

Risk, individual perception of risk, and population health

Kevin D. Shield¹, Gerrit Gmel¹, Pia Mäkelä², Charlotte Probst^{1,3}, Robin Room^{4,5}, Gerhard Gmel⁶,
Jürgen Rehm^{1,3,7-10}

1. Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Russell Street, Toronto, ON, M5S 2S1, Canada
2. Alcohol, Drugs and Addictions Unit, National Institute for Health and Welfare THL, THL, PO Box 30, 00271 Helsinki, Finland
3. Institute of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy & Center of Clinical Epidemiology and Longitudinal Studies (CELOS), Technische Universität Dresden, Chemnitzer Str. 46, 01187 Dresden, Germany
4. Centre for Alcohol Policy Research, La Trobe University, 215 Franklin St., Melbourne, Victoria 3000, Australia
5. Centre for Social Research on Alcohol and Drugs, Stockholm University, 160 Sveavägen, 104 35 Stockholm, Sweden
6. Epidemiology and Statistics Section, Addiction Suisse, Avenue Louis-Ruchonnet 14, 1003 Lausanne, Switzerland
7. Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 33 Russell Street, Toronto, ON, M5S 2S1, Canada
8. Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Faculty of Medicine, Medical Sciences Building, 1 King's College Circle, Room 2374, Toronto, ON, M5S 1A8, Canada
9. Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, 250 College Street, 8th floor, Toronto, ON, M5T 1R8, Canada
10. Addiction Policy, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, 6th floor, Toronto, ON, M5T 3M7, Canada

Address for correspondence:

J. Rehm
CAMH
33 Russell Street
Toronto, ON M5S 2S1
Email: jtrehm@gmail.com

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/add.14011](https://doi.org/10.1111/add.14011)

We thank Annie Britton for her thoughtful comments (1) on our study investigating the lifetime risk of mortality at differing levels of alcohol consumption in seven European countries (2). These comments consider key concepts of epidemiology, social psychology and causality.

One comment concerns differences in the risk of an alcohol-attributable death across European Union countries, despite cultural and socio-economic similarities. Alcohol use and other factors affect the risk of death via complex interacting pathways (3, 4); for a death to occur, a combination of biopsychosocial factors are needed. Indeed, differences in alcohol-attributable death risk across countries, despite similar levels of consumption, are in part due to these factors differing across countries. Furthermore, different mortality risks also exist across socio-economic strata for similar levels of alcohol consumption (5, 6). Thus, differences in risk across countries and socio-economic strata result from differing health risk behaviours and environmental factors which form part of the interacting pathways affecting risk (7, 8). While epidemiological concepts of causality are based on these complex pathways, empirical studies, including the underlying studies used in our paper, simplify the relationships between the biopsychosocial factors by isolating the impact of a single factor on mortality through regressions while accounting for relatively few confounding and interacting factors. The results from such studies are often interpreted as an absolute “biological impact” of this single risk factor (“one drink leads to x fewer minutes of life”), irrespective of other factors. However, this approach is limited, as exemplified by the marked differences in alcohol-attributable mortality across countries with similar drinking levels.

The implications for advice based on the mortality risk are not straightforward. Our analyses found that national risk curves vary widely at higher levels of drinking, while at lower levels of drinking which confer

a lifetime mortality risk below 1 in 1000, the variations in risk across European countries are not large (2). Another consideration is whether guidelines should extend beyond the basic advice of low-risk average consumption. It is now common to also specify a risk threshold for a single drinking occasion – found, for example, in the current Australian and UK guidelines (9, 10). Thus, a consideration of drinking patterns addresses a dimension of risk obscured by cumulative guidelines; drinking patterns affect the risk of injuries, and also some chronic, mental and infectious diseases (11, 12). Additionally, separate guidelines are often formulated for drinking during pregnancy and teenage drinking, though these implicit risk thresholds are set based on social norms, more or less at ‘any risk’.

Another comment concerns the potential of the presented risk information to change behaviour.

Cognitive psychology has shown that humans are far from the rational *homo economicus* (11, 12), as despite being informed about the effects of alcohol, humans underestimate the overall risks of drinking (13). Therefore, comprehensive alcohol policies which include low-risk drinking guidelines also require pricing, marketing and availability policies (the “best buys”: (14, 15)), and other promising interventions such as minimum pricing (16, 17) or lowering of the ethanol concentration in alcoholic beverages (18).

References

1. BRITTON, A (2017) Commentary on Shield et al. (2017): The concept of 'acceptable risk' of premature mortality, *Addiction*, 112, 1545-1546.
2. SHIELD, KD, GMEL, G, GMEL, GS, MÄKELÄ, P, PROBST, C, ROOM, R et al. (2017) Lifetime risk of mortality due to different levels of alcohol consumption in seven European countries: implications for low-risk drinking guidelines, *Addiction*, 112, 1535-1544.
3. ROTHMAN, KJ, GREENLAND, S & LASH, TL (2008) *Modern Epidemiology, 3rd ed* (Philadelphia, USA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins).
4. ROTHMAN, KJ & GREENLAND, S (2005) Causation and causal inference in epidemiology, *Am J Public Health*, 95, S144-S150.
5. PROBST, C, ROERECKE, M, BEHRENDT, S & REHM, J (2014) Socioeconomic differences in alcohol-attributable mortality compared to all-cause mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis, *Int J Epidemiol*, 43, 1314-1327.
6. MACKENBACH, JP, KULHÁNOVÁ, I, BOPP, M, BORRELL, C, DEBOOSERE, P, KOVÁCS, K et al. (2015) Inequalities in alcohol-related mortality in 17 European countries: A retrospective analysis of mortality registers, *PLoS Med*, 12, e1001909.
7. MARMOT, M (2005) Social determinants of health inequalities, *The Lancet*, 365, 1099-1104.

8. STRINGHINI, S, CARMELI, C, JOKELA, M, AVENDAÑO, M, MUENNIG, P, GUIDA, F et al. (2017) Socioeconomic status and the 25 x 25 risk factors as determinants of premature mortality: a multicohort study and meta-analysis of 1.7 million men and women, *Lancet*, 389, 1229-1237.
9. NATIONAL HEALTH AND MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (2009) Australian guidelines to reduce health risks from drinking alcohol, Canberra, Australia: Commonwealth of Australia.
10. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. The chief Medical Officers' low risk drinking guidelines [Internet]. 2016 [cited 08/09/2017]. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/545937/UK_CMOs_report.pdf
11. KAHNEMAN, D (2011) *Thinking Fast and Slow* (New York, Farrar, Straus and Giroux).
12. KAHNEMAN, D, TVERSKY, A & SLOVIC, P (1982) *Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases* (Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press).
13. REHM, J, LACHENMEIER, DW & ROOM, R (2014) Why does society accept a higher risk for alcohol than for other voluntary or involuntary risks?, *BMC Med*, 12, 189.
14. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2013) *Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of NCDs 2013-2020* (Geneva, Switzerland, World Health Organization).
15. ANDERSON, P, BRADDICK, F, CONROD, P, GUAL, A, HELLMAN, M, MATRAI, S et al. (2017) *The new governance of addictive substances and behaviours* (Oxford, U.K., Oxford University Press).

16. HOLMES, J, MENG, Y, MEIER, PS, BRENNAN, A, ANGUS, C, CAMPBELL-BURTON, A et al. (2014) Effects of minimum unit pricing for alcohol on different income and socioeconomic groups: a modelling study, *Lancet*, 383, 1655-1664.
17. PURSHOUSE, CR, MEIER, PS, BRENNAN, A, TAYLOR, KB & RAFIA, R (2010) Estimated effect of alcohol pricing policies on health and health economic outcomes in England: an epidemiological model, *Lancet*, 375, 1355-1364.
18. REHM, J, LACHENMEIER, DW, JANÉ LLOPIS, E, IMTIAZ, S & ANDERSON, P (2016) Evidence of reducing ethanol content in beverages to reduce harmful use of alcohol, *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 1, 78-83.