



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Miller, AD;Van Rooyen, A;Sweeney, OF;Whiterod, NS;Weeks, AR

Title:

The development of 10 novel polymorphic microsatellite markers through next generation sequencing and a preliminary population genetic analysis for the endangered Glenelg spiny crayfish, *Euastacus bispinosus*

Date:

2013-01-01

Citation:

Miller, A. D., Van Rooyen, A., Sweeney, O. F., Whiterod, N. S. & Weeks, A. R. (2013). The development of 10 novel polymorphic microsatellite markers through next generation sequencing and a preliminary population genetic analysis for the endangered Glenelg spiny crayfish, *Euastacus bispinosus*. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 40 (7), pp.4415-4419. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-013-2531-5>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/282644>

The development of 10 novel polymorphic microsatellite markers through next generation sequencing and a preliminary population genetic analysis for the endangered Glenelg spiny crayfish, *Euastacus bispinosus*

Adam D. Miller^{1,2}, Anthony Van Rooyen¹, Oisín F. Sweeney⁴, Nick S. Whiterod⁵,
Andrew R. Weeks^{1,3}

¹*cesar, 293 Royal Parade, Parkville 3052, Australia*

²*Department of Zoology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010
Australia*

³*Department of Genetics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010
Australia*

⁴*Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, Mount Gambier, South
Australia, 5290 Australia*

⁵*Aquasave - Nature Glenelg Trust, Goolwa Beach, South Australia, 5214 Australia*

*Corresponding author: Adam D. Miller (admiller@unimelb.edu.au)

Keywords: *Euastacus bispinosus*, microsatellite library development, Next
Generation DNA sequencing, genetic diversity, species conservation

1 **Abstract**

2 The Glenelg spiny crayfish, *Euastacus bispinosus*, is an iconic freshwater invertebrate
3 of south eastern Australia and listed as ‘endangered’ under the *Environment*
4 *Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*, and ‘vulnerable’ under the
5 International Union for Conservation of Nature’s Red List. The species has suffered
6 major population declines as a result of over-fishing, low environmental flows, the
7 introduction of invasive fish species and habitat degradation. In order to develop an
8 effective conservation strategy, patterns of gene flow, genetic structure and genetic
9 diversity across the species distribution need to be clearly understood. In this study we
10 develop a suite of polymorphic microsatellite markers by Next Generation
11 Sequencing. A total of 15 polymorphic loci were identified and 10 characterized using
12 22 individuals from the lower Glenelg River. We observed low to moderate genetic
13 variation across most loci (mean number of alleles per locus = 2.80; mean expected
14 heterozygosity = 0.36) with no evidence of individual loci deviating significantly
15 from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Marker independence was confirmed with tests
16 for linkage disequilibrium, and analyses indicated no evidence of null alleles across
17 loci. Individuals from two additional sites (Crawford River, Victoria; Ewens Ponds
18 Conservation Park, South Australia) were genotyped at all 10 loci and a preliminary
19 investigation of genetic diversity and population structure was undertaken. Analyses
20 indicate high levels of genetic differentiation among sample locations ($F_{ST} = 0.49$),
21 while the Ewens Ponds population is genetically homogeneous, indicating a likely
22 small founder group and ongoing inbreeding. Management actions will be needed to
23 restore genetic diversity in this and possibly other at risk populations. These markers
24 will provide a valuable resource for future population genetic assessments so that an

25 effective framework can be developed for implementing conservation strategies for *E.*
26 *bispinosus*.

27

28

29 **Introduction**

30 The Glenelg Spiny Freshwater Crayfish, *Euastacus bispinosus* Clark, 1936, is an
31 iconic long-lived freshwater parastacid crustacean species endemic to the Glenelg,
32 and Fitzroy river catchments in south-western Victoria and several spring-fed coastal
33 streams in south-eastern South Australia [10]. Population declines over the past
34 century have been observed across the entire species distribution as a result of over-
35 fishing, low environmental flows, the introduction of invasive fish species and habitat
36 degradation [5]. *Euastacus bispinosus* is currently listed as ‘endangered’ under the
37 *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999*, and ‘vulnerable’
38 under the International Union for Conservation of Nature’s Red List. Species
39 conservation is dependent on the restoration of critical habitat, and research that will
40 enhance our understanding of the species’ biology, ecology and genetics.

41

42 In order to develop an effective conservation strategy, patterns of gene flow, genetic
43 structure, and genetic diversity across the species distribution need to be established.

44 A comprehensive population genetic study will identify patterns of dispersal and
45 recruitment and assist in identifying isolated, self-recruiting populations that require
46 independent management consideration. Estimates of genetic diversity will also help
47 identify potentially resilient and vulnerable populations, thereby assisting in the
48 prioritisation and guidance of management investments (including translocation
49 activities that improve genetic diversity and reduce the negative effects of random

50 genetic drift and inbreeding). Contemporary estimates of genetic diversity at the
51 population level will also provide valuable baseline data for monitoring the health of
52 *E. bispinosus* populations across generations.

53

54 Here we report the development and characterization of novel microsatellite markers
55 for *E. bispinosus*, and demonstrate their effective utility by conducting a preliminary
56 assessment of genetic diversity in Victoria and South Australia. Microsatellite
57 libraries have been developed previously for other freshwater crayfish species [4,6],
58 including Australian natives [1,7,15], to assist conservation management. However
59 this is the first marker set developed for the genus *Euastacus*, one of the largest, most
60 diverse, and most threaten groups of crayfish species in Australia [5].

61

62

63 **Methods and Results**

64 The 454 next generation sequencing platform was used to identify microsatellite
65 markers for *E. bispinosus*. Approximately 10 µg of genomic DNA was extracted from
66 muscle tissue from a single *E. bispinosus* specimen using a QIAGEN DNA Easy kit
67 (Qiagen). DNA was subsequently processed by the Australian Genome Research
68 Facility (AGRF) where it was nebulized, ligated with 454 sequencing primers and
69 tagged with a unique oligo sequence allowing sequences to be separated from pooled
70 species DNA sequences using post-run bioinformatic tools. The DNA sample was
71 analyzed using high throughput DNA sequencing on 1/16 of a 70 x 75 mm
72 PicoTiterPlate using the Roche GS FLX (454) system [8]. A total of 103,385 reads
73 were obtained from the analysis, from which 3207 unique sequence contigs
74 possessing microsatellite motifs were identified using the software GDD [9]. Primer3

75 [13] was used to design optimal primer sets for each unique contig where possible,
76 with a total of 2,385 contigs found to possess optimal priming sites. A selection of 40
77 contigs was used for subsequent analysis, 30 of which contained di-nucleotide
78 repeats, 9 containing tri-nucleotide repeats, and 1 containing a tetra-nucleotide repeat.

79

80 Loci were screened for polymorphism using template DNA from eight individuals
81 from the Lower Glenelg River in south western Victoria (-38.0544°S, 141.2711°E)
82 and eight individuals from the Ewens Ponds Conservation Park in south eastern South
83 Australia (-38.0264°S, 140.7903°E). Loci were pooled into ten groups of four,
84 labelled with unique fluorophores (FAM, NED, VIC, PET) and co-amplified by
85 multiplex PCR using a Qiagen multiplex kit (Qiagen) and an Eppendorf Mastercycler
86 S gradient PCR machine following the protocol described by Blacket et al. [3].

87 Genotyping was subsequently performed using an Applied Biosystems 3730 capillary
88 analyzer (AGRF, Melbourne, Australia) and product lengths were scored manually
89 and assessed for polymorphisms using GeneMapper version 4.0 (Applied
90 Biosystems). From a total of 40 loci, 15 were found to be polymorphic (Table 1), 16
91 were monomorphic and 9 failed to amplify. Interestingly, none of the 40 loci screened
92 were polymorphic in Ewens Ponds individuals.

93

94 The 15 polymorphic loci were pooled into four groups for multiplexing based on
95 observed locus specific allele size ranges (Table 1), and further characterized using 22
96 individuals from the lower Glenelg River. Microsatellite profiles were again
97 examined using GeneMapper version 4.0 and alleles were scored manually. The *Excel*
98 *Microsatellite Toolkit* [11] was then used to estimate expected (H_E) and observed (H_O)
99 heterozygosities and number of alleles (N_A), while examination of conformation to

100 Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) and linkage
101 disequilibrium estimates between all pairs of loci were conducted using GENEPOP
102 version 4 [12]. Significance values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using
103 Bonferroni corrections where necessary [14]. Finally, all loci were assessed using
104 MICRO-CHECKER to check for null alleles and scoring errors [17]. The frequency
105 of null alleles per locus was obtained using the ‘Brookfield 1’ formula as evidence of
106 null homozygotes across loci was not observed [2].

107

108 The majority of loci were characterized by low to moderate genetic variation, with an
109 average of 2.80 alleles per locus (range = 2 – 4 alleles) and heterozygosity estimates
110 ranging between 0.17 and 0.69 (mean = 0.36). Linkage disequilibrium analyses
111 confirmed marker independence indicating no evidence of significant linkage between
112 loci, and 10 loci were found to conform with HWE expectations with estimates of F_{IS}
113 indicating no significant evidence of heterozygote excess or deficit (Tables 2 and 3).
114 Those loci found deviating significantly from HWE expectations included markers
115 EB2, EB14, EB16, EB28 and EB35. MICRO-CHECKER analyses confirmed these
116 loci are potentially influenced by null alleles.

117

118 For comparative purposes we genotyped an additional 24 individuals from the
119 Crawford River in south western Victoria (-37.9331°S, 141.5150°E), and an
120 additional 22 from Ewens Ponds Conservation Park. Population statistics were
121 performed for all 15 polymorphic loci following the methods outlined above and
122 summary statistics are provided in Tables 2 and 3. Again, all individuals from Ewens
123 Ponds were monomorphic at each locus, however genotypes from the Crawford River

124 provided consistent results suggesting that markers EB2, EB14, EB16, EB28 and
125 EB35 are problematic (significant HWE and F_{IS} estimates, and evidence of null
126 alleles). To avoid possible biases these loci were excluded from further genetic
127 analysis.

128

129 To demonstrate the utility of the microsatellite loci, a preliminary population genetic
130 analysis was conducted. FSTAT was used to calculate global and population pairwise
131 F_{ST} (with 95% confidence limits) (Weir & Cockerham 1984) as a preliminary
132 measure of gene flow between each of the three sample locations. Estimates of F_{ST}
133 across all loci were high and significantly different from zero (global $F_{ST} = 0.49$; 95%
134 CI = 0.34 - 0.59; pairwise $F_{ST} = 0.13$ (Lower Glenelg/Crawford), 0.65 (Lower
135 Glenelg/Ewens Ponds), 0.60 (Crawford/Ewens Ponds)) indicating gene flow and
136 genetic structuring is likely to be limited amongst sample locales. Genetic diversity
137 estimates indicate that the Victoria populations are characterized by low to moderate
138 genetic diversity while the Ewens Ponds population is genetically invariable across all
139 loci indicating that this population has undergone a severe founder event or
140 bottleneck, followed by continued inbreeding.

141

142 **Discussion**

143 The 10 characterized microsatellite markers described in this study provide a valuable
144 resource for future population genetic assessments of *E. bispinosus* in Australia.

145 Estimates of population structure, gene flow, and genetic diversity will provide an
146 effective framework for implementing management strategies that will maximize the
147 environmental resilience of wild populations. Estimates of genetic diversity within
148 populations will enable at risk populations to be identified (populations with low

149 genetic diversity and/or significant inbreeding) so that appropriate management
150 actions can be instigated. These estimates will also provide managers with baseline
151 data for ongoing monitoring of *E. bispinosus* populations and assessments of the
152 efficacy of management strategies.

153

154 Our preliminary population genetic investigation demonstrates the effective utility of
155 these genetic markers for future studies. Genetic estimates indicate individuals from
156 each of the three sample locations are highly differentiated, although more
157 comprehensive sampling is required in order to draw definite conclusions about
158 patterns of gene flow and population structuring. Loci across populations appear to be
159 generally characterized by low to moderate genetic diversity, comparatively lower
160 than estimates derived for some freshwater crayfish species [1,4,7,15], but highly
161 consistent with others [6]. This suggests that perhaps some crayfish species are
162 inherently less polymorphic due to biological effects, as has been observed in other
163 animal groups [16]. However, drastic population declines are likely to have had some
164 influence on levels of genetic diversity in *E. bispinosus*. Our results indicate the
165 Ewens Ponds Conservation Park population is extremely inbred and at high risk of
166 extinction. Population resilience ultimately relies on adequate population sizes to
167 maintain and promote genetic diversity in order to adapt to environmental change.
168 Therefore management actions, such as translocations and captive rearing, may be
169 required to restore genetic diversity and adaptive potential [18] in this and other at
170 risk populations.

171

172

173

174 **Acknowledgements**

175 The fieldwork for this project was partially funded by the Norman Wettenhall
176 Foundation and grants from the federal governments Caring for Our Country
177 program, awarded to the Friends of Mt Gambier Area Parks. Thanks to Dave Mossop,
178 Lauren Kivisalu and volunteers from the Friends of Mount Gambier Area Parks and
179 the wider community for assistance whilst collecting samples. All sample collection
180 was conducted under DENR Wildlife Research permit E25963-1; PIRSA SA
181 Fisheries Exemption permit 9902414; Victorian Fisheries Act 1995 permit RP1092;
182 Victorian Natural Parks Act 1975 and Flora and Flora Guarantee Act 1988 permit
183 10006431; and Victorian DPI Animal Ethics permit 21.12. Thanks to the Department
184 of Environment, Water and Natural Resources South Australia, the South East Water
185 Conservation and Drainage Board, Parks Victoria and several private landholders in
186 South East South Australia for access to sites.

187

188

189 **References**

190 1. Baker N, Byrne K, Moore S, Mather P (2000) Characterization of microsatellite
191 loci in the redclaw crayfish, *Cherax quadricarinatus*. *Molecular Ecology* 9(4): 494-
192 495

193

194 2. Brookfield JFY (1996) A simple new method for estimating null allele frequency
195 from heterozygote deficiency. *Mol Ecol* 5: 453-455

196

- 197 3. Blacket MJ, Robin C, Good RT, Lee SF, Miller AD (2012) Universal primers for
198 fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments--an efficient and cost-effective approach to
199 genotyping by fluorescence. *Mol Ecol Res.* 12: 456-63
200
- 201 4. Dong-Ha A, Mi-Hyun P, Jae-Ho J, Mi-Jin O, Sanghee K, Jongwoo J, Gi-Sik M
202 (2011) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the Korean crayfish,
203 *Cambaroides similis* and application to natural population analysis. *Animal Cells and*
204 *Systems* 15(1): 37-43
205
- 206 5. Furse JM, Coughran J (2011) An assessment of the distribution, biology,
207 threatening processes and conservation status of the freshwater crayfish, genus
208 *Euastacus* (Decapoda: Parastacidae) in continental Australia. II. Threats, conservation
209 assessments and key findings. *Crustaceana Monographs: New Frontiers in*
210 *Crustacean Research* 253-263
211
- 212 6. Gouin N, Grandjean F, Souty-Grosset C (2006) Population genetic structure of the
213 endangered crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes* in France based on microsatellite
214 variation: biogeographical inferences and conservation implications *Freshwater*
215 *Biology* 51: 1369-1387
216
- 217 7. Imgrund J, Groth D, Wetherall, J (1997) Genetic analysis of the freshwater crayfish
218 *Cherax tenuimanus*. *Electrophoresis* 18(9): 1660-1665
219

- 220 8. Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attiya S, Bader JS, Bembien LA et al.
221 (2005) Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature*
222 437: 376–380
223
- 224 9. Megléc E, Costedoat C, Dubut V, Gilles A, Malausa T, Pech N, Martin JF (2010)
225 QDD: a user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers
226 from large sequencing projects. *Bioinformatics* 26(3): 403-404
227
- 228 10. Morgan G J (1986) Freshwater crayfish of the genus *Euastacus* Clark (Decapoda,
229 Parastacidae) from Victoria. *Memoirs of the Museum of Victoria* 47(1): 1-57
230
- 231 11. Park SDE (2001) *Trypanotolerance in West African Cattle and the Population*
232 *Genetic Effects of Selection*. PhD Thesis, University of Dublin
233
- 234 12. Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) An exact test for population differentiation.
235 *Evolution* 49: 1280-1283
236
- 237 13. Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for
238 biologist programmers. In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) *Bioinformatics Methods and*
239 *Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology*. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp 365-386
240
- 241 14. Rice WR (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43(1): 223–225
242
- 243 15. Sherman CDH, Ierodiaconou D, Stanley AM, Weston K, Gardner MG, Schultz
244 MB (2012) Development of twenty-four novel microsatellite markers for the

245 freshwater crayfish, *Geocharax gracilis*, using next generation sequencing.
246 Conservation genetics resources 4(3): 555-558
247
248 16. Sivasunda A, Hey J (2003) Population genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*: the
249 paradox of low polymorphism in a widespread species. Genetics 163(1): 147-157.
250
251 17. Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-
252 CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in
253 microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes 4(3): 535–538
254
255 18. Weeks AR, Sgro CM, Young AG, Frankham R, Mitchell NJ, Miller KA, Byrne
256 M, Coates DJ, Eldridge MDB, Sunnucks P, Breed MF, James EA and Hoffmann AA
257 (2011) Assessing the benefits and risks of translocations in changing environments: a
258 genetic perspective. Evol. Appl. (4): 709-725
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268

Table 1. Primers sequences and characteristics of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci isolated from *E. bispinosus*. Primer combinations for each multiplex reaction are provided. N_A = number of alleles. * loci were problematic and were not characterized further.

Locus	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Repeat motif	N_A	Size range (bp)	GenBank Accession
Multiplex 1					
EB5	ACCAACATCTGTCTGGCTCAT TGTTCTAGCCCACATCCTGG	AG	5	160-170	KC291356
EB6	TTTCGTGCGCATAAACACC GGTTCCAGATACTGGAGTGTC	AAT	4	101-116	KC291357
EB14*	TATTCATCCGCCAAATGCTT CGGTTTAGTGAAGTCGGTGC	ACC	8	114-141	
EB25	AGTGTACGGAAGGAGGGTTCG TAAGCCATCCATCACCTTCG	AC	2	157-159	KC291361
EB31	GTGCTTGATAAGCTCGCAT GGTAGGTGGCGGTGATGAG	ACC	2	186-198	KC291364
Multiplex 2					
EB2*	TCACAAGAGGCGAGGAGATG ATGGCGTAGTCTCCGACAGC	AG	5	178-188	
EB13	CGTCTTCCTCCCTACGGGT AACCTTCAGAAACCCTTAGCTCC	AAT	5	110-122	KC291358
EB16*	GCTGTTGACGCTTCCTCTGT GGCGTTGACCACGTTGAT	ACG	3	198-227	
Multiplex 3					
EB17	GTTCTGCAAACCATTCCTG CCTCTTCCTCCTCATCCACC	AGG	3	161-176	KC291359
EB27	AACTTGTAGCCTCAGCAGCC TTCGAAAGTTGAGGTCCGAG	AGC	2	116-122	KC291362
EB35*	TTTGGGAAACAATGAGAGCA TGGACACTGACGCTGCTTTA	AG	2	141-156	
Multiplex 4					
EB18	CAATTCCTTAAACCCGACC TCTTCTCCTCGGTTATCGTCC	AG	3	237-241	KC291360
EB28*	AGCGAAATGCTGTCCATGTT CAGCCTGGTAAATGCAGGAT	ACAT	6	149-169	
EB30	TTACGGGCTTAGTGCTTCCC CTAGCCAAGCGAGCCATTTA	AAT	2	134-137	KC291363
EB40	CAAACCTCAGGAAGATAATTCAAATAGG ATTTGTAATACAGGTGCAGAGCTA	AC	3	110-118	KC291365

Table 2. Statistics for *Euastacus bispinosus* populations screened with 10 polymorphic microsatellite loci. Mean values over loci are presented for number of alleles (N_A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosities, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P values, and the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS})

Population	N	Loci	N_A	H_E	H_O	HW P -value	F_{IS}
Lower Glenelg	22	10	2.80	0.37	0.39	0.79	-0.02
Crawford	24	10	2.50	0.36	0.34	0.34	0.04
Ewens Ponds	30	10	1	0	0	-	-

Table 3. Statistics for *Euastacus bispinosus* populations screened with 10 polymorphic microsatellite loci. Mean values per locus are presented for number of alleles (N_A), expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosities, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P values, and the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}).

		EB25	EB31	EB5	EB6	EB13	EB17	EB27	EB18	EB30	EB40
Lower Glenelg	N_A	2	3	3	4	4	3	2	2	2	3
	H_E	0.17	0.37	0.44	0.38	0.69	0.53	0.30	0.17	0.46	0.17
	H_O	0.18	0.45	0.50	0.32	0.68	0.59	0.36	0.18	0.50	0.18
	HW P -value	1.00	0.62	1.00	0.17	0.48	0.52	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	F_{IS}	-0.07	-0.23	-0.13	0.06	0.02	-0.12	-0.20	-0.07	-0.09	-0.06
Crawford	N_A	2	2	3	2	5	2	2	3	2	2
	H_E	0.47	0.28	0.46	0.16	0.64	0.42	0.49	0.23	0.34	0.12
	H_O	0.29	0.33	0.38	0.08	0.65	0.50	0.46	0.21	0.42	0.12
	HW P -value	0.08	1.00	0.23	0.13	0.79	0.62	1.00	0.11	0.54	1.00
	F_{IS}	0.38	-0.18	0.20	0.47	-0.02	-0.19	0.06	0.09	-0.24	-0.05
Ewens Ponds	N_A	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	H_E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	H_O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HW P -value	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	F_{IS}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-