



Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Li Wai Suen, CFD;Choy, MC;De Cruz, P

Title:

Letter: infliximab induction regimens in steroid-refractory acute severe colitis—a propensity score analysis

Date:

2020-03-01

Citation:

Li Wai Suen, C. F. D., Choy, M. C. & De Cruz, P. (2020). Letter: infliximab induction regimens in steroid-refractory acute severe colitis—a propensity score analysis. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 51 (6), pp.665-666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15630>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/275460>

DR CHRISTOPHER FOONG DHIN LI WAI SUEN (Orcid ID : 0000-0003-4095-1153)

Article type : Letter to the Editors

Letter: infliximab induction regimens in steroid-refractory acute severe colitis - a propensity score analysis

C F D Li Wai Suen^{1,2}, M C Choy^{1,2} & P De Cruz^{1,2}

¹Department of Gastroenterology, Austin Health, Melbourne, Australia

²Department of Medicine, Austin Academic Centre, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

Correspondence:

A/Prof Peter De Cruz

Department of Gastroenterology, Austin Health

145 Studley Road

Heidelberg VIC 3084 Australia

Tel: +61 3 9496 5353

Fax: +61 3 9496 3487

Email: ppdecruz@gmail.com

Dear Editors,

We read with great interest the article by Sebastian *et al.*¹ comparing colectomy rates in patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis (ASUC) receiving standard and accelerated infliximab dosing regimens. While an accelerated infliximab dosing strategy is often employed, there is limited evidence to support such practice with no controlled data currently

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as [doi: 10.1111/APT.15630](https://doi.org/10.1111/APT.15630)

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

available. In particular no significant difference in early or late colectomy rates has been found between accelerated and standard regimens in two recent systematic reviews.^{2,3}

Clinicians' perception of disease severity often drives the selection of standard versus accelerated therapy. Sebastian *et al.* are to be commended for their use of propensity scoring analysis in their study as a means of addressing provider bias.¹ Whilst the unmatched comparison found no difference in colectomy rates between the two groups, after propensity matching the index admission and Day 30 colectomy rates were lower in the accelerated group; suggesting benefit from this strategy in the short-term. However, long-term colectomy rates were not significantly different between accelerated and standard regimens, similar to the findings of a retrospective study by Gibson *et al.*⁴ The lack of long-term benefit from an accelerated strategy raises the possibility that either the maintenance regimen employed was insufficient or that regardless of the induction regimen employed an accelerated regimen may be postponing the inevitable.⁵ Nevertheless an argument in favour of accelerated induction is that it may convert an emergent colectomy into an elective colectomy thereby potentially reducing the associated morbidity and mortality.

It is important to acknowledge the heterogeneity in the definitions of the dosing regimens described in this study. The accelerated dosing arm included patients who received two doses of 5mg/kg infliximab with a maximum 7-day gap between the two doses as well as patients who received an initial 10mg/kg dose prior to a second dose within 2 weeks.¹ While these regimens reflect real-life practice, the strategies are pharmacokinetically distinct and makes analysis challenging. Accelerated infliximab clearance is associated with treatment failure⁶ and thus it is important to understand whether the initial dosing strategy can influence the pharmacokinetic behaviour and indeed, the clinical outcome.

Although the propensity matching strategy used by Sebastian *et al.*¹ takes into account *known* confounders to reduce the effect of provider bias, the retrospective design of the study does not allow controlling for *unknown* confounders. This reinforces the need for a controlled trial of infliximab dosing regimens in ASUC which is currently underway via the PREDICT UC study which we hope will minimise the risk of imbalance of known and unknown confounders between groups. (Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02770040)

Future studies in ASUC should aim to identify clinical, endoscopic, biochemical and immunological predictors of response. Insights into patient preferences, patient-reported outcomes and quality of life are equally important. Only after all these factors are considered can we hope to find the optimal strategy which will pave the way towards precision medicine in ASUC.

Acknowledgements

Declaration of personal interests: CFDLWS has received educational support from Shire and Ferring. MCC has served as a speaker for DiaSorin, has received research funding from Janssen, and has received educational support from Ferring, Shire, Janssen, AbbVie, Takeda and Orphan. PDC has served as a speaker, a consultant and an advisory board member for Ferring, Shire, Janssen, AbbVie, Takeda and Baxter.

Declaration of funding interests: CFDLWS is supported by a NHMRC Postgraduate scholarship and has received a research grant from the Robert C Bulley Charitable Foundation. MCC is supported by a Gandel Philanthropy Major Grant and a Gastroenterological Society of Australia Olympus St Mark's Hospital Fellowship. PDC is supported by a NHMRC Early Career Fellowship, David Bickart Clinician Research Award from the University of Melbourne and a Bushell Postdoctoral Award from the Gastroenterological Society of Australia and has received research funding from Janssen, Shire, AbbVie and Ferring.

References:

1. Sebastian S, Myers S, Argiriou K, et al. Infliximab induction regimens in steroid-refractory acute severe colitis: a multicentre retrospective cohort study with propensity score analysis. *Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics*. 2019;50(6):675-683.
2. Choy MC, Seah D, Faleck DM, et al. Systematic Review and Meta-analysis: Optimal Salvage Therapy in Acute Severe Ulcerative Colitis. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases*. 2019;25(7):1169-1186.

3. Sebastian S, Myers S, Nadir S, Subramanian S. Systematic Review: Efficacy and Safety of Accelerated Induction Regimes in Infliximab Rescue Therapy for Hospitalized Patients with Acute Severe Colitis. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences*. 2019;64(5):1119-1128.
4. Gibson DJ, Heetun ZS, Redmond CE, et al. An accelerated infliximab induction regimen reduces the need for early colectomy in patients with acute severe ulcerative colitis. *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association*. 2015;13(2):330.
5. Seah D, Choy MC, Gorelik A, et al. Examining maintenance care following infliximab salvage therapy for acute severe ulcerative colitis. *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology*. 2018;33(1):226-231.
6. Kevans D, Murthy S, Mould DR, Silverberg MS. Accelerated Clearance of Infliximab is Associated With Treatment Failure in Patients With Corticosteroid-Refractory Acute Ulcerative Colitis. *Journal of Crohn's and Colitis*. 2018;12(6):662-669.