

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel & Fax: +86 379 64282340. E-mail: tjkou@aliyun.com This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/jac.12268</u>

27 **Keywords** soil enzyme; ozone pollution; wheat; ozone-sensitivity; agroecosystem

- 28 Abbreviation
- 29  $O_3$ : ozone
- 30  $EO_3$ : elevated  $O_3$
- 31 AO<sub>3</sub>: ambient  $O_3$
- 32 O<sub>3</sub>FACE: free-air O<sub>3</sub> enrichment
- 33 UA: Soil urease
- 34 CTA: Soil catalase
- 35
- 36 Introduction

Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> is predicted to increase by 40-70% by the year 2100 from the current level of 25-40 37 38 ppb in the Northern Hemisphere (Biswas et al. 2008, Zeng et al. 2008). The current tropospheric  $O_3$ 39 concentration may endanger terrestrial ecosystems through reducing photosynthetic rates, growth and 40 biomass production in many crop, grass and forest species (Wang et al. 2004, Cao et al. 2009, Ashmore 41 et al. 2006, Kou et al. 2009) and ecosystem C storage (Loya et al. 2003, Sitch et al. 2007). It has been 42 widely reported that O<sub>3</sub> exposure alters the ratio of root to shoot biomass (Grantz et al. 2006, Chen et al. 43 2015) and belowground C and N allocation (McCrady and Andersen 2000, Kanerva et al. 2006, Kou et 44 al. 2012). This could affect soil properties (Kou et al., 2014) and structural and functional aspects of soil biodiversity (Dinesh et al.1998, Andersen, 2003) through changing nutrient dynamics in soil 45 46 profiles (Kou et al. 2014, Wang et al. 2017) and soil microbial community (Sami et al. 2008, Li et al. 47 2012, Bao et al. 2015). As soil processes are driven by soil organisms (e.g. enzyme, microbial) and 48 their interactions with plants and soil abiotic conditions,  $O_3$  pollution has the potential to influence 49 belowground organisms mediating these processes.

50 Soil enzyme is a sensitive indicator of soil quality and may provide early warning signals in response 51 to climatic and environmental changes (Burns and Dick 2001) via soil biochemical process (e.g. C and 52 N cycling) regulated by enzymatic reaction (Li et al. 2008). UA and CTA are important constituents of 53 soil hydrolytic and oxidoreductase enzymes, respectively. UA is one of the key enzymes that affect N 54 transformation in soil (Burns and Dick 2001). CTA reflects soil health status in response to 55 environmental stress through decomposing hydrogen peroxide in soil and is involved in humus 56 formation (Burns and Dick 2001). However, the influences of O<sub>3</sub> on soil enzymes are not fully 56 This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved 57 understood. To our knowledge, studies that reported on soil enzymes response to  $EO_3$  from wheat (Li 58 et al. 2008, Zheng et al. 2009, Huang et al. 2013, Chen et al. 2015), green vegetable (Shi et al., 2016) 59 and ponderosa pine (Scagel and Andersen 1997) systems were few and showed inconsistent findings. 60 Only four studies reported that the response of UA to  $EO_3$  was either positive (Zheng et al. 2009), 61 negative (Scagel and Andersen 1997, Shi et al. 2016) or neutral (Huang et al. 2013). The effects of EO<sub>3</sub> 62 on CTA were generally positive at the wheat late growth stage (Li et al. 2008, Zheng et al. 2009). The 63 limited knowledge on EO<sub>3</sub> effects on soil enzyme (UA and CTA) in agroecosystems restricts better 64 understanding on soil belowground processes and C and N cycles in response to predicted future higher 65 tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> environments.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is sensitive to  $O_3$  (Feng et al. 2008). EO<sub>3</sub> was found to reduce 66 67 photosynthetic rate of wheat and accelerate its senescence, impairing growth and yield (Biswas et al. 68 2008, Feng et al. 2008, Kou et al. 2012). However, compared to O<sub>3</sub>-tolerant counterparts, O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive winter wheat cultivars showed larger reductions in antioxidative capacity, dark respiration (Biswas et al. 69 70 2008), photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, transpiration rate (Cao et al. 2009), grain yield and 71 individual grain mass (Zhu et al. 2011). This intraspecific variation in growth response to  $EO_3$  was also 72 observed in the quantity and quality of C assimilation allocated to belowground components (McCrady 73 and Andersen 2000, Kou et al. 2012, 2017). Li et al. (2012) found that the O<sub>3</sub>-tolerance of cultivars 74 affected the component of bacterial and fungal based soil microbial food webs. However, Chen et al. (2015) reported that the O<sub>3</sub>-sensitivity of cultivars affected wheat biomass allocation, above- and 75 76 belowground N uptake and soil N content but not the nitrifying and denitrifying enzymes at the 77 ripening stage. It is however unclear whether the differential above- and belowground responses to EO<sub>3</sub> between Q<sub>3</sub>-sensitive and O<sub>3</sub>-tolerant cultivars may further influence soil enzyme (e.g. UA, CTA) in 78 79 different soil depths and growth stages. We therefore investigated the effects of  $EO_3$  on UA and CTA in 80 soil grown with  $Q_3$ -sensitive and  $Q_3$ -tolerant wheat cultivars under an  $Q_3$ FACE fumigation system.

81

#### 82 Materials and methods

83

84 Experimental site and ozone fumigation

85 The experimental site was located in Jiangdu City, Jiangsu province of China (32°35′5″ N, 119°42′0″

E). The region's mean annual precipitation and annual temperature are 980 mm and 14.9 °C,This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

87 respectively. The soil is classified as a Shajiang Aquic Cambiosol (Cooperative Research Group on 88 Chinese Soil Taxonomy, 2001) with a sandy-loamy texture, with 13.6% clay (<0.002 mm), bulk density 1.16 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, SOM 18.4 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, total N 1.45 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and pH 7.2 (Kou et al. 2014). An O<sub>3</sub>FACE 89 90 experiment was established in 2007 (Tang et al. 2011) with continuous  $O_3$  exposure from March to 91 November each year during the crop growth period in a rice (Oryza sativa L.) – wheat rotation that has 92 been used in this location for over 100 years. Winter wheat was sown in November and harvested in 93 May of the next year, whereas summer rice was transplanted in June and harvested in October. No 94 additional organic matter was incorporated the field except for rice /wheat residuals. Three octagonal 95 rings (14 m in diameter) were maintained at a mean  $O_3$  concentration of 60 ppb from 9:00 am to 18:00 pm each day except for rainy days (hereinafter referred to as  $EO_3$ ) and three control rings at 40 ppb 96 97 (hereinafter referred to as AO<sub>3</sub>) were used. All of the rings were located > 70 m apart to avoid O<sub>3</sub> cross 98 contamination. Two winter wheat cultivars viz. Yangmai 15 (Y15) and Yannong 19 (YN19), known to be resistant and sensitive to O<sub>3</sub> (Zhu et al. 2011), respectively, were grown in two subplots (82 m<sup>2</sup>) of 99 100 each experimental ring since 2007. This study was conducted during the wheat growing season in 2013. 101 Nitrogen (urea), phosphorus (superphosphate) and potassium (potassium chloride) were applied as 478 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 625 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied prior to sowing, at 102 103 the jointing stage, and at the booting stage of wheat in a ratio 5:1:4. All phosphorus and potassium 104 fertilizers were applied prior to sowing. Field management closely followed the local agronomic practices. 105

106

## 107 Soil sampling and analyses

Soil samples (0-5, 5-10 and 10-20 cm) were collected at the jointing stage (April 16), the heading stage (May 1) and the milky stage (May 23). Each soil sample was homogenized from eight soil cores of 2.5 cm diameter sampled at random locations for each plot. Fresh soil samples were passed through an 8 mm sieve and stored at 4 °C until further analyses. UA and CTA were analyzed by the indophenol blue colorimetric method and the potassium permanganate titration method, respectively, according to Burns and Dick (2001).

114

115 Statistical analysis

116 Statistically significant differences were identified by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS 11.5 This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved software (Windows version 11.5; SPSS inc, Chicago, IL) and Tukey's HSD test at P = 0.05.

118

- 119 Results
- 120
- 121 Soil urease activity

122 UA generally increased with soil depth, regardless of cultivar or  $O_3$  concentration; it also increased 123 with growth stage, except for the wheat cultivar YN19 grown under AO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 1). EO<sub>3</sub> increased UA in 124 the plots grown with Y15, but decreased it in the plots grown with YN19 across all soil layers and key 125 growth stages, respectively, except for non-significant negative effect at the 10-20 cm soil depth at the milky stage (Fig. 1, Tables 1 and 2). Specifically,  $EO_3$  significantly increased UA by 13-45% in the 126 0-10 cm layer in Y15 but significantly decreased it by 6-26% in the 0-20 cm layer in YN19 at the 127 128 jointing stage. At the heading stage,  $EO_3$  significantly increased UA by 23-48% in the 0-20 cm layer in Y15 but significantly decreased it by 8-25% in the 0-10 cm layer in YN19. At the milky stage, EO<sub>3</sub> 129 130 significantly increased UA by 45-50% in the 0-10 cm layer in Y15. For YN19, EO<sub>3</sub> significantly 131 decreased UA by 7-19% in the 0-10 cm layer but significantly increased that by 19% in the 10-20 cm 132 layer.

133

134 Soil catalase activity

CTA responses to EO<sub>3</sub> were controlled by cultivar, growth stage and soil depth (Fig. 2, Tables 1 and 2). 135 136 At the jointing stage, EO<sub>3</sub> significantly increased CTA by 13% and 6% in the 10-20 cm layer in Y15 137 and YN19, respectively, but only significantly decreased it by 22% in the 5-10 cm layers in Y15. At the heading stage, EO<sub>3</sub> significantly increased CTA by 6-49% in the 0-20 cm layer in Y15, EO<sub>3</sub> 138 significantly increased it by 33% and 40% in the 0-5 cm and 10-20 cm layers, respectively, and 139 140 significantly decreased it by 15% in the 5-10 cm layer in YN19. At the milky stage,  $EO_3$  significantly 141 increased CTA by 34% in the 0-5 cm layer and by 36% in the 10-20 cm layer and significantly 142 decreased it by 28% in the 5-10 cm layer in Y15. EO<sub>3</sub> significantly decreased CTA in the 0-10 cm layer 143 and significantly increased it by 42% in the 10-20 cm in YN19.

144

### 145 Discussion

146 We found that the responses of UA, an enzyme involved in N mineralization, to EO<sub>3</sub> were affected by This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved 147 the  $O_3$ -sensitive of wheat cultivar. This indicates that wheat cultivars would play an important role in 148 determining the effects of  $EO_3$  on soil UA and its regulating processes. While soil enzymes are mainly 149 associated with microbial and plant C sources (Burns and Dick 2001), the O<sub>3</sub>-sensitivity of the wheat 150 cultivar affected the quantity and quality of C input to soil (Kou et al. 2012, 2014) and subsequently the 151 soil biota (Li et al. 2012, Bao et al. 2015) in response to EO<sub>3</sub>. Since the C and N assimilation allocation 152 between above- and belowground was affected by EO<sub>3</sub> (Kou et al. 2012, 2017, McCrady and Andersen 153 2000, Chen et al. 2015), the intraspecific variation in  $O_3$  tolerance (Zhu et al. 2011, Huang et al. 2013) 154 altered the substrate availability for enzymes via plant and microbial C resources. In particular, the 155 contrasting responses of UA to  $EO_3$  (Table 1) were consistent with the findings that  $EO_3$  increased the ratios of root to shoot biomass and microbial biomass in Y15 but decreased them in YN19 (Kou et al. 156 2012, Zhang et al. 2014, Bao et al. 2015). The activities of UA could reflect soil nitrogen-supplying 157 158 capacity (SNSC) (Burns and Dick 2001). Our findings suggest that SNSC via organic matter decomposition under elevated  $O_3$  environments may be enhanced in an  $O_3$ -tolerant wheat cropping 159 160 system but reduced in an O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive one.

161 Unlike UA, CTA responses to  $EO_3$  in each cultivar were not consistent among soil depths or growth 162 stages. This implies that CTA was affected not only by enzyme substrate availability but also by other factors. As an oxidoreductase CTA is also affected by soil environment (abiotic factors) such as the 163 164 redox potential (Burns and Dick 2001). Since the sources of CTA via assimilation of resources (McCrady and Andersen 2000, Kou et al. 2012) and soil organisms (Li et al. 2012) were changed under 165 166 O<sub>3</sub> stress, the differences in CTA at different soil depth and growth stages under EO<sub>3</sub> might be 167 attributed to the differential responding mechanisms of the two wheat cultivars to EO<sub>3</sub> (Kou et al. 2012) 168 and soil reducing condition (Chen et al. 2015). The positive responses (10-20 cm layer) of CTA to  $EO_3$ 169 in both cultivars would increase the capacity of hydrogen peroxide decomposition, decreasing the toxic 170 effects on soil biology (Wang and Feng 2006). The more negative (0-10 cm layer) responses of CTA in 171 the  $O_3$ -sensitive than  $O_3$ -tolerant wheat systems indicates that growing an  $O_3$ -sensitive cultivar may 172 decrease the ability of hydrogen peroxide decomposition, which may increase the reducing condition in 173 the upper soil layer and O<sub>3</sub>-stress to the plants. While CTA is highly associated with soil organic matter 174 content, as is UA with N mineralization (Burns and Dick 2001), the contrasting responses of UA and 175 CTA to EO<sub>3</sub> between the O<sub>3</sub>-tolerant and O<sub>3</sub>-sensitive cultivars would likely affect soil C and N 176 cycling.

177

### 178 Conclusions

179

Our findings indicate that  $EO_3$  can affect soil UA and CTA in wheat cropping systems, but the effect is strongly dependent on the  $O_3$  sensitivity of the wheat cultivar. The responses of UA and CTA to  $EO_3$ were mainly positive for an  $O_3$ -tolerant cultivar but negative for an  $O_3$ -sensitive cultivar. This study highlights the need for further investigation on the impacts of  $O_3$  on soil enzyme activities to better explain the belowground processes associated with C and N cycling under projected rising tropospheric  $O_3$ .

186

#### 187 Acknowledgement

188 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 41003030), 189 the Innovation Team Foundation of Henan University of Science and Technology (grant no. 190 2015TTD002), Open Research Fund Program of State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable 191 Agriculture (grant no. Y052010030).

192

## 193 **Reference**

- Andersen, C.P., 2003: Source-sink balance and carbon allocation below ground in plants exposed to
  ozone. New Phytol. 157, 213–228.
- Ashmore, M.R., S. Toet, and L.D. Emberson, 2006: Ozone-a significant threat to future world
  production? New Phytol. 170, 201–204.
- 198 Bao, X., J. Yu, W. Liang, C. Lu, J. Zhu, and Q. Li, 2015: The interactive effects of elevated ozone and
- wheat cultivars on soil microbial community composition and metabolic diversity. Appl. Soil Ecol.
  87, 11–18.
- 201 Biswas, D.K., H. Xu, Y.G. Li, J.Z. Sun, X.Z. Wang, X.G. Han, and G.M. Jiang, 2008: Genotypic
- differences in leaf biochemical, physiological and growth responses to ozone in 20 winter wheat
   cultivars released over the past 60 years. Global Change Biol. 14, 46–59.
- 204 Burns, R,G., and R.P. Dick, 2001: Enzymes in the Environment: Ecology, Activity and Applications.
- 205 New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc. p7–22.
- Cao, J.L., L. Wang, Q. Zeng, J. Liang, H.Z. Tang, Z.B. Xie, G. Liu, J.G. Zhu, and K. Kobayashi, 2009:This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 207 Characteristics of photosynthesis in wheat cultivars with different sensitivities to ozone under
- 208 O<sub>3</sub>-free air concentration enrichment conditions. Acta Agronomica Sin. 35, 1500–1507.
- 209 Chen, W., L.L. Zhang, X.Y. Li, R.Z. Ye, Q. Li, J.G. Zhu, N.N. Fang, L.L. Wang, Z.J. Wu, and W.R.
- Horwath, 2015: Elevated ozone increases nitrifying and denitrifying enzyme activities in the
  rhizosphere of wheat after 5 years of fumigation. Plant Soil, 392, 279–288.
- Cooperative Research Group on Chinese Soil Taxonomy, 2001: Chinese Soil Taxonomy. China Science
   and Technology Press, China, pp 221–223.
- 214 Dinesh, R., R. P. Dubey, and G. Shyam Prasad, 1998: Soil microbial biomass and enzyme activities as
- 215 influenced by organic manure incorporation into soils of a rice-rice system. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 181,
  216 173–178.
- Feng, Z.Z., K. Kobayashi, and E.A. Ainsworth, 2008: Impact of elevated ozone concentration on
  growth, physiology, and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.): a meta-analysis. Global Change Biol.
- 219 14, 2696–2708.
- Grantz, D.A., S. Gunn, and H.B. Vu, 2006: O<sub>3</sub> impacts on plant development: a meta-analysis of root/
  shoot allocation and growth. Plant Cell Environ. 29, 1193–1209.
- Huang, Y., F. Wang, M. Zhong, L. Sui, and W. Wu, 2013: Effects of elevated ozone on carbon, nitrogen
  content and soil enzymes activities in a winter wheat field. Asian J. Ecotoxicol. 8, 871–878.
- 224 Kanerva, T., A. Palojärvi, K. Rämö, K. Ojanperä, M. Esala, and S. Manninen, 2006: A 3-year exposure
- to  $CO_2$  and  $O_3$  induced minor changes in soil N cycling in a meadow ecosystem. Plant Soil 286, 61–73.
- 227 Kou, T.J., H.Q. Chang, L.H. Zhang, X.F. Xu, D.Y. Guo, W.L. Zhou, J.G. Zhu, and Y.F. Miao, 2009:
- 228 Effect of near-surface  $O_3$  pollution on terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol. Environ. Sci. 18, 704–710 (in 229 Chinese).
- Kou, T.J., G.W. Xu, and J.G. Zhu, 2017: Impact of elevated ozone on nutrient uptake and utilization of
- Chinese Hybrid India rice (*Oryza Sativa*) cultivars under free-air ozone enrichment. Commun. Soil
  Sci. Plant Anal. 48, 635–645.
- Kou, T.J., W.W. Yu, J.G. Zhu, and X.K. Zhu, 2012: Effects of ozone pollution on the accumulation and
- distribution of dry matter and biomass carbon of different varieties of wheat. Environ. Sci. 33,
- 235 300–305 (in Chinese).
- Kou, T.J., L.R. Wang, J.G. Zhu, Z.B. Xie, and Y.L. Wang, 2014: Ozone pollution influences soilThis article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- carbon and nitrogen sequestration and aggregate composition in paddy soils. Plant Soil 380,
  305–313.
- Li, G., S. Wang, Y. Shi, and Chen, X., 2008: Effects of elevated ozone and temperature on soil enzymes
  activities and phenolic compounds content in spring wheat. J. Agro-Environ. Sci. 27, 121–125 (in
  Chinese).
- Li, Q., X. Bao, C. Lu, X. Zhang, J. Zhu, Y. Jiang, and W. Liang, 2012: Soil microbial food web
  responses to free-air ozone enrichment can depend on the ozone-tolerance of wheat cultivars. Soil
  Biol. Biochem. 47, 27–35.
- 245 Loya, W., K.S. Pregitzer, N.J. Karberg, J.S. King, and C.P. Giardina, 2003: Reduction of soil carbon
- formation by tropospheric ozone under increased carbon dioxide levels. Nature 425, 705–707.
- 247 McCrady, J.K., and C.P. Andersen, 2000: The effect of ozone on below-ground carbon allocation in
- 248 wheat. Environ. Pollut. 107, 465–472.
- 249 Sami, K.M., K.H. Jaana, R. Riikka, T. Paivi, S. Sanna, S. Jouko, H. Toini, and M. Perttij, 2008:
- Long-term ozone effects on vegetation, microbial community and methane dynamics of boreal
   peatland microcosms in open-field conditions. Global Change Biol. 14, 1891–1903.
- Scagel, C.F., and C.P. Andersen, 1997: Seasonal changes in root and soil respiration of ozone-exposed
   ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) grown in different substrates. New Phytol. 136, 627–643.
- 254 Shi, C., F. Ai, C. Wang, C. Wang, S. Yang, and Y. Che, 2016: Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and
- $O_3$  on soil enzyme activities and microbial biomass. J. Agro-Environ. Sci. 35, 1103–1109.
- Sitch, S., P.M. Cox, W.J. Collins, and C. Huntingford, 2007: Indirect radiative forcing of climate
  change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink. Nature 448, 791–794.
- 258 Tang, H.Y., G. Liu, Y. Han, J.G. Zhu, and K. Kobayashi, 2011: A system for free-air ozone
- concentration elevation with rice and wheat: Control performance and ozone exposure regime.
- 260 Atmos. Environ. 45, 6276–6282.
- 261 Wang, C.Y., Y.M. Bai, J.P. Guo, M. Weng, Z.G. Huo, J.G. Liu, and L. Li, 2004: Impacts of ozone
- 262 concentration changes on crops and vegetables in China. Acta Meteorologica Sin. 18, 105–116.
- Wang, S.G., and Z.Z. Feng, 2006: Effect of elevated atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> on *arbuscular mycorrhiza* L. (AM)
- and its function. Environ. Sci. 27, 1872–1877 (in Chinese).
- 265 Wang, Y.B., S.Y. Wei, Y. Sun, W. Mao, T.T. Dang, W.Q. Yin, S.S. Wang, and X.Z. Wang, 2017:
- 266 Elevated ozone level affects micronutrients bioavailability in soil and their concentrations in wheat This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- tissues. Plant Soil Environ. 63, 381–387.
- Zeng, G., J.A. Pyle, and P.J. Young, 2008: Impact of climate change on tropospheric ozone and its
  global budgets. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 8, 369–387.
- 270 Zhang, W., H.B. He, Q. Li, C.Y. Lu, X.D. Zhang, and J.G. Zhu, 2014: Soil microbial residue dynamics
- after 3-year elevated O<sub>3</sub> exposure are plant species-specific. Plant Soil 376, 139–149.
- 272 Zheng, Y., C. Shi, F. Wu, R. Wu, H. Liu, Z. Zhao, and C. Hu, 2009: Effects of simulated elevated
- atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> concentration on soil enzyme activity in winter wheat rhizosphere. Acta Ecologica
  Sin. 29(8), 4386–4391.
- 275 Zhu, X.K., Z.Z. Feng, T.F. Sun, X.C. Liu, H.Y. Tang, J.G. Zhu, W.S. Guo, and K. Kabayashi, 2011:
- 276 Effects of elevated ozone concentration on yield of four Chinese cultivars of winter wheat under
- fully open-air field conditions. Global Change Biol. 17, 2697–2706.

Author Manu

- 1 Table (1-2):
- 2 Table 1 Significances of elevated O<sub>3</sub> effects on urease and catalase activities in soils under winter wheat
- 3 cultivars Y15 and YN19

Soil depth	Growth stage	Urease activity		Catalase activity	
(cm)		Y15	YN19	Y15	YN19
	Jointing stage	(+) *	(-) *	(-)	(-)
0-5	Heading stage	(+) **	(-) *	(+) *	(+) **
-	Milky stage	(+) **	(-) *	(+) **	(-) *
5-10	Jointing stage	(+) **	(-) **	(-) **	(-)
	Heading stage	(+) **	(-) **	(+) *	(-) *
	Milky stage	(+) **	(-) **	(-) **	(-) *
	Jointing stage	(+)	(-) *	(+) *	(+)
10-20	Heading stage	(+) *	(-)	(+) **	(+) **
	Milky stage	(-)	(+) *	(+) **	(+) **

4 (+) and (-) refer to the positive and inhibitory effects of elevated  $O_3$  on enzymes activities. \*, \*\*

5 indicate significant difference at P< 0.05 and P< 0.01, respectively

6 Table 2 Analysis of variance for urease and catalase activities in response to elevated O<sub>3</sub>

-	Urease activity		Catalase	Catalase activity	
Variable source	F value	P value	F value	P value	
O <sub>3</sub>	13.376	< 0.001	369.139	< 0.001	
Cultivar (C)	0.417	ns	4.104	0.046	
Soil depth (D)	258.775	< 0.001	949.539	< 0.001	
Stage (S)	30.145	< 0.001	38.889	< 0.001	
O <sub>3</sub> * C	74.566	< 0.001	4.899	0.030	
O <sub>3</sub> * D	0.073	ns	325.61	< 0.001	
O <sub>3</sub> * S	3.479	0.036	153.942	< 0.001	
C * D	12.001	< 0.001	18.599	< 0.001	
C * S	4.387	0.016	2.017	ns	
$O_3 * C * D$	20.375	< 0.001	40.13	< 0.001	

O <sub>3</sub> * C * S	1.838	ns	14.435	< 0.001
C * D * S	3.502	0.002	37.791	< 0.001
$O_3 * C * D * S$	1.387	ns	26.943	< 0.001

7 ns indicates no significant difference at P < 0.05.

anusc utl

1 Figure (1-2):



3 Fig. 1 Soil urease activities in topsoil (0-20 cm) at three key growth stages of two wheat cultivars under



5

2





8 under elevated ( $EO_3$ ) and ambient  $O_3$  ( $AO_3$ ) concentrations across soil depths. Values are means±1SE

9 (n=3)

6