



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Ravindra, D;Huang, G;Hallett, K;Burgner, DP;Gwee, A;Silva, MJ

Title:

Antibiotic Exposure and Dental Health: A Systematic Review

Date:

2023-07-01

Citation:

Ravindra, D., Huang, G., Hallett, K., Burgner, D. P., Gwee, A. & Silva, M. J. (2023).  
Antibiotic Exposure and Dental Health: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*, 152 (1), <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2023-061350>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/336835>



42 **Contributors Statement Page**

43

44 Drs David Burgner and Dr Amanda Gwee conceptualized and designed the study, and  
45 critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

46

47 Dr Mihiri Silva conceptualized and designed the study, coordinated and supervised data  
48 collection, and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

49

50 Dr Dharini Ravindra conceptualized and designed the study, designed the data collection  
51 instruments, collected data, carried out the initial analyses, drafted the initial manuscript,  
52 and critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

53

54 Dr Gwendolyn Huang collected data, carried out the initial analyses and critically reviewed  
55 and revised the manuscript.

56

57 Dr Kerrod Hallett critically reviewed and revised the manuscript.

58

59 All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all  
60 aspects of the work.

61

62

63 **Abstract**

64

65 **Context:** The use of antibiotics in young children is widespread and may lead to adverse  
66 effects on dental health, including staining, developmental defects, and dental caries.

67 **Objective:** To systematically review the effects of early childhood antibiotic exposure on  
68 dental health

69 **Data Sources:** Medline (Ovid/PubMed), Embase (Ovid) and Cochrane databases. Study  
70 bias was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

71 **Study Selection:** English language articles which reported antibiotic exposure prior to 8  
72 years of age and one or more of the relevant outcomes (dental caries, intrinsic tooth  
73 staining, or developmental defects of enamel) were included

74 **Data Extraction:** Data on study population, design, type of antibiotic, outcome  
75 measurement, and results were extracted from the identified studies

76 **Results:** The initial search yielded 1003 articles of which 34 studies were included. Five of  
77 the 18 studies on tetracycline described a dose response relationship between exposure to  
78 tetracycline doses of > 20 mg/kg/day and dental staining. Early childhood exposure to  
79 doxycycline (at any dose) was not associated with dental staining. There was no clear  
80 association between any early childhood antibiotic exposure and dental caries or enamel  
81 defects.

82 **Limitations:** In all included studies, the main limitations and sources of bias were the lack  
83 of comparison groups, inconsistent outcome measures, and lack of adjustment for relevant  
84 confounders.

85 **Conclusions:** There was no evidence that newer tetracycline formulations (doxycycline  
86 and minocycline) at currently recommended dosages led to adverse effects on dental health.  
87 Findings regarding antibiotic exposure and developmental defects of enamel or dental  
88 caries were inconsistent. Further prospective studies are warranted.

89 Introduction

90

91 Antibiotics are the most commonly prescribed medications in childhood; in Australia their  
92 use has increased by 230% in the ten years between 2000 and 2010.<sup>1</sup> Antibiotics are  
93 suggested to have a myriad of effects on dental health, particularly tooth staining,  
94 developmental tooth defects (enamel hypoplasia and hypomineralisation), and dental  
95 caries.<sup>2</sup> However, data are inconsistent, with both adverse and protective effects reported.<sup>3-7</sup>

96

97 The mechanisms underlying these putative associations include direct effects on tooth  
98 development and indirect influences via the oral microbiome.<sup>2,8,9</sup> Antibiotics can directly  
99 interfere with the highly sensitive process of tooth mineralization, leading to developmental  
100 dental defects such as enamel hypoplasia, and hypomineralisation.<sup>10</sup> These defects present  
101 clinically as tooth discoloration and breakdown, often necessitating complex dental  
102 treatment.<sup>11,12,13</sup> In addition, early life exposure to tetracycline antibiotics can lead to  
103 formation of complexes within the tooth structure resulting in characteristic ‘tetracycline  
104 staining’ with dark banding across the tooth.<sup>14-16</sup> However, recent studies suggest that  
105 newer formulations of tetracycline antibiotics (e.g., doxycycline) may not cause tooth  
106 staining.<sup>17-19</sup>

107

108 Dental caries (tooth decay) affects 60-90% of children globally.<sup>20-22</sup> Dental caries is  
109 multifactorial disease that occurs as a result of oral microbial dysbiosis driven by dietary  
110 sugar and dental plaque. Antibiotic exposure may therefore potentially affect the  
111 pathogenesis of dental caries both directly (via the oral microbiome) and indirectly (via  
112 contributing to enamel defects).<sup>23</sup> Early studies focused on the inverse relationship between  
113 antibiotic exposure and dental caries and subsequent findings indicate a possible  
114 relationship between antibiotic exposure and enamel defects.<sup>3,24-26</sup> Data on newer antibiotic

115 formulations and their association with dental caries and enamel defects are  
116 inconsistent.<sup>27,28</sup>

117

118 We therefore conducted a systematic review to evaluate the evidence regarding the effect  
119 of early childhood antibiotic exposure on dental caries, developmental defects of enamel,  
120 and tooth staining

121

122 Methods

123

124 This study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-  
125 analyses (PRISMA) reporting guidelines (Appendix 1. Table 1). The review was registered  
126 in the PROSPERO database (CRD42020179098).

127

128 MEDLINE (PubMed/Ovid), Embase (Ovid; 1946-current), and the Cochrane Library  
129 databases were searched in September 2021 using the search strategies listed in Appendix  
130 1, Methods. All searches were limited to the English language. Reference lists of included  
131 articles were checked. In addition, Pro-Quest Dissertation Abstracts and Thesis database  
132 and Google Scholar were searched for grey literature.

133

134 Studies were included if they reported antibiotic exposure prior to 8 years of age (the  
135 period of tooth development) and one or more of the relevant outcomes (dental caries,  
136 intrinsic tooth staining, or developmental defects of enamel) based on visual assessment of  
137 the dentition. Developmental defects of enamel with a known etiology such as fluorosis  
138 and amelogenesis imperfecta were excluded as outcome measures.<sup>29</sup> For the outcomes of  
139 dental caries and developmental defects of enamel, only studies using a validated index  
140 were included (Appendix 1. Table 2). As there is no validated tool to measure tetracycline

141 staining, studies using any clearly described method were included.

142

143 Two independent investigators (D.R and G.H.) assessed eligibility of all studies in  
144 Covidence (Covidence systematic review software, Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne,  
145 Australia), in a two-stage screening process; by title and abstract and then by full text.  
146 Initial disagreements were resolved by discussion and then by a third independent reviewer  
147 (M.S.).

148

149 Data on study population, design, type of antibiotic, outcome measurement, and results  
150 were extracted from the identified studies by a sole investigator (D.R.) using a standardized  
151 extraction form. All data extraction was audited by a second reviewer (G.H.). Odds Ratios,  
152 p-values and 95% confidence intervals were extracted from studies that quantified the risk  
153 of caries, developmental defects of enamel and dental staining with the exposure,  
154 antibiotics. If not reported and when possible, the odds ratios and confidence intervals were  
155 calculated. All analyses were performed using STATA 16 software (StataCorp. 2019. Stata  
156 Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC). Due to  
157 heterogeneity and lack of data on covariates, meta-analysis was not considered appropriate.

158

159 The studies were assessed for risk of bias according to both the Newcastle Ottawa Scale  
160 (NOS) and ROBINS-E tool by a sole investigator (D.R) (Appendix 1. Tables 3 and 4) and  
161 audited by a second reviewer (M.S.).<sup>30,31</sup> The NOS was modified to suit the research  
162 question (Appendix 1. Table 5). No studies were excluded on the basis of the bias  
163 assessment.

164

165 Results

166

167 Study Characteristics

168 The initial search yielded 1003 articles with 756 articles screened by title and abstract after  
169 duplicates had been removed. Overall, 34 were found to be eligible for qualitative analysis  
170 (Figure 1). All 34 studies were retrospective cohort studies. A total of 18 studies  
171 investigated tetracyclines and/or tetracycline derived antibiotics, seven investigated  
172 amoxicillin only, and nine did not specify the antibiotic class.

173

174 Exposure ascertainment was based on hospital/pharmacy records or patient/parent recall of  
175 medication use. The median age at the time of antibiotic exposure was 6 years (range 0-8  
176 years). Outcomes were measured between 24 months to 18 years of age. Sample size varied  
177 from 25 to 29485 participants (Table 1).<sup>3,32</sup>

178

179 The methods used to measure and quantify the three outcomes (dental caries, enamel  
180 defects and dental staining) varied considerably. A total of 3 different measures were used  
181 for caries, 10 for dental staining, and 7 for enamel defects (Appendix 1. Table 2).<sup>33-35</sup> In  
182 addition to detection of tetracycline staining from visual and laboratory analyses, general  
183 intrinsic staining was determined by measuring tooth color using a shade guide or  
184 spectrometer and applying an arbitrary threshold. The seven indices used to measure  
185 developmental defects of enamel were variations of two core validated indices (European  
186 Academy of Paediatric Dentistry Criteria for MIH (Molar-Incisor hypomineralisation) and  
187 DDE (Developmental Defects of Enamel) Index) (Appendix 1. Table 2).<sup>36,37</sup>

188

189 Tetracycline & Tetracycline Derived Antibiotics

190

191 Tetracycline and Intrinsic Staining

192 Eighteen studies investigated tetracyclines and tetracycline derived antibiotics, most of

193 which (n=16) included dental staining as an outcome. Eleven studies investigated older  
194 formulations (e.g., tetracyclines), often at relatively higher doses (from 10 to 38mg/kg/day)  
195 whereas 5 studies investigated the newer formulations (e.g., doxycycline), often at lower  
196 dosages (from 2.3 to 6.25mg/kg/day) (Table 2). Dosage was not reported in three studies.<sup>38-</sup>  
197 <sup>40</sup>

198  
199 Three studies assessed the presence of the characteristic pattern of tetracycline staining  
200 from visual inspection and four studies used fluorescence of exfoliated or extracted teeth.  
201 Four studies used tooth color and shade as a marker of tetracycline staining. The five  
202 remaining studies used a combination of the above methods or study specific outcome  
203 measures based on inherent tooth shade (intrinsic staining).

204  
205 The studies investigating older formulations of tetracyclines, were published between 1962  
206 and 1980, and had a moderate to critical risk of bias, often failing to clearly report the  
207 method for measurement of outcomes. Higher doses of tetracyclines were associated with  
208 increased presence of dental staining. In five studies, doses of  $\geq 20$  mg/kg/day with a  
209 duration from 5 to 11 days were associated with dental staining whereas only one study of  
210 the same dose reported no association.<sup>41-46</sup> The study that reported the strongest association  
211 (OR: 11.62, 95% CI 5.96, 24.32) evaluated the highest dose for the longest consecutive  
212 duration (26.7mg/kg/day for a mean of 11 days).<sup>41</sup>

213  
214 All five studies evaluating the relationship between newer formulations of tetracycline  
215 (minocycline and doxycycline) and dental staining showed no association. The studies  
216 were published between 1998 to 2017 and average dosage ranged from 2.3 to 25 mg/kg/day  
217 with a mean duration of 10.7 days.<sup>17,19,47-49</sup> Notably no cases of tetracycline staining were  
218 observed in 58 and 78 children at average doses of 2.3 mg/kg/day and 6.25 mg/kg/day,

219 respectively. Although the overall risk of bias varied, there was evidence from 3 low bias  
220 studies that doxycycline did not cause intrinsic staining, including tetracycline staining.

221 <sup>17,19,47</sup>

222

### 223 Developmental Defects of Enamel

224 Five studies investigated the relationship between childhood use of tetracycline derived  
225 antibiotics and developmental defects of enamel.<sup>17,40,42,43,50</sup> Four of these papers provided  
226 details regarding dosage (Table 2). Two papers reported contradictory results for  
227 tetracycline at doses of 20 mg/kg/day.<sup>42,43</sup> One study with a critical level of bias  
228 investigated 40 premature infants with an average treatment duration of 4 days and found  
229 higher odds of enamel defects (OR 5.83, 95% CI 0.42, 314.48). However, the study did not  
230 address the role of prematurity on the relationship.<sup>43</sup> In contrast, the other study with a  
231 moderate level of bias investigated 160 participants aged 6 to 12 years with an average of 6  
232 days of medication and found no cases of enamel hypoplasia.<sup>42</sup>

233

234 The two studies of newer formulations (doxycycline at 5 mg/kg/day and minocycline at 2.3  
235 mg/kg/day) did not report an increased odds of enamel hypoplasia (OR 0.89, 95% CI 0.38,  
236 2.11; OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.2, 4.2).<sup>17,50</sup> The findings from these five studies are contradictory  
237 and preclude clear conclusions but suggest that newer formulations at lower dosages likely  
238 do not have an association with developmental defects of enamel.<sup>17,40,42,43,50</sup>

239

### 240 Dental Caries

241 Three of the four studies of dental caries used the total number of decayed, missing, filled  
242 teeth or surfaces based on visual inspection as a measure of caries prevalence or severity; a  
243 single study used radiographic evaluation in addition to a clinical criterion. Tetracycline  
244 use at 20mg/kg/day had no association with the presence of dental caries (OR 1.42, 95% CI

245 0.34, 7.06).<sup>51</sup> A study of 100 participants found fewer carious tooth surfaces (0.099 +/-  
246 0.088) in the exposed group compared to the comparison group (0.146 +/- 0.087), however,  
247 this study had a serious level of bias due to lack of confirmation of exposure.<sup>38</sup> A similar  
248 association was also reported in a study of 86 patients that found a mean of 7.5 (+/- 1.0)  
249 carious surfaces in the exposed group compared a mean of 13.5 (+/- 1.3) in the comparison  
250 group.<sup>40</sup> All four studies had high levels of bias, with one lacking a comparator group and  
251 another reliant upon parent recall for exposure ascertainment and all failing to adjust for  
252 confounders, such as socioeconomic status (a major social determinant of dental caries  
253 risk).

254

#### 255 Amoxicillin

256 Seven of the included studies evaluated the effect of amoxicillin on enamel defects and/or  
257 dental caries whilst effects on intrinsic staining were not evaluated (Table 3).

258

#### 259 Developmental Defects of Enamel

260 Four studies investigated the relationship between amoxicillin and developmental defects  
261 of enamel.<sup>9,52-54</sup> One study found a positive association (OR 7.88, 95% CI 2.43, 25.12)  
262 between penicillin and developmental defects of enamel in a cohort of 433 with a median  
263 age of 7.8 years.<sup>52</sup> This study had a low level of bias, and adjusted for relevant confounders  
264 fluoride exposure, tooth wear and age. Conversely two studies, with a low to moderate risk  
265 of bias in populations of 120 and 367 showed a protective association between penicillin  
266 (dosage not reported) and developmental defects of enamel with ORs 0.44 (95% CI 0.25,  
267 0.77) and 0.07 (95% CI 0.02, 0.28).<sup>9,53</sup>

268

#### 269 Dental Caries

270 There were four studies that investigated the relationship between amoxicillin and dental

271 caries all with a moderate level of bias.<sup>3,52,55,56</sup> Only two studies reported dosage with one  
272 being an average of 16mg/kg/day and the other of 125mg/day.<sup>55,56</sup> One study with an  
273 exposure group of 433 in a population of 7 to 9 year olds found a higher number of carious  
274 surfaces in the exposed group (mean 1.46, SD: 0.99) than in the comparison group (0.76,  
275 SD: 1.33).<sup>52</sup> Contrastingly, another study of penicillin (125mg/day) found a lower number  
276 of carious surfaces in the exposed group of 6 to 13 year olds (mean 3.55, SD: 0.58)  
277 compared with the control group (mean 4.84, SD: 0.32).<sup>55</sup> A birth cohort study of 29 485  
278 participants found that the number of teeth affected by caries at 2 years of age was on  
279 average lower in children who had taken amoxicillin ( $p < 0.0001$ ). However, dosage was not  
280 provided and odds ratios unable to be calculated from the data provided limiting  
281 conclusions about effect size.<sup>3</sup> This was consistent with a cohort study of 393 participants  
282 where amoxicillin doses of 5 to 29 mg/kg/day were found to be protective for dental caries  
283 with a mean 0.47 (+/- 0.6) carious surfaces in the exposed group, compared to 1.50 (+/-  
284 0.32) in the comparison group.<sup>56</sup> Overall, a clear association could not be identified due to  
285 the heterogeneity in outcome measures across the studies.

286

#### 287 Unspecified Classes of Antibiotics

288 Nine studies (Table 4) evaluated the dental effects of any antibiotic exposure by either  
289 combining several antibiotics into a single exposure group, or without specifying the  
290 antibiotic formulations.<sup>2,57-64</sup> Four studies, all with low to moderate bias focused on molar-  
291 incisor hypomineralisation (MIH), a common developmental defect, three of these found a  
292 positive association with MIH prevalence, In contrast, none of the three studies with low to  
293 moderate risk of bias that investigated developmental defects of enamel in general (as  
294 opposed to MIH specifically) reported an association.<sup>58,62,65</sup>

295

296 Discussion

297

298 To our knowledge, this is the first systematic review to investigate early childhood  
299 antibiotics and three dental outcomes (caries, enamel defects and dental staining). We  
300 included evidence from 34 studies. Tetracyclines at higher dosages ( $\geq 20\text{mg/kg/day}$ ) were  
301 associated with dental staining; these doses are not currently recommended. There were  
302 conflicting results both between early childhood antibiotics and dental caries and between  
303 early childhood antibiotic use and MIH.

304

305 There was no evidence that newer tetracycline-related formulations (doxycycline,  
306 minocycline), nor other antibiotic classes, were associated with adverse dental outcomes.  
307 Our findings are in keeping with other systematic reviews of doxycycline that concluded  
308 that median treatment durations up to 10 days had negligible effects on tooth staining.  
309 <sup>18,28,66,67</sup> The change in formulation from tetracycline to doxycycline included removal of  
310 the hydroxyl group at C-6.<sup>68</sup> This resulted in an inherent change on calcium binding  
311 capacity (doxycycline 19% vs tetracycline 39.5%) with doxycycline therefore less likely to  
312 result in the ion complexes that cause intrinsic staining.<sup>27</sup> This change combined with more  
313 recent studies on safety of doxycycline has also prompted the American Academy of  
314 Pediatrics 2021 Red Book to recommend use of doxycycline for less than 21 days  
315 regardless of age.<sup>69</sup>

316

317 A previous systematic review reported an association between antibiotic use and  
318 developmental defects of enamel (MIH) but lacked data on dosage and the age of antibiotic  
319 administration, so it was not possible to ascertain if exposure occurred during tooth  
320 calcification.<sup>70</sup> Notwithstanding, the findings are in keeping with the current study. No  
321 studies evaluating enamel defects have determined if the effect on dentition is due to the

322 antibiotic itself or the underlying illness.<sup>58,71-73</sup> Therefore, until any risk of MIH has been  
323 quantified through prospective studies with data on any dose response relationship, the  
324 treatment of infections with antibiotics outweighs any putative MIH risk.

325

326 We were unable to draw clear conclusions regarding the effect of early childhood  
327 antibiotics on dental caries due to the heterogeneity of outcome measures. Previous studies  
328 have reported an increase in risk of dental caries from early childhood antibiotic use.<sup>3</sup> This  
329 is in contrast to data from patients with cystic fibrosis, who have prolonged cumulative  
330 antibiotic exposure from early childhood and in whom there is a lower risk of dental caries.

331 2,5,6

332

### 333 Strengths and Limitations

334 This study has a number of strengths including assessment of three different dental  
335 outcomes for the first time. Two bias tools were used to assess all papers, which was done  
336 in duplicate using a number of databases and at several time points. Most of the studies in  
337 this systematic review have methodological limitations. All rely on retrospective data, and  
338 several did not adjust for potential confounders such as socioeconomic status and age. The  
339 previous data on tetracycline-derived antibiotics and dental staining is largely from the  
340 1960s and 1970s, and data quality is limited. The introduction of standardised reporting  
341 guidelines (such as STROBE) has improved the quality and reduced bias.<sup>74</sup>

342

343 Limitations include the lack of standardized and validated outcome measures (for tooth  
344 staining in particular) that made comparison between studies difficult. While validated  
345 outcome measures were used for both developmental defects of enamel and caries, several

346 different indices were used (Appendix 1. Table 2). A validated index for tetracycline  
347 staining is hard to develop because of the low prevalence of staining. This systematic  
348 review applied causal inference using observational data to evaluate the effect of early  
349 childhood antibiotic use on dental outcomes. Such analyses are powerful and increasingly  
350 common, there are inherent biases and challenges to answering causal questions from  
351 observational research.<sup>75</sup> General associations between any exposures to antibiotics and  
352 dental health without specific doses may provide a signal of an association but are limited  
353 in providing causal insights. Further studies with prospective longitudinal cohorts of  
354 patients with quantification of dosages would provide more complete evidence to inform  
355 clinical practice.

356

## 357 Conclusions

358 Despite the limitations of the existing, largely retrospective data, we found no evidence that  
359 currently used antibiotics at currently recommended doses prior to 8 years of age was  
360 associated with later dental effects of tooth staining, developmental defects of enamel, or  
361 caries. Newer formulation tetracyclines (doxycycline and minocycline) at currently  
362 recommended doses do not cause long term dental effects. There is conflicting evidence for  
363 the relationship between antibiotic use and other dental outcomes (caries and enamel  
364 defects). Further well-designed prospective longitudinal cohort studies are needed to  
365 determine this relationship using standardized outcomes with adjustment for confounders,  
366 together with biological sampling and microbiome analysis, are warranted.

## References

1. Anderson R, Rhodes A, Cranswick N, et al. A nationwide parent survey of antibiotic use in Australian children. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2020;75(5):1347-1351.
2. Kinirons MJ. The effect of antibiotic therapy on the oral health of cystic fibrosis children. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry.* 1992;2(3):139-143.
3. Alaki SM, Burt BA, Garetz SL. The association between antibiotics usage in early childhood and early childhood caries. *Pediatric Dentistry.* 2009;31(1):31-37.
4. Ferrazzano GF, Orlando S, Sangianantoni G, Cantile T, Ingenito A. Dental and periodontal health status in children affected by cystic fibrosis in a southern Italian region. *European journal of paediatric dentistry : official journal of European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry.* 2009;10(2):65-68.
5. Narang A, Maguire A, Nunn JH, Bush A. Oral health and related factors in cystic fibrosis and other chronic respiratory disorders. *Arch Dis Child.* 2003;88(8):702-707.
6. Collard M, Azzopardi KA, Hall SJ, Jones V, Thia LP. Oral health in children with cystic fibrosis. *Journal of Cystic Fibrosis.* 2016;15 (Supplement 1):S87-S88.
7. Kelly R, Kidy S, Allen P, Sittampalam G. Paediatric dentistry: Child caries and antibiotics. *Br Dent J.* 2018;225(12):1048-1049.
8. Mello HS. The mechanism of tetracycline staining in primary and permanent teeth. *J Dent Child.* 1967;34(6):478-487.
9. Tariq A, Alam Ansari M, Owais Ismail M, Memon Z. Association of the use of bacterial cell wall synthesis Inhibitor drugs in early childhood with the Developmental Defects of Enamel. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2014;30(2):393-397.
10. Seow WK. Developmental defects of enamel and dentine: challenges for basic science research and clinical management. *Aust Dent J.* 2014;59 Suppl 1:143-154.
11. Almualllem Z, Busuttill-Naudi A. Molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) – an overview. *Br Dent J.* 2018;225(7):601-609.
12. Somani C, Taylor GD, Garot E, Rouas P, Lygidakis NA, Wong FSL. An update of treatment modalities in children and adolescents with teeth affected by molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2022;23(1):39-64.
13. Schwendicke F, Elhennawy K, Reda S, Bekes K, Manton DJ, Krois J. Global burden of molar incisor hypomineralization. *J Dent.* 2018;68:10-18.
14. Vennila V, Madhu V, Rajesh R, Ealla KK, Velidandla SR, Santoshi S. Tetracycline-induced discoloration of deciduous teeth: case series. *J Int Oral Health.* 2014;6(3):115-119.
15. Guggenheimer J. Tetracyclines and the human dentition. *The Compendium of continuing education in dentistry.* 1984;5(3):245-248, 251-252, 254.
16. Madison JF. Tetracycline pigmentation of teeth. *Archives of dermatology (1960).* 1963;88(1):58-59.
17. Todd SR, Dahlgren FS, Traeger MS, et al. No visible dental staining in children treated with doxycycline for suspected Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. *J Pediatr.* 2015;166(5):1246-1251.
18. Boast A, Curtis N, Gwee A. QUESTION 1: Teething issues: can doxycycline be safely used in young children? *Arch Dis Child.* 2016;101(8):772-774.
19. Volovitz B, Shkap R, Amir J, Calderon S, Varsano I, Nussinovitch M. Absence of tooth staining with doxycycline treatment in young children. *Clin Pediatr (Phila).* 2007;46(2):121-126.

20. Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C. The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2005;83(9):661-669.
21. Kassebaum NJ, Smith AGC, Bernabé E, et al. Global, Regional, and National Prevalence, Incidence, and Disability-Adjusted Life Years for Oral Conditions for 195 Countries, 1990-2015: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors. *J Dent Res*. 2017;96(4):380-387.
22. Bradshaw DJ, Lynch RJM. Diet and the microbial aetiology of dental caries: new paradigms. *International Dental Journal*. 2013;63:64-72.
23. Shulman JD, Cappelli DP. Chapter 1 - Epidemiology of Dental Caries. In: Cappelli DP, Mobley CC, eds. *Prevention in Clinical Oral Health Care*. Saint Louis: Mosby; 2008:2-13.
24. Sheiham A. Changing trends in dental caries. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1984;13(2):142-147.
25. Fitzgerald RJ. Inhibition of experimental dental caries by antibiotics. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*. 1972;1(4):296-302.
26. Tapias MA, Gil A, Jiménez R, Lamas F. [Factors associated with dental enamel defects in the first molar in a population of children]. *Aten Primaria*. 2001;27(3):166-171.
27. Cross R, Ling C, Day NPJ, McGready R, Paris DH. Revisiting doxycycline in pregnancy and early childhood - Time to rebuild its reputation? *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*. 2016;15(3):367-382.
28. Stultz JS, Eiland LS. Doxycycline and Tooth Discoloration in Children: Changing of Recommendations Based on Evidence of Safety. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2019;53(11):1162-1166.
29. Wong H. Aetiological factors for developmental defects of enamel. *Austin J Anat*. 2014;1(1):1003.
30. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. In: Oxford; 2000.
31. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *bmj*. 2016;355.
32. Forti G, Benincori C. Doxycycline and the teeth. *Lancet*. 1969;1(7598):782.
33. Pitts NB. Current methods and criteria for caries diagnosis in Europe. *J Dent Educ*. 1993;57(6):409-414.
34. Gugnani N, Pandit IK, Srivastava N, Gupta M, Sharma M. International Caries Detection and Assessment System (ICDAS): A New Concept. *International journal of clinical pediatric dentistry*. 2011;4(2):93-100.
35. Dikmen B. Icdas II criteria (international caries detection and assessment system). *J Istanb Univ Fac Dent*. 2015;49(3):63-72.
36. Ghanim A, Elfrink M, Weerheijm K, Mariño R, Manton D. A practical method for use in epidemiological studies on enamel hypomineralisation. *European archives of paediatric dentistry : official journal of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2015;16(3):235-246.
37. Clarkson J, O'Mullane D. A Modified DDE Index for Use in Epidemiological Studies of Enamel Defects. *J Dent Res*. 1989;68(3):445-450.
38. Brearley LH, Porteous JR. Characteristics and caries experience of tetracycline-affected dentitions. *J Dent Res*. 1973;52(3):508-516.
39. Martin ND, Barnard PD. The prevalence of tetracycline staining in erupted teeth. *Med J Aust*. 1969;1(25):1286-1289.
40. Primosch RE. Tetracycline discoloration, enamel defects, and dental caries in patients with cystic fibrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1980;50(4):301-308.

41. Conchie JM, Munroe JD, Anderson DO. The incidence of staining of permanent teeth by the tetracyclines. *Can Med Assoc J.* 1970;103(4):351-356.
42. Grossman ER, Walchek A, Freedman H. Tetracyclines and permanent teeth: the relation between dose and tooth color. *Pediatrics.* 1971;47(3):567-570.
43. Hamp SE. The tetracyclines and their effect on teeth. A clinical study. *Odontol Tidskr.* 1967;75(1):33-49.
44. Swallow JN, De Young WF. Side-effects to antibiotics in cystic fibrosis: dental changes in relation to antibiotic administration. *Arch Dis Child.* 1967;42(22):311-318.
45. Wallman IS, Hilton HB. Prematurity, tetracycline, and oxytetracycline in tooth development. *Lancet.* 1962;2(7258):720-721.
46. Frankel MA, Hawes RR. Tetracycline Antibiotics and Tooth Discoloration. *J Oral Ther Pharmacol.* 1964;1:147-155.
47. Poyhonen H, Nurmi M, Peltola V, Alaluusua S, Ruuskanen O, Lahdesmaki T. Dental staining after doxycycline use in children. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.* 2017;72(10):2887-2890.
48. Lahdesmaki T, Poyhonen H, Nurmi M, Peltola V, Ruuskanen O. Safety of high dose oral and intravenous doxycycline in treatment of pediatric central nervous system infections. *European Journal of Pediatrics.* 2016;175 (11):1460.
49. Lochary ME, Lockhart PB, Williams WT, Jr. Doxycycline and staining of permanent teeth. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 1998;17(5):429-431.
50. Cascio A, Di Liberto C, D'Angelo M, et al. No findings of dental defects in children treated with minocycline. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2004;48(7):2739-2741.
51. Swallow JN, De Haller J, Young WF. Side-effects to antibiotics in cystic fibrosis: dental changes in relation to antibiotic administration. *Arch Dis Child.* 1967;42(223):311-318.
52. Ahmadi R, Ramazani N, Nourinasab R. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a study of prevalence and etiology in a group of Iranian children. *Iran J Pediatr.* 2012;22(2):245-251.
53. Giuca MR, Cappe M, Carli E, Lardani L, Pasini M. Investigation of Clinical Characteristics and Etiological Factors in Children with Molar Incisor Hypomineralization. *Int J Dent.* 2018;2018:7584736.
54. Laisi S, Ess A, Sahlberg C, Arvio P, Lukinmaa PL, Alaluusua S. Amoxicillin may cause molar incisor hypomineralization. *J Dent Res.* 2009;88(2):132-136.
55. Littleton NW, White CL. Dental Findings from a Preliminary Study of Children Receiving Extended Antibiotic Therapy. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 1964;68:520-525.
56. Handelman SL, Mills JR, Hawes RR. Caries incidence in subjects receiving long term antibiotic therapy. *J Oral Ther Pharmacol.* 1966;2(5):338-345.
57. Allazzam SM, Alaki SM, El Meligy OA. Molar incisor hypomineralization, prevalence, and etiology. *Int J Dent.* 2014;2014:234508.
58. Arrow P. Risk factors in the occurrence of enamel defects of the first permanent molars among schoolchildren in Western Australia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2009;37(5):405-415.
59. Hysi D, Kuscu OO, Droboniku E, Toti C, Xhemnica L, Caglar E. Prevalence and aetiology of Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation among children aged 8-10 years in Tirana, Albania. *Eur J Paediatr Dent.* 2016;17(1):75-79.
60. Jalevik B. Enamel hypomineralization in permanent first molars. A clinical, histomorphological and biochemical study. *Swed Dent J Suppl.* 2001(149):1-86.
61. Mariri BP, Levy SM, Warren JJ, Bergus GR, Marshall TA, Broffitt B. Medically administered antibiotics, dietary habits, fluoride intake and dental caries experience in the primary dentition. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 2003;31(1):40-51.

62. Whatling R, Fearne JM. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a study of aetiological factors in a group of UK children. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2008;18(3):155-162.
63. Wuollet E, Laisi S, Salmela E, Ess A, Alaluusua S. Molar-incisor hypomineralization and the association with childhood illnesses and antibiotics in a group of Finnish children. *Acta Odontol Scand*. 2016;74(5):416-422.
64. Souza JF, Costa-Silva CM, Jeremias F, Santos-Pinto L, Zuanon ACC, Cordeiro RCL. Molar incisor hypomineralisation: Possible aetiological factors in children from urban and rural areas. *European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry*. 2012;13(4):164-170.
65. Jalevik B, Noren JG, Klingberg G, Barregard L. Etiologic factors influencing the prevalence of demarcated opacities in permanent first molars in a group of Swedish children. *Eur J Oral Sci*. 2001;109(4):230-234.
66. Nieves S, Farzadeh S, Arya V, El-Chaar G. Doxycycline-associated tooth staining in young children. *US Pharmacist*. 2019;44(5):HS2-HS7.
67. Cross R, Ling C, Day NP, McGready R, Paris DH. Revisiting doxycycline in pregnancy and early childhood--time to rebuild its reputation? *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2016;15(3):367-382.
68. Nagel KMPD. Tetracycline antibiotics. In: Salem Press; 2020.
69. Committee on Infectious Diseases AAoP, Kimberlin DW, Barnett ED, Lynfield R, Sawyer MH. *Red Book: 2021–2024 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases*. American Academy of Pediatrics; 2021.
70. Serna C, Vicente A, Finke C, Ortiz AJ. Drugs related to the etiology of molar incisor hypomineralization: A systematic review. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2016;147(2):120-130.
71. Crombie F, Manton D, Kilpatrick N. Aetiology of molar–incisor hypomineralization: a critical review. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2009;19(2):73-83.
72. Silva MJ, Scurrah KJ, Craig JM, Manton DJ, Kilpatrick N. Etiology of molar incisor hypomineralization - A systematic review. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2016;44(4):342-353.
73. Alaluusua S. Aetiology of Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation: A systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent*. 2010;11(2):53-58.
74. Ghaferi AA, Schwartz TA, Pawlik TM. STROBE Reporting Guidelines for Observational Studies. *JAMA Surgery*. 2021;156(6):577-578.
75. Hernán MA. The C-Word: Scientific Euphemisms Do Not Improve Causal Inference From Observational Data. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2018;108(5):616-619.

## Tables

**Table 1. Study Characteristics and Risk of Bias for Included Studies**

Study Descriptors				Antibiotic			Outcome Measures				Newcastle-Ottawa Bias Analysis		
Journal Article	Level of Evidence	Number of Subjects	Subject Characteristics	Study Design	Type of Antibiotic	Dosage	Length of Medication	Caries	Dental Staining	Developmental Defects of Enamel	Selection	Comparability	Outcomes
Ahmadi, 2012	Cohort Study	433	7–9-year old's	Cross-sectional Study	Amoxicillin	Unknown	Unknown	DM FT <sup>a</sup>		DDE index	****		***
Alaki, 2009	Cohort Study	29485	13-24 months	Cross-sectional Study	Penicillin	Unknown	Unknown	ECC <sup>b</sup> , restoration, SCC, sedative filling, pulp treatment			***		**

Allazzam, 2014	Cohort Study	267	8–12-year old's	Cross-sectional Study	Not specified	Unknown	Unknown	extraction of primary dentition SEC - any smooth surface caries less than 3yo	EAPD Judgement for MIH	***		***
Arrow, 2009	Cohort Study	550	5–6-year old's	Cross-sectional Study	Medication Amoxicillin Other medication	Unknown	Unknown	WHO Criteria	Modified DDE Index	***	*	***

					Other antibiotic								
Brearley, 1973	Cohort Study	100	3–12-year old's	Cross - Sectional Study	Tetracycline	Unknown	Unknown	DM FT	Dental Staining as the following criteria - pale yellow, bright yellow, brown or grey-brown, yellow-brown, grey, grey-yellow or orange		*	*	***
Cascio, 2004	Cohort Study	41	10.1 to 13 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Minocycline	5mg/kg/day	21 days		Developmental Defects of Enamel Index		***	*	***

Conchie, 1970	Cohort Study	238	8 to 11 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Tetracycline	26.7mg/kg/day	11 days	Staining via fluorescence	****		***
Frankel, 1964	Cohort Study	25	4 to 55 days	retrospective Cohort	Doxycycline	2mg/kg on first day and then 1mg/kg every day after	6 to 17 days	Fluorescence	***		***
Forti, 1969	Cohort Study	1724	5 to 10 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Tetracycline	20mg/kg/day	Unknown	Staining as colors and fluorescence	*	*	**
Gluca, 2018	Cohort Study	120	9.8 +/- 1.8 years 10.2 +/- 2 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Antibiotics	Unknown	Unknown	Weerheijm (MIH Index)	***	*	***
Grossman, 1971	Cohort Study	160	6 to 12 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Demethylchlortetracycline Tetracycline	20mg/kg/day	6 days	Staining against tooth shade guide and under UV light	***		***

Hamp, 1967	Cohort Study	40		Cross-sectional Study	Tetracycline	20mg/kg/day	7 days	Caries presence	Color	Hypoplasia	*	*
Handelman, 1966	Cohort Study	393	6 to 19 years	Cross-sectional Study	2 groups: Penicillin and Penicillin + Tetracycline	5mg/kg/day to 29mg/kg/day	2.5 years	Ability to be able to penetrate the surface with an explorer and evidence of deterioration of enamel walls or			**	***

softened cavity floor

Hysi, 2016	Cohort Study	1575	8-10 years	Cross-sectional Study	Not specified	Unknown	Unknown		MIH - Weerheijm	***		***
Jalevik, 2001	Cohort Study	516	8-year old's	Cross-sectional Study	Not specified	Unknown	Unknown		Modified DDE Index	***	*	***
Kinirons, 1992	Cohort Study	164	4 to 18 years	Cross-sectional Study	Not specified	Unknown	Unknown	DM FT		*	*	**

Lahdesmaki, 2016	cohort Study	39	13.5 years average	Retropective Cohort	Doxycycline	6.25mg/kg/day	12 days		Not specified		*	***	
Laisi, 2009	Cohort Study	141	10.7 years average with SD 1.3 and range from 7.8-12.7 years	Cross-Sectional Study	Penicillin V Amoxicillin	Unknown	Unknown			Developmental Defects of Enamel Index	***	***	
Littleton, 1964	Cohort Study	435	6 to 13 years	Cross-Sectional Study	Penicillin	200 000 units/day	Unknown	DM F Index			****	***	
Lochary, 1998	Cohort Study		Mean age of 13.7 years with 11 to 19 years	Retropective Cohort	Doxycycline	Range from 25mg/kg to 100mg/kg <sup>e</sup>	Range from 1 to 10 days		Ordinal number scale Researchers own method		****	***	
Mariri, 2003	Cohort Study	39	4-7 years	Case-Control	Antibiotics	Unknown	Unknown	Pitts et al criteria			***	*	***
Martin, 1969	Cohort Study	4690	4 to 17 years	Retropective	Tetracycline	Unknown	Unknown		Researchers own method	Barnard Index 1967	***	***	

Poyhonen, 2017	Cohort Study	38	4.7 average 0.6 to 7.9 years	Cohort Retrospective Cohort	Doxycycline	6.25 mg/kg/day	12.5 days		Diffuse discolored bands of tooth crown	Enamel Hypoplasia	**		***
Primosh, 1980	Cohort Study	86	3 to 24 years	retrospective Cohort	Tetracycline	Unknown	Unknown	Clinical and radiographic criteria from Davies and Cadeil	Discoloration	Weinmann And Associates Criteria	**	*	**
Souza, 2012	Cohort Study	903	6-12 years old	Cross Sectional Study	Antibiotics	Unknown	Unknown			EAPD MIH Judgement	****		*

Swallow, 1967	Cohort Study	63	1-15 years	Retropective Cohort	Tetracycline, Oxytetracycline, Chlortetracycline	20mg/kg/day	Unknown	Authors own severity index - compared to 30 artificial teeth	**	***	
Tariq, 2014	Cohort Study	367	7-14 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Penicillin, Cephalosporin	Unknown	Unknown	DDE Index	***	***	
Todd, 2015	Cohort Study	58	8 to 16 years	Cross - Sectional Study	Doxycycline	2.3mg/kg/day	7.3 days	Own methods Assessed against a spectrophotometer	***	*	***
Volovitz, 2007	Cohort Study	61	10.4 +/- 2.1 years	Cross Sectional Study	Doxycycline	4mg/kg/day	11 days	Measured against a shade guide	**	***	
Wallman, 1962	Cohort Study	67	Premature babies	Cross Sectional Study	Oxytetracycline Tetracycline	38/mg/kg/day	5 days	Not mentioned	***	**	

Weyman, 1966	Cohort Study	41		Case-Control	Tetracycline	Unknown	Unknown	DMF Index		**	***	
Whatling, 2008	Cohort Study	109	8.7 years (6-13 years)	Cross-Sectional Study	Mixed, Penicillin, Amoxicillin, Erythromycin, Trimethoprim	10mg/kg/day	Unknown		Not specified	****	***	
Wuollet, 2016	Cohort Study	287	7-12 years	Retropective Cohort	Penicillin Amoxicillin Cephalosporin Sulphonamide-Trimethoprim Macrolide	Unknown	Unknown		EAPD MIH Judgement	****	**	**
Zegarelli, 1966	Cohort Study	28	6 to 8 years	Retropective Cohort	Oxytetracycline	10mg/kg/day	5 days		Mean intensity, visual examination	***	*	

Footnotes for Table 1:

- a. DMFT = Mean number of Decayed, Missing or Filled Teeth
- b. ECC = Early Childhood Caries
- c. The antibiotic dose for each patient was not given and no length of administration so unable to convert dosage to mg/kg/day

**Table 2. Exposure to Tetracycline antibiotics in early childhood and reported effects on later dental health**

Article	Antibiotic	Outcome Measure	Dosage (mean)	Duration (mean)	Results OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	RoB
Brearley, 1973	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	Unknown	Unknown	19/100 with staining	Serious
Conchie, 1970	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	26.7mg/kg/day	11 days	11.62 (5.96, 24.32)	Moderate
Frankel, 1964	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	20mg/kg/day		0.49 (0.02, 0.27)	Critical
Grossman, 1971	Tetracycline, Demethylchlortetracycline	Tooth Staining	20mg/kg/day	6 days	Mean of affected group: 2.57 (-1 to 8) Mean of unaffected group: 0.86 (-1 to 6.5)	Moderate
					*Mean refers to average colour of teeth	
Hamp, 1967	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	20mg/kg/day	7 days	20.25 (1.55, 983.05)	Critical
Lahdesmaki, 2016	Doxycycline	Tooth Staining	6.25mg/kg/day	12 days	0.03 (0.01, 0.76)	Serious
Lochary, 1998	Doxycycline	Tooth Staining	Range from 25mg/kg to 100mg/kg	Range from 1 to 10 days	p=0.38	Moderate
Martin, 1969	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining			145.18 (58.79, 358.54)	Serious
Poyhonen,	Doxycycline	Tooth	6.25 mg/kg/day	12.5days	0.03 (0.01, 0.78)	Moderate

2017		Staining					
Swallow, 1967	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	20mg/kg/day			5.77 (0.73, 260.60)	Serious
Todd, 2007	Doxycycline	Tooth Staining	2.3mg/kg/day	7.3 days		No patients with staining	Low
Volovitz, 2007	Doxycycline	Tooth Staining	4mg/kg/day	11 days		0.99 (0.01, 78.46)	Moderate
Wallman, 1962	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	38/mg/kg/day	5 days		231.00 (19.34, 2759.99)	Critical
Zegarelli, 1966	Oxytetracycline	Tooth Staining	10mg/kg/day	5 days		2.11 (0.02, 174.27)	Serious
Forti, 1969	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	1st dose: 2mg/kg/day 2nd day: 1mg/kg/day	6 to 17 days		No patients with staining	Critical
Primosch, 1980	Tetracycline	Tooth Staining	Unknown	Unknown		21/86 discolouration	Moderate
Cascio, 2004	Minocycline	DDE	5mg/kg/day	21 days		0.90 (0.38, 2.11)	Serious
Grossman, 1971	Tetracycline, Demethylchlortetracycline	Hypoplasia	20mg/kg/day	N/A		No patients with hypoplasia	Moderate
Hamp, 1967	Tetracycline	Hypoplasia	20mg/kg/day	N/A		5.83 (0.42, 314.48)	Critical
Todd, 2007	Doxycycline	Hypoplasia	2.3mg/kg/day	N/A		0.92 (0.2, 4.2)	Low
Primosch, 1980	Tetracycline	Enamel Defects	Unknown	Unknown		21/86 enamel defects	Moderate
Brearley, 1973	Tetracycline	DMFT	Unknown	Unknown		p<0.01 <sup>b</sup>	Serious
Swallow, 1967	Tetracycline	DMFT	20mg/kg/day	Unknown		1.42 (0.34, 7.06)	Serious
Weyman, 1966	Tetracycline	DMFT	38/mg/kg/day	Unknown		29/41 had caries	Critical
Primosch, 1980	Tetracycline	DMFT	Unknown	Unknown		Mean carious surfaces of exposed group	Moderate

7.5 ± 1.0  
 Mean of  
 unexposed group  
 13.5 ± 1.3

Footnotes:

- a. Where possible odds ratios of the likelihood of having the outcome measure were calculated and presented with 95% confidence interval. In some cases the mean value of the exposed group compared to the control groups is given with respect to that outcome measure. If no comparison group was present, the number of the exposed group with the outcome is given as a nominal value over the entire exposed population
- b. Only a p value was reported

**Table 3. Exposure to Amoxicillin in early childhood and reported effects on dental health**

Article	Antibiotic	Outcome Measures	Dosage (mean)	Duration (mean)	Results (OR, 95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	RoB
Ahmadi, 2012	Amoxicillin	DMFT	Unknown	Unknown	Mean of affected group: 1.46 (SD: 0.99) Mean of unaffected group: 0.76 (SD: 1.33)	Moderate
Alaki, 2009	Penicillin	ECC	Unknown	Unknown	Ab at 13-18 months:; p<0.0001 <sup>b</sup> Ab at 19-24 months: p=0.51 <sup>b</sup>	Moderate
Handelman, 1966	Penicillin	DMFT	Range from 5mg/kg/day to 29mg/kg/day	2.5 years	p=0.004 <sup>b</sup>	Moderate

Littleton, 1964	Penicillin	DMFT	200 000 units of penicillin daily	Unknown	Mean of affected group: 3.55 (+/- 0.58) Mean of unaffected group: 4.84 (+/- 0.32)	Moderate
Ahmadi, 2012	Amoxicillin	DDE	Unknown	Unknown	7.87 (2.43, 25.12)	Moderate
Giuca, 2018	Penicillin	DDE	Unknown	Unknown	0.07 (0.02, 0.27)	Moderate
Laisi, 2009	Penicillin	DDE	Unknown	Unknown	4.14 (1.05, 16.4)	Moderate
Tariq, 2014	Penicillin	DDE	Unknown	Unknown	0.44 (0.25, 0.77)	Low

Footnotes:

- a. Where possible odds ratios of the likelihood of having the outcome measure were calculated and presented with 95% confidence interval. In some cases the mean value of the exposed group compared to the control groups is given with respect to that outcome measure. If no comparison group was present, the number of the exposed group with the outcome is given as a nominal value over the entire exposed population
- b. Only a p value was reported

**Table 4. Results for Unspecified Class of Antibiotics**

Article	Dosage	Days	Outcome Measures	Results <sup>a</sup>	RoB
Allazzam, 2014	Unknown	Unknown	EAPD for Judgement MIH	4.822 (1.196, 16.588)	Low
Arrow, 2009	Unknown	Unknown	DDE	0.761 (0.462, 1.271)	Low
Hysi, 2016	Unknown	Unknown	MIH	1.41 (1.06, 1.87)	Low
Jalevik, 2001	Unknown	Unknown	Modified DDE	0.835 (0.640, 1.867)	Low
Whatling, 2008	10mg/kg/day	N/A	DDE	0.61 (0.17-2.22)	Low
Wuollet, 2016	Unknown	Unknown	MIH	1.72 (0.83 to 3.58)	Low
Souza, 2012	Unknown	Unknown	MIH	0.93 (0.63, 1.37)	Moderate

Kinirons, 1992	Unknown	Unknown	DMFT	Mean difference: 1.3 (+/- 1.74) Study group: 3.5 (+/- 2.46) Intervention Group: 4.8 (+/- 3.39)	Serious
Mariri, 2003	Unknown	Unknown	Caries	p=0.057 <sup>b</sup>	Low

Footnotes:

- a. Where possible odds ratios of the likelihood of having the outcome measure were calculated and presented with 95% confidence interval. In some cases the mean value of the exposed group compared to the control groups is given with respect to that outcome measure. If no comparison group was present, the number of the exposed group with the outcome is given as a nominal value over the entire exposed population
- b. Only a p value was reported