

Article type : Special Issue Article

REVIEW ARTICLE

A review and guide to drug-associated oral adverse effects - Oral mucosal and lichenoid reactions. Part 2.

Teoh L,* Moses G,† McCullough MJ.*

**Melbourne Dental School, The University of Melbourne, Carlton, Victoria, Australia.*

†School of Pharmacy, University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Australia

Abstract

Dental practitioners and other health professionals commonly encounter and manage adverse medicine effects that manifest in the orofacial region. Numerous medicines are associated with a variety of oral adverse effects. However, due to lack of awareness and training, these side effects are not always associated with medicine use and are underreported to pharmacovigilance agencies by dentists and other health professionals. This article aims to inform health professionals about the various oral adverse effects that can occur and the most commonly implicated drugs to improve the management, recognition and reporting of adverse drug effects. This article follows on from Part 1, however the focus here is on lichenoid reactions and oral mucosal disorders including oral aphthous-like ulceration, mucositis and bullous disorders such as drug-induced pemphigus, pemphigoid, Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis.

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/JOP.12910](https://doi.org/10.1111/JOP.12910)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Introduction

A wide range of medications have been associated with causing adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in the oral cavity. However, due to the limitations of standard clinical trials and a lack of oral health pharmacovigilance by medical researchers and patients themselves, oral ADRs are often missed during clinical trials. Short term and well-known symptoms such as dry mouth and taste disturbances may be reported, but more obscure diagnoses such as oral mucosal changes as well as long term oral effects such as osteonecrosis of the jaw are not usually identified as being drug-induced until a drug has been on the market for many years.²

³ This highlights the need for oral health practitioners to be aware of the limitations of oral ADR information with new drugs, and the importance of their involvement in post-marketing drug safety monitoring, as it may only be dental practitioners who will observe oral symptoms or are in a position to ask about ADRs that manifest in the mouth. Moreover, dentists need to be aware that oral ADRs need to be reported to pharmacovigilance agencies to be recognised and collated with data from other countries. The increasing diversity and complexity of medications being marketed today makes it even more important for oral health pharmacovigilance to become embedded in current dental practice.

To do this, dental practitioners require an understanding of the range of oral ADRs that have been reported, and the medicines that they are associated with. Thus, the aim of this review and guide is to summarise the commonly implicated drugs associated with orofacial adverse effects for health professionals to help with patient management in clinical practice. This review follows on from Part 1, with the focus here on the oral mucosal adverse effects including bullous disorders and lichenoid reactions. Since the authors of this review practice in Australia, only drugs that are currently marketed in Australia have been included in this review.

Drug-induced lichenoid reactions

Lichen planus is a chronic inflammatory disease that can affect the skin and any lining mucosa, including the oral, oesophageal and genital mucosa.⁶ It is reported to affect approximately 2% of the population, with women more commonly affected than men.⁶ Oral lichen planus typically occurs on the buccal mucosa, tongue and gingivae and can have a variety of clinical presentations, from a white striated reticular pattern to white and red confluent plaques, ulcerations or erosions.⁷ While the aetiology is unclear, it is thought to be

an immunologically mediated reaction of the lymphocytes to the basal keratinocytes on the surface of the skin or mucosa.⁸ Oral lichenoid reactions (OLR) refer to a lesion that clinically and histologically resembles lichen planus.⁹ A wide range of drugs have been implicated in causing oral lichenoid reactions with conflicting presentations.¹⁰ The ambiguity of these case reports arise from the lack of biopsy confirming the diagnosis, the use of imprecise terminology when establishing a full diagnosis, when the histological appearance is similar to but not consistent with classical lichen planus,¹¹ as well as the lack of the use of an adverse drug reaction validation protocol, such as the challenge–dechallenge–rechallenge (C-D-R) procedure or Naranjo algorithm.^{10, 12}

While there are numerous case reports of medications associated with oral lichenoid reactions, a systematic review showed that most evidence for this rare adverse effect exists for a few drugs only.¹⁰ Of the cardiac medications, most evidence exists for methyldopa, with one case of the reappearance of the oral lesions on rechallenge.¹³ There are single case reports of other cardiac drugs, including oxprenolol,¹⁴ atenolol,¹⁵ captopril and enalapril¹⁶ also demonstrating oral lichenoid lesions on both clinical and histological examination.

The immunosuppressants imatinib, infliximab and interferon-alpha (IFN-alpha) appear to have substantial evidence to support their association with causing OLR.¹⁰ Imatinib is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, which inhibits the effect of specific tyrosine kinases that can be abnormally activated in certain cancers.¹⁷ Each case report for imatinib describes the OLR appearing between 3-12 months after starting therapy.^{18, 19} The oral lesions either resolved on withdrawal of imatinib or were treated, and one case also confirms the adverse effect by demonstrating the re-appearance of the oral lesions after the re-introduction of imatinib.²⁰

Tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) inhibitors infliximab and adalimumab are used as immunosuppressants for conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory bowel disease, including Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. TNF-alpha is a signaling molecule involved in the aetiopathogenesis of inflammatory and immune responses.¹⁷ Several cutaneous and mucosal lesions have been described, with most case reports detailing OLR for infliximab developing between 3-8 weeks after commencement.^{21, 22} Withdrawal of the drug resulted in either partial or complete resolution of the OLR. No rechallenges or adverse drug reaction validation protocol was undertaken or recorded in these cases. Two case reports have been described for adalimumab,²³ with one showing that rechallenge of the medication resulted in an OLR.²⁴

IFN-alpha is an immunosuppressant drug that has multiple actions including suppression of cell proliferation and other immunomodulatory effects. It is mostly used in certain cancers including chronic myeloid leukaemia and renal cell cancer. Several case reports have detailed the adverse effect of OLR occurring between 10 days – 8 months after starting therapy, where the lesions resolved after discontinuation.^{25, 26}

NSAIDs have been implicated in causing OLRs,²⁷ with naproxen having the most evidence for both skin and oral lesions.^{28, 29} One retrospective study showed that the oral lesions developed between 2 weeks and 3 months, with lesion resolution on withdrawal.²⁹ However, the literature is contradictory regarding the link between NSAIDs and OLRs, with one prospective study not finding any association between oral keratoses (lichen planus and leukoplakia) and use of NSAIDs,³⁰ while in contrast, another retrospective study supported the association between beta-blockers and NSAIDs in the pathogenesis of OLRs.³¹ Finally, one case report clearly detailed a strong association between the use of indomethacin provoking an OLR, which recurred on rechallenge.³²

There is a long list of other drugs considered capable of causing OLRs, but many case reports lack detail in terms of confirmation of the diagnosis by histopathology, or absence of a definite diagnosis by use of imprecise terminology regarding histological findings.¹⁰ Other medications that may be drugs associated with OLRs include: lithium carbonate,³³ hepatitis B vaccine,³⁴ clopidogrel,³⁵ ribavirin,³⁶ carbamazepine,³⁷ risperidone,³⁷ certolizumab,³⁸ glimepiride,³⁹ duloxetine⁴⁰ and secukinumab.⁴¹ Most of these medications however have only single or a few case reports associating them with OLRs, although all have had a biopsy or immunofluorescence to determine the diagnosis of oral lichenoid lesion and some have shown that a rechallenge of the culprit medication has resulted in reappearance of the reaction. Drug-induced OLR are a rare adverse effect so it is important for health practitioners to be aware of this possibility, confirm the diagnosis by an adverse drug algorithm and report the case to their respective drug regulatory authority. In agreement with Fortuna et al¹⁰ and Myers et al,¹¹ a universal protocol should be established to conclusively prove this association for each case. Table 1 details drugs associated with lichenoid-like reactions, and is adapted from Fortuna et al.¹⁰

Drug-associated mucosal ulceration, mucositis and bullous disorders

Drug-associated aphthous-like ulceration

Recurrent aphthous ulceration (RAS) is defined as an “inflammatory condition of unknown aetiology characterised by painful recurrent, single or multiple ulcerations of the oral mucosa.”⁴² Medications have been associated with causing aphthous-like ulcerations, but this side effect is generally rare (Table 2).^{42, 43} The oral lesions present as discrete, ovoid, well-demarcated, relatively shallow ulcers surrounded by a characteristic erythematous border.⁴⁴ Lisi et al⁴³ describes that the differentiation between RAS and oral ulceration due to drugs can be difficult, where drug stomatitis generally displays features such as the presence of numerous and simultaneous lesions of a similar size (generally 2-3mm), a tendency to coalesce into larger ulcers (0.5-2cm), cause severe pain with longer duration and their recurrence after intake of medicines.

Aphthous-like ulceration has been associated with the anti-anginal drug nicorandil, which has many confirmatory case reports.^{17, 45} It is established that these large, painful ulcers mostly occur in the oral cavity, but can be in the perianal area, and fistulae can also develop in the gastro-intestinal and genital tracts, where healing occurs only after cessation of nicorandil.¹⁷ There has been one case report of captopril causing aphthous-like ulcerations on the tongue and buccal mucosa,⁴² and two case reports confirming the association of piroxicam with this adverse effect.^{43, 46} A case-control study that assessed the role of drug-exposure in aphthous ulcer formation found an association between beta-blockers and NSAIDs.⁴⁷

Many case reports have been published regarding the association between the oral bisphosphonate alendronate and oral ulceration.^{48, 49} Oesophageal and gastrointestinal ulceration are well-established side effects of alendronate, but oral ulceration is less widely-known.⁴⁸ Many case reports attribute the development of oral ulceration with incorrect use of the medication, where the patient keeps the tablet in the mouth for a prolonged period instead of immediately swallowing it.⁵⁰ However, reports have surfaced in which the adverse reaction has occurred when alendronate has been administered appropriately.⁴⁹ While alendronate accounts for the majority of oral ulceration case reports, there have been cases involving the use of both etidronate and risedronate.⁵¹ Published cases indicate that improvement occurs within weeks after ceasing the offending drug, but complete recovery can take several months.⁵¹

Aphthous-like ulceration has also been well documented with the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors used in cancer therapy, including sirolimus, everolimus, temsirolimus and ridaforolimus.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ The median time to development of mucositis was found

to be a week to 10 days,^{52, 53} and it has been reported to be the most frequent adverse effect of these medicines.⁵³ Oral mucosal injury has been reported to account for 27.3% of dose reductions and 13.1% of cases of discontinuations of therapy.⁵³ Presentation of the ulceration is described as being “aphthous-like” and is clinically distinct from classic chemotherapy-induced mucositis.^{44, 53} The pathogenesis of how mTOR inhibitors activate the inflammatory cascade to cause the ulceration is unclear.⁵³

Immunosuppressant-induced mucositis

Mucositis is a common, dose-limiting adverse effect associated with use of anti-neoplastic drugs for cancer chemotherapy, with an occurrence of about 40%.⁵⁵ With the myelosuppression that occurs with cancer treatment and concurrent ulcerative lesions providing access for microorganisms, mucositis is a significant source of systemic infection for these patients.⁵⁵ Unlike the discrete aphthous-like ulceration, Sonis et al⁴⁴ describe mucositis as appearing on movable mucosa and has a non-uniform shape, increased depth and the presence of a fibrinous pseudomembrane with cellular debris, and a lack of a peripheral erythematous border. Lesions tend to develop within 4-5 days after starting chemotherapy, peak between days 7-10,⁴⁴ and can induce such severe pain as to require dose de-escalation⁵⁵ and systemic analgesics.⁴⁴

Many anti-neoplastics and anti-metabolites are associated with oral mucositis as an adverse effect and are listed in Table 3.¹⁷ The exact mechanism by which these drugs cause mucosal toxicity is largely unknown, but some authors indicate that the pathophysiology involves pro-inflammatory cytokines specific to each drug.⁵⁶ Others propose that this complex process has multiple aetiologies involving direct action of the drug on the epithelium, the bacterial flora and the immune status of the patient.⁵⁵ Oral mucositis is a well-documented side effect of the antimetabolites methotrexate and fluorouracil when used in high dose, and also mycophenolate and tacrolimus⁵⁷ that are used for immunosuppression after organ transplantation. It has also been proposed that sirolimus, used for kidney transplant recipients, has an antiproliferative effect responsible for the toxic action on the oral mucosa.⁵⁸

Drug-associated lupus

Drug-induced lupus (DIL) is a rare, lupus-like autoimmune condition that usually occurs after long-term use, from one month to as long as over a decade,⁵⁹ of certain drugs with complete resolution after withdrawal of the offending medicine.^{59, 60} While oral ulcerative lesions are common with systemic lupus erythematosus,⁶¹ they are rare in DIL.⁶² The mechanism by which the medicines cause lupus-like syndrome is unknown, but is postulated to be linked to susceptibility of the adaptive immune system to descend into a state of auto-reactivity.⁶³ Procainamide, (no longer marketed for use in Australia) and hydralazine (used for moderate to severe hypertension) are both well-documented to have the highest risk for developing DIL of 15-20% and 7-13% risk respectively.⁶² The yearly incidence of clinical DIL for hydralazine is 5-8% with standard doses.^{62, 63} Penicillamine, methyldopa, sulfasalazine, chlorpromazine, carbamazepine, isoniazid, captopril, propylthiouracil and minocycline are considered to be of low risk.⁶³⁻⁶⁵ There are many other drugs that have been associated with DIL, but the evidence for association is not strong and only a few case reports exist. More recently, there have been increasing case reports of proton-pump inhibitors being associated with DIL,^{60, 66} and evidence for anti-TNF-alpha inhibitors including infliximab, etanercept and adalimumab being associated with DIL.^{59, 62} The drugs listed in Table 4 are only the established high and low risk medicines associated with DIL.

Drug-associated pemphigoid

Drug-induced pemphigoid (DIP) is a variant of the autoimmune disease bullous pemphigoid, and is characterised by sub-epithelial blistering, intra-epidermal vesicles and ulceration following administration of the offending drug.⁶⁷ The clinical and histological presentation and immunopathologic characteristics are similar or identical to that of the acquired autoimmune disease.⁶⁸ In bullous pemphigoid, the autoantibodies are directed against the antigens located in the dermo-epidermal junction,⁶⁸ however in drug-induced pemphigoid, no specific antigens have been identified.⁶⁷

DIP tends to occur in younger people than bullous pemphigoid, with generally mild and inconsistent mucosal involvement, and the bullae heal without scarring.^{67, 69} A drug-induced bullous eruption can present up to 3 months after the ingestion of the offending medication.⁶⁷

Table 5 lists the medicines that are reported to trigger DIP, grouped into their likelihood of association.⁶⁹ Many other drugs have been implicated, however these tend to report an association with no re-challenge for ethical reasons.⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ It is suspected that drugs act as triggers in genetically predisposed patients to either alter the immune response or modify the

antigenic characteristics of the epidermal basement membrane to cause the sub-epithelial blistering.⁶⁹ Loop diuretics were used significantly more frequently by patients with bullous pemphigoid in a recent case control study in the United Kingdom⁷⁰ and a retrospective study confirmed that people taking systemic medicines were more susceptible to the development of bullous pemphigoid, with patients who had pemphigoid more commonly taking angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), anticoagulants and diuretics.⁷¹ It is postulated that the sulfhydryl chemical moiety in some drugs, including penicillamine, captopril and frusemide, facilitates the development of drug-induced pemphigoid, with the proposal that the free sulfhydryl group can combine with other substrates and result in autoantibody formation to the basement membrane proteins.⁶⁹

Recently, there have been increasing case reports of other medicines being associated with bullous pemphigoid, reviewed in detail in Stavropoulos et al.⁶⁷ An increasing number of case reports have shown an association between drug-induced pemphigoid and TNF-alpha inhibitors,^{67, 69} including etanercept⁷² and adalimumab.⁷³ Various vaccines have also been associated with drug-induced pemphigoid, with mostly the influenza vaccine implicated.⁷⁴ Recent case reports have also emerged highlighting an association between drug-induced pemphigoid and the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, sitagliptin and vildagliptin, which are used in the management of type 2 diabetes.⁷⁵

Drug-associated pemphigus

Pemphigus is a rare, autoimmune vesiculobullous disease that affects the skin and mucous membranes,⁷⁶ and for which drugs are the most common trigger.⁷⁷ Histologically, pemphigus is diagnosed as an intra-epithelial split due to loss of cohesion between the stratified squamous epithelial cells.^{78, 79} There is also the presence of predominantly IgG autoantibodies directed against the epithelial cell surface, resulting in damage to the intercellular area between keratinocytes and subsequent acantholysis.⁷⁹ Oral lesions are usually present at an early stage and are mostly present on the buccal mucosa, palate, ventral tongue and lips.⁷⁹ They start as blisters and progress to chronic erosions and ulcers. In advanced disease severe desquamative or erosive gingivitis can also be present.⁷⁹

There are three separate chemical moieties in drugs that have been associated with inducing pemphigus: drugs containing a sulfhydryl group; drugs containing a phenol group; and non-sulfhydryl, non-phenol drugs.^{76, 77} These drugs are listed in Table 6.^{77, 79, 80} Drugs that are

most frequently implicated in triggering pemphigus contain a sulfhydryl group including penicillamine, captopril, penicillin and piroxicam.⁷⁷ It has been shown that the incorporation of the sulfhydryl drugs into the keratinocyte can cause changes that result in the production of autoantibodies responsible for the immune-driven acantholysis in pemphigus.⁷⁷ It should be noted that the induction of this reaction by drugs is rare with the exception of penicillamine, where it is estimated that approximately 7% of patients taking penicillamine for at least 6 months develop pemphigus.⁷⁸

Phenolic drugs reported to induce pemphigus include cephalosporins, aspirin, rifampicin, levodopa, heroin and phenobarbitone.⁷⁷ It is thought that the keratinocytes release specific cytokines on exposure to the phenol drugs that are involved in the acantholytic process in patients with an underlying genetic predisposition.⁷⁷ The mechanism by which other non-sulfhydryl, non-phenol drugs, such as ACEIs, NSAIDs and some calcium channel blockers may trigger pemphigus is thought to be due to overexpression of target antigens on the keratinocyte combined with an amplification of the immune response.⁷⁷

Drug-associated erythema multiforme

Erythema multiforme (EM) is an acute, self-limiting, inflammatory disorder that is characterised by typical target skin lesions or mucosal ulcerations, or both.⁸¹ There are several reports of EM confined to the oral cavity.⁸² The frequency of mucosal involvement has been estimated to be between 25 to 60% in all cases of erythema multiforme,⁸³ often with the appearance of blood crusted lips.⁸⁴ Mucosal lesions start with erythematous macules, that develop into oedematous vesicles and subsequently rupture forming erosions with a fibrinous pseudomembrane.^{83, 84} They generally heal within 6 weeks⁸³ without scarring.⁸⁴ Other mucosa involved can also include the eyes, pharyngeal, genital and respiratory tract, and is associated with significant morbidity.⁸³ When there is skin involvement by definition there is less than 10% of body surface area affected.^{81, 85} While EM has a strong association with viruses, such as herpes simplex, and other infectious agents such as mycoplasma pneumoniae and candida, drugs are the second most common cause.⁸¹

Many individual case reports exist that implicate numerous drugs with EM. Drug-induced EM, Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) and toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN) have been reviewed in detail by Lerch et al,^{81, 86} but will be summarised here and in Tables 7 and 8. A large case control study (SCAR study) conducted between 1989 and 1995 quantified the

association of specific drugs with EM, SJS and TEN, and showed that the highly implicated drugs were oxicam-NSAIDs (piroxicam), phenobarbitone, phenytoin, anti-bacterial sulphonamides and allopurinol.^{81, 85, 87} A population-based study found that allopurinol/diphenhydramine, amoxicillin, ampicillin, erythromycin, diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccination, nitrofurantoin, tetracycline and valproic acid were all implicated.^{81, 88} Sulfonamides have been reported in several studies, including the trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole combination.⁸³

Recently, several case reports have emerged of EM being triggered by TNF-alpha inhibitors including adalimumab, infliximab and etanercept,⁸⁹ as well as alectinib.⁹⁰ In addition, a recent case report has identified the antineoplastic drugs nivolumab and vemurafenib causing EM.^{81, 91}

Drug-associated Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis

SJS and its more severe variant, TEN are delayed T-cell mediated hypersensitivity reactions largely due to medications,^{86, 92} characterised by blistering and erosion of the skin and mucous membranes.⁹³ The principal difference between SJS, SJS-TEN overlap and TEN is determined by the percentage of cutaneous involvement, with SJS having <10% of epidermal detachment, SJS-TEN overlap having between 10-30% and TEN is having >30% of skin detachment.^{85, 86} While SJS and TEN are rare severe cutaneous adverse reactions with an estimated incidence of 2 cases/million population/year, they have a high morbidity and mortality.⁹³ The painful widespread oral mucositis causes dysphagia and odynophagia.⁹⁴ The mortality risk is high for TEN (>40%), and other complications such as ocular involvement are prominent in survivors (40-50%).⁹⁵

Exposure to drugs are the leading cause of SJS and TEN, with a delay of 4-28 days in most cases between the onset of drug intake and commencement of symptoms, although there can be a delay of up to 30 weeks before symptoms develop for drugs not commonly associated with SJS/TEN.^{86, 93} While there have been more than 100 drugs identified in case reports as causes of SJS/TEN, only a limited number are responsible for the majority of cases.⁸⁷ The SCAR study showed that trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, oxicam-NSAIDs (piroxicam), allopurinol, phenobarbitone, phenytoin and carbamazepine had substantial increased risk for causing SJS/TEN.^{85, 87} A subsequent similar study, conducted through a hospital network in Europe from 1997 to 2001 (the EuroSCAR study) that assessed the risk of medicines for

inducing SJS/TEN showed that nevirapine, lamotrigine and meloxicam had increased risk, and weaker associations were shown for sertraline.⁹³ Valproic acid was considered a high risk drug in the original SCAR study,⁸⁵ but this risk was not confirmed in the EuroSCAR study.⁹³ The same study confirmed that allopurinol was the most frequently associated drug with SJS and TEN, with daily doses of more than 200mg being associated with increased risk.^{86, 96} A pooled analysis assessing medications as risk factors for the development of SJS and TEN in children identified anti-infective sulphonamides, phenobarbitone, carbamazepine and lamotrigine as high risk drugs.⁹⁵ Recent literature has shown that the risk of SJS/TEN with carbamazepine treatment is strongly associated with people who have variant alleles of the human leukocyte antigen (HLA-B 1502 and HLA-A3101).⁹⁷ Current recommendations therefore include genetic testing for people in at-risk populations prior to commencing carbamazepine.⁹⁷ A retrospective analysis of drug-related hospitalisations in Portugal from 2009 to 2014 found that the most frequently associated drug classes with SJS/TEN included antibiotics, antivirals, anticonvulsants and uric acid metabolism drugs, and in particular allopurinol and lamotrigine.⁹⁸ A systematic review of drug-induced SJS and TEN in the Indian population showed carbamazepine, phenytoin, fluoroquinolones (gatifloxacin, ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and levofloxacin) and paracetamol as being strongly associated with SJS/TEN.^{86, 99} Other studies⁹⁵ have also suspected paracetamol while others still have questioned its association due to confounding by indication or other concurrent medication.⁹³

Conclusion

Oral ADRs are often a neglected area of pharmacovigilance by all health professionals. It is suspected that dentists tend not to report ADRs as they do not recognise it as their role, they may not realise the condition is drug-induced, may not know how to report an ADR, or are not confident that it should even be reported. In Australia, an ADR can be reported to the Therapeutic Goods Administration (<https://www.tga.gov.au/publication/reporting-adverse-drug-reactions>)

A systematic review of the determining factors towards under-reporting of adverse drug reactions listed ignorance (where clinicians had the impression that only “severe” ADRs needed reporting), diffidence, indifference and complacency as some personal reasons why clinicians chose not to report ADRs.¹⁰⁰ Knowledge and attitudes of clinicians have also been shown to be strongly associated with pharmacovigilance reporting.¹⁰⁰ It is not known which

factors are more specific to dentists, and as such further research investigating reasons for under-reporting is required so as to develop specific interventions targeting dental pharmacovigilance.

With rising numbers of medication use, expanded indications for current medications, increased access due to wider prescribing rights and greater access via the Internet, monitoring of drug safety is more important now than ever. It is hoped that this two-part series of articles on oral adverse effects will raise awareness of ADRs that manifest in the orofacial region and prompt dentists and other health professionals to report them. It is also recommended that pharmacovigilance agencies worldwide make greater efforts to include dentists and other oral health professionals in their activities.

Author Manuscript

Table 1. Drugs Associated with Lichenoid Reactions*

Drug Class	Drug
Antihypertensives	Atenolol
	Captopril
	Enalapril
	Oxprenolol
	Methyldopa
Immunosuppressants	Adalimumab
	Imatinib
	Infliximab
	Interferon-alpha
NSAIDs	Indomethacin
	Naproxen
Other	Carbamazepine
	Certolizumab
	Clopidogrel
	Duloxetine
	Glimepiride
	Hepatitis B vaccine
	Lithium carbonate
	Ribavirin
	Risperidone
	Secukinumab

*Adapted from Fortuna et al, 2017¹⁰

Table 2. Drugs Associated with Oral Aphthous-Like Ulceration

Drug Class	Drug
Antianginals	Nicorandil
Antihypertensives	Captopril
NSAIDs	Piroxicam
Bisphosphonates	Alendronate
	Etidronate
	Risedronate
mTOR inhibitors	Everolimus
	Ridaforolimus
	Sirolimus
	Temsirolimus

Table 3. Drugs Associated with Mucositis*

Drug class	Drug	Drug class	Drug	
Alkylating agents	Bendamustine	Vinca alkaloids	Vinblastine	
	Busulfan		Vincristine	
	Chlorambucil		Vinflunine	
	Lomustine		Vinorelbine	
	Melphalan		Other cytotoxic antineoplastics	Bleomycin
Procarbazine	Dactinomycin			
Anthracyclines	Daunorubicin	Eribulin		
	Doxorubicin	Mitomycin		
	Epirubicin	Romidepsin		
	Idarubicin	Trastuzumab		
	Mitozantrone	Antineoplastic antibodies	Bevacizumab	
Antimetabolites	Azacitidine		Cetuximab	
	Capecitabine		Panitumumab	
	Clofarabine		Pertuzumab	
	Cytarabine		mTOR inhibitors	Everolimus
	Fludarabine	Sirolimus		
Fluorouracil	Temsirolimus			
Platinum compounds	Gemcitabine	Tyrosine kinase inhibitors	Afatinib	
	Hydroxyurea		Axitinib	
	Mercaptopurine		Dasatinib	
	Methotrexate		Erlotinib	
	Pemetrexed		Gefitinib	
	Raltitrexed		Lapatinib	
	Thioguanine		Sorafenib	
	Platinum compounds		Carboplatin	Sunitinib
			Oxaliplatin	Other non-cytotoxic antibodies
	Podophyllotoxins		Etoposide	
Teniposide		Tretinoin		
Taxanes	Carbazitaxel	Calcineurin inhibitors	Tacrolimus	
	Docetaxel	Other immunosuppressants	Mycophenolate	
	Paclitaxel			
Topoisomerase I inhibitors	Topotecan			

*From the Australian Medicines Handbook, 2017¹⁷

Table 4. Drugs Associated with Lupus*

Drug Class	Drug
High-risk	Hydralazine
Low-risk	Captopril
	Carbamazepine
	Chlorpromazine
	Isoniazid
	Methyldopa
	Minocycline
	Penicillamine
	Propylthiouracil
	Sulfasalazine
	TNF-alpha inhibitors

*Adapted from Rubin et al, 2015⁶³

Table 5. Drugs Associated with Bullous Pemphigoid*

Likely association ^a	Enalapril
	Frusemide
	Ibuprofen
	Influenza vaccine
Probable association ^b	Ampicillin
	Bumetanide
	Cephalexin
	Fluoxetine
	Penicillamine
	Penicillin
	Spironolactone
Questionable association ^c	Amiodarone
	Captopril
	Chloroquine
	Interleukin-2
	Omeprazole
	Risperidone
	Sulfonamides
	Tetanus toxoid
	Topical fluorouracil

a Rechallenge evidence supports association

b Young age group with bullous pemphigoid and temporarily associated medication, or spontaneous resolution of bullous pemphigoid after drug withdrawal alone

c Elderly age group and temporarily associated medication

*Reproduced from Lo Schiavo et al, 2013⁶⁹

Table 6. Drugs Associated with Inducing Pemphigus*

Drugs containing a sulfhydryl group	Penicillamine Captopril Penicillin Cephalosporins† Piroxicam
Drugs containing a phenol ring	Cephalosporins† Aspirin Rifampicin Levodopa Heroin Phenobarbitone
Non-thiol non-phenol drugs	Diclofenac Enalapril Fosinopril Interferon-alpha Interferon-beta Isotretinoin Nifedipine Norfloxacin Progesterone Propanolol Ramipril

†Some cephalosporins contain a sulfhydryl group or a phenol ring

*From Ruocco et al 2001,⁸⁰ Ruocco et al 2013,⁷⁷ Scully and Mignogna 2008⁷⁹

Table 7. Drugs Associated with Erythema Multiforme

Drug class	Drug
Antibacterials	Amoxicillin
	Ampicillin
	Erythromycin
	Nitrofurantoin
	Sulfonamides
	Tetracycline
	Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole
Anticonvulsants	Carbamazepine
	Phenobarbitone
	Phenytoin
	Valproic acid
Antineoplastics	Alectinib
	Nivolumab
	Vemurafenib
Oxicam-NSAIDs	Piroxicam
TNF-alpha inhibitors	Adalimumab
	Etanercept
	Infliximab
Other	Allopurinol
	Diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccination

*Adapted from Lerch et al, 2018⁸¹

Table 8. Drugs Associated with Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis*

	Drug class	Drug	
High-risk	Antibacterials	Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	
		Sulfonamide antibiotics	Sulfasalazine
			Sulfadiazine
	Anticonvulsants	Carbamazepine	
		Lamotrigine	
		Phenytoin	
		Phenobarbital	
	Oxicam-NSAIDs	Piroxicam	
		Meloxicam	
	Other	Allopurinol	
Nevirapine			
Significant but lower risk	Acetic acid NSAIDs	Diclofenac	
		Indomethacin	
		Sulindac	
		Ketorolac	
	Macrolides	Azithromycin	
		Clarithromycin	
		Erythromycin	
		Roxithromycin	
	Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin	
		Levofloxacin	
		Norfloxacin	
		Ofloxacin	
	Cephalosporins	Cephalexin	
		Ceftriaxone	
		Cefuroxime	
	Tetracyclines	Doxycycline	
		Minocycline	
	Penicillins	Amoxicillin	

*Adapted from Ronjeau et al 1995,⁸⁷ Mockenhaupt et al 2008,⁹³ Patel et al 2013⁹⁹

References

1. Morgan TK, Williamson M, Pirotta M, Stewart K, Myers SP, Barnes J. A national census of medicines use: a 24-hour snapshot of Australians aged 50 years and older. *Med J Aust.* 2012;196(1):50-3.
2. Zavras AI, Rosenberg GE, Danielson JD, Cartsos VM. Adverse drug and device reactions in the oral cavity: surveillance and reporting. *Journal of the American Dental Association* (1939). 2013;144(9):1014-21.
3. resource AWHO. Safety of Medicines: - A Guide to Detecting and Reporting Adverse Drug Reactions - Why Health Professional Need to Take Action [Available from: <http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Jh2992e/>].
4. Miguel A, Azevedo LF, Araujo M, Pereira AC. Frequency of adverse drug reactions in hospitalized patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2012;21(11):1139-54.
5. Administration TG. Case Line Listing - Dental Practitioners. Department of Health, Australian Government; 2018 7 November 2018.
6. Medicine AAoO. Oral Lichen Planus [Available from: <http://www.aaom.com/oral-lichen-planus>].
7. Group OaDE. Therapeutic guidelines: oral and dental. Version 2 ed. Melbourne: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited; 2012.
8. Group OaDE. Therapeutic Guidelines Oral and Dental Version 1: Therapeutic Guidelines Limited.; 2007.
9. Serrano-Sanchez P BJ, Jimenez-Soriano, Sarrion G. Drug-induced oral lichenoid reactions. A literature review. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2010;2(2):71-5.
10. Fortuna G, Aria M, Schiavo JH. Drug-induced oral lichenoid reactions: a real clinical entity? A systematic review. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* 2017;73(12):1523-37.
11. Myers SL, Rhodus NL, Parsons HM, Hodges JS, Kaimal S. A retrospective survey of oral lichenoid lesions: revisiting the diagnostic process for oral lichen planus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2002;93(6):676-81.
12. Naranjo CA, Busto U, Sellers EM, Sandor P, Ruiz I, Roberts EA, et al. A method for estimating the probability of adverse drug reactions. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 1981;30(2):239-45.
13. Hay KD, Reade PC. Methyldopa as a cause of oral mucous membrane reactions. *Br Dent J.* 1978;145(7):195-203.
14. Wiesenfeld D, Scully C, MacFadyen EE. Multiple lichenoid drug reactions in a patient with Ferguson-Smith disease. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1982;54(5):527-9.

15. Kaomongkolgit R. Oral lichenoid drug reaction associated with antihypertensive and hypoglycemic drugs. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2010;9(1):73-5.
16. Firth NA, Reade PC. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors implicated in oral mucosal lichenoid reactions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1989;67(1):41-4.
17. Committee EA. Australian Medicines Handbook: Australian Medicines Handbook Pty Ltd; 2017.
18. Ena P, Chiarolini F, Siddi GM, Cossu A. Oral lichenoid eruption secondary to imatinib (Glivec). *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2004;15(4):253-5.
19. Basso FG, Boer CC, Correa ME, Torrezan M, Cintra ML, de Magalhaes MH, et al. Skin and oral lesions associated to imatinib mesylate therapy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2009;17(4):465-8.
20. Brazzelli V, Muzio F, Manna G, Moggio E, Vassallo C, Orlandi E, et al. Photoinduced dermatitis and oral lichenoid reaction in a chronic myeloid leukemia patient treated with imatinib mesylate. *Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed*. 2012;28(1):2-5.
21. Asarch A, Gottlieb AB, Lee J, Masterpol KS, Scheinman PL, Stadecker MJ, et al. Lichen planus-like eruptions: an emerging side effect of tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2009;61(1):104-11.
22. Moss AC, Treister NS, Marsee DK, Cheifetz AS. Clinical challenges and images in GI. Oral lichenoid reaction in a patient with Crohn's disease receiving infliximab. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;132(2):488, 829.
23. Andrade P, Lopes S, Albuquerque A, Osorio F, Pardal J, Macedo G. Oral Lichen Planus in IBD Patients: A Paradoxical Adverse Effect of Anti-TNF-alpha Therapy. *Dig Dis Sci*. 2015;60(9):2746-9.
24. De Simone C, Caldarola G, D'Agostino M, Rotoli M, Capizzi R, Amerio P. Lichenoid reaction induced by adalimumab. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2008;22(5):626-7.
25. Papini M, Bruni PL, Bettacchi A, Liberati F. Sudden onset of oral ulcerative lichen in a patient with chronic hepatitis C on treatment with alfa-interferon. *Int J Dermatol*. 1994;33(3):221-2.
26. Kutting B, Bohm M, Luger TA, Bonsmann G. Oropharyngeal lichen planus associated with interferon-alpha treatment for mycosis fungoides: a rare side-effect in the therapy of cutaneous lymphomas. *Br J Dermatol*. 1997;137(5):836-7.
27. Potts AJ, Hamburger J, Scully C. The medication of patients with oral lichen planus and the association of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with erosive lesions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1987;64(5):541-3.
28. Ozkan S, Izler F, Fetil E, Dorak F, Gunes T. Naproxen-induced lichen planus bullosus. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 1999;79(4):329-30.

29. Gunes AT, Fetil E, Ilknur T, Birgin B, Ozkan S. Naproxen-induced lichen planus: report of 55 cases. *Int J Dermatol.* 2006;45(6):709-12.
30. Robertson WD, Wray D. Ingestion of medication among patients with oral keratoses including lichen planus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1992;74(2):183-5.
31. Clayton R, Chaudhry S, Ali I, Cooper S, Hodgson T, Wojnarowska F. Mucosal (oral and vulval) lichen planus in women: are angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors protective, and beta-blockers and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs associated with the condition? *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2010;35(4):384-7.
32. Hamburger J, Potts AJ. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and oral lichenoid reactions. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed).* 1983;287(6401):1258.
33. Campisi G, Florena AM, Franco V, Coccia E, Lo Muzio L. Oral lichenoid drug reaction by lithium in a patient with bipolar disorder. *J Oral Pathol Med.* 2005;34(2):124-6.
34. Pemberton MN, Sloan P, Thakker NS. Oral lichenoid lesions after hepatitis B vaccination. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2000;89(6):717-9.
35. Guijarro Guijarro B, Lopez Sanchez AF. Lichenoid reaction caused by Clopidogrel, a new anti-platelet drug. *Med Oral.* 2003;8(1):33-7.
36. Giuliani M, Lajolo C, Sartorio A, Scivetti M, Capodiferro S, Tumbarello M. Oral lichenoid lesions in HIV-HCV-coinfected subjects during antiviral therapy: 2 cases and review of the literature. *Am J Dermatopathol.* 2008;30(5):466-71.
37. Woo V, Bonks J, Borukhova L, Zegarelli D. Oral lichenoid drug eruption: a report of a pediatric case and review of the literature. *Pediatr Dermatol.* 2009;26(4):458-64.
38. Mocciano F, Orlando A, Renna S, Rizzuto MR, Cottone M. Oral lichen planus after certolizumab pegol treatment in a patient with Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis.* 2011;5(2):173-4.
39. Hammami S, Ksouda K, Affes H, Sahnoun Z, Zeghal K. Mucosal lichenoid drug reaction associated with glimepiride: a case report. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* 2015;19(12):2301-2.
40. Kadam NS, Patil RA, Gurav AN, Shete A, Jadhav PD, Naik Tari R, et al. Duloxetine Hydrochloride-Induced Oral Lichenoid Reaction: A Case Report. *Med Princ Pract.* 2015;24(4):394-7.
41. Thompson JM, Cohen LM, Yang CS, Kroumpouzou G. Severe, ulcerative, lichenoid mucositis associated with secukinumab. *JAAD Case Rep.* 2016;2(5):384-6.
42. Natak SS, Konttinen YT, Enattah NS, Ashammakhi N, Sharkey KA, Hayrinen-Immonen R. Recurrent aphthous ulcers today: a review of the growing knowledge. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004;33(3):221-34.
43. Lisi P, Hansel K, Assalve D. Aphthous stomatitis induced by piroxicam. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2004;50(4):648-9.

44. Sonis S, Treister N, Chawla S, Demetri G, Haluska F. Preliminary characterization of oral lesions associated with inhibitors of mammalian target of rapamycin in cancer patients. *Cancer*. 2010;116(1):210-5.
45. Shotts RH, Scully C, Avery CM, Porter SR. Nicorandil-induced severe oral ulceration: a newly recognized drug reaction. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1999;87(6):706-7.
46. Siegel MA, Balciunas BA. Medication can induce severe ulcerations. *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)*. 1991;122(9):75-7.
47. Boulinguez S, Reix S, Bedane C, Debrock C, Bouyssou-Gauthier ML, Sparsa A, et al. Role of drug exposure in aphthous ulcers: a case-control study. *Br J Dermatol*. 2000;143(6):1261-5.
48. Lengfeld J, Buder-Bakhaya K, Goebeler M, Wobser M. Bisphosphonate-Mediated Oral Ulcers: A Rare Differential Diagnosis of Erosive Oral Lesions. *Dermatology*. 2016;232(1):117-21.
49. Kharazmi M, Sjoqvist K, Warfvinge G. Oral ulcers, a little known adverse effect of alendronate: review of the literature. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012;70(4):830-6.
50. Aleid W, Sidebottom A. Oral mucosal irritation with incorrect use of alendronate. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2009;47(2):170-1.
51. Kharazmi M, Persson U, Warfvinge G. Pharmacovigilance of oral bisphosphonates: adverse effects manifesting in the soft tissue of the oral cavity. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012;70(12):2793-7.
52. de Oliveira MA, Martins EMF, Wang Q, Sonis S, Demetri G, George S, et al. Clinical presentation and management of mTOR inhibitor-associated stomatitis. *Oral Oncol*. 2011;47(10):998-1003.
53. Martins F, de Oliveira MA, Wang Q, Sonis S, Gallottini M, George S, et al. A review of oral toxicity associated with mTOR inhibitor therapy in cancer patients. *Oral Oncol*. 2013;49(4):293-8.
54. Pavel ME, Hainsworth JD, Baudin E, Peeters M, Horsch D, Winkler RE, et al. Everolimus plus octreotide long-acting repeatable for the treatment of advanced neuroendocrine tumours associated with carcinoid syndrome (RADIANT-2): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet*. 2011;378(9808):2005-12.
55. Sonis ST. Mucositis as a biological process: a new hypothesis for the development of chemotherapy-induced stomatotoxicity. *Oral Oncol*. 1998;34(1):39-43.
56. Logan RM, Stringer AM, Bowen JM, Gibson RJ, Sonis ST, Keefe DM. Is the pathobiology of chemotherapy-induced alimentary tract mucositis influenced by the type of mucotoxic drug administered? *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. 2009;63(2):239-51.
57. Philipone E, Rockafellow A, Sternberg R, Yoon A, Koslovsky D. Oral ulcerations as a sequela of tacrolimus and mycophenolate mofetil therapy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2014;118(6):e175-8.

58. van Gelder T, ter Meulen CG, Hene R, Weimar W, Hoitsma A. Oral ulcers in kidney transplant recipients treated with sirolimus and mycophenolate mofetil. *Transplantation*. 2003;75(6):788-91.
59. Vedove CD, Del Giglio M, Schena D, Girolomoni G. Drug-induced lupus erythematosus. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2009;301(1):99-105.
60. He Y, Sawalha AH. Drug-induced lupus erythematosus: an update on drugs and mechanisms. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2018.
61. Mays JW, Sarmadi M, Moutsopoulos NM. Oral manifestations of systemic autoimmune and inflammatory diseases: diagnosis and clinical management. *J Evid Based Dent Pract*. 2012;12(3 Suppl):265-82.
62. Araujo-Fernandez S, Ahijon-Lana M, Isenberg DA. Drug-induced lupus: Including anti-tumour necrosis factor and interferon induced. *Lupus*. 2014;23(6):545-53.
63. Rubin RL. Drug-induced lupus. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2015;14(3):361-78.
64. Borchers AT, Keen CL, Gershwin ME. Drug-induced lupus. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2007;1108:166-82.
65. Margolis DJ, Hoffstad O, Bilker W. Association or lack of association between tetracycline class antibiotics used for acne vulgaris and lupus erythematosus. *Br J Dermatol*. 2007;157(3):540-6.
66. Laurinaviciene R, Sandholdt LH, Bygum A. Drug-induced cutaneous lupus erythematosus: 88 new cases. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2017;27(1):28-33.
67. Stavropoulos PG, Soura E, Antoniou C. Drug-induced pemphigoid: a review of the literature. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2014;28(9):1133-40.
68. Vassileva S. Drug-induced pemphigoid: bullous and cicatricial. *Clin Dermatol*. 1998;16(3):379-87.
69. Lo Schiavo A, Ruocco E, Brancaccio G, Caccavale S, Ruocco V, Wolf R. Bullous pemphigoid: etiology, pathogenesis, and inducing factors: facts and controversies. *Clin Dermatol*. 2013;31(4):391-9.
70. Lloyd-Lavery A, Chi CC, Wojnarowska F, Taghipour K. The associations between bullous pemphigoid and drug use: a UK case-control study. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2013;149(1):58-62.
71. Patsatsi A, Vyzantiadis TA, Chrysomallis F, Devliotou-Panagiotidou D, Sotiriadis D. Medication history of a series of patients with bullous pemphigoid from northern Greece - observations and discussion. *Int J Dermatol*. 2009;48(2):132-5.
72. Bordignon M, Belloni-Fortina A, Pigozzi B, Tarantello M, Alaibac M. Bullous pemphigoid during long-term TNF-alpha blocker therapy. *Dermatology*. 2009;219(4):357-8.
73. Stausbol-Gron B, Deleuran M, Sommer Hansen E, Kragballe K. Development of bullous pemphigoid during treatment of psoriasis with adalimumab. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2009;34(7):e285-6.

74. Downs AM, Lear JT, Bower CP, Kennedy CT. Does influenza vaccination induce bullous pemphigoid? A report of four cases. *Br J Dermatol.* 1998;138(2):363.
75. Pasmatzis E, Monastirli A, Habeos J, Georgiou S, Tsambaos D. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors cause bullous pemphigoid in diabetic patients: report of two cases. *Diabetes Care.* 2011;34(8):e133.
76. Brenner S, Goldberg I. Drug-induced pemphigus. *Clin Dermatol.* 2011;29(4):455-7.
77. Ruocco V, Ruocco E, Lo Schiavo A, Brunetti G, Guerrera LP, Wolf R. Pemphigus: etiology, pathogenesis, and inducing or triggering factors: facts and controversies. *Clin Dermatol.* 2013;31(4):374-81.
78. Pisani M, Ruocco V. Drug-induced pemphigus. *Clin Dermatol.* 1986;4(1):118-32.
79. Scully C, Mignogna M. Oral mucosal disease: pemphigus. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2008;46(4):272-7.
80. Ruocco E, Aurilia A, Ruocco V. Precautions and suggestions for pemphigus patients. *Dermatology.* 2001;203(3):201-7.
81. Lerch M, Mainetti C, Terziroli Beretta-Piccoli B, Harr T. Current Perspectives on Erythema Multiforme. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2018;54(1):177-84.
82. Lozada-Nur F, Gorsky M, Silverman S, Jr. Oral erythema multiforme: clinical observations and treatment of 95 patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1989;67(1):36-40.
83. Huff JC, Weston WL, Tonnesen MG. Erythema multiforme: a critical review of characteristics, diagnostic criteria, and causes. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 1983;8(6):763-75.
84. Edwards D, Boritz E, Cowen EW, Brown RS. Erythema multiforme major following treatment with infliximab. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2013;115(2):e36-40.
85. Auquier-Dunant A, Mockenhaupt M, Naldi L, Correia O, Schroder W, Roujeau JC, et al. Correlations between clinical patterns and causes of erythema multiforme majus, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis: results of an international prospective study. *Arch Dermatol.* 2002;138(8):1019-24.
86. Lerch M, Mainetti C, Terziroli Beretta-Piccoli B, Harr T. Current Perspectives on Stevens-Johnson Syndrome and Toxic Epidermal Necrolysis. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* 2018;54(1):147-76.
87. Roujeau JC, Kelly JP, Naldi L, Rzany B, Stern RS, Anderson T, et al. Medication use and the risk of Stevens-Johnson syndrome or toxic epidermal necrolysis. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;333(24):1600-7.
88. Chan HL, Stern RS, Arndt KA, Langlois J, Jick SS, Jick H, et al. The incidence of erythema multiforme, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and toxic epidermal necrolysis. A population-based study with particular reference to reactions caused by drugs among outpatients. *Arch Dermatol.* 1990;126(1):43-7.

89. Ahdout J, Haley JC, Chiu MW. Erythema multiforme during anti-tumor necrosis factor treatment for plaque psoriasis. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2010;62(5):874-9.
90. Kimura T, Sowa-Osako J, Nakai T, Ohyama A, Kawaguchi T, Tsuruta D, et al. Alectinib-Induced Erythema Multiforme and Successful Rechallenge with Alectinib in a Patient with Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase-Rearranged Lung Cancer. *Case Rep Oncol*. 2016;9(3):826-32.
91. Nomura H, Takahashi H, Suzuki S, Kurihara Y, Chubachi S, Kawada I, et al. Unexpected recalcitrant course of drug-induced erythema multiforme-like eruption and interstitial pneumonia sequentially occurring after nivolumab therapy. *J Dermatol*. 2017;44(7):818-21.
92. Lonjou C, Borot N, Sekula P, Ledger N, Thomas L, Halevy S, et al. A European study of HLA-B in Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis related to five high-risk drugs. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2008;18(2):99-107.
93. Mockenhaupt M, Viboud C, Dunant A, Naldi L, Halevy S, Bouwes Bavinck JN, et al. Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis: assessment of medication risks with emphasis on recently marketed drugs. The EuroSCAR-study. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2008;128(1):35-44.
94. Abou-Elhamd KE. Two cases of Stevens-Johnson syndrome following intake of klavox with review of literature. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2009;266(8):1327-30.
95. Levi N, Bastuji-Garin S, Mockenhaupt M, Roujeau JC, Flahault A, Kelly JP, et al. Medications as risk factors of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in children: a pooled analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(2):e297-304.
96. Halevy S, Ghislain PD, Mockenhaupt M, Fagot JP, Bouwes Bavinck JN, Sidoroff A, et al. Allopurinol is the most common cause of Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in Europe and Israel. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2008;58(1):25-32.
97. Amstutz U, Shear NH, Rieder MJ, Hwang S, Fung V, Nakamura H, et al. Recommendations for HLA-B*15:02 and HLA-A*31:01 genetic testing to reduce the risk of carbamazepine-induced hypersensitivity reactions. *Epilepsia*. 2014;55(4):496-506.
98. Sousa-Pinto B, Araujo L, Freitas A, Correia O, Delgado L. Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis and erythema multiforme drug-related hospitalisations in a national administrative database. *Clin Transl Allergy*. 2018;8:2.
99. Patel TK, Barvaliya MJ, Sharma D, Tripathi C. A systematic review of the drug-induced Stevens-Johnson syndrome and toxic epidermal necrolysis in Indian population. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. 2013;79(3):389-98.
100. Lopez-Gonzalez E, Herdeiro MT, Figueiras A. Determinants of under-reporting of adverse drug reactions: a systematic review. *Drug Saf*. 2009;32(1):19-31.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. The authors have no conflict of interest.

Address for correspondence:

Dr Leanne Teoh

Melbourne Dental School

The University of Melbourne

720 Swanston Street

Carlton VIC 3010

Australia

Email: teohl1@student.unimelb.edu.au