1

- 2 DR. GERALD LAURENCE MURRAY (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-3330-4433)
- 3 DR. SAMUEL PHILLIPS (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-2549-8111)



This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi: 10.1111/JAM.15126</u>

- 25 9. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur
- 26 50603, Malaysia.
- 27 \*Corresponding author. <u>sam.phillips@mcri.edu.au</u>
- 28 29 30 Abstract
- 31 Aim:

Validate the Roche, MagNAPure96 (MP96) nucleic acid extraction platform for Seegene
 Anyplex II HPV28 (Anyplex28) detection of Human Papillomavirus.

34 Methods and Results:

35 Comparisons were made for Anyplex28 genotyping from 115 cervical samples extracted on

the Hamilton, STARlet and the MP96. Two DNA concentrations were used for the MP96,

37 one matched for sample input to the STARlet, and another 5x concentration (laboratory

- 38 standard).
- Agreement of HPV detection was 89.8% ( $\kappa=0.798$ ; p=0.007), with HPV detected in 10 more

40 samples for the MP96. There was a high concordance of detection for any oncogenic HPV

41 genotype ( $\kappa$ =0.77; p=0.007) and for any low risk HPV genotype ( $\kappa$ =0.85; p=0.008). DNA

42 extracted at laboratory standard had a lower overall agreement 85.2% ( $\kappa$ = 0.708; p <0.001),

43 with 17/115 discordant positive samples that tested negative after STARlet extraction. Of the

44 discordant genotypes 72.7% were detected in the lowest signal range for Anyplex28 ("+").

45 Conclusions:

46 MP96 performed with high concordance to STARlet, though produced DNA with a higher

- analytical sensitivity on the Anyplex28.
- 48 Significance and Impact of Study:

49 This analysis supports the use of samples extracted on the MP96 for HPV genotyping using

50 the Anyplex28. Furthermore, an increase in DNA concentration increased analytical

sensitivity of the Anyplex28, particularly appropriate for prevalence studies.

52 Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) is the underlying cause of the majority of cervical cancers, and 53 a proportion of other types of anogenital cancers in both males and females (de Sanjose et al., 54 2010). The Australian Government funded school-based HPV vaccination program 55 commenced in 2007 using the quadrivalent vaccine (Merck, Gardasil) targeting four HPV 56 genotypes (6, 11, 16 and 18). In 2018 the program implemented use of the nonavalent 57 vaccine (Merck, Gardasil 9), protecting against nine genotypes of HPV (6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 58 45, 52 and 58) which are collectively responsible for approximately 91% of cervical cancers 59 (de Sanjose et al., 2010, Garland et al., 2009). Monitoring changes in prevalence of HPV 60 61 infection in the population over time is a key indicator of vaccine impact and effectiveness. Surveillance programs require highly sensitive and specific HPV detection assays which 62 provide genotype-specific results, monitoring infection is a key marker of vaccine impact and 63 effectives and has been used in many countries (Machalek et al., 2019, Dillner et al., 2008, 64 Garland et al., 2011, Tabrizi et al., 2014, Australia, 2013, Brotherton et al., 2020). 65 Historically, the PCR based, reverse line blot hybridisation assay, Linear Array (Roche 66 Molecular Diagnostics; Mannheim, Germany) was widely utilised for HPV prevalence 67 research studies, necessitating the validation for different sample types and extraction 68 platforms (Stevens et al., 2007, Phillips et al., 2015). The increased use of HPV partial/full 69 genotyping for primary HPV screening has led to a 31% increase in the number of 70 commercial HPV detection assays, with up to 254 different detection systems available 71 72 worldwide in 2020 (91 with individual genotyping ability) (Poljak et al., 2020). However, the use of many of these assays as surveillance tools is yet to be assessed with 82% lacking 73 published data (Poljak et al., 2020). With the discontinuation of the Linear Array assay 74 (Roche Molecular Diagnostics; Mannheim, Germany), there is a need for more 75 76 comprehensive validation of other genotyping assays.

The Seegene Anyplex II HPV28 (Anyplex28) assay (Seegene, Seoul, Korea) detects 28 HPV 77 genotypes, and is utilised as a surveillance tool for the detection of oncogenic HPV genotypes 78 from routinely collected cervical samples (Bule et al., 2020, Latsuzbaia et al., 2019, Jacot-79 Guillarmod et al., 2017, Kwon et al., 2014, Estrade and Sahli, 2014, Mboumba Bouassa et al., 80 2019, Shilling et al., 2020). To date the Anyplex28 assay has been validated for nucleic acid 81 extraction on the Seegene NIMBUS and STARlet DNA extraction systems for cervical 82 83 samples in PreservCyt (Seegene, 2019). The aim of this report was to validate the use of cervical samples extracted on the MagNA Pure 96 (MP96) automated extraction system 84

against the validated MICROLAB STARlet (STARlet) automated extraction system

86 (Hamilton, Bonaduz, Switzerland) for the detection of HPV genotypes utilising the

87 Anyplex28.

### 88 Methods

#### 89 *Sample processing (STARlet)*

Cervical samples were collected and processed as part of an HPV surveillance study 90 described previously (Shilling et al., 2020). Briefly, de-identified residual cervical specimens 91 resuspended in PreservCyt from women aged 16-24 years submitted for opportunistic 92 Chlamydia trachomatis screening were collected from a pathology laboratory in Victoria and 93 stored at room temperature, as per the manufacturer's recommendations. Samples were 94 95 extracted for DNA on the STARlet using 200 µl of PreservCyt sample, eluted in 100 µl of STARlet elution buffer and tested on the Anyplex28 as per manufacturer validated protocol, 96 utilising the Bio-Rad CFX96/384 real time thermocycler. 97

## 98 Sample processing (MP96)

Samples were also prepared according to a PreservCyt sample extraction protocol that is 99 routinely used in our laboratory (Centre for Women's Infectious Diseases, The Royal 100 Women's Hospital, Melbourne), as previously described (Stevens et al., 2006). Briefly, 1 ml 101 of PreservCyt sample, was centrifuged at 16,200 g for 15 min and resuspended in 200 µl of 102 PBS. DNA was extracted on the MP96 and eluted in 100 µl of MP96 elution buffer (standard 103 extraction). Extracted DNA from the MP96 was tested on the Anyplex28 according to 104 manufacturer instructions (115 samples), and subsequently after a 1/5 dilution with sterile 105 water (matched extraction) (108 samples) to provide an equivalent sample input to the 106 STARlet extraction protocol. Samples were stored between MP96 and STARlet extractions 107 for up to 1 year at room temperature. There was also a 1 year delay in testing diluted DNA 108 109 samples on the Anyplex28, with all DNA extracts stored at -30°C.

### 110 *HPV genotyping and analysis*

- 111 For each extraction method, HPV genotype results were assessed for the
- 112 frequency/prevalence of oncogenic HPV genotypes (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58,
- 113 59, 66 and 68) and low-risk HPV genotypes (6, 11, 40, 42, 43, 44, 54, 61, 63, 64, 70, 73 and
- 114 82). HPV genotype frequency is defined as the total number of genotypes detected and
- identifies any genotype bias between extraction method. HPV genotype prevalence is
- according to total number of samples and indicates any differences in genotype detection

- between the extraction methods. The overall agreement of any HPV detection for each
- sample at both concentrations of the MP96 eluted DNA (laboratory standard and matched)
- 119 were compared to any HPV detection determined by the STARlet eluted DNA, using
- 120 McNemar's test.
- In addition to overall HPV genotype detection, the Seegene (Seegene Viewer on the Bio-Rad 121 CFX manager) analysis parameters enable crossing points to be grouped based on the 122 following thresholds:  $\leq 31$  cycles (+++), 31 cycles to 39 cycles (++), and 40 cycles to 50 123 cycles (+) (hereafter, signal strength)(Seegene, 2019). The trend of association with these 3 124 groups and within-sample concordance for each genotype detected was evaluated using 125 Fisher's exact test, comparing the STARlet DNA with both MP96 DNA sample input 126 concentrations (laboratory standard and matched). Concordance, agreement, and positive 127 agreement of HPV detection between the MP96 DNA (matched) and the STARlet DNA was 128 assessed according to HPV genotype groupings (as per IARC guidelines) (Bouvard et al., 129 2009) of any HPV (HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 26, 31, 33, 35, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 51, 52, 53, 54, 130 56, 58, 59, 61, 66, 68, 69, 70, 73, 82), any low risk HPV (HPV 6, 11, 26, 40, 42, 43, 44, 53, 131 54, 61, 69, 70, 73 and 82), any oncogenic HPV (HPV 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 132 58, 59, 66 and 68), any non-vaccine targeted oncogenic HPV (HPV 35, 39, 51, 56, 59, 66 133 and 68) and any vaccine-targeted HPV (HPV 6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58), with 134 disagreement assessed using McNemar's test. Due to increased detection of HPV genotypes 135 associated with the laboratory standard DNA, genotype groupings were not assessed. Within-136 137 sample concordance was evaluated using Cohen's kappa statistic, with the agreement interpreted as none ( $\kappa$ <0.2), weak ( $\kappa$ =0.2–0.4), moderate ( $\kappa$ =0.401–0.6), strong ( $\kappa$ =0.601– 138 0.8), near perfect ( $\kappa$ =0.801–0.99), and perfect ( $\kappa$ =1.0) (Estrade and Sahli, 2014). All 139 statistical analyses were performed using Stata 16 (StataCorp, Texas). 140
- 141 Results
- 142 *Study population*
- 143 The study analysed 115 cervical samples in PreservCyt, with 7 samples unavailable for the
- 144 MP96 matched extraction due to insufficient DNA. All samples were detected for the
- 145 Anyplex28 internal control (appendix 1).
- 146 *HPV* genotype frequency for each DNA extraction method

147 Overall, the HPV genotype frequency (according to the total number of genotypes detected)

- 148 was not greatly affected by DNA extraction methods, with a similar overall frequency
- 149 determined for each HPV genotype detected (Figure 1). The greatest discrepancies within the
- 150 oncogenic genotypes were HPV39 with a 2.9% difference in overall frequency between
- 151 Laboratory standard (4.7%) and STARlet (7.6%). The Low risk genotypes also had
- discrepant frequencies in HPV53 (3% discrepant) and HPV54 (3.2% discrepant) (Figure 1).
- 153 Further interpretation of these anomalies was not performed due to low sample numbers.
- 154 The most prevalent HPV genotypes detected per individual using the DNA extracted from the
- 155 STARlet extraction platform were HPV42, 54 and 51 (10%, 9% and 8%, respectively). The
- 156 most prevalent genotypes detected from the DNA extracted from MP96 extraction platform
- were HPV42 and 51 (13% and 10% for the matched and 18% and 13% for laboratory
- standard extraction of HPV genotypes detected respectively) (Sup Figure 1). HPV genotypes
- 159 6, 11, 16 and 18 were not detected from DNA extracted by either method.

## 160 Matched extraction methods are concordant for HPV genotype detection

- 161 The overall agreement for any HPV detection was 89.8% from matched extraction as
- 162 compared to the STARlet ( $\kappa$ =0.798; p=0.007) (Table 1). Matched DNA was identified to
- have an additional 10 discordant HPV positive samples, while the DNA extracted on the
- 164 STARlet was identified to have only one discordant positive sample (Table 1).
- 165 Furthermore, the genotype specific signal strength agreement between matched extraction
- and STARlet was 72.4% (p=0.081) (Table 2). Of the discordant samples, 72.7% (24/33) (not-
- detected from STARlet DNA and detected from matched extraction) were detected at the
- 168 lowest signal strength for Anyplex28 ("+"), with 21% (7/33) detected at midrange signal
- strength for Anyplex28 ("++") and 6% (2/33) detected at the highest signal range for
- 170 Anyplex28 ("+++") (Table 2).

### 171 Comparison of each extraction method to detect different groupings of HPV genotypes

- 172 Concordance of results in samples extracted between each system was highest for any
- nonavalent vaccine-targeted genotypes at 96.3% agreement ( $\kappa$ =0.861; p=0.317), with no
- 174 statistical difference from either extraction method for detection of vaccine targeted HPV
- 175 genotypes (Table 3). The agreement for the detection of any oncogenic genotypes, and any
- 176 non-vaccine targeted oncogenic genotypes, was strong ( $\kappa$ =0.774 and 89.8% agreement,
- 177  $\kappa$ =0.772 and 90.74% agreement, respectively), with detection of HPV genotypes in the

- matched extracted samples significantly higher than STARlet (p=0.0067 and p=0.0016,
- respectively). Detection of any HPV genotype and any low risk HPV types also showed
- 180 strong agreement ( $\kappa$ =0.798, 89.8% agreement and p=0.01,  $\kappa$ =0.852, 93.52% agreement and
- 181 p=0.008, respectively).
- Laboratory standard extraction methods increase HPV genotype detections compared to
  STARlet
- 184 The overall agreement for any HPV detection was 85.2% from the laboratory standard
- extraction (i.e. five times concentrated DNA) as compared to the STARlet ( $\kappa$ =0.708;
- 186 p < 0.001) (Table 1). Laboratory standard DNA was identified to have an additional 17
- discordant HPV positive samples, with no discordant samples positive from the STARletonly.
- Furthermore, the genotype specific single strength agreement between laboratory standard extraction and STARlet was 62.1% with more discordant HPV detections compared to the matched extracted samples (Table 2). Of these, 68.8% (44/64) were positive at the lowest signal strength for Anyplex28 ("+"), with 28% (18/64) at mid-range for Anyplex28 ("++") and 3% (2/64) at the highest signal range for Anyplex28 ("++") (Table 2).
- 194 Discussion
- In a direct comparison of extraction methodologies for the Seegene Anyplex II HPV28 assay, 195 the MP96 extraction platform performed comparably for the detection of HPV genotypes 196 197 from cervical specimens in PreservCyt compared to the manufacturer-validated extraction method (STARlet). There was a difference in hands on and platform processing time between 198 the MP96 and STARlet. The MP96 has an onboard processing time of one hour with a further 199 hour for hands on processing time (two hours total for 94 clinical samples). In contrast the 200 201 STARlet has a total of 45 min hands on and platform processing time (for 88 clinical). The increased processing time from the MP96 is predominantly due to the MP96 method 202 requiring centrifugation of each sample prior to DNA extraction. 203
- Pair-wise analyses of detection for any HPV genotype identified an 89.8% agreement
  between samples extracted on the STARlet and the matched extraction (i.e. equivalent sample
  input on the MP96). The agreement was lower when the laboratory standard extracted
  samples were used (five times sample input on MP96), presumably due to the increased
  detection of low abundance genotypes. This validation suggests that the laboratory standard

extraction protocol allows for detection of significantly more HPV DNA compared to the

210 manufacturers validated methodology (STARlet), with 85% of samples showing complete

agreement for detection of any HPV genotype between the two extraction systems.

The concordance between extraction methodologies was reflected in the reported signal strength. The majority (25/35) of discordant genotypes on the STARlet were detected at low signal strength ('+'). This suggests that with increased input lower abundance genotypes are more likely to be detected using the Anyplex28 assay, as the highest level of discordance was seen for samples detected late in the PCR cycle. Future studies are warranted to assess the limit of detection for each extraction platform.

218 The Anyplex28 assay and validated DNA extraction protocol (STARlet) is designed to detect HPV DNA at a sensitivity and specificity threshold to predict grade II cervical intraepithelial 219 220 neoplasia or greater from female cervical samples. However, HPV DNA detection and genotyping is also vital to monitor the effectiveness of vaccination programs in the 221 community, requiring assays that detect all HPV DNA from a sample (Cornall et al., 2017). 222 The findings presented suggest that the laboratory standard extraction protocol (5 fold 223 increase in sample input) utilising the Anyplex28 genotyping kit could be considered for 224 research where higher analytical sensitivity is desired, such as in studies of HPV vaccine 225 impact and effectiveness. Analysis according to specific genotype groupings show that 226 227 extraction methods are highly comparable at matched volumes of DNA (equivalent to 200 µl of sample input), specifically in a proportion of the nonavalent HPV vaccine targeted 228 genotypes, which is highly relevant for prevalence studies focusing on these genotypes. 229 This study has a number of limitations. The extractions and HPV testing were performed at 230 different time points for each methodology: consequently, sample DNA may have degraded 231

between extractions and testing as there was approximately one year between the MP96 and

233 STARlet extractions and another year between the STARlet and matched extraction

Anyplex28 PCR detection. Although this delay in DNA extractions may partially explain the

235 difference in the analytical sensitivities, previous studies from stored PreservCyt samples and

HPV detection suggest limited DNA degradation would occur over such a timeframe and

most likely had limited effect on the findings (Phillips et al., 2016, Agreda et al., 2013).

238 Another limitation was the lack of validated confirmatory assays for discordant genotypes

- between extraction systems. Signal strength analysis was used to investigate discordant
- results, which is semiquantitative and should not be used as an indicator of viral load.

- 241 Nevertheless, we observed patterns of increasing concordance with increasing signal which
- support our interpretations. The population cohort utilised (*Chlamydia* screening samples,
- indicating sexual activity) is potentially a higher risk group than the general population and
- could result in a higher HPV prevalence. There was an absence in the detection of HPV 6, 11,
- 245 16 or 18 DNA in this cohort, presumably due to sustained, high vaccination coverage
- achieved among young women within Australia or possibly. The total sample size may also
- have contributed to the lack of HPV 6, 11,16 or 18 DNA detection.
- 248 These analyses validate the use of DNA extracted on the automated extraction platform
- 249 MP96 for genotyping on the Anyplex28 assay, with comparable HPV detection to currently
- validated extraction methods. Furthermore, DNA extraction from 1ml of PreservCyt sample
- on the MP96 is a valid method for research-based surveillance studies, with high concordance
- specifically for vaccine targeted genotypes and higher detection for non-vaccine targeted
- 253 HPV genotypes. Either machine (STARlet or MP96) could be utilised for vaccine
- surveillance research. The STARlet extraction platform has been clinically validated for
- 255 sensitivity and specificity for histologically confirmed Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia
- 256 Grade 2+ (CIN2+). For the MP96 extraction process to be utilised for routine cervical
- 257 screening a clinical validation would be required.
- 258

# Declaration

- 259 Ethics.
- 260 The study was approved by the Melbourne Health Human Research Ethics Committee261 (HREC number 2017.361).
- 262 **Consent for publication**
- 263 Not applicable
- 264 Availability of data and materials
- All data is presented in the document or appendix material.

# 266 Conflict of interest statement

- 267 The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be
- 268 considered as potential competing interests: DAM reports travel grants from Seqirus, travel
- funding and honoraria to her institute from Merck Sharp and Dohme (MSD), outside the
- 270 submitted work. DH and MS are investigators on the Compass trial for which VCS

Foundation has received kits and partial funding from Roche. VCS Pathology has also 271 received free HPV test kits from Abbott, AusDiagnostics, Atila Biosystems, BD, Cepheid, 272 Hologic, Roche, and Seegene. VCS Foundation has received travel funding for DH to attend 273 conferences and meetings from Roche, Abbott and Seegene but has had no personal gain 274 from any diagnostics manufacturer. SMG and SP were investigators on a cervical cancer 275 typing study with laboratory testing funded by Segirus more than three years ago. SMG was 276 an investigator on a recurrent respiratory papillomatosis surveillance study partially funded 277 by an investigator-initiated grant from MSD more than three years ago. SMG has received 278 279 grants to her institution from Merck for an investigator initiated grant studying HPV and young women. She has received speaking fees from MSD for work performed in her personal 280 time and is a member of the Merck Global Advisory board HPV. DAM is an investigator on a 281 genital wart's surveillance grant funded by Seqiris. All other authors declare no conflicts of 282 interest. 283

### 284 Funding

This work is supported by the Commonwealth Department of Health HPV Surveillance Fund (Activity number 4-8FG4IWD). The funders had no role in study design, data collection, analyses, and interpretation of data, writing of the report, or in the decision to submit the article for publication

## 289 Author contribution statement

290 The study was conceived by DAM. SA and HS designed the study and performed the data

analysis. SA HS and SP drafted the initial manuscript. SP, GM, MM, JD and DH contributed

to the study design, analysis and writing of the manuscript. SA, PB, DH

293 performed/supervised DNA extractions and HPV testing. All authors reviewed and

294 contributed to the manuscript.

#### 295 **References**

296 AGREDA, P. M., BEITMAN, G. H., GUTIERREZ, E. C., HARRIS, J. M., KOCH, K. R., LAVIERS, W. D., LEITCH,

- 297 S. V., MAUS, C. E., MCMILLIAN, R. A., NUSSBAUMER, W. A., PALMER, M. L., PORTER, M. J.,
- 298 RICHART, G. A., SCHWAB, R. J. & VAUGHAN, L. M. 2013. Long-term stability of human
- genomic and human papillomavirus DNA stored in BD SurePath and Hologic PreservCyt
   liquid-based cytology media. *J Clin Microbiol*, 51, 2702-6.
  - This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 301 AUSTRALIA, H. S. W. G. O. T. C. D. N. 2013. Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Surveillance Plan an
- integrated approach to monitoring the impact of HPV vaccine in Australia. *In:* HEALTH, A. G.
  D. O. (ed.). Online: Australian Government.
- BOUVARD, V., BAAN, R., STRAIF, K., GROSSE, Y., SECRETAN, B., GHISSASSI, F. E., BENBRAHIM-TALLAA,
  L., GUHA, N., FREEMAN, C., GALICHET, L. & COGLIANO, V. 2009. A review of human
  carcinogens—Part B: biological agents. *The Lancet Oncology*, 10, 321-322.
- 307 BROTHERTON, J. M. L., WHEELER, C., CLIFFORD, G., ELFSTROM, M., SAVILLE, M., KALDOR, J. &
- MACHALEK, D. A. 2020. Surveillance systems for monitoring cervical cancer elimination
   efforts: Focus on HPV infection, cervical dysplasia, cervical screening and treatment. *Prev Med*, 106293.
- BULE, Y. P., SILVA, J., CARRILHO, C., CAMPOS, C., SOUSA, H., TAVARES, A. & MEDEIROS, R. 2020.
- Human papillomavirus prevalence and distribution in self-collected samples from female
  university students in Maputo. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet,* 149, 237-246.
- 314 CORNALL, A. M., POLJAK, M., GARLAND, S. M., PHILLIPS, S., MACHALEK, D. A., TAN, J. H., QUINN, M.
- A. & TABRIZI, S. N. 2017. HPV genotype-specific concordance between EuroArray HPV,
- Anyplex II HPV28 and Linear Array HPV Genotyping test in Australian cervical samples.
   *Papillomavirus Res, 4, 79-84.*
- 318 DE SANJOSE, S., QUINT, W. G., ALEMANY, L., GERAETS, D. T., KLAUSTERMEIER, J. E., LLOVERAS, B.,
- 319 TOUS, S., FELIX, A., BRAVO, L. E., SHIN, H. R., VALLEJOS, C. S., DE RUIZ, P. A., LIMA, M. A.,
- 320 GUIMERA, N., CLAVERO, O., ALEJO, M., LLOMBART-BOSCH, A., CHENG-YANG, C., TATTI, S. A.,
- 321 KASAMATSU, E., ILJAZOVIC, E., ODIDA, M., PRADO, R., SEOUD, M., GRCE, M., USUBUTUN, A.,
- 322 JAIN, A., SUAREZ, G. A., LOMBARDI, L. E., BANJO, A., MENENDEZ, C., DOMINGO, E. J.,
- 323 VELASCO, J., NESSA, A., CHICHAREON, S. C., QIAO, Y. L., LERMA, E., GARLAND, S. M.,
- 324 SASAGAWA, T., FERRERA, A., HAMMOUDA, D., MARIANI, L., PELAYO, A., STEINER, I., OLIVA,
- 325 E., MEIJER, C. J., AL-JASSAR, W. F., CRUZ, E., WRIGHT, T. C., PURAS, A., LLAVE, C. L., TZARDI,
- 326 M., AGORASTOS, T., GARCIA-BARRIOLA, V., CLAVEL, C., ORDI, J., ANDUJAR, M.,
- 327 CASTELLSAGUE, X., SANCHEZ, G. I., NOWAKOWSKI, A. M., BORNSTEIN, J., MUNOZ, N.,
- 328 BOSCH, F. X., RETROSPECTIVE INTERNATIONAL, S. & GROUP, H. P. V. T. T. S. 2010. Human
- 329 papillomavirus genotype attribution in invasive cervical cancer: a retrospective cross-
- 330 sectional worldwide study. *Lancet Oncol*, 11, 1048-56.
- 331 DILLNER, J., REBOLI, M., BIREMBAUT, P., PETRY, K.-U., SZAREWSKI, A., MUNK, C., DE SANJOSE, S.,
- 332 NAUCLER, P., LLOVERAS, B., KJAER, S., CUZICK, J., VAN BALLEGOOIJEN, M., CLAVEL, C.,
- 333 IFTNER, T. & JOINT EUROPEAN COHORT, S. 2008. Long term predictive values of cytology and

- human papillomavirus testing in cervical cancer screening: joint European cohort study. *BMJ*(*Clinical research ed.*), 337, a1754-a1754.
- ESTRADE, C. & SAHLI, R. 2014. Comparison of Seegene Anyplex II HPV28 with the PGMY-CHUV assay
   for human papillomavirus genotyping. *Journal of clinical microbiology*, 52, 607-612.
- 338 GARLAND, S. M., BROTHERTON, J. M., CONDON, J. R., MCINTYRE, P. B., STEVENS, M. P., SMITH, D. W.
- 339 & TABRIZI, S. N. 2011. Human papillomavirus prevalence among indigenous and non-
- indigenous Australian women prior to a national HPV vaccination program. *BMC Med*, 9,
  104.
- GARLAND, S. M., STEBEN, M., SINGS, H. L., JAMES, M., LU, S., RAILKAR, R., BARR, E., HAUPT, R. M. &
   JOURA, E. A. 2009. Natural history of genital warts: analysis of the placebo arm of 2
- randomized phase III trials of a quadrivalent human papillomavirus (types 6, 11, 16, and 18)
  vaccine. *J Infect Dis*, 199, 805-14.
- JACOT-GUILLARMOD, M., PASQUIER, J., GREUB, G., BONGIOVANNI, M., ACHTARI, C. & SAHLI, R.
   2017. Impact of HPV vaccination with Gardasil<sup>®</sup> in Switzerland. *BMC Infect Dis*, 17, 790.
- KWON, M. J., ROH, K. H., PARK, H. & WOO, H. Y. 2014. Comparison of the Anyplex II HPV28 assay
  with the Hybrid Capture 2 assay for the detection of HPV infection. *J Clin Virol*, 59, 246-9.
- LATSUZBAIA, A., ARBYN, M., TAPP, J., FISCHER, M., WEYERS, S., PESCH, P. & MOSSONG, J. 2019.
- 351 Effectiveness of bivalent and quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccination in Luxembourg.
   352 Cancer Epidemiol, 63, 101593.
- MACHALEK, D., SHILLING, H., ATCHISON, S., CORNALL, A., BROTHERTON, J., BATESON, D., MCNAMEE,
   K., HOCKING, J., KALDOR, J., CHEN, M., FAIRLEY, C., CHOW, E., SKINNER, S. R., MURRAY, G.,
- 355 MOLANO, M., TABRIZI, S. & GARLAND, S. 2019. P822 Factors associated with oncogenic
- human papillomavirus prevalence among australian women following vaccine introduction.
   *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, 95, A345-A346.
- MBOUMBA BOUASSA, R. S., NODJIKOUAMBAYE, Z. A., SADJOLI, D., ADAWAYE, C., PÉRÉ, H., VEYER,
  D., MATTA, M., ROBIN, L., TONEN-WOLYEC, S., MOUSSA, A. M., KOYALTA, D. & BELEC, L.
- 360 2019. High prevalence of cervical high-risk human papillomavirus infection mostly covered
- by Gardasil-9 prophylactic vaccine in adult women living in N'Djamena, Chad. *PLoS One*, 14,
  e0217486.
- PHILLIPS, S., CORNALL, A. M., MACHALEK, D. A., GARLAND, S. M., BATESON, D., GAREFALAKIS, M. &
   TABRIZI, S. N. 2016. Comparison of the Roche Cobas(R) 4800 HPV assay to Roche Amplicor
- for detection of high-risk human papillomavirus. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis,* 35, 1305-7.
- PHILLIPS, S., GARLAND, S. M., TAN, J. H., QUINN, M. A. & TABRIZI, S. N. 2015. Comparison of the
   Roche Cobas<sup>®</sup> 4800 HPV assay to Digene Hybrid Capture 2, Roche Linear Array and Roche
  - This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

- 368 Amplicor for Detection of High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Genotypes in Women undergoing treatment for cervical dysplasia. Journal of Clinical Virology, 62, 63-65. 369
- 370 POLJAK, M., OŠTRBENK VALENČAK, A., GIMPELJ DOMJANIČ, G., XU, L. & ARBYN, M. 2020.
- 371 Commercially available molecular tests for human papillomaviruses: a global overview.
- *Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 26, 1144-1150.* 372
- 373 SEEGENE, I. 2019. Anyplex II HPV28 Detection, Instructions for use document. Taewon Bldg., 91 374 Ogeum-ro, Songpa gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea 05548: Seegene.
- SHILLING, H., MURRAY, G., BROTHERTON, J. M. L., HAWKES, D., SAVILLE, M., SIVERTSEN, T., 375
- 376 CHAMBERS, I., ROBERTS, J., FARNSWORTH, A., GARLAND, S. M., HOCKING, J. S., KALDOR, J.,
- GUY, R., ATCHISON, S., COSTA, A.-M., MOLANO, M. & MACHALEK, D. A. 2020. Monitoring 377
- human papillomavirus prevalence among young Australian women undergoing routine 378
- 379 chlamydia screening. Vaccine, 38, 1186-1193.
- STEVENS, M. P., GARLAND, S. M., RUDLAND, E., TAN, J., QUINN, M. A. & TABRIZI, S. N. 2007. 380
- 381 Comparison of the Digene Hybrid Capture 2 assay and Roche AMPLICOR and LINEAR ARRAY human papillomavirus (HPV) tests in detecting high-risk HPV genotypes in specimens from 382
- women with previous abnormal Pap smear results. J Clin Microbiol, 45, 2130-7. 383
- 384 STEVENS, M. P., RUDLAND, E., GARLAND, S. M. & TABRIZI, S. N. 2006. Assessment of MagNA pure LC extraction system for detection of human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA in PreservCyt samples 385
- 386 by the Roche AMPLICOR and LINEAR ARRAY HPV tests. J Clin Microbiol, 44, 2428-33.
- TABRIZI, S. N., BROTHERTON, J. M., KALDOR, J. M., SKINNER, S. R., LIU, B., BATESON, D., MCNAMEE, 387
- K., GAREFALAKIS, M., PHILLIPS, S., CUMMINS, E., MALLOY, M. & GARLAND, S. M. 2014. 388
- 389 Assessment of herd immunity and cross-protection after a human papillomavirus
- 390 vaccination programme in Australia: a repeat cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis, 14, 958-66. 391
- 392 393 Aut 394
- 395
- 396
- 397 Figure 1: Genotype specific frequency (according to the total number of genotypes
- detected), as detected in DNA extracted by STARlet (manufacturer recommended 200 µL 398
- input, 100 µL elution volume), laboratory standard (1 mL input, eluted in 100 µL), and 399

- 400 matched (1/5 dilution of MP96 extracted DNA). Note that HPV genotypes 6, 11, 16, 18, 26,
- and 69 were not detected in any sample for any extraction method (Black bars represent the
- Laboratory standard results, dark grey bars represent the Matched sample results, and the
- 403 light grey bars represent the STARlet sample results).

404	1
405	
406	
407	$\mathbf{O}$
408	$\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$
409	5
410	
411	
412	σ
413	
414	2
415	
416	
417	$\mathbf{O}$
418	

- 419 **Table 1: Comparison** of detection for any HPV genotype on the HPV28 assay, with DNA
- 420 extracted by MP96 at neat (n=115) and 1/5 dilution (n=108), and STARlet

		STARlet				
		Negative	Positive	Total	Agreement	к (p-value*)
Matched <sup>#</sup>	Negative	50	1	51	89.80%	0.798 (0.007)
(equivalent to	Positive	10	47	57		

	STARlet)							
		Total	60	48	108			
	Laboratory standard	Negative	43	0	43	0	0.708	
	(5x concentration)	Positive	17	55	72 <sup>85.2</sup>	(<	<0.001)	
		Total	60	55	115			
421	*McNemar's test							
422	# Seven samples had	insufficient l	DNA remain	ing to be di	luted			
422	()							
423								
424	Table 2: HPV signa	l strength co	omparison f	or all detec	ted HPV g	enotypes be	etween	
425	samples extracted o	n the MP96	neat and 1/	5 diluted a	nd the STA	Rlet		
			STARlet	STARlet	STARlet	STARlet	Total	Total
			-	+	++	+++		agreement
	Matched	-	-	1	1	0	2	
	Matched	+	24	14	0	0	38	72 /0%
	Matched -	++	7	20	39	0	66	/2.40/0
	Matched +	++	2	0	6	13	21	
	Total		33	35	46	13	127	
	Laboratory star	ndard -	-	0	0	0	0	
	Laboratory star	ndard +	44	3	0	0	47	62 10%
	Laboratory stan	dard ++	18	35	31	0	84	02.1070
	Laboratory stand	lard +++	2	0	22	14	38	
	Total		64	38	53	14	169	
426								
427								

<b></b>	HPV grouping						
<b>O</b> Any		nv Low risk	Oncogenic	non-vaccine	Nonavalent		
	v		8	targeted oncogenic	vaccine-targeted <sup>‡</sup>		
Matched+/STARlet+	47	31	31	25	15		
Matched +/STARlet-	10	7	10	10	3		
Matched -/STARlet+	1	0	1	0	1		
Matched -/STARlet-	50	70	66	73	89		
Agreement %	89.80	93.52	89.81	90.74	96.3		
ĸ	0.80	0.85	0.77	0.77	0.86		
Interpretation*	S	np	S	S	np		
p-value (McNemar)	0.010	0.008	0.007	0.002	0.317		

**Table 3:** Comparison between Seegene Anyplex II HPV28 results from the matched extractions and STARlet (n=115)

429 \*n= none ( $\kappa$ <0.2), w=weak ( $\kappa$ =0.2–0.4), m=moderate ( $\kappa$ =0.401–0.6), s=strong ( $\kappa$ =0.601–0.8), np=near perfect ( $\kappa$ =0.801–0.99), and p=perfect 430 ( $\kappa$ =1.0) (Estrade & Sahli, 2014)

<sup>4</sup>31 <sup>‡</sup>Includes nonavalent vaccine-targeted types HPV 31, 33, 45, 52 and 58 (no HPV 6, 11, 16 or 18 were detected)

Auth

