, R. (2010). Dissection and modelling of abiotic stress tolerance in plants.
 Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 13, 206-212.
- 1287 Tharmaraj, J., Chapman, D. F., Hill, J., Jacobs, J. L., & Cullen, B. R. (2014). Increasing home-grown
- forage consumption and profit in non-irrigated dairy systems. 2. Forage harvested. Animal
 Production Science, 54, 234-246.
- Trotter, M. G., Lamb, D. W., Donald, G. E., & Schneider, D. A. (2010). Evaluating an active optical
 sensor for quantifying and mapping green herbage mass and growth in a perennial grass
 pasture. Crop and Pasture Science, 61, 389-398.
- Tsach, S., Tatievsky, A., & London, L. (2010). Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). In 'Encyclopedia
 of Aerospace Engineering.' (Eds Blockley, R.,&Shyy, W.) (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: United
 States)
- Tucker, C. J. (1980). A spectral method for determining the percentage of green herbage material
 in clipped samples. Remote Sensing of Environment, 9, 175-181.
- Ubbens, J. R., & Stavness, I. (2017). Deep Plant Phenomics: A Deep Learning Platform for Complex
 Plant Phenotyping Tasks. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8,
- 1300
 UCS (2020). 'UCS Satellite Database.' Available at https://ucsusa.org/resources/satellite-database?ga=2.206523283.1848871521.1598077135-464362950.1598077135
- 1302 [Accessed 25/08/2020].
- Underwood, J., Hung, C., Whelan, B., & Sukkarieh, S. (2016). Mapping almond orchard canopy
 volume, flowers, fruit and yield using lidar and vision sensors. Computers and Electronics
 in Agriculture, **130**, 83-96.

van Eeuwijk, F. A., Bustos-Korts, D., Millet, E. J., Boer, M. P., Kruijer, W., Thompson, A., Malosetti,
M., Iwata, H., Quiroz, R., Kuppe, C., Muller, O., Blazakis, K. N., Yu, K., Tardieu, F., &
Chapman, S. C. (2019). Modelling strategies for assessing and increasing the effectiveness
of new phenotyping techniques in plant breeding. Plant Science, 282 , 23-39.
Vanha-Majamaa, I., Salemaa, M., Tuominen, S., & Mikkola, K. (2000). Digitized photographs in
vegetation analysis - a comparison of cover estimates. Applied Vegetation Science, 3 , 89-
94.
Vickery, P. J., & Nicol, G. R., 1982. An improved electronic capacitance meter for estimating
pasture yield. Construction details and performance
tests. Anim. Res. Lab. Tech. Paper No. 9. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research
Organization,, Australia.
Waller, R. A., & Sale, P. W. G. (2001). Persistence and productivity of perennial ryegrass in sheep
pastures in south-western Victoria: a review. Australian Journal of Experimental
Agriculture, 41 , 117-144.
Walter, A., Liebisch, F., & Hund, A. (2015). Plant phenotyping: from bean weighing to image
analysis. Plant Methods, 11 , 14.
Walter, A., Studer, B., & Kolliker, R. (2012). Advanced phenotyping offers opportunities for
improved breeding of forage and turf species. Ann Bot, 110 , 1271-9.
Wang, Hj., Fan, W., Cui, Y., Zhou, L., Yan, By., Wu, Dh., & Xu, Xr. (2010). Hyperspectral
Remote Sensing Monitoring of Grassland Degradation. Guang pu xue yu guang pu fen xi
= Guang pu, 30 , 2734-8.
Wang, Z., Li, H., Zhu, Y., & Xu, T. (2017). Review of Plant Identification Based on Image Processing.
24 , 637-654.
Wang, Z., Wang, K., Yang, F., Pan, S., & Han, Y. (2018). Image segmentation of overlapping leaves
based on Chan–Vese model and Sobel operator. Information Processing in Agriculture, 5,
1-10.
Weih, R. C., Jr., & Riggan, N. D., Jr. (2010) 'Object-Based Classification vs. Pixel-Based
Classification: Comparitive Importance of Multi-Resolution Imagery., Proceedings of
GEOBIA 2010: Comparitive Importance of Multi-Resolution Imagery.' Ghent, Belgium.

1335	Whiteside, T. G., Boggs, G. S., & Maier, S. W. (2011). Comparing object-based and pixel-based
1336	classifications for mapping savannas. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation
1337	and Geoinformation, 13, 884-893.
1338	Wiegand, C. L., Maas, S. J., Aase, J. K., Hatfield, J. L., Pinter, P. J., Jackson, R. D., Kanemasu, E. T.,
1339	& Lapitan, R. L. (1992). Multisite analyses of spectral-biophysical data for wheat. Remote
1340	Sensing of Environment, 42 , 1-21.
1341	Wiering, N., Ehlke, N., & Sheaffer, C. (2019). Lidar and RGB Image Analysis to Predict Hairy Vetch
1342	Biomass in Breeding Nurseries. The Plant Phenome Journal, 2 , 190003.
1343	Wilkins, P. W. (1991). Breeding perennial ryegrass for agriculture. Euphytica, 52, 201-214.
1344	Wilkins, P. W., & Humphreys, M. O. (2003). Progress in breeding perennial forage grasses for
1345	temperate agriculture. The Journal of Agricultural Science, 140 , 129-150.
1346	Woodward, S. J. R. (1998). Quantifying different causes of leaf and tiller death in grazed perennial
1347	ryegrass swards. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 41 , 149-159.
1348	Wouterlood, F. G., & Boekel, A. J. (2009). Fluorescence Microscopy in the Neurosciences. In
1349	'Encyclopedia of Neuroscience.' (Ed. Squire, L. R.) pp. 253-260. (Academic Press: Oxford)
1350	Wyatt, C. L. (1978a). CHAPTER II - Definitions. In 'Radiometric Calibration: Theory and Methods.'
1351	(Ed. Wyatt, C. L.) pp. 7-14. (Academic Press:
1352	Wyatt, C. L. (1978b). CHAPTER VI - Engineering Calibration. In 'Radiometric Calibration: Theory
1353	and Methods.' (Ed. Wyatt, C. L.) pp. 53-65. (Academic Press:
1354	Wyatt, C. L. (1978c). CHAPTER XIII - Spectral Calibration. In 'Radiometric Calibration: Theory and
1355	Methods.' (Ed. Wyatt, C. L.) pp. 127-144. (Academic Press:
1356	Xharde, R., Long, B., & Forbes, D. (2006) 'Accuracy and Limitations of Airborne LiDAR Surveys in
1357	Coastal Environments, 2006 IEEE International Symposium on Geoscience and Remote
1358	Sensing.' 31 July-4 Aug. 2006.
1359	Xue, J., & Su, B. (2017). Significant Remote Sensing Vegetation Indices: A Review of Developments
1360	and Applications. Journal of Sensors, 2017, 17.
1361	Yan, G., Mas, J. F., Maathuis, B. H. P., Xiangmin, Z., & Van Dijk, P. M. (2006). Comparison of

pixel-based and object-oriented image classification approaches—a case study in a coal

- fire area, Wuda, Inner Mongolia, China. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 27,4039-4055.
- Yang, W., Feng, H., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Doonan, J. H., Batchelor, W. D., Xiong, L., & Yan, J. (2020).
 Crop Phenomics and High-Throughput Phenotyping: Past Decades, Current Challenges,
 and Future Perspectives. Molecular Plant, 13, 187-214.
- Yang, Y. (2012). Early detection of rice blast (Pyricularia) at seedling stage in Nipponbare rice
 variety using near-infrared hyper-spectral image. African Journal of Biotechnology, **11**,
- Zhou, Q., Robson, M., & Pilesjo, P. (1998). On the ground estimation of vegetation cover in
 Australian rangelands. International Journal of Remote Sensing, **19**, 1815-1820.
- Zhu, L., Suomalainen, J., Liu, J., Hyyppä, J., Kaartinen, H., & Haggrén, H. (2018). A Review: Remote
 Sensing Sensors. In 'Multi-purposeful Application of Geospatial Data.'
- Zhu, X., & Liu, D. (2015). Improving forest aboveground biomass estimation using seasonal
 Landsat NDVI time-series. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, **102**,
 222-231.
- Zia, S., Romano, G., Spreer, W., Sanchez, C., Cairns, J., Araus, J. L., & Müller, J. (2013). Infrared
 Thermal Imaging as a Rapid Tool for Identifying Water-Stress Tolerant Maize Genotypes
 of Different Phenology. **199**, 75-84.
- Zwiggelaar, R. (1998). A review of spectral properties of plants and their potential use for
 crop/weed discrimination in row-crops. Crop Protection, **17**, 189-206.
- 1382
- 1383
- 1384
- 1385
- 1386 Figure legend

Figure 5. Elements of high throughput phenotyping technologies that could be used for estimating expression of pasture persistence under field conditions, where LiDAR is light detection and ranging, and ML is machine learning.

1390

Figure 6. Scaling of high-throughput phenotyping platforms in precision agriculture, where (a) is field scanning using a handheld sensor, (b) ground-fixed environmental sensor, (c) a phenotyping ground vehicle, (d) a field scanning platform, (e) a phenotyping tower, (f) an unmanned aerial vehicle, (g) a low altitude phenotyping helicopter, (h) a phenotyping hot air balloon and (i) a satellite remote sensing platform.

1396

Figure 7. Application of proximal RGB imaging for perennial ryegrass ground cover estimation in pasture breeding plots; (a) A DSLR camera mounted on a tripod for RGB image acquisition (b) RGB image (12 megapixels) from nadir position and (c) classified RGB image using a pixel-based image analysis techniques, where red colour represents perennial ryegrass green fraction, adapted from (Jayasinghe et al, 2019).

1402

Figure 8. The multispectral spectral image-based high-throughput pipeline for perennial ryegrass ground cover estimation; (**a**, **b**) unmanned aerial vehicle and the ground control unit, (**c**) NDVI orthomosaic, where the intensity of pseudocolour green represents NDVI variation of the orthomosaic, (**d**) the ground cover classification map where green represents perennial ryegrass ground cover; orange represents soil and weed cover within the experimental plot boundaries, (adapted from Jayasinghe et al, 2019).

1409

Figure 5. (a) The acquisition of reflectance bands from spaceborne hyperspectral sensor (e.g. Landsat-7 ETM; Enhanced Thematic Mapper), (b) typical spectral reflectance curves for soil, water, and plants where colour and grey area represents locations of acquired spectral bands of the hyperspectral sensor (e.g. Landsat-7 ETM+; data source for the graph from Roy et al., 2016).

1414

Figure 6. The process of image analysis in high throughput phenotyping pipeline for phenomics data extraction; a) image preprocessing, b) image segmentation, c) image classification, and d) classified objects of RGB image for feature extraction; where the image was classified in two types of objects to demonstrate an object-based image analysis pipeline using "k-nearest neighbor" classification algorithm in eCognition developer 9.3.2 software.