University Library

MINERVA ACCESS

A gateway to Melbourne's research publications

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:
Pirkis, J;Spittal, MJ;Keogh, L;Mousaferiadis, T;Currier, D

Title:
Erratum to: Masculinity and suicidal thinking

Date:
2017-11-01

Citation:
Pirkis, J., Spittal, M. J., Keogh, L., Mousaferiadis, T. & Currier, D. (2017). Erratum to: Masculinity and suicidal thinking. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 52 (11), pp.1447-1449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-017-1443-4.

Persistent Link:
https://hdl.handle.net/11343/282916

ERRATUM

Erratum to : Masculinity and suicidal thinking

DOI: 10.1007/s00127-016-1324-2

In the original publication there was an error in the calculation of scores for a number of the CMNI subscales and consequently the overall scale score. Recalculating the scores did not alter the substantive finding, and largely resulted in only small adjustments to estimates. Table 1 and 2 are revised to show the corrected values, and revisions to the text reflecting these changes are noted.

Table 1: Factor scores on the Conformity to Masculine Norms Inventory (CMNI, 22)

Factor[a]	**Mean**	**SD**
Work	2.6	1.2
Dominance	2.4	1.1
Risk-taking	2.7	1.2
Heterosexual presentation	2.8	1.6
Power over women	1.2	1.0
Emotional control	3.1	1.4
Playboy	1.6	1.4
Violence	2.3	1.5
Pursuit of status	3.2	1.1
Winning	2.4	1.1
Self-reliance	2.6	1.2
Total score	27.0	6.5

(a) Each factor scored from 0 (lowest conformity) to 6 (highest conformity).

Table 2: Logistic regression model for suicidal ideation

	Unadjusted OR	95% CI	p, value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p, value
Conformity to masculine norms[a]						
Work	1.01	(0.96,1.06)	0.669	1.02	(0.96, 1.09)	0.448
Dominance	1.01	(0.96, 1.07)	0.635	1.00	(0.93, 1.08)	0.965
Risk-taking	1.02	(0.97,1.07)	0.366	0.99	(0.93, 1.05)	0.766
Heterosexual presentation	0.98	(0.94, 1.01)	0.226	0.94	(0.89, 0.99)	0.011
Power over women	1.10	(1.04,1.16)	0.001	1.05	(0.97, 1.14)	0.236
Emotional control	1.11	(1.07,1.16)	< 0.001	0.98	(0.93, 1.04)	0.496
Playboy	1.18	(1.13, 1.23)	< 0.001	1.02	(0.96, 1.07)	0.554
Violence	1.10	(1.06,1.15)	<0.001	1.04	(0.98, 1.09)	0.164
Pursuit of status	0.81	(0.77, 0.85)	< 0.001	0.91	(0.85, 0.99)	0.019
Winning	1.01	(0.96,1.06)	0.756	1.00	(0.93, 1.08)	0.920
Self-reliance	1.63	(1.56, 1.71)	< 0.001	1.33	(1.25, 1.42)	< 0.001
Age						
18, 34	1.14	(1.02, 1.29)	0.027	1.09	(0.92, 1.28)	0.325

	Unadjusted OR	95% CI	p, value	Adjusted OR	95% CI	p, value
35, 55	1.00			1.00		
Region						
Major cities	1.00			1.00		
Inner regional areas	1.20	(1.04, 1.37)	0.010	0.93	(0.78, 1.12)	0.444
Outer regional areas	0.99	(0.85, 1.15)	0.883	0.85	(0.70, 1.03)	0.102
Socioeconomic status						
1 (Greatest disadvantage)	1.00			1.00		
2	0.84	(0.71, 0.99)	0.037	1.05	(0.84, 1.32)	0.643
3	0.66	(0.56, 0.78)	< 0.001	0.96	(0.77, 1.20)	0.734
4	0.63	(0.53, 0.75)	< 0.001	1.03	(0.82, 1.29)	0.810
5 (Least disadvantage)	0.45	(0.37, 0.54)	< 0.001	0.75	(0.58, 0.96)	0.022
Employment status						
Employed or out of workforce	1.00			1.00		
Unemployed	2.67	(2.28, 3.13)	< 0.001	1.15	(0.92, 1.44)	0.209
Marital status						
Never married / widowed / divorced / separated	2.38	(2.12, 2.67)	< 0.001	1.40	(1.19, 1.65)	< 0.001
Married / de facto	1.00			1.00		
Social support[b]	0.97	(0.97, 0.98)	< 0.001	0.98	(0.98, 0.98)	< 0.001
Stressful life events[c]						
Any life event in past 12 months	3.42	(3.02, 3.87)	< 0.001	1.89	(1.62, 2.21)	< 0.001
No life events in past 12 months	1.00			1.00		
Alcohol use						
Not harmful/hazardous	1.00			1.00		
Harmful/hazardous	1.63	(1.44, 1.85)	< 0.001	1.42	(1.23, 1.65)	< 0.001
Depression						
Not treated in past 12 months	1.00			1.00		
Treated in past 12 months	6.92	(6.10, 7.85)	< 0.001	4.80	(4.10, 5.61)	< 0.001
GP use						
No visit to a GP in past 12 months	1.00			1.00		
Visit to a GP in past 12 months	1.23	(1.05, 1.44)	0.010	1.22	(0.99, 1.52)	0.067

(a) Per 1 unit increase on the CMNI, 22; (b) Per 1 unit increase on the MOS, SS; (c) Refers to the following life events: serious personal injury, illness or surgery; break, up of a serious relationship/divorce/separation; serious conflict with a

family member; difficulty finding a job; legal troubles or involvement in a court case; and major loss or damage to personal property.

Consequently the following updates to the text also apply:

Page 319 Abstract, results section: *(AOR = 1.33; 95%CI = 1.25-1.42).*

Page 322, para 7: "average" in terms of conformity to masculine norms (mean = 27.0; SD=6.5];

Page 322, para 7 *They showed below average conformity on some factors (e.g., Playboy [mean = 1.6; SD = 1.4]) but above average on others (e.g. pursuit of status [mean = 3.2; SD = 1.1]).*

Page 322, para 8: *Table 2 shows the results of the logistic regression analysis. In the univariate analysis, the masculinity factors of playboy, power over women, violence and emotional control and self-reliance conferred risk for suicidal thinking, and risk-taking and pursuit of status were protective against it. After controlling for each of the other factors on the CMNI-22 and for the other covariates, three masculinity factors remained significant, namely self-reliance (AOR = 1.33; 95%CI = 1.25-1.42), heterosexual presentation [AOR = 0.94; 95%CI 0.89-0.99] and status seeking [AOR = 0.91; 95%CI 0.85-0.99].*

Page 322 para 9: *These were not being married or in a de facto relationship (AOR = 1.40; 95%CI = 1.19-1.65)* Page 324 para 1:*2 months (AOR = 1.89; 95%CI = 1.62-2.21), using alcohol at harmful/hazardous levels (AOR = 1.42; 95%CI = 1.23-1.65), and having experienced symptoms of depression in the previous 12 months (AOR = 4.734.80; 95% CI = 4.044.10-5.61). Residing in an area of the least socio-economic disadvantage was protective (AOR = 0.75; 95%CI = 0.58-0.96), as was having relatively high levels of social support (AOR = 0.98; 95%CI = 0.98-0.98).*

Page 324, para 2: *This had no bearing on the findings; self-reliance remained the only masculinity factor that was associated with increased suicidal thinking (AOR = 1.33; 95%CI = 1.25-1.42).*

Page 324 para 4: *Using these dichotomised factor scores, self-reliance remained the only factor that was significantly associated with increased suicidal thinking (AOR = 1.75; 95%CI = 1.50-2.04). When we treated T-scores above 75 as reflecting extreme conformity, the same finding was true; self-reliance alone stood out (AOR = 1.75; 95%CI = 1.50-2.04).*