



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Taylor, S;Salimi, F;Earnest, A;Heriot, AG;Zalcberg, JR;Ahern, S

Title:

The short to medium term benefits of the Australian colorectal cancer screening program

Date:

2021-02-01

Citation:

Taylor, S., Salimi, F., Earnest, A., Heriot, A. G., Zalcberg, J. R. & Ahern, S. (2021). The short to medium term benefits of the Australian colorectal cancer screening program. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 214 (2), pp.90-92. <https://doi.org/10.5694/mja2.50859>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/276639>

Article type : Research letter

Article begins on page three of this document.

Title	The short to medium term benefits of the Australian colorectal cancer screening program
--------------	---

Authors:

	Title	First name	Mid inits	Last name	Postnom (eg, PhD)	Position1	Address1	Position2	Address2	Tel	Email
1	Dr.	Sasha		Taylor	MBBS, BSc	Public Health Fellow	1				sasha.taylor@monash.edu
2	Dr.	Farhad		Salimi		Statistician	1				farhad.salimi@monash.edu
3	Dr.	Arul		Earnest	Biostatistics	Senior Biostatistician	1			03 99030245	arul.earnest@monash.edu
4	Prof.	Alexander	G	Heriot	MB BChir MA MD MBA FRACS FRCS(Gen.) FRCSEd FACS GAICD	Colorectal Surgeon	2	Director of Surgery	3	+613 8559 7683 / 0406 758 865	alexander.heriot@petermac.org
5	Prof.	John	R	Zalcborg	MBBS, OAM, PhD, FRACP, FRACMA, FAICD	Professor of Cancer Research	1			03 9903 0952 / 0418 387 197	john.zalcborg@monash.edu
6	Prof.	Susannah		Ahern	MBBS (Hons), MBA, FRACMA, PhD	Head, Registry Science Unit	1	Honorary Fellow	4	03 9903 0139	susannah.ahern@monash.edu
7											
8											

Number of corresponding author:	6
Number of alternative corresponding author:	

Addresses:

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1002/MJA2.50859](https://doi.org/10.1002/MJA2.50859)

	Institution	Postal address	City	State	Post Code	Nation
1	School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University	553 St Kilda Rd	Melbourne	VIC	3004	
2	Epworth HealthCare	84 Bridge Road	Melbourne	VIC	3121	
3	Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute	Locked Bag 1 A'Beckett St, Melbourne	Melbourne	VIC	8006	
4	University of Melbourne	Level 7, Medical Building	Melbourne	VIC	3010	
5						

Postal address of first corresponding author (if different from the institutional address given above)	
--	--

Primary Keywords [Office use only]	Environment and public health; Surgical procedures, operative; Neoplasms; Statistics, epidemiology and research design
Secondary keywords [Office use only]	Mass screening; Colorectal surgery; Colorectal neoplasms; Registries
Notes:	

Article details (press ctrl + 9 to enter details):

Article type	Research letter
Blurb	In addition to the lower long term mortality, short term post-operative benefits of the NBCSP are also evident
Pullquote	

Office use

<i>Ms. Number</i>	mja20.00032.R3
<i>Medical editor</i>	Wendy Morgan
<i>Medical editor email</i>	wmorgan@mja.com.au
<i>Structural editor</i>	Paul Foley
<i>Structural editor email</i>	pfoley@mja.com.au
<i>Section/Category</i>	Research letter
<i>Strapheading</i>	Research letter
<i>Substrap</i>	

Elsevier – file data:

Filename for copyediting	tay_mja20.00032_ms.docx
Accompanying graphics	None
Stock images	None
Appendices	None

Office use – history:

Event	Date
Original submission received	13/01/2020

Event	Date
Accept	22/07/2020

Proof sent to author	
Proof returned by author	
Published (date format xx/xx/xx)	01/02/21
Issue	2
Vol	214
DOI	10.5694/mja20.00032
Journal	The Medical Journal of Australia
Original article DOI (for response)	

Author Manuscript

The short to medium term benefits of the Australian colorectal cancer screening program

In Australia, colorectal cancer is the second most frequently diagnosed cancer and one of the most common causes of cancer-related death.¹ Evidence that bowel cancer screening reduces mortality through early detection and treatment² led to the introduction in 2006 of the Australian National Bowel Cancer Screening Program (NBCSP), offering faecal occult blood testing. The NBCSP has been progressively rolled out, from covering those aged 55 or 65 years in 2006 to screening every two years for all Australians aged 50–74 years by 2020.³ During 2016–17, 41% of people invited to participate in screening did so.⁴ A recent review of the NBCSP found that the risk of death from colorectal cancer was lower for invitees, and that those who had cancer were diagnosed at an earlier stage of disease.⁵

In Australia, jurisdictional cancer registries do not collect data on surgery-related morbidity. However, the Binational Colorectal Cancer Audit (BCCA) (<https://www.bowelcanceraudit.com>) has collected information since 2007 on the diagnosis, management, and outcomes of surgically managed Australian and New Zealand patients with colorectal cancer, as well as whether patients were identified by the NBCSP. BCCA data are voluntarily collected by 435 registered surgeons at 138 participating hospitals across Australia and New Zealand, covering about 24% of newly diagnosed cases of colorectal cancer in 2019.⁶ We sought to determine whether patients with surgically managed colorectal cancer diagnosed through the NBCSP have better post-operative outcomes than those diagnosed in other pathways.

We undertook a cross-sectional analysis of de-identified BCCA data for patients aged 18 years or over who underwent surgery in Australia for colorectal cancer during January 2007 – December 2018. Outcome measures were inpatient and 30-day mortality; surgical complications; medical complications; return to theatre; and hospital length of stay. We undertook binary logistic regression to assess associations between screening and binary outcomes. The association with length of stay was assessed in ordinary least squares linear regression models. The Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee (project, 19327) and the BCCA Operations Committee provided ethics approval for our study.

Of 23 310 cases of colorectal cancer in the database, we could include 15 630 cases with data on cancer type and screening status in our comparison of demographic and

clinical characteristics. A larger proportion of patients identified by the NBSCP than of otherwise identified patients were men (58% v 54%); their mean age (64 years, standard deviation [SD], 7 years v 69 years; SD, 14 years) was lower, and larger proportions had American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) scores in the low risk range (77% v 59%), were from lower socio-economic status areas, had presented for elective surgery (96% v 85%), had less advanced cancer stage disease (stages 0–II: 69% v 63%), and underwent minimally invasive surgery (80% v 66%) (Box 1).

Data on adjusting variables and outcomes were available for the 11 366 cases included in our logistic regression models. NBSCP-detected patients were less likely to have post-operative surgical (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 0.83; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.69–0.99) or medical complications (aOR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.59–0.94); their length of stay was also briefer (adjusted mean difference, –1.56 days; 95% CI, –2.06 to –1.06 days). Post-operative mortality and return to theatre rates were similar for screened and other patients (Box 2).

Our analysis of BCCA data indicates that, in addition to the lower long term mortality associated with the NBCSP,⁵ short term post-operative benefits are also evident that should be taken into account when promoting the program. Our study reinforces calls to improve participation rates in the national screening program by eligible participants to optimise the value of this critically important initiative.

Acknowledgements: The Binational Colorectal Cancer Audit is supported by the Colorectal Surgical Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSSANZ).

Competing interests: No relevant disclosures.

Received 13 January 2020, accepted 22 July 2020

Author details

Sasha Taylor¹

Farhad Salimi¹

Arul Earnest¹

Alexander G Heriot^{2,3}

John R Zalcberg¹

Susannah Ahern^{1,4}

1 School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC.

2 Epworth HealthCare, Melbourne, VIC.

3 Peter MacCallum Cancer Institute, Melbourne, VIC.

4 University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC.

susannah.ahern@monash.edu

doi: 10.5694/mja20.00032

References

- 1 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Cancer in Australia 2017 (Cat. no. CAN 100; Cancer series no.101). Canberra: AIHW, 2017.
- 2 Hewitson P, Glasziou P, Irwig L, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007; (CD001216).

- 3 Australian Department of Health. National Bowel Cancer Screening Program: policy framework phase four (2015–2020). Nov 2017. [http://www.cancerscreening.gov.au/internet/screening/publishing.nsf/Content/CDD8E9C8B95B9C94CA25806A007B6046/\\$File/Policy%20Framework%2014112017_KB.pdf](http://www.cancerscreening.gov.au/internet/screening/publishing.nsf/Content/CDD8E9C8B95B9C94CA25806A007B6046/$File/Policy%20Framework%2014112017_KB.pdf) (viewed Sept 2020).
- 4 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. National Bowel Cancer Screening Program: monitoring report 2019 (Cat. no. CAN 125; Cancer series no. 125). Canberra: AIHW, 2019.
- 5 Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Analysis of bowel cancer outcomes for the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program (Cat. no. CAN 113). Canberra: AIHW, 2018.
- 6 Dagher H, Ahern S, Salimi F, et al. The 2019 data bi-national colorectal cancer audit report. May 2020. <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5dcb9934d3d5c2516c2ff339/t/5ecd9c82fd31365e4f20baec/1590533311233/BCCA+Annual+Report+2020+%5B2019+Data%5D.pdf> (viewed Sept 2020).