



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

**Author/s:**

Stirk, R;O'Connell, M;Cameron, F;White, M

**Title:**

School Support for Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: The Parental Perspective

**Date:**

2021-12-01

**Citation:**

Stirk, R., O'Connell, M., Cameron, F. & White, M. (2021). School Support for Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: The Parental Perspective. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 57 (12), pp.2041-2043. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.15788>.

**Persistent Link:**

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/299089>

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor,

**Title:** *School support for children with type 1 diabetes mellitus: the parental perspective*

**Running Title:** Type 1 diabetes in schools

**Type of manuscript:** Letter to the editor

**Authors:**

- i. Dr Rebecca Stirk, Paediatric Medical Officer at Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne
- ii. Dr Michele O'Connell, Consultant Department of Endocrinology & Diabetes at The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, Research Officer at Murdoch Children's Research Institute, & Honorary Senior Fellow at University of Melbourne Department of Paediatrics
- iii. Professor Fergus Cameron, Consultant and Director of the Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes Unit at The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, Group Leader at Murdoch Children's Research Institute
- iv. Dr Mary White, Consultant Department of Endocrinology & Diabetes at The Royal Children's Hospital Melbourne, Research Officer at Murdoch Children's Research Institute, & Honorary Senior Fellow at University of Melbourne

**For correspondence please contact:**

Dr. Rebecca Stirk (first author, affiliation above)

[Rebecca.Stirk101@gmail.com](mailto:Rebecca.Stirk101@gmail.com)

Ph: 0428375111

**Keywords:** Type 1 Diabetes; Paediatric Endocrinology; parental perceptions; school; quality of care

**Ethics approval:** by The Royal Children's Hospital (RCH) Human Research Ethics Committee (Ethics ID 38367).

**Acknowledgements:** Ms Julia McCombe from Murdoch Children's Research Institute for her assistance with ethics approval, Dr. Julia Barrington from the Royal Children's Hospital for assistance with the final editing

**Conflict of interest:** None to disclose

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/jpc.15788](https://doi.org/10.1111/jpc.15788)

**The known:**

- The school environment plays a significant role in the management of children with T1D

**The new:**

- Parents are overall satisfied with Victorian T1D school care.
- Areas for improvement include staff training, and both communication and diabetes management plan use in secondary school.
- A number of parents felt that their child's diabetes had a psychosocial impact on school life.

diabetes management plan (DMP) and support participation of all students in school activities.<sup>(1)</sup> To enhance Australian data, we explored parental perception of the T1D support available to students in Victorian schools, how experience of these supports differed between primary and secondary school settings and the impact of T1D on participation in school activities and child wellbeing.

A structure-response questionnaire was derived from a study by Lehmkuhl & Nabors (2008).<sup>(3)</sup> Participants were parents of children with T1D who attended the diabetes outpatient clinic at the Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, March-June 2019. Of the 308 parents who self-approached for inclusion in this study, 279 surveys were included in the final analysis (Table 1). Overall satisfaction with diabetes school-based care was reported in 219/275 (79.6%). Staff training was identified as an issue with 82/279 respondents (29.8%) responding negatively to the level of training. Parents of secondary-level students reported use of the DMP (69.5% vs 35.4%,  $p=0.001$ ) and were less satisfied with the communication between parents and staff (74.2% vs 48.3%,  $p=0.03$ ), whereas 38/128 (29.7%) consider that more support should be provided to primary students ( $p=0.04$ ). Out of 274 parent respondents, 36 (13.1%) felt their child was prevented from participation in activities and 70/273 (25.6%) reported that their child felt different as a result of T1D.

This study shows that overall, parental perception of school-based diabetes care is largely positive in Victorian schools although some deficits have been identified. Our findings are similar to that of the national *Diabetes in Schools* assessment where the need for improved communication, staff training and DMP use were also highlighted.<sup>(4)</sup> Exclusion of children with T1D from school activities is an ongoing concern,<sup>(2)</sup> as full participation in activities is central to the school experience. Interestingly, while parents acknowledge that the level of support provided to secondary level students is appropriate, the expectation of ongoing dialogue with the school remains. Improved education provided to school staff, underlies the federally-funded *Diabetes in schools* pilot education program, which may need to be tailored to ensure it addresses both the medical and psychosocial needs of children with T1D.

**References:**

1. Bratina N, Forsander G, Annan F, Wysocki T, Pierce J, Calliari LE, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Management and support of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes in school. *Pediatr Diabetes*. 2018;19 (Suppl 27):287-301.
2. Fried L, Vithiatharan, R., Davis, E., Jones, T., Hancock, K., Runions, K., Cross, D., Payne, D., Jones, C., Wright, A., Pieterse, D., Knowles, J., Clarke, J., & Lin, A. The school experiences of children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes in Western Australia. *Issues in Educational Research*. 2018;28(3):578–95.
3. Lehmkuhl H, Nabors L. Children with diabetes: Satisfaction with school support, illness perceptions and HbA1C levels. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities*. 2008;20(2):101-14.
4. Australia Diabetes in Schools Program Update 2018 [Available from: <https://www.diabetesaustralia.com.au/living-with-diabetes/diabetes-and-daily-life/diabetes-in-schools-program-update/>].

**Table 1:** demographical and clinical variables between primary vs secondary students

		<b>Total (n=279)</b>	<b>Primary-School (n=129)</b>	<b>Secondary-School (n=150)</b>	<b>p value</b>
<b>Males, n(%)</b>		143 (51.3)	69 (53.5)	74 (49.3)	0.5
<b>Age, years (SD)</b>		12.0 (3.4)	9.0 (2.0)	14.7 (1.7)	<0.001
<b>Duration of diabetes diagnosis, years (SD)</b>		5.3 (3.8)	3.9 (2.7)	6.5 (4.1)	<0.001
<b>Mean duration at current school, years (SD)</b>		4.9 (2.5)	5.0 (2.3)	4.7 (2.7)	0.3
<b>HbA1c in %, mean (SD)</b>		7.76 (0.96)	7.73 (0.86)	7.79 (1.04)	0.6
<b>Number of performed BGL, Mean (SD)</b>		4.7 (2.0)	5.3 (2.0)	4.2 (1.7)	<0.001
<b>School type, n(%)</b>	<b>Public</b>	166 (59.5)	85 (65.9)	81 (54.0)	0.04
	<b>Private</b>	92 (33.0)	34 (26.4)	58 (38.7)	0.03
	<b>Other</b>	21 (7.5)	10 (7.8)	11 (7.3)	0.90
<b>School supports received, n(%)</b>	<b>Insulin administration</b>	25 (8.9)	19 (14.7)	6 (4.0)	0.002
	<b>Blood glucose levels</b>	89 (31.9)	73 (56.6)	16 (5.7)	<0.001
	<b>Hypoglycaemia management</b>	187 (67.0)	105 (81.4)	82 (54.7)	<0.001
<b>Insulin regimen, n(%)</b>	<b>BD</b>	80 (28.7)	62 (48.1)	18 (12.0)	

	<b>Intensive</b>	199 (71.3)	67 (51.9)	132 (88.0)	<0.001
<b>CGM, n(%)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	105 (37.6)	63 (48.8)	42 (28.0)	<0.001
	<b>No</b>	174 (62.4)	66 (51.2)	108 (72.0)	

**Abbreviations** BD = twice-daily injections; Intensive insulin regimen – a composite of those on MDI (multiple daily injections) and CSII (continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion); CGM =continuous glucose monitoring; between group comparisons (primary- vs secondary-school) were assessed using Chi Square analyses Student's t test for categorical and continuous variables respectively

