



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Rele, S;Dowsey, MM;Choong, PFM

Title:

In pursuit of enhanced recovery after total joint replacement: a narrative review of drivers of length of stay

Date:

2020-04-01

Citation:

Rele, S., Dowsey, M. M. & Choong, P. F. M. (2020). In pursuit of enhanced recovery after total joint replacement: a narrative review of drivers of length of stay. *ANZ Journal of Surgery*, 90 (4), pp.454-459. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.15790>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/275582>

**Title:** In pursuit of enhanced recovery after total joint replacement – A narrative review of drivers of length of stay

**Authors:** Siddharth Rele; Michelle M Dowsey; Peter FM Choong

**Author's Affiliation(s):**

Siddharth Rele BBiomedSc

- University of Melbourne Department of Surgery, St Vincent's Hospital, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia
- University of Melbourne Medical School, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

Michelle M Dowsey BHealthSci (Nursing), MEpi, PhD

- University of Melbourne Department of Surgery, St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia
- Department of Orthopaedics, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia

Peter FM Choong MMBS, MD, FRACS, FAOrthoA, FAAHMS

- University of Melbourne Department of Surgery, St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia
- Department of Orthopaedics, St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia

**Corresponding authors full contact details:**

**Name:** Peter FM Choong

**Address:** The University of Melbourne Department of Surgery, St. Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Level 2, Clinical Sciences Building, 29 Reagent Street, Fitzroy, Victoria 3065, Australia

**Email:** [pchoong@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:pchoong@unimelb.edu.au)

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/ans.15790](https://doi.org/10.1111/ans.15790)

# Abstract

A continual increase in the prevalence of osteoarthritis drives growing demand for total joint arthroplasty. So far, a decrease in length of stay has been the target for health professionals globally. However, a consensus pathway of achieving this has not yet been reached. This article reviews recent advances in preoperative and perioperative factors impacting length of stay.

## Keywords

Length of stay

Arthroplasty

Perioperative care

Recovery of function

# Main text

## Introduction

Total joint arthroplasty (TJA) is increasingly being performed in the context of an ageing population with an ever-increasing prevalence of osteoarthritis (1). With this increased demand for procedures, interest has surged to reduce length of stay (LOS) for total knee arthroplasty (TKA) and total hip arthroplasty (THA) – culminating in outpatient-based surgery (2). In Australia, LOS for TKA and THA has decreased from 5.7 and 5.4 days, respectively, in 2013 – 2014 (3) to 4.8 and 4.6 days, respectively, in 2017 – 2018 (1).

The concept of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) was conceived by Kehlet et al in the 1990s for colorectal surgery (4). Since then, ERAS has evolved to incorporate a number of principles that serve to facilitate recovery, but consensus has not been reached for orthopaedic surgery despite being a high volume and pathway driven specialty (5, 6). Nonetheless, shortening of LOS for arthroplasty has been heralded by changes in preoperative and perioperative care (7). At its core, patient recovery can be safely hastened by identifying and assessing risk factors for extended LOS and utilising operative, anaesthetic and analgesic techniques that promote patient mobilisation as a prelude to early discharge.

This narrative review aims to present preoperative and perioperative factors that affect LOS in TJA, highlighting recent advances that can shorten LOS. These findings may have relevance to other high-volume surgeries in middle-aged and elderly patients.

## Preoperative factors

### Patient characteristics

Preoperative patient characteristics that impact LOS can be categorised as non-modifiable factors that identify patients at risk of longer LOS; and modifiable factors that can shorten LOS.

A recent meta-analysis identified a number of non-modifiable characteristics including age and female gender that are associated with longer LOS for TKA (8). However, with improvements in perioperative care (9), focus has shifted onto patients' fitness for surgery, through measures such as frailty, rather than age. To date, studies have consistently shown frailty increases the risk of longer LOS for TJA (10), irrespective of the measure used to identify frailty. Hence, to improve the generalisability of the impact of frailty on TJA, investigation into a universal measure of frailty is warranted.

Modifiable characteristics affecting LOS include body mass index (BMI) and preoperative mobility. LOS is affected by BMI  $\geq 30$  (8) in a linear dose-response relationship (11), with preoperative weight loss reducing the risk of prolonged LOS (12). Albeit, rapid weight loss in the form of bariatric surgery has not been found to offer greater reductions in LOS in a recent systematic review (13), despite improving symptoms of knee osteoarthritis enough to delay

TKA (14). Given strong associations between BMI and LOS and need for arthroplasty, weight loss requires further investigation.

The preoperative timed-up-and-go test (TUG) strongly correlates with postoperative function (15). The TUG was found to identify patients with a short LOS, with every 5-second increase in preoperative TUG doubling risk of LOS > 48 hours (16). Though no firm cut-off exists, the TUG represents an easy, efficient and accurate measure to stratify and identify patients at increased risk of extended LOS.

Other modifiable characteristics include preoperative mental health issues and opioid use. In general, psychiatric disorders are associated with increased risk of LOS > 4 days for TJA (17). Similarly, preoperative opioid use connoted a longer LOS for TJA (18). Hence, both cohorts may benefit from individualised perioperative care pathways.

#### Risk assessment tools

The American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) score, a marker for surgical fitness, is a subjective assessment of a patient's fitness for surgery (19). Amongst large retrospective database studies, ASA  $\geq 3$  has consistently been a strong risk factor and independent predictor for extended LOS in TJA (20, 21). The Charleston Comorbidity Index (CCI) is an illness rating system predicting mortality (22). Being another indicator of general health, retrospective analyses have found higher CCI to be associated with longer LOS (23). And in an ageing population, preoperative function, rather than illness rating systems, appears to be associated with LOS (24). Thus, illness rating systems may provide only a rough estimate of recovery.

A number of tools have been produced that are specific to orthopaedic surgery; these include the Risk assessment and Prediction Tool (RAPT) (25) and the Baylock Risk Assessment Screening Score (BRASS) (26) – both determining discharge destination. The RAPT has shown mixed predictive capabilities for LOS in TKA amongst prospective studies (27, 28) and there is a paucity of data for THA. Comparatively, BRASS was shown to predict LOS in TJA (29). A more specific tool for early discharge is the outpatient arthroplasty risk assessment (OARA) (30). Within a highly structured early discharge program, the OARA score was associated with a greater predictive power than either ASA or Romano-CCI for same-day and next-day discharge (30). However, further studies comparing orthopaedic specific tools mentioned previously are required.

#### Preoperative education

Preoperative education is built on the principle of improving patient's health knowledge, behaviours and outcomes (31).

Two Cochrane systematic reviews on the topic of preoperative education found mixed results. McDonald et al found no effect of preoperative education on LOS (32). A follow-up review, with a high degree of heterogeneity, found preoperative education significantly

reduced LOS in those undergoing TKA and reduced LOS, though insignificantly, in THA (33).

Institution of formalised preoperative arthroplasty education program(s) have reduced LOS. A number of different types of preoperative education have been found to be effective including a multidisciplinary program involving physiotherapists, a care-coordinator and orthopaedic surgeon (34); a preoperative educator (35); and a home-based rehabilitation program (36). Therefore, institution of formalised preoperative education programs is appropriate to implement prior to surgery.

Implicit in these educational programs is a clear explanation of LOS. Interestingly, patients have placed least value on LOS in preoperative decision making for osteoarthritis (37); as such, LOS maybe only broached on the surgeon's discretion. Patients should be actively engaged in discussion surrounding planned LOS as it offers a reduction in LOS (38), and higher degrees of planning can shorten LOS (39).

#### Provider characteristics

The type of service provider also effects LOS for TJA. Shorter LOS has been observed in private hospitals and specialist orthopaedic centres compared to public hospitals (40); and in centres with higher case volumes (41). Hospital teaching status has had conflicting effects on LOS (42, 43), and requires further investigation. In addition, studies analysing differences between provider pathways for arthroplasty are needed to understand differences in LOS.

The effect of the surgeon on LOS has also found analogous results; wherein, higher case volumes shorten LOS (41) – with one study suggesting a threshold of <15 THA/year causing a slight increase in LOS (44). THA performed by trainee-surgeons has not been found to effect LOS in a recent meta-analysis (45); and similarly for TKA (46) – however, without the support of a meta-analysis..

#### Perioperative factors

##### Anaesthesia

General anaesthesia (GA) techniques have traditionally connoted a longer LOS and higher complication rate compared with neuraxial anaesthesia (NA), which is seen most prominently in those with greater preoperative morbidity (47). Whereas, amongst young patients with few comorbidities, no difference between GA and NA has been observed (48). Hence, patient characteristics appear equally as important as anaesthetic type.

A systematic review found NA to significantly shorten LOS (49). The wide time frame (1995 – 2015) of included studies limits the accountability of changes and advances in anaesthetic techniques to perioperative protocols in TJA. Given the small but significant difference in LOS between GA and NA techniques, it is likely that changes seen in GA techniques are making this method more amenable to TJA procedures with shorter LOS.

Enhanced recovery protocols for TJA have favoured the use of NA where possible. An observational study, where the standard of care included spinal anaesthesia (SA), showed the implementation of a fast track program reduced LOS (50). Taking this study in context of others implementing fast-track programs, it appears that NA may have played a small role in reducing LOS.

The advent of modern GA techniques has already indicated that the narrative on the use of GA in TJA and fast-track surgeries may be changing. More recently, two randomised controlled trials (RCTs) found no difference in LOS for THA and TKA between SA and GA techniques within a fast-track stream (51, 52).

### Surgical technique

A myriad of techniques exists for THA. Reduction in LOS has been observed with the anterior compared to posterior approach (53). In more recent times, focus has shifted toward more minimally invasive techniques – including the direct anterior approach (DAA), which has been associated with a shorter LOS (54). However, no difference in LOS was observed between the DAA and direct lateral with level I evidence (55) or mini-posterior in a retrospective study (56). Thus, the approach utilised in THA may appear to play a smaller role in determining LOS compared to other perioperative management strategies.

The medial parapatellar approach (MPA) is the most common approach for TKA. However, its disruption of the quadriceps extensor mechanism has garnered interest in approaches that minimise quadriceps invasion (57). Albeit, meta-analyses have found no difference in LOS between MPA and midvastus or subvastus approaches (58). Hence, the approach to TKA does not appear to contribute to LOS, but rather to knee function.

Recently, computer-assisted (CAS) and robot-assisted (RAS) surgery has increased accuracy and precision to improve patient outcomes (59, 60). The effect of CAS on reducing LOS compared to conventional TKA has been mixed with a high degree of variability between studies (61). Comparatively, RAS-TKA has shown to be more promising with a reduction in LOS compared to conventional surgery (62). Being a newer technology, RCTs and prospective studies have primarily focused on safety. However, more research is required comparing short-term outcomes of RAS with conventional surgery.

### Blood management

As a major procedure, TJA is often associated with significant blood loss and high blood transfusion rates (63). Both anaemia and blood transfusions are associated with prolonging LOS (64). Consequently, preoperative and intra-operative strategies which minimise the chance of postoperative anaemia should be adopted.

Oral or IV iron supplementation is a known method to improve preoperative anaemia, and has been found to reduce LOS (65). However, iron supplementation should be implemented

preoperatively with enough time to correct anaemia (66). Therefore, screening and correction of anaemia should both be conducted preoperatively.

The use of IV or topical tranexamic acid (TXA) remains a valid strategy to minimise bleeding. TXA has been shown to decrease LOS in TJA (7) regardless of tourniquet use (67). No difference in LOS has been found when comparing IV, topical or their combination in meta-analyses (68). Given tourniquets have little impact on LOS amongst level I evidence (69), TXA should be utilised in TJA, with its route determined by experience, safety and cost.

### Analgesia

Several opioid-sparing agents can ensure adequate pain relief. Perhaps the simplest is paracetamol, others include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and gabapentanoids. IV paracetamol has shown a small, but significant, reduction in LOS compared to oral paracetamol (70), driven by decreased opioid consumption (71).

Many advances have been made to facilitate intraoperative methods of providing analgesia. These techniques include peripheral nerve blocks (PNBs) and local infiltration of analgesics (LIA). For TKA, adductor canal blocks (ACB) and femoral nerve blocks (FNB) have both improved postoperative pain. The primary issues involved with FNB include prolonged quadriceps dysfunction and sensory nerve dysfunction leading to adverse postoperative events (72). Comparatively, RCT evidence indicates ACB allows patients to maintain a greater degree of quadriceps muscle function (73) - connoting a shorter LOS (74).

Options for PNB in THA include the psoas compartment block, FNB and the fascia iliaca block. Compared to TKA, LOS with PNBs during THA is reported less often. A Cochrane review conducted in 2017 found PNBs reduced LOS (75). However, the included studies did not compare different PNB techniques – and this remains an area requiring investigation.

Local infiltration of analgesia (LIA) techniques are another tool in a multimodal methodology offering pain-relief to further accelerate recovery. Level I evidence shows LIA significantly reduce LOS and improve pain management (76). Despite evidence suggesting sciatic nerve block in addition to FNB decreases nociception around the entire knee joint (77), LIA techniques have avoided injection in the posterior joint capsule due to risk of popliteal nerve injury. More recently, this combination was examined again with infiltration between the popliteal artery and the capsule of the knee (iPACK) block; and shown to facilitate a faster discharge in combination with ACB (78). This finding supports the notion that analgesic coverage should encompass the entire knee to connote a faster recovery of function. As such, the use of both LIA and PNB could be hypothesised to improve function and further reduce LOS.

### Postoperative mobilisation

The implementation of ERAS pathways focusing on shortening the interval between operating theatre and mobilisation has continually shown reductions in LOS (79). However,

the included studies in this meta-analysis implemented more than one change in protocol. Hence, the effect of early mobilisation on LOS appears to be lost amongst a myriad of changes.

Recent studies trying to isolate the timing of physiotherapy have found no effect on LOS (80). Furthermore, a reduction in LOS is observed when assessing increased duration combined with early timing of physiotherapy (81). Thus, the type and duration of physiotherapy may play a greater role in determining LOS but requires further investigation.

## Conclusion

Overall, the management of patients undergoing TJA has changed markedly over time. Although several advances have connoted a shorter LOS including patient selection and management of pain and blood loss, there are vast areas that are uncertain.

Firstly, more research is required that focuses on frailty to assess patient suitability for fast-track programs. Moreover, a further review of current predictive tools for LOS is warranted, or even the development of a new tool rather than adaptations of others. Secondly, the optimal anaesthetic technique for fast-track surgery remains to be found. And finally, postoperative care remains unclear, with questions surrounding early mobilisation remaining unanswered.

# Acknowledgements

Assoc. Professor Michelle Dowsey is a NHMRC Career Development Fellow (APP1122526), and a University of Melbourne Dame Kate Campbell Fellow. Professor Peter Choong is a NHMRC Practitioner Fellow (APP1154203). Professor Choong and Assoc Professor Dowsey are the recipients of an NHMRC Centre for Research Excellence in Total Joint Replacement (APP1116325).

# Disclosure statement

There are no conflicts of interests.

Author Manuscript

# References

1. Australian Institute of Health Welfare. Admitted patient care 2017–18. Canberra: AIHW; 2019.
2. Lovald ST, Ong KL, Malkani AL, Lau EC, Schmier JK, Kurtz SM, et al. Complications, mortality, and costs for outpatient and short-stay total knee arthroplasty patients in comparison to standard-stay patients. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(3):510-5.
3. Australian Institute of Health Welfare. Admitted patient care 2013–14: Australian hospital statistics. Canberra: AIHW; 2015.
4. Kehlet H. Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation. *Br J Anaesth*. 1997;78(5):606-17.
5. Inacio MCS, Graves SE, Pratt NL, Roughead EE, Nemes S. Increase in Total Joint Arthroplasty Projected from 2014 to 2046 in Australia: A Conservative Local Model With International Implications. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2017;475(8):2130-7.
6. Dowsey MM, Kilgour ML, Santamaria NM, Choong PF. Clinical pathways in hip and knee arthroplasty: a prospective randomised controlled study. *Med J Aust*. 1999;170(2):59-62.
7. Zhu S, Qian W, Jiang C, Ye C, Chen X. Enhanced recovery after surgery for hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Postgrad Med J*. 2017;93(1106):736-42.
8. Shah A, Memon M, Kay J, Wood TJ, Tushinski DM, Khanna V. Preoperative Patient Factors Affecting Length of Stay following Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(9):2124-65.e1.
9. Bovonratwet P, Fu MC, Tyagi V, Gu A, Sculco PK, Grauer JN. Is Discharge Within a Day of Total Knee Arthroplasty Safe in the Octogenarian Population? *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(2):235-41.
10. Schmucker AM, Hupert N, Mandl LA. The Impact of Frailty on Short-Term Outcomes After Elective Hip and Knee Arthroplasty in Older Adults: A Systematic Review. *Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil*. 2019;10:2151459319835109.
11. Prohaska MG, Keeney BJ, Beg HA, Swarup I, Moschetti WE, Kantor SR, et al. Preoperative body mass index and physical function are associated with length of stay and facility discharge after total knee arthroplasty. *Knee*. 2017;24(3):634-40.
12. Keeney BJ, Austin DC, Jevsevar DS. Preoperative Weight Loss for Morbidly Obese Patients Undergoing Total Knee Arthroplasty: Determining the Necessary Amount. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2019;101(16):1440-50.
13. Gu A, Cohen JS, Malahias M-A, Lee D, Sculco PK, McLawhorn AS. The Effect of Bariatric Surgery Prior to Lower-Extremity Total Joint Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. *HSS J*. 2019;15(2):190-200.
14. Rishi L, Bhandari M, Kumar R. Can bariatric surgery delay the need for knee replacement in morbidly obese osteoarthritis patients. *J Minim Access Surg*. 2018;14(1):13-7.

15. Bohannon RW. Reference values for the timed up and go test: a descriptive meta-analysis. *J Geriatr Phys Ther.* 2006;29(2):64-8.
16. Petis SM, Howard JL, Lanting BA, Somerville LE, Vasarhelyi EM. Perioperative Predictors of Length of Stay After Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(7):1427-30.
17. Jorgensen CC, Knop J, Nordentoft M, Kehlet H. Psychiatric Disorders and Psychopharmacologic Treatment as Risk Factors in Elective Fast-track Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *Anesthesiology.* 2015;123(6):1281-91.
18. Sing DC, Barry JJ, Cheah JW, Vail TP, Hansen EN. Long-Acting Opioid Use Independently Predicts Perioperative Complication in Total Joint Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2016;31(9):170-4.e1.
19. Daabiss M. American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status classification. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2011;55(2):111-5.
20. Belmont PJ, Jr., Goodman GP, Hamilton W, Waterman BR, Bader JO, Schoenfeld AJ. Morbidity and mortality in the thirty-day period following total hip arthroplasty: risk factors and incidence. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29(10):2025-30.
21. Belmont PJ, Jr., Goodman GP, Waterman BR, Bader JO, Schoenfeld AJ. Thirty-day postoperative complications and mortality following total knee arthroplasty: incidence and risk factors among a national sample of 15,321 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014;96(1):20-6.
22. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40(5):373-83.
23. Farley KX, Anastasio AT, Premkumar A, Boden SD, Gottschalk MB, Bradbury TL. The Influence of Modifiable, Postoperative Patient Variables on the Length of Stay After Total Hip Arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2019;34(5):901-6.
24. Komang-Agung IS, Sindrawati O, William PS. Do Age and Co-morbidity, Among other Factors, affect Length of Hospital Stay following Total Knee Arthroplasty. *Malays Orthop J.* 2018;12(2):25-30.
25. Oldmeadow LB, McBurney H, Robertson VJ. Predicting risk of extended inpatient rehabilitation after hip or knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2003;18(6):775-9.
26. Mistiaen P, Duijnhouwer E, Prins-Hoekstra A, Ros W, Blaylock A. Predictive validity of the BRASS index in screening patients with post-discharge problems. *Blaylock Risk Assessment Screening Score.* *J Adv Nurs.* 1999;30(5):1050-6.
27. Gkagkalis G, Pereira LC, Fleury N, Luthi F, Lecureux E, Jolles BM. Are the cumulated ambulation score and risk assessment and prediction tool useful for predicting discharge destination and length of stay following total knee arthroplasty? *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2019.
28. Tan C, Loo G, Pua YH, Chong HC, Yeo W, Ong PH, et al. Predicting discharge outcomes after total knee replacement using the Risk Assessment and Predictor Tool. *Physiotherapy.* 2014;100(2):176-81.

29. Cunic D, Lacombe S, Mohajer K, Grant H, Wood G. Can the Blaylock Risk Assessment Screening Score (BRASS) predict length of hospital stay and need for comprehensive discharge planning for patients following hip and knee replacement surgery? Predicting arthroplasty planning and stay using the BRASS. *Can J Surg.* 2014;57(6):391-7.
30. Meneghini RM, Ziemba-Davis M. Patient Perceptions Regarding Outpatient Hip and Knee Arthroplasties. *J Arthroplasty.* 2017;32(9):2701-5.e1.
31. Oshodi TO. The impact of preoperative education on postoperative pain. Part 1. *Br J Nurs.* 2007;16(12):706-10.
32. McDonald S, Hetrick SE, Green S. Pre-operative education for hip or knee replacement. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004(1):CD003526.
33. McDonald S, Page MJ, Beringer K, Wasiak J, Sprowson A. Preoperative education for hip or knee replacement. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014(5):CD003526.
34. Jones S, Alnaib M, Kokkinakis M, Wilkinson M, St Clair Gibson A, Kader D. Pre-operative patient education reduces length of stay after knee joint arthroplasty. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 2011;93(1):71-5.
35. Yoon RS, Nellans KW, Geller JA, Kim AD, Jacobs MR, Macaulay W. Patient Education Before Hip or Knee Arthroplasty Lowers Length of Stay. *J Arthroplasty.* 2010;25(4):547-51.
36. Huang SW, Chen PH, Chou YH. Effects of a preoperative simplified home rehabilitation education program on length of stay of total knee arthroplasty patients. *OTSR.* 2012;98(3):259-64.
37. Moorman CT, 3rd, Kirwan T, Share J, Vannabouathong C. Patient Preferences Regarding Surgical Interventions for Knee Osteoarthritis. *Clin Med Insights Arthritis Musculoskelet Disord.* 2017;10:1179544117732039-.
38. Tanzer D, Smith K, Tanzer M. Changing Patient Expectations Decreases Length of Stay in an Enhanced Recovery Program for THA. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2018;476(2):372-8.
39. Padilla JA, Feng JE, Anoushiravani AA, Hozack WJ, Schwarzkopf R, Macaulay WB. Modifying Patient Expectations Can Enhance Total Hip Arthroplasty Postoperative Satisfaction. *J Arthroplasty.* 2019;34(7s):S209-s14.
40. Siciliani L, Sivey P, Street A. Differences in length of stay for hip replacement between public hospitals, specialised treatment centres and private providers: selection or efficiency? *Health Econ.* 2013;22(2):234-42.
41. Styron JF, Koroukian SM, Klika AK, Barsoum WK. Patient vs provider characteristics impacting hospital lengths of stay after total knee or hip arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2011;26(8):1418-26.e1-2.
42. Perfetti DC, Sodhi N, Khlopas A, Sultan AA, Lamaj S, Boylan MR, et al. Is Orthopaedic Department Teaching Status Associated with Adverse Outcomes of Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty? *Surg Technol Int.* 2017;31:379-83.
43. Mäkelä KT, Peltola M, Sund R, Malmivaara A, Häkkinen U, Remes V. Regional and hospital variance in performance of total hip and knee replacements: a national population-based study. *Ann Med.* 2011;43(sup1):S31-S8.

44. Chou YY, Tung YC. Optimal Hospital and Surgeon Volume Thresholds to Improve 30-Day Readmission Rates, Costs, and Length of Stay for Total Hip Replacement. *J Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(9):1901-8.e1.
45. Singh P, Madanipour S, Fontalis A, Bhamra JS, Abdul-Jabar HB. A systematic review and meta-analysis of trainee- versus consultant surgeon-performed elective total hip arthroplasty. *EFORT Open Rev*. 2019;4(2):44-55.
46. Beattie N, Maempel JF, Roberts S, Waterson HB, Brown G, Brenkel IJ, et al. Surgery performed by supervised registrars does not adversely affect medium-term functional outcomes after total knee replacement. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2018;100(1):57-62.
47. Pugely AJ, Martin CT, Gao Y, Mendoza-Lattes S, Callaghan JJ. Differences in short-term complications between spinal and general anesthesia for primary total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2013;95(3):193-9.
48. Basques BA, Toy JO, Bohl DD, Golinvaux NS, Grauer JN. General compared with spinal anesthesia for total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015;97(6):455-61.
49. Johnson RL, Kopp SL, Burkle CM, Duncan CM, Jacob AK, Erwin PJ, et al. Neuraxial vs general anaesthesia for total hip and total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review of comparative-effectiveness research. *Br J Anaesth*. 2016;116(2):163-76.
50. Berg U, BuLow E, Sundberg M, Rolfson O. No increase in readmissions or adverse events after implementation of fast-track program in total hip and knee replacement at 8 Swedish hospitals: An observational before-and-after study of 14,148 total joint replacements 2011-2015. *Acta Orthop*. 2018;89(5):522-7.
51. Harsten A, Kehlet H, Ljung P, Toksvig-Larsen S. Total intravenous general anaesthesia vs. spinal anaesthesia for total hip arthroplasty: a randomised, controlled trial. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2015;59(3):298-309.
52. Harsten A, Kehlet H, Toksvig-Larsen S. Recovery after total intravenous general anaesthesia or spinal anaesthesia for total knee arthroplasty: a randomized trial. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;111(3):391-9.
53. Higgins BT, Barlow DR, Heagerty NE, Lin TJ. Anterior vs. posterior approach for total hip arthroplasty, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2015;30(3):419-34.
54. Van Den Eeden YN, De Turck BJ, Van Den Eeden FM. 24 hours stay after hip replacement. *Acta Orthop*. 2017;88(1):24-8.
55. Wang Z, Bao HW, Hou JZ. Direct anterior versus lateral approaches for clinical outcomes after total hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis. *J Orthop Surg Res*. 2019;14(1):63.
56. Poehling-Monaghan KL, Kamath AF, Taunton MJ, Pagnano MW. Direct anterior versus miniposterior THA with the same advanced perioperative protocols: surprising early clinical results. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2015;473(2):623-31.
57. Xu SZ, Lin XJ, Tong X, Wang XW. Minimally invasive midvastus versus standard parapatellar approach in total knee arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):e95311.

58. Liu H-W, Gu W-D, Xu N-W, Sun J-Y. Surgical Approaches in Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Meta-Analysis Comparing the Midvastus and Subvastus to the Medial Peripatellar Approach. *J Arthroplasty*. 2014;29(12):2298-304.
59. Jolles BM, Genoud P, Hoffmeyer P. Computer-assisted Cup Placement Techniques in Total Hip Arthroplasty Improve Accuracy of Placement. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2004;426:174-9.
60. Hampp EL, Chughtai M, Scholl LY, Sodhi N, Bhowmik-Stoker M, Jacofsky DJ, et al. Robotic-Arm Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty Demonstrated Greater Accuracy and Precision to Plan Compared with Manual Techniques. *J Knee Surg*. 2019;32(3):239-50.
61. Dutton AQ, Yeo SJ, Yang KY, Lo NN, Chia KU, Chong HC. Computer-assisted minimally invasive total knee arthroplasty compared with standard total knee arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2008;90(1):2-9.
62. Kayani B, Konan S, Tahmassebi J, Pietrzak JRT, Haddad FS. Robotic-arm assisted total knee arthroplasty is associated with improved early functional recovery and reduced time to hospital discharge compared with conventional jig-based total knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint J*. 2018;100-B(7):930-7.
63. Hart A, Khalil JA, Carli A, Huk O, Zukor D, Antoniou J. Blood transfusion in primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. Incidence, risk factors, and thirty-day complication rates. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2014;96(23):1945-51.
64. Lasocki S, Krauspe R, von Heymann C, Mezzacasa A, Chainey S, Spahn DR. PREPARE: the prevalence of perioperative anaemia and need for patient blood management in elective orthopaedic surgery: a multicentre, observational study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2015;32(3):160-7.
65. Pujol-Nicolas A, Morrison R, Casson C, Khan S, Marriott A, Tiplady C, et al. Preoperative screening and intervention for mild anemia with low iron stores in elective hip and knee arthroplasty. *Transfusion*. 2017;57(12):3049-57.
66. Yang Y, Li H, Li B, Wang Y, Jiang S, Jiang L. Efficacy and safety of iron supplementation for the elderly patients undergoing hip or knee surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Surg Res*. 2011;171(2):e201-7.
67. Huang Z, Xie X, Li L, Huang Q, Ma J, Shen B, et al. Intravenous and Topical Tranexamic Acid Alone Are Superior to Tourniquet Use for Primary Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective, Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2017;99(24):2053-61.
68. Sun Q, Li J, Chen J, Zheng C, Liu C, Jia Y. Comparison of intravenous, topical or combined routes of tranexamic acid administration in patients undergoing total knee and hip arthroplasty: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ open*. 2019;9(1):e024350.
69. Jiang F-z, Zhong H-m, Hong Y-c, Zhao G-f. Use of a tourniquet in total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Orthop Sci*. 2015;20(1):110-23.

70. Barrington JW, Hansen RN, Lovelace B, Boing EA, Chughtai M, Newman JM, et al. Impact of Intravenous Acetaminophen on Lengths of Stay and Discharge Status after Total Knee Arthroplasty. *J Knee Surg.* 2019;32(1):111-6.
71. Guo H, Wang C, He Y. A meta-analysis evaluates the efficacy of intravenous acetaminophen for pain management in knee or hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Sci.* 2018;23(5):793-800.
72. Feibel RJ, Dervin GF, Kim PR, Beaulé PE. Major Complications Associated with Femoral Nerve Catheters for Knee Arthroplasty: A Word of Caution. *J Arthroplasty.* 2009;24(6, Supplement):132-7.
73. Elkassabany NM, Antosh S, Ahmed M, Nelson C, Israelite C, Badiola I, et al. The Risk of Falls After Total Knee Arthroplasty with the Use of a Femoral Nerve Block Versus an Adductor Canal Block: A Double-Blinded Randomized Controlled Study. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;122(5):1696-703.
74. Shah NA, Jain NP. Is Continuous Adductor Canal Block Better Than Continuous Femoral Nerve Block After Total Knee Arthroplasty? Effect on Ambulation Ability, Early Functional Recovery and Pain Control: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014;29(11):2224-9.
75. Guay J, Johnson RL, Kopp S. Nerve blocks or no nerve blocks for pain control after elective hip replacement (arthroplasty) surgery in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2017(10):CD011608.
76. Vaishya R, Wani AM, Vijay V. Local Infiltration Analgesia reduces pain and hospital stay after primary TKA: randomized controlled double blind trial. *Acta Orthop Belg.* 2015;81(4):720-9.
77. Pham Dang C, Gautheron E, Guilley J, Fernandez M, Waast D, Volteau C, et al. The value of adding sciatic block to continuous femoral block for analgesia after total knee replacement. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2005;30(2):128-33.
78. Thobhani S, Scalercio L, Elliott CE, Nossaman BD, Thomas LC, Yuratich D, et al. Novel Regional Techniques for Total Knee Arthroplasty Promote Reduced Hospital Length of Stay: An Analysis of 106 Patients. *Ochsner J.* 2017;17(3):233-8.
79. Masaracchio M, Hanney WJ, Liu X, Kolber M, Kirker K. Timing of rehabilitation on length of stay and cost in patients with hip or knee joint arthroplasty: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(6):e0178295.
80. Bohl DD, Li J, Calkins TE, Darrith B, Edmiston TA, Nam D, et al. Physical Therapy on Postoperative Day Zero Following Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Randomized, Controlled Trial of 394 Patients. *J Arthroplasty.* 2019.
81. den Hertog A, Gliesche K, Timm J, Muhlbauer B, Zebrowski S. Pathway-controlled fast-track rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized prospective clinical study evaluating the recovery pattern, drug consumption, and length of stay. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2012;132(8):1153-63.