

Minerva Access is the Institutional Repository of The University of Melbourne

Author/s:

Silva, AI;Sønderby, IE;Kirov, G;Abdellaoui, A;Agartz, I;Ames, D;Armstrong, NJ;Artiges, E;Banaschewski, T;Bassett, AS;Bearden, CE;Blangero, J;Boen, R;Boomsma, DI;Bülow, R;Butcher, NJ;Calhoun, V;Campbell, LE;Chow, EWC;Ciufolini, S;Craig, MC;Crespo-Farroco, B;Cunningham, AC;Dalvie, S;Daly, E;Dazzan, P;de Geus, EJC;de Zubicaray, GI;Doherty, JL;Donohoe, G;Drakesmith, M;Espeseth, T;Frouin, V;Garavan, H;Glahn, DC;Goodrich-Hunsaker, NJ;Gowland, PA;Grabe, HJ;Grigis, A;Gudbrandsen, M;Gutman, BA;Haavik, J;Håberg, AK;Hall, J;Heinz, A;Hohmann, S;Hottenga, J-J;Jacquemont, S;Jahanshad, N;Jonas, RK;Jones, DK;Jönsson, EG;Koops, S;Kumar, K;Le Hellard, S;Lemaitre, H;Liu, J;Lundervold, AJ;Martinot, J-L;Mather, KA;McDonald-McGinn, DM;McMahon, KL;McRae, AF;Medland, SE;Moreau, CA;Murphy, KC;Murphy, D;Murray, RM;Nees, F;Owen, MJ;Paillère Martinot, M-L;Orfanos, DP;Paus, T;Poustka, L;Marques, TR;Roalf, DR;Sachdev, PS;Scheffler, F;Schmitt, JE;Schumann, G;Steen, VM;Stein, DJ;Strike, LT;Teumer, A;Thalamuthu, A;Thomopoulos, SI;Tordesillas-Gutiérrez, D;Trollor, JN;Uhlmann, A;Vajdi, A;van 't Ent, D;van Amelsvoort, T;van den Bree, MBM;van der Meer, D;Vázquez-Bourgon, J;Villalón-Reina, JE;Völker, U;Völzke, H;Vorstman, JAS;Westlye, LT;Williams, N;Wittfeld, K;Wright, MJ;Thompson, PM;Andreassen, OA;Linden, DEJ;ENIGMA-CNV Working Group,

Title:

Penetrance of Neurodevelopmental Copy Number Variants Is Associated With Variations in Cortical Morphology.

Date:

2025-10

Citation:

Silva, A. I., Sønderby, I. E., Kirov, G., Abdellaoui, A., Agartz, I., Ames, D., Armstrong, N. J., Artiges, E., Banaschewski, T., Bassett, A. S., Bearden, C. E., Blangero, J., Boen, R., Boomsma, D. I., Bülow, R., Butcher, N. J., Calhoun, V., Campbell, L. E., Chow, E. W. C., ... ENIGMA-CNV Working Group, (2025). Penetrance of Neurodevelopmental Copy Number Variants Is Associated With Variations in Cortical Morphology.. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*, 10 (10), pp.1093-1106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2025.05.010>.

Persistent Link:

<https://hdl.handle.net/11343/369016>

License:
CC BY



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2026 April 24.

Published in final edited form as:

Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging. 2025 October ; 10(10): 1093–1106. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc.2025.05.010.

Penetrance of Neurodevelopmental Copy Number Variants Is Associated With Variations in Cortical Morphology

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the article.

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Copy number variants (CNVs) may increase the risk for neurodevelopmental conditions. The neurobiological mechanisms that link these high-risk genetic variants to clinical phenotypes are largely unknown. An important question is whether brain abnormalities in individuals who carry CNVs are associated with their degree of penetrance.

METHODS: We investigated whether increased CNV penetrance for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders was associated with variations in cortical and subcortical morphology. We pooled T1-weighted brain magnetic resonance imaging and genetic data from 22 cohorts from the ENIGMA (Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics through Meta Analysis)-CNV consortium. In the main analyses, we included 9268 individuals (aged 7–90 years, 54% female), from which we identified 398 carriers of 36 neurodevelopmental CNVs at 20 distinct loci. A secondary analysis was performed including additional neuroimaging data from the ENIGMA-22q consortium, including 274 carriers of the 22q11.2 deletion and 291 noncarriers. CNV penetrance was estimated through penetrance scores that were previously generated from large cohorts of patients and controls. These scores represent the probability risk of developing either schizophrenia or other developmental disorders (including developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, and congenital malformations).

RESULTS: For both schizophrenia and developmental disorders, increased penetrance scores were associated with lower surface area in the cerebral cortex and lower intracranial volume. For both conditions, associations between CNV-penetrance scores and cortical surface area were strongest in regions of the occipital lobes, specifically in the cuneus and lingual gyrus.

CONCLUSIONS: Our findings link global and regional cortical morphometric features with CNV penetrance, providing new insights into neurobiological mechanisms of genetic risk for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders.

Copy number variants (CNVs) are structural variations in the genome that involve deletions or duplications of over 1000 base pairs of DNA. Several rare recurrent CNVs have been proposed as pathogenic, leading to genomic disorders and increased risk for neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs) (1,2) such as schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder (ASD), and developmental delay (DD) (3). Different CNVs can lead to similar clinical conditions, although with variable penetrance. For example, 22q11.2 deletions are

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Address correspondence to Ana I. Silva, Ph.D., at silvaai@umn.edu, or David E.J. Linden, M.D., Ph.D., at david.linden@maastrichtuniversity.nl.

among the strongest genetic risk factors for schizophrenia (odds ratio > 28), whereas 15q11.2 breakpoint (BP)1-BP2 deletions impart low-level risk (odds ratio = 1.3–2.2) (2,4). Genetic studies suggest that distinct CNVs are likely to converge in the path from genome to clinical phenotypes (2,5–8), leading to a degree of similar cognitive and anatomical brain effects across CNVs. Relatively large studies, which have compared wide-ranging phenotypic manifestations across a number of different CNVs, have supported this hypothesis by showing similar effects across traits (9,10).

Several magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies have informed on how CNVs at 22q11.2, 1q21.1 distal, 7q11.23, 16p11.2 (BP2-BP3 and BP4-BP5), and 15q11.2 BP1-BP2 loci affect brain macro- and microstructure (8,11–18). The majority of these CNVs were shown to impact global brain morphology, with variable regional effects. ENIGMA (Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics through Meta Analysis)-CNV and ENIGMA-22q consortia have published studies on cortical and subcortical alterations in 22q11.2 (16,17), 16p11.2 BP2-BP3 (13), 1q21.1 distal (12), and 15q11.2 (14) CNV carriers compared with noncarrier controls. Modenato *et al.* summarized cortical and subcortical findings from 76 studies on 20 pathogenic CNVs in a systematic review (11). Carriers of 15q11.2 BP1-BP2, 1q21.1 distal, 22q11.2, and 7q11.23 deletions and 16p11.2 BP4-BP5 duplications show similar effects on global measures (lower surface area and lower total brain volume), whereas 16p11.2 BP4-BP5 deletion carriers show opposite effects (higher surface area and higher total brain volume). Effects on global cortical thickness were more variable, with 15q11.2 BP1-BP2, 22q11.2, and 7q11.23 deletions showing higher and 16p11.2 BP4-BP5 duplications showing lower cortical thickness. Emerging studies have looked at both convergent and CNV-specific effects (19–22). A study that combined neuroimaging data from 8 neuropsychiatric CNVs highlighted similar effects on regional volumes across CNV carriers when compared with noncarrier controls—particularly in the cingulate gyrus, insula, supplementary motor cortex, and cerebellum—but the largest proportion of effects was distinct across CNVs (20). However, it is unclear whether specific brain features in individuals who carry CNVs are associated with increased disease risk.

A previous multimodal neuroimaging study investigated how penetrance of each CNV for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders was correlated with brain features in 21 adult participants carrying CNVs with variable penetrance (23). Penetrance scores were used as a measure of CNV penetrance, reflecting the probability of developing either schizophrenia or other developmental disorders (including DD, ASD, and congenital malformations [CMs]) given the presence of a certain CNV. These scores were previously calculated for each CNV by Kirov *et al.* (24) using large patient cohorts from previous studies. Higher CNV penetrance for either schizophrenia or developmental disorders was associated with changes in the curvature of the cingulum and with volumetric interrelationships between segments of the corpus callosum (23). No associations were found between gray matter features and penetrance scores, possibly because the small sample size affected the statistical power.

In this study, we used a much larger neuroimaging dataset ($N=9268$) from the ENIGMA-CNV consortium, including 398 carriers of 36 CNVs with potential risk for NDDs. We utilized previously estimated CNV-penetrance scores for schizophrenia and developmental

disorders (including DD/ASD/CMs) from Kirov *et al.* (24) and updated these scores with control frequency from UK Biobank data (25). We analyzed associations between CNV penetrance and subcortical volumes, intracranial volume (ICV), as well as global and regional surface area and thickness measures of the cerebral cortex. We aimed to identify brain features that are related to neurodevelopmental disease risk across multiple CNVs. This is a key question both mechanistically and clinically because brain mechanisms that are most related to pathogenicity may represent relevant treatment targets.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Sample Description

The main sample comprised MRI and genotyping data from 22 cohorts from the ENIGMA-CNV consortium (12) (see Table S1 for cohort details). We considered 93 CNV regions (3) (Table S2) as having potential risk for NDDs (hereafter designated as NDD-CNVs). This includes reciprocal deletions/duplications of confirmed neurodevelopmental CNVs even if evidence for the pathogenicity of the reciprocal CNV is unclear (25). In the main dataset, comprising 9268 individuals, we identified 398 carriers of 36 NDD-CNVs (at 20 CNV loci). We considered individuals carrying none of the 93 CNVs as the noncarrier group. Demographic information is provided in Table 1. Neuroimaging data were collected from 40 acquisition sites up until September 30, 2019, with different ascertainment methods (family, clinical, population studies, and case-control studies for psychiatric disorders) (Table S1). Information on psychiatric or neurological medical conditions was based on available reports from different cohorts. We conducted an additional analysis including independent MRI data from the ENIGMA-22q consortium, comprising 274 individuals carrying the 22q11.2 (3 Mb) deletion, as well as 291 matched noncarrier controls. Demographic information for cohorts included in ENIGMA-22q is described in Table S6, and details of exclusion criteria, genotyping, and scanner parameters are described in Sun *et al.* (16) and Ching *et al.* (17).

Genotyping, CNV Calling, and CNV Quality Control

Genotypes were obtained using commercially available platforms and conducted at each participating site (Table S1). All cohorts had CNVs called and identified in a unified manner as described previously in Søndersby *et al.* (12). Briefly, CNVs were called using PennCNV (26) and appropriate population frequency files and GC (content) model files (Table S3). Samples were filtered and CNVs identified based on standardized quality control metrics. CNVs overlapping the regions of interest were identified with the R package iPsychCNV, Select-SamplesFromRoi with parameters OverlapMin = 0.4 and OverlapMax = 5. Individuals with a minimum overlap of 0.4 to regions with known pathogenic CNVs were excluded regardless of copy number status.

Image Acquisition and Processing

Structural T1-weighted MRI data were collected and processed locally at each site (12) using standardized neuroimaging protocols from the ENIGMA consortium (<http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/>), using FreeSurfer software (27). Brain measures consisted of volumes for left and right hemispheres of 7 subcortical regions and

surface area and thickness for left and right hemispheres of 34 cortical regions, as well as total cortical surface area, mean cortical thickness, and ICV according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas (28). Scanner parameters and processing details are described in Table S4.

CNV-Penetrance Scores

Penetrance scores represent the probability risk of developing either schizophrenia (PenSZ) or other developmental disorders (PenDDs) for individuals carrying a specific CNV. These scores were previously calculated in Kirov *et al.* (24) and were recently updated using control frequency from UK Biobank data in Kendall *et al.* (25). Penetrance scores are documented in Table 1. Briefly, the authors utilized data from large studies/samples of patients with schizophrenia and developmental disorders (including DD/ASD/CMs) to estimate the frequency of 70 CNVs in these disorder populations. Penetrance scores for either schizophrenia or developmental disorders were calculated on the basis of these frequencies by multiplying the frequency of a specific CNV in the disease population (either schizophrenia or developmental disorders) by the disease frequency in the general population (estimated at 1% for schizophrenia and 4% for DD/ASD/CMs) (24) and dividing by the frequency of the CNV in the general population (24).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.1.2 (29). Prior to analyses, left and right hemispheric measures were averaged, and individual measures were excluded if they deviated more than ± 4 SDs from the mean for each individual scanner site. We used ComBat harmonization to account for scanner effects while preserving differences between noncarriers (controls) and CNV carriers, as well as age and sex (30). Effects of age, age², sex, and ICV were regressed out separately using linear regression on ComBat harmonized data. ICV was not regressed out when analyzing ICV. We used the entire sample for data harmonization, including data from noncarriers, to preserve biological differences across CNVs that were not explained by age, sex, or scanner differences. Covariance-corrected residuals were normalized and used in downstream analysis for each brain measure. Penetrance scores were log-transformed and normalized before analyses. Data from ENIGMA-22q were not included in the main analysis given the high number of 22q11.2 deletion carriers because such a highly penetrant CNV would likely influence the analysis. A separate analysis was performed including this dataset.

General linear models were used to identify associations between normalized log-transformed penetrance scores and normalized brain measures (23). To ensure that effects were associated with CNV penetrance and not simply due to the presence of NDD-CNVs, noncarriers were removed from the analyses. NDD-CNV carriers (as a group) were also compared with noncarriers to verify this assumption using a binary classification and correcting for age, age², sex, and ICV.

We used the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) ($q < .05$) to account for multiple testing, taking 78 brain measures into account (7 subcortical volumes, 34 regional cortical surface area, 34 regional cortical thickness, and 3 global measures, see above). We also provide adjusted p values using Bonferroni correction in the main analysis, which is a

more conservative approach. Regional cortical visualization was done with the R package “fsbrain” (version 0.5.3) (31).

We conducted sensitivity analyses repeating the main analysis after excluding 1) participants who were younger than 18 years, 2) individuals with known neurological or psychiatric diagnoses, 3) first-degree and second-degree relatives, 4) individual CNVs to assess whether individual CNVs were driving significant associations, and 5) CNVs with <3 individuals. We also repeated the analyses without regressing out the effects of ICV.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

The main dataset consisted of 398 carriers of 36 NDD-CNVs (20 deletions and 16 duplications at 20 CNV loci) and 8870 noncarriers (Table 1). In this sample, 601 individuals had a medical diagnosis (6.5%), 57 of whom were NDD-CNV carriers; among these, 481 individuals (447 noncarriers and 34 NDD-CNV carriers) had a neurological disorder, NDD, or psychiatric diagnosis (Table S5). The sample comprised 1920 individuals younger than 18 years (20.7%), 82 of whom were NDD-CNV carriers. There was a negative correlation between age and both PenSZ and PenDD ($t = -3.03$, $p < .001$ and $t = -3.04$, $p < .001$, respectively), indicating that carriers of highly penetrant CNVs were younger on average.

CNV Penetrance Is Associated With Total Surface Area and Intracranial Volume

Among 398 NDD-CNV carriers, increased PenDD was associated with lower cortical surface area (PenDD: $\beta = -0.17$, $t = -3.39$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .01$). PenSZ had a marginal effect on cortical surface area (PenSZ: $\beta = -0.14$, $t = -2.72$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .07$). Both PenDD and PenSZ were associated with lower ICV (PenSZ: $\beta = -0.24$, $t = -5.01$, $p_{\text{FDR}} < .001$; PenDD: $\beta = -0.18$, $t = -3.56$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .01$). There were no significant associations between penetrance scores and mean cortical thickness or subcortical volumes (Figure 1 and Table S7). When we compared all NDD-CNV carriers (as a group) to noncarriers, there were no significant effects on global measures (Table S8A).

Associations Between CNV Penetrance and Surface Area Are Strongest in the Occipital Lobes

The largest effects for regional cortical surface area were found in the occipital lobes (Figures 2 and 3), where higher PenSZ was associated with lower surface area in the lingual gyrus, cuneus, and pericalcarine area ($\beta = -0.2$, $t = -3.95$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .002$; $\beta = -0.2$, $t = -4.09$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .002$; and $\beta = -0.15$, $t = -2.99$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .04$, respectively). Higher PenDD was associated with lower surface area in the lingual gyrus and cuneus ($\beta = -0.19$, $t = -3.78$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .007$ and $\beta = -0.17$, $t = -3.3$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .01$, respectively). Additionally, higher PenSZ was associated with lower surface area in the frontal lobe (medial orbitofrontal and lateral orbitofrontal) and cingulate cortex (caudal anterior cingulate). Higher PenDD was associated with lower surface area in the frontal lobe (pars orbitalis), cingulate cortex (caudal anterior cingulate), parietal lobe (postcentral), and temporal lobe (superior temporal and fusiform gyrus). No significant associations were found between penetrance scores and regional cortical thickness (Figure 2 and Table S7).

When comparing NDD-CNV carriers to noncarrier controls, there were no significant effects on regions associated with CNV penetrance. Significant effects were found in surface area (smaller in NDD-CNV carriers) in the lateral occipital, precentral, and temporal pole and in cortical thickness (smaller in NDD-CNV carriers) in the parahippocampal and frontal pole (Table S8A).

Inclusion of Data From 22q11.2 Deletion Carriers From the ENIGMA-22q Consortium

The inclusion of a large number of 22q11.2 deletion carriers substantially influenced the results in that several new associations became significant (Table S9). New associations were not only found in regional cortical surface area measures but also in subcortical volumes and cortical thickness measures. The association between PenSZ and surface area in the lateral orbitofrontal became nonsignificant following the inclusion of ENIGMA-22q data.

Sensitivity Analyses

The associations between penetrance scores and surface area of the cuneus and lingual gyrus in the occipital lobes were the most robust findings (Tables S10–S15) in that the association between PenSZ and surface area in the cuneus survived all sensitivity tests (it was only reduced to trend level when we excluded carriers younger than 18 years; PenSZ association in the cuneus: $\beta = -0.17$, $t = -3.05$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .06$). When we excluded carriers younger than 18 years, associations between brain features and both penetrance scores were still nominally significant while showing the same trend of effect (Table S10). Some of the associations became nonsignificant after excluding individuals with NDDs and neuropsychiatric conditions (Table S11). However, the associations between both penetrance scores and surface area in the cuneus and lingual gyrus remained significant, as well as the association with ICV. There was no effect on the direction of results from excluding any CNVs (results not shown), but the exclusion of 1q21.1 distal and 22q11.2 deletions had an impact on the size of the association effects (Table S13): the association between penetrance scores and ICV was largely influenced by the presence of 1q21.1 distal deletion carriers, whereas associations with PenSZ and PenDD were no longer significant after removing this CNV; however, the direction of effect was preserved (PenSZ: $\beta = -0.11$, $t = -2.24$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .2$; PenDD: $\beta = -0.07$, $t = -1.29$, $p_{\text{FDR}} = .5$). The omission of 1q21.1 distal or 22q11.2 deletion carriers also affected associations between PenDD and surface area in the cuneus and lingual gyrus; results were no longer significant after omission (the association between PenSZ and surface area in the cuneus remained significant even after the omission of 1q21.1 distal or 22q11.2 carriers) (Figure S1).

Carriers of highly penetrant CNVs were younger than carriers of lower-penetrant CNVs even after removing carriers younger than 18 years (PenSZ ~ age: $t = -3.17$, $p = .002$; PenDD ~ age: $t = -2.69$, $p = .008$). To assess whether associations between penetrance scores and brain measures could be caused by age differences, we looked at age effects on brain measures in noncarriers. Generally, each brain measure decreased significantly with age (Table S16), meaning that older participants had lower cortical surface area and lower cortical thickness than younger participants on average.

Because cortical surface area and ICV are known to be correlated (32), we repeated the analyses without adjusting for ICV. This led to more brain features showing significant associations with both PenSZ and PenDD, in particular wide-spread associations in surface area (Table S15A). Given the influence of 1q21.1 distal deletion on ICV associations with CNV penetrance, we repeated the analysis without correcting for ICV and excluding individuals carrying the 1q21.1 distal deletion. Results were similar to the ones that were seen in the main analysis, with a few more regions (lateral occipital, postcentral, precuneus, superior parietal, and total surface area) showing associations between PenSZ and surface area (Table S15B).

DISCUSSION

We assessed whether brain morphology was associated with risk for NDDs, as measured by penetrance scores for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders (including DD, ASD, and CMs), in individuals carrying NDD-CNVs. To our knowledge, this study analyzed the broadest cross-CNV neuroimaging sample to date, including 398 carriers of 36 NDD-CNVs. Higher PenSZ and higher PenDD were each associated with both smaller cortical surface area and smaller ICV, whereas no associations were found for cortical thickness measures. Associations between both penetrance scores and surface area were strongest in the occipital lobes, specifically in the cuneus and lingual gyrus. When we compared NDD-CNV carriers (as a group) to noncarriers, no significant effects were found in brain measures/regions showing associations with CNV penetrance, suggesting that these findings are related to CNV penetrance and not simply due to the presence of an NDD-CNV.

Our findings suggest that higher risk for both schizophrenia and developmental disorders is associated with smaller cortical surface area and smaller ICV in NDD-CNV carriers. The association with ICV was influenced by the 1q21.1 distal deletion, which is a CNV known to cause decreases in head circumference (33) and ICV (12). Our findings are consistent with previous ENIGMA-CNV and ENIGMA-22q studies that used the same sample; carriers of the 15q11.2 BP1-BP2, 1q21.1 distal, and 22q11.2 deletions showed lower total cortical surface area, and 1q21.1 distal deletions showed lower ICV than noncarrier controls (12,14,16). Our findings are also consistent with a UK Biobank study in which Caseras *et al.* (19) showed that carriers of 6 schizophrenia-associated CNVs (as a group) had smaller total cortical surface area and increased mean cortical thickness compared with noncarriers. When we compared the carriers of all 36 NDD-CNV to noncarriers, we did not find significant differences in global measures. However, our sample included predominantly lower-penetrant CNVs (Table 1), and some of these CNVs may lead to opposite effects in the brain. We repeated the analysis including only CNVs that were analyzed in the Caseras *et al.* study and found reduced surface area in a few regions and increased regional cortical thickness as well as decreased ICV in CNV carriers (Table S8B). Our approach of characterizing brain features based on penetrance scores (rather than treating all CNVs as a homogeneous group) allows us to distinguish brain features that are most related to pathogenicity (in our case reduced cortical surface area) from those that are not (in our case variations in cortical thickness, which were not significantly associated with CNV penetrance).

In a large-scale study from ENIGMA-Schizophrenia (34), patients with schizophrenia showed global decreases in cortical surface area, consistent with our findings, and wide-spread cortical thinning. Disease severity and antipsychotic medication treatment were associated with cortical thinning but not with surface area. In our study, although not statistically significant, there were trend-level increases in cortical thickness with higher CNV penetrance. Notably, 22q11.2 deletion carriers have smaller cortical surface area but wide-spread higher cortical thickness; however, 22q11.2 deletion carriers with psychotic illness have lower cortical thickness than those without psychosis, with no differences in cortical surface area (16). ENIGMA-attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) found lower surface area and cortical thinning in children with ADHD, with no differences in adolescent or adult groups (35). Notably, lower surface area was also found in unaffected siblings, suggesting that changes in surface area occur independently of disease onset. Reduced cortical surface area in patients with schizophrenia and ADHD and NDD-CNV carriers may indicate a premorbid risk for neurodevelopmental conditions, whereas reduced cortical thickness in patients with schizophrenia (contrasting with increased thickness in NDD-CNV carriers) may be influenced by disease onset, illness progression, medication, and age.

Surface area and thickness are distinct features of cortical structure (32,36). A large-scale genome-wide association study of MRI data (32) suggests that surface area is influenced by genetic variants involved in neural progenitor cell activity during fetal development, whereas thickness is influenced by adult-specific processes (e.g., pruning, branching, or myelination). Notably, the authors found genetic correlations and evidence for causation of surface area with both general cognitive functioning and educational attainment, as well as correlations with other traits and disorders. The thickness of some regions showed genetic correlations with general cognitive function and educational attainment but no evidence of causal relationship, adding to the hypothesis that cortical thickness changes reflect environmental influences or effects of illness progression/treatment.

Associations between cortical surface area and CNV penetrance could indicate shared disease mechanisms affecting corticogenesis, which may be points of convergence across NDDs and CNVs (37,38). Evidence suggests that CNVs affect progenitor cell proliferation: an LgDel mouse model of the 22q11.2 deletion exhibited deficits in intermediate progenitor cell proliferation (39), and cortical surface area alterations in human 22q11.2 deletion carriers were associated with expression of genes involved in cell proliferation and apoptosis (40). Moreover, 1q21.1 distal deletions altered neural progenitor cell proliferation in induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cells (41), and 16p11.2 BP4-BP5 CNVs altered the proportion of neurons and progenitor populations in cerebral organoids (42). Disruptions in cell proliferation may profoundly affect brain size, resulting in macro- and microcephaly, which are known phenotypes associated with some CNVs (e.g., 1q21.1 distal and both 16p11.2 BP2-BP3 and BP4-BP5) (33,43). More research is needed to understand how CNVs affect cortical development and developmental trajectories.

We found the strongest and most robust associations between CNV penetrance and surface area in the medial occipital lobes (cuneus and lingual gyrus), which include major early-forming sulci of the brain. The medial occipital lobes are centers for long-range association

fibers (44), which supports involvement in roles beyond basic visual processing, such as language and memory (45). Both the lingual gyrus and cuneus are involved in processing of emotional facial expressions (46,47), which is disrupted in schizophrenia (48) and ASD (49). Volumetric abnormalities in the occipital lobes predict severity of ultra-high-risk prodromal symptoms of psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion carriers (50). In a linear mixed effects model, we found a significant interaction between brain region and CNV penetrance on surface area (PenSZ: region, $p < .001$; PenDD: region, $p < .001$). However, larger effect sizes in specific regions could be affected by the accuracy of ComBat harmonization, which may vary by region (51).

This study has some limitations. Carriers of highly penetrant NDD-CNVs were younger than less-penetrant NDD-CNV carriers. Age effects were accounted for before statistical analysis, using data from noncarriers to preserve CNV effects. Furthermore, cortical surface area decreases with age (Table S16), suggesting that age effects are unlikely to explain the association between increased CNV penetrance and reduced cortical surface area. Nevertheless, NDD-CNV carriers may display altered trajectories of cortical development (52), and evidence from ENIGMA-ADHD and ENIGMA-Schizophrenia suggest that there are age-dependent effects in these conditions (34,35). Our sample includes a wide age range in most CNVs (Figure S2), but it does not include sufficient numbers of carriers in each age bracket across CNVs to reliably investigate effects of age. Future studies with age-balanced samples are needed to examine possible age and penetrance interaction effects. ENIGMA-CNV is a multisite consortium with carriers and noncarriers scanned at each site. Nevertheless, the inclusion of both clinically and nonclinically ascertained cohorts might have introduced some bias. However, we found similar results after excluding participants with known psychiatric or neurological diagnoses (Table S11). Factors related to medication could not be investigated because medication information was not universally available. Although our study comprised a large number of CNVs, CNVs were not equally represented, and as was expected given the sample ascertainment, there were more carriers of lower-penetrance CNVs than of rarer, higher-penetrance CNVs (Table 1). Therefore, although our study had adequate power to detect an effect of CNV penetrance, it was not powered to detect effects of individual higher-penetrant CNVs. The inclusion of a large number of 22q11.2 deletion carriers (a highly penetrant CNV) from the ENIGMA-22q dataset ($n = 274$) led to additional significant findings (Table S9). However, these findings may be related to specific effects of the 22q11.2 locus (16,17). Future studies with a higher number of carriers across higher-penetrant CNVs are needed to reliably study the effects of CNV penetrance on the brain. Some effects may be dosage-dependent given reports that deletions and duplications can lead to opposite effects for certain brain traits in 22q11.2 (16,17), 16p11.2 proximal (43,53,54) and distal (13), and 15q11.2 BP1-BP2 (14,55) CNVs. Notably, the effect sizes for the associations that were found in this study are considered small according to Cohen's criteria (56), even for the strongest associations that we found in the cuneus and lingual ($\beta \approx -0.2$). This is in contrast with previous studies on CNV versus non-CNV carrier comparisons, where effect sizes were moderate to strong (57). Future studies that include more carriers per CNV are needed to understand relationships between brain alterations, issues related to gene dosage, the potential role of other genetic variants, and risk for NDDs.

Conclusions

Increased risk for schizophrenia and other developmental disorders (including DD, ASD, and CMs) in CNV carriers, as measured through penetrance scores, was associated with variations in brain morphology, specifically with lower ICV and lower cortical surface area. Penetrance for schizophrenia and developmental disorders was associated with lower cortical surface area in parts of the occipital and frontal lobes, as well as in the anterior cingulate cortex. Penetrance for developmental disorders was also associated with lower cortical surface area in parts of the parietal and temporal lobes. Our findings suggest shared mechanisms across NDD-CNVs that affect cortical development.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Authors

Ana I. Silva,
Ida E. Sønderby,
George Kirov,
Abdel Abdellaoui,
Ingrid Agartz,
David Ames,
Nicola J. Armstrong,
Eric Artiges,
Tobias Banaschewski,
Anne S. Bassett,
Carrie E. Bearden,
John Blangero,
Rune Boen,
Dorret I. Boomsma,
Robin Bülow,
Nancy J. Butcher,
Vince Calhoun,
Linda E. Campbell,
Eva W.C. Chow,
Simone Ciufolini,
Michael C. Craig,
Benedicto Crespo-Farroco,
Adam C. Cunningham,
Shareefa Dalvie,
Eileen Daly,
Paola Dazzan,

Eco J.C. de Geus,
Greig I. de Zubicaray,
Joanne L. Doherty,
Gary Donohoe,
Mark Drakesmith,
Thomas Espeseth,
Vincent Frouin,
Hugh Garavan,
David C. Glahn,
Naomi J. Goodrich-Hunsaker,
Penny A. Gowland,
Hans J. Grabe,
Antoine Grigis,
Maria Gudbrandsen,
Boris A. Gutman,
Jan Haavik,
Asta K. Håberg,
Jeremy Hall,
Andreas Heinz,
Sarah Hohmann,
Jouke-Jan Hottenga,
Sébastien Jacquemont,
Neda Jahanshad,
Rachel K. Jonas,
Derek K. Jones,
Erik G. Jönsson,
Sanne Koops,
Kuldeep Kumar,
Stephanie Le Hellard,
Herve Lemaitre,
Jingyu Liu,
Astri J. Lundervold,
Jean-Luc Martinot,
Karen A. Mather,
Donna M. McDonald-McGinn,
Katie L. McMahon,
Allan F. McRae,
Sarah E. Medland,
Clara A. Moreau,

Kieran C. Murphy,
Declan Murphy,
Robin M. Murray,
Frauke Nees,
Michael J. Owen,
Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot,
Diimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos,
Tomas Paus,
Luise Poustka,
Tiago Reis Marques,
David R. Roalf,
Perminder S. Sachdev,
Freda Scheffler,
J. Eric Schmitt,
Gunter Schumann,
Vidar M. Steen,
Dan J. Stein,
Lachlan T. Strike,
Alexander Teumer,
Anbupalam Thalamuthu,
Sophia I. Thomopoulos,
Diana Tordesillas-Gutiérrez,
Julian N. Trollor,
Anne Uhlmann,
Ariana Vajdi,
Dennis van 't Ent,
Therese van Amelsvoort,
Marianne B.M. van den Bree,
Dennis van der Meer,
Javier Vázquez-Bourgon,
Julio E. Villalón-Reina,
Uwe Völker,
Henry Völzke,
Jacob A.S. Vorstman,
Lars T. Westlye,
Nigel Williams,
Katharina Wittfeld,
Margaret J. Wright,
Paul M. Thompson,

Ole A. Andreassen,
David E.J. Linden
for the ENIGMA-CNV Working Group

Affiliations

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

16p11.2 Consortium: This work was supported by Compute Canada, the Brain Canada Multi investigator research initiative, Canada First Research Excellence Fund, the Institute of Data Valorization, and Healthy Brain Healthy Lives. SJ is a recipient of a Canada Research Chair in neurodevelopmental disorders and a chair from the Jeanne et Jean Louis Levesque Foundation. This work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (Grant Nos. 400528 and 438531) and Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (Grant No. 777394) for AIMS-2-TRIALS. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the EU's Horizon 2020 program, EFPIA, Autism Speaks, Autistica, and SFARI. KK was supported by The Institute of Data Valorization Postdoctoral Fellowship program, through the Canada First Research Excellence Fund.

COBRE (Centers of Biomedical Research Excellence): This research is funded by National Science Foundation (Grant No. 2112455) and National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Grant No. R01MH118695).

Dublin: This research is funded by European Research Council (Grant No. 677467).

ECHO-DEFINE: The ECHO (Experiences of Children with Copy Number Variants) study acknowledges funding from the Wellcome Trust (Institutional Strategic Support Fund) (to MBMvdB) and Clinical Research Training Fellowship (Grant No. 102003/Z/13/Z [to JLD]), the Waterloo Foundation (Grant No. WF 918- 1234 [to MBMvdB]), the Baily Thomas Charitable Fund (Grant No. 2315/1 [to MBMvdB]), National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) (Grant No. 5U01MH101724) and NIMH (Grant No. U01MH119738 [to MBMvdB]), the IMAGINE-ID and IMAGINE-2 studies (funded by Medical Research Council [MRC]) (Grant Nos. MR/N022572/1 and MR/T033045/1 [to MBMvdB]) and an MRC Centre Grant (Grant No. MR/P005748/1 [to MJO]). The DEFINE (Defining Endophenotypes From Integrative Neuroscience) study was supported by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (Grant No. 100202/Z/12/Z [to MJO]).

ENIGMA: The ENIGMA Working Group acknowledges the NIH Big Data to Knowledge award for foundational support and consortium development (Grant No. U54 EB020403 [to PMT]). For a complete list of ENIGMA-related grant support, please see here: <http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/about-2/funding/>. PMT and SIT are also supported in part by ENIGMA NIH (Grant Nos. R01MH116147, R01NS107513, R01AG058854, and R01MH129742). IES is supported by the Research Council of Norway (Grant No. 223273), South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (Grant No. 2020060), European Union's Horizon2020 Research and Innovation Programme (CoMorMent project) (Grant No. 847776), and Kristian Gerhard Jebsen Stiftelsen (Grant No. SKGJ-MED-021). South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (Grant No. 2020060) also supports RBo. This work was partially performed on Services for Sensitive Data (TSD), University of Oslo, Norway, with resources provided by UNINETT Sigma2, the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway. OAA is supported by Research Council of Norway (Grant No. 223273) and KG Jebsen Stiftelsen (Grant No. SKGJ-MED-021). NJ is supported by NIH (Grant No. R01MH117601). DvdM is supported by Research Council of Norway (Grant No. 276082).

ENIGMA-22q: This research is supported by NIMH (Grant Nos. R01MH085953, R21MH116473, and 9U01MH119736-02). ASB is supported by the Dalglish Family Chair in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome, CIHR (Grant Nos. MOP-79518, MOP-89066, MOP-97800, and MOP-111238) and NIMH (Grant No. U01MH101723-01[3/5]). EWCC acknowledges support from the CIHR (Grant No. MOP-74631) and Ontario Mental Health Foundation for the current work. DRR is supported by NIMH (Grant No. R01 119185). JES is supported by the Stanford Maternal and Child Health Research Institute Uytengsu-Hamilton 22q11 Neuropsychiatry Research Awards Program (Grant No. UH22QEXTFY21). DMM-M acknowledges support from NIMH (Grant No. U01MH119737). JASV acknowledges funding support from NIMH (Grant Nos. 1U01MH119741-01 and 3U01MH119741-02S1). TvA acknowledges financial support from NIMH (Grant No. 5U01 MH119740).

GAP: PD's research is supported by the MRC and the Wellcome Trust. Funding was received from the Psychiatry Research Trust, the Wellcome Trust, the MRC, and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at the South London and Maudsley NHS Trust. The funding sources were not involved in carrying out or interpreting the study.

GOBS: The GOBS (Genetics of Brain Structure and Function study) data collection was supported in part by NIH (Grant Nos. R01MH078143 and R01MH083824).

Haavik: This research is supported by Stiftelsen KG Jebsen (Grant No. SKGJ MED-02), the Research Council of Norway, and Helse Vest RHF.

HUBIN (Human Brain Informatics): This research is supported by Swedish Research Council (Grant Nos. K2007-62X-15077-04-1, K2008-62P-20597-01-3, K2010-62X-15078-07-2, K2012-61X-15078-09-3, K2015-62X-15077-12-3, and 2017-00949), the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research between Stockholm County Council and the Karolinska Institutet, and the Research Council of Norway (Grant No. 274 359).

HUNT: The HUNT (Trøndelag Health Study) is a collaboration between HUNT Research Centre (Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Norwegian University of Science and Technology), North Trøndelag County Council, Central Norway Health Authority, and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. HUNT-MRI was funded by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology and the Norwegian National Advisory Unit for functional MRI.

IMAGEN: The European Union-funded FP6 Integrated Project IMAGEN (Reinforcement-related behavior in normal brain function and psychopathology) (Grant No. LSHM-CT- 2007-037286), the Horizon 2020 funded ERC Advanced Grant STRATIFY (Brain network based stratification of reinforcement-related disorders) (Grant No. 695313), Human Brain Project (Grant Nos. HBP SGA 2, 785907, and HBP SGA 3, 945539), the MRC Grant c-VEDA (Consortium on Vulnerability to Externalizing Disorders and Addictions) (Grant No. MR/N000390/1), NIH (Grant No. R01DA049238), A decentralized macro and micro gene-by-environment interaction analysis of substance use behavior and its brain biomarkers), the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (Grant Nos. 01GS08152; 01EV0711; Forschungsnetz AERIAL 01EE1406A, 01EE1406B; Forschungsnetz IMAC- Mind 01GL1745B), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG Grant Nos. SM 80/7-2, SFB 940, TRR 265, NE 1383/14-1), ImagenPathways "Understanding the Interplay between Cultural, Biological and Subjective Factors in Drug Use Pathways" is a collaborative project supported by the European Research Area Network on Illicit Drugs, the Medical Research Foundation and MRC (Grant Nos. MR/R00465X/1 and MR/S020306/1), the NIH-funded ENIGMA (Grant Nos. 5U54EB020403-05 and 1R56AG058854-01), National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 82 150 710 554), and European Union-funded project environMENTAL (Grant No. 101057429). Additional support was provided by grants from the ANR (Grant Nos. ANR-12-SAMA-0004, AAPG2019—GeBra), the Eranet Neuron (Grant Nos. AF12-NEUR0008-01—WM2NA; and ANR-18-NEUR00002-01—ADORE), the Fondation de France (Grant No. 00081242), the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (Grant No. DPA20140629802), the Mission Interministérielle de Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-et-les-Conduites-Addictives, the Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris and Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (interface grant), Paris Sud University IDEX 2012, the Fondation de l'Avenir (Grant No. AP-RM-17-013), the Fédération pour la Recherche sur le Cerveau; the NIH, Science Foundation Ireland (Grant No. 16/ERC/3797), the Science Foundation Ireland (Grant No. 16/ERC/3797), the NIH (Axon, Testosterone and Mental Health during Adolescence) (Grant No. R01MH085772-01A1), and the NIH Consortium (Grant No. U54 EB020403), supported by a cross-NIH alliance that funds Big Data to Knowledge Centres of Excellence. The INSERM, the Strasbourg University, and SATT CONECTUS, provided sponsorship (principal investigator: J-LM). TP is supported by NIH (Grant No. R01MH085772). Gilles Berstchly is acknowledged for his support. Stephane Lehericy and the radiographer staff at Centre de NeuroImagerie de Recherche de l'Institut du Cerveau (<http://www.cenir.org/mri.html?lang=en>) are acknowledged for their support in acquisition of MRI datasets.

MCIC (Mind Clinical Imaging Consortium): This research is funded by NIH study P20GM103472.

METH-CT: DJS is supported by the South African Medical Research Council (SAMRC). FS is supported by a PDRF (Presidential Doctoral Research Fellowship) from the Brain Behavior Unit, Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town. SD is supported by the Biomedical Research and Innovation Platform and SAMRC.

NCNG: NCNG (Norwegian Cognitive NeuroGenetics sample) was supported by the Bergen Research Foundation, the University of Bergen, the Research Council of Norway (FUGE) (Enhance Research in Functional Genomics) (Grant Nos. 151904 and 183327), Psykisk Helse (Grant No. 175345), RCN (Grant Nos. 154313/V50 [to Ivar Reinvang] and 177458/V50 [to TE]), Helse SørØst RHF (Grant No. 2012086 [to TE]), and the Dr. Einar Martens Fund.

NTR: The NTR cohort was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and The Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (ZonMW) (Grant Nos. 904-61-090, 985-10-002, 912-10-020, 904-61-193, 480-04-004, 463-06-001, 451-04-034, 400-05-717, Addiction-31160008, 016-115-035, 481-08-011, 400-07-080, 056-32-010, Middelgroot-911-09-032, OCW_NWO Gravity program -024.001.003,

NWO-Groot 480-15-001/674), Center for Medical Systems Biology (CMSB, NWO Genomics), NBIC/BioAssist/RK(2008.024), Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI) (Grant Nos. NL, 184.021.007 and 184.033.111), X-Omics 184-034-019; Spinozapremie (Grant No. NWO- 56-464-14192), KNAW Academy Professor Award (PAH/6635) and University Research Fellow grant (to DIB); Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute (former EMGO+), Neuroscience Amsterdam research institute (former NCA); the European Community's Fifth and Seventh Framework Program (Grant Nos. FP5- LIFE QUALITY-CT-2002-2006, FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007-2013, Grant No. 01254; GenomEUtwin, Grant No. 01413: ENGAGE and Grant No. 602768:ACTION); the European Research Council (Grant Nos. ERC Starting 284 167, ERC Consolidator 771057, ERC Advanced 230374), Rutgers University Cell and DNA Repository (NIMH) (Grant No. U24 MH068457-06), NIH (Grant Nos. R01D0042157-01A1, R01MH58799-03, MH081802, DA018673, R01DK092127-04), Grand Opportunity (Grant Nos. 1RC2 MH089951 and 1RC2 MH089995); and the Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota. Part of the genotyping and analyses were funded by the Genetic Association Information Network of the Foundation for the NIH. Computing was supported by NWO (Grant No. 2018/EW/00408559), BiG Grid, the Dutch e-Science Grid, and SURFSARA.

OATS1/OATS2 and Sydney MAS: The OATS (Older Australian Twins Study) has been funded by a National Health & Medical Research Council (NHMRC) and Australian Research Council (ARC) Strategic Award Grant of the Ageing Well, Ageing Productively Program (Grant No. 401162); NHMRC Project (seed) Grants (Grant Nos. 1024224 and 1 025 243); NHMRC Project Grants (Grant Nos. 1045325 and 1085606); and NHMRC Program Grants (Grant Nos. 568969 and 1093083). This research was facilitated through access to Twins Research Australia, which is a national resource supported by a Centre of Research Excellence Grant (Grant No. 1079102) from the NHMRC. The Sydney Memory and Ageing Study has been funded by 3 NHMRC Program Grants (Grant Nos. ID350833, ID568969, and APP1093083). Core funding was received from the NSW Government Department of Health and the Australian government Department of Health and Aged Care; NHMRC Leadership Fellowship (Grant No. GNT2009771); NHMRC (Grant No. GNT1045325). DNA samples were extracted by Genetic Repositories Australia, an Enabling Facility, which was supported by an NHMRC Grant (Grant No. 401184). MRI scans were processed with the support of NHMRC Project Grants (Grant Nos. 510175 and 1025243) and an ARC Discovery Project Grant (Grant No. DP0774213) and John Holden Family Foundation. We thank the participants and their informants for their time and generosity in contributing to this research and acknowledge the contributions of the respective research teams.

PAFIP: This study was supported by grants from Carlos III Health Institute (Grant Nos. IPI17/00402, PI17/01056, PI14/00639, and PI14/00918) cofunded by the European Union through FEDER funds and Fundación Instituto de Investigación Marqués de Valdecilla (Grant Nos. [NCT0235832](#) and [NCT02534363](#)). No pharmaceutical company has financially supported the study. JV-B acknowledges IDIVAL Grants (Grant Nos. INT/A21/10 and INT/A20/04).

QTIM: The QTIM study was supported by grants from the U.S. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (Grant No. R01HD050735) and the Australian NHMRC (Grant Nos. 486682, 1009064). Genotyping was supported by NHMRC (Grant No. 389875). AFM is funded by an ARC Future Fellowship (Grant No. FT200100837). SEM is supported by NHMRC Investigator Grant (Grant No. APP1172917).

SHIP-2/SHIP-TREND: SHIP is part of the Community Medicine Research net of the University of Greifswald, Germany, which is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grant Nos. 01ZZ9603, 01ZZ0103, and 01ZZ0403), the Ministry of Cultural Affairs as well as the Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania, and the network "Greifswald Approach to Individualized Medicine (GANI_MED)" funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grant No. 03IS2061A). Genome-wide data have been supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grant No. 03ZIK012) and a joint grant from Siemens Healthineers and the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. The University of Greifswald is a member of the Caché Campus program of the InterSystems GmbH. This study has received funding from the following institutions: Federal Ministry of Education and Research, the Ministry of Cultural Affairs, as well as the Social Ministry of the Federal State of Mecklenburg-West Pomerania. MRI examinations were supported by Siemens Healthineers, Siemens Healthcare GmbH. AT has been funded by DFG (Grant No. 542489987). The SHIP authors thank Holger Prokisch and Thomas Meitinger (Helmholtz Zentrum München) for the genotyping of the SHIP-TREND cohort.

Stroke (TOP_T3): The European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation program (Grant No. ERC 802998). The Research Council of Norway (Grant Nos. 298646 and 300767), and the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (Grant Nos. 2013054, 2015044, 2015073, 2018076, 2019101, and 2020060).

IA has received lecturer honorarium from Lundbeck. TB served in an advisory or consultancy role for eye level, InfectoPharm, Lundbeck, Medice, Neurim Pharmaceuticals, Oberberg GmbH, Roche, and Takeda. TB received conference support or speaker's fee by Janssen, Medice, and Takeda. TB received royalties from Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, CIP Medien, and Oxford University Press; the current work is unrelated to these relationships. PD has received speaker's fees from Lundbeck and Janssen. TRM has received honoraria for speaking and chairing from Lundbeck, Janssen, Astellas, and Viatrix and received honoraria to participate in advisory boards organized by Angelini Pharmaceuticals. TRM is an employee of and shareholder in Pasithea Therapeutics. OAA is a consultant

for HealthLytix and received speaker's honorarium from Lundbeck and Sunovion. MJO is in receipt of research grants from Takeda Pharmaceuticals and Akkrivia Health outside of the scope of the current work. MBMvdB is in receipt of a research grant from Takeda Pharmaceuticals outside of the scope of the current work. BAG is a paid consultant for Natera, Inc. JHaa has received lecture honoraria as part of continuing medical education programs sponsored by Shire, Takeda, Medice, and Biocodex. PSS was an expert panel member for Biogen Australia and Roche Australia in 2020 and 2021. HJG has received speaker's honoraria from Servier, Neurapharm, Indorsia, and Janssen-Cilag. JASV has served as a consultant for NoBias Therapeutics Inc. and has received speaker fees for giving a lecture in the Henry Stewart Talks series. LP has received speaking fees from InfectoPharm, Medice, and Takeda and royalties from Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, and Schattauer. The current work is unrelated to these relationships. All other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Coe BP, Witherspoon K, Rosenfeld JA, van Bon BWM, Vulto-van Silfhout AT, Bosco P, et al. (2014): Refining analyses of copy number variation identifies specific genes associated with developmental delay. *Nat Genet* 46:1063–1071. [PubMed: 25217958]
2. Marshall CR, Howrigan DP, Merico D, Thiruvahindrapuram B, Wu W, Greer DS, et al. (2017): Contribution of copy number variants to schizophrenia from a genome-wide study of 41,321 subjects. *Nat Genet* 49:27–35. [PubMed: 27869829]
3. Kendall KM, Rees E, Escott-Price V, Eimon M, Thomas R, Hewitt J, et al. (2017): Cognitive performance among carriers of pathogenic copy number variants: Analysis of 152,000 UK Biobank subjects. *Biol Psychiatry* 82:103–110. [PubMed: 27773354]
4. Rees E, Walters JTR, Georgieva L, Isles AR, Chambert KD, Richards AL, et al. (2014): Analysis of copy number variations at 15 schizophrenia-associated loci. *Br J Psychiatry* 204:108–114. [PubMed: 24311552]
5. Walsh T, McClellan JM, McCarthy SE, Addington AM, Pierce SB, Cooper GM, et al. (2008): Rare structural variants disrupt multiple genes in neurodevelopmental pathways in schizophrenia. *Science* 320:539–543. [PubMed: 18369103]
6. Kirov G, Pocklington AJ, Holmans P, Ivanov D, Ikeda M, Ruderfer D, et al. (2012): De novo CNV analysis implicates specific abnormalities of postsynaptic signalling complexes in the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* 17:142–153. [PubMed: 22083728]
7. Vassily T, Antonio FP, Ting Q, Georgia P, Swapnil A, Tim BB, Julien B, Chia-Yen C, et al. (2020): Mapping genomic loci prioritises genes and implicates synaptic biology in schizophrenia. *Nature* 604:502–508.
8. Silva AI, Ehrhart F, Ulfarsson MO, Stefansson H, Stefansson K, Wilkinson LS, et al. (2022): Neuroimaging findings in neurodevelopmental copy number variants: Identifying molecular pathways to convergent phenotypes. *Biol Psychiatry* 92:341–361. [PubMed: 35659384]
9. Chawner SJRA, Owen MJ, Holmans P, Raymond FL, Skuse D, Hall J, van den Bree MBM (2019): Genotype-phenotype associations in children with copy number variants associated with high neuropsychiatric risk in the UK (IMAGINE-ID): A case-control cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 6:493–505. [PubMed: 31056457]
10. Chawner SJRA, Doherty JL, Anney RJJ, Antshel KM, Bearden CE, Bernier R, et al. (2021): A genetics-first approach to dissecting the heterogeneity of autism: Phenotypic comparison of autism risk copy number variants. *Am J Psychiatry* 178:77–86. [PubMed: 33384013]
11. Modenato C, Martin-Brevet S, Moreau CA, Rodriguez-Herreros B, Kumar K, Draganski B, et al. (2021): Lessons learned from neuroimaging studies of Copy Number Variants: A systematic review. *Biol Psychiatry* 90:596–610. [PubMed: 34509290]
12. Sørnderby IE, van der Meer D, Moreau C, Kaufmann T, Walters GB, Ellegaard M, et al. (2021): 1q21.1 distal copy number variants are associated with cerebral and cognitive alterations in humans. *Transl Psychiatry* 11:182. [PubMed: 33753722]
13. Sørnderby IE, Gústafsson Ó, Doan NT, Hibar DP, Martin-Brevet S, Abdellaoui A, et al. (2020): Dose response of the 16p11.2 distal copy number variant on intracranial volume and basal ganglia. *Mol Psychiatry* 25:584–602. [PubMed: 30283035]
14. van der Meer D, Sørnderby IE, Kaufmann T, Walters GB, Abdellaoui A, et al. , Writing Committee for the ENIGMA-CNV Working Group (2020): Association of copy number variation

- of the 15q11.2 BP1-BP2 region with cortical and subcortical morphology and cognition. *JAMA Psychiatry* 77:420–430. [PubMed: 31665216]
15. Silva AI, Kirov G, Kendall KM, Bracher-Smith M, Wilkinson LS, Hall J, et al. (2021): Analysis of diffusion tensor imaging data from the UK Biobank confirms dosage effect of 15q11.2 copy number variation on white matter and shows association with cognition. *Biol Psychiatry* 90:307–316. [PubMed: 33931204]
 16. Sun D, Ching CRK, Lin A, Forsyth JK, Kushan L, Vajdi A, et al. (2020): Large-scale mapping of cortical alterations in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: Convergence with idiopathic psychosis and effects of deletion size. *Mol Psychiatry* 25:1822–1834. [PubMed: 29895892]
 17. Ching CRK, Gutman BA, Sun D, Villalon Reina J, Ragothaman A, Isaev D, et al. (2020): Mapping subcortical brain alterations in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: Effects of deletion size and convergence with idiopathic neuropsychiatric illness. *Am J Psychiatry* 177:589–600. [PubMed: 32046535]
 18. Sheikh TI, Vasli N, Pastore S, Kharizi K, Harripaul R, Fattahi Z, et al. (2021): Biallelic mutations in the death domain of PIDD1 impair caspase-2 activation and are associated with intellectual disability. *Transl Psychiatry* 11:1. [PubMed: 33414379]
 19. Caseras X, Kirov G, Kendall KM, Rees E, Legge SE, Bracher-Smith M, et al. (2021): Effects of genomic copy number variants penetrant for schizophrenia on cortical thickness and surface area in healthy individuals: Analysis of the UK Biobank. *Br J Psychiatry* 218:104–111. [PubMed: 32792019]
 20. Modenato C, Kumar K, Moreau C, Martin-Brevet S, Huguet G, Schramm C, et al. (2021): Effects of eight neuropsychiatric copy number variants on human brain structure. *Transl Psychiatry* 11:399. [PubMed: 34285187]
 21. Moreau CA, Kumar K, Harvey A, Huguet G, Urchs SGW, Schultz LM, et al. (2023): Brain functional connectivity mirrors genetic pleiotropy in psychiatric conditions. *Brain* 146:1686–1696. [PubMed: 36059063]
 22. Moreau CA, Urchs SGW, Kuldeep K, Orban P, Schramm C, Dumas G, et al. (2020): Mutations associated with neuropsychiatric conditions delineate functional brain connectivity dimensions contributing to autism and schizophrenia. *Nat Commun* 11:5272. [PubMed: 33077750]
 23. Drakesmith M, Parker GD, Smith J, Linden SC, Rees E, Williams N, et al. (2019): Genetic risk for schizophrenia and developmental delay is associated with shape and microstructure of midline white-matter structures. *Transl Psychiatry* 9:102. [PubMed: 30804328]
 24. Kirov G, Rees E, Walters JTR, Escott-Price V, Georgieva L, Richards AL, et al. (2014): The penetrance of copy number variations for schizophrenia and developmental delay. *Biol Psychiatry* 75:378–385. [PubMed: 23992924]
 25. Kendall KM, Bracher-Smith M, Fitzpatrick H, Lynham A, Rees E, Escott-Price V, et al. (2019): Cognitive performance and functional outcomes of carriers of pathogenic copy number variants: Analysis of the UK Biobank. *Br J Psychiatry* 214:297–304. [PubMed: 30767844]
 26. Wang K, Li M, Hadley D, Liu R, Glessner J, Grant SFA, et al. (2007): PennCNV: An integrated hidden Markov model designed for high-resolution copy number variation detection in whole-genome SNP genotyping data. *Genome Res* 17:1665–1674. [PubMed: 17921354]
 27. Fischl B (2012): FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage* 62:774–781. [PubMed: 22248573]
 28. Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, et al. (2006): An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage* 31:968–980. [PubMed: 16530430]
 29. R Core Team (2022): A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: <https://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed January 10, 2022.
 30. Fortin JP, Cullen N, Sheline YI, Taylor WD, Aselcioglu I, Cook PA, et al. (2018): Harmonization of cortical thickness measurements across scanners and sites. *NeuroImage* 167:104–120. [PubMed: 29155184]
 31. Schäfer T, Ecker C (2020): fsbrain: An R package for the visualization of structural neuroimaging data. *bioRxiv* 10.1101/2020.09.18.302935v1.

32. Grasby KL, Jahanshad N, Painter JN, Colodro-Conde L, Bralten J, Hibar DP, et al. (2020): The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. *Science* 367:eaay6690.
33. Brunetti-Pierri N, Berg JS, Scaglia F, Belmont J, Bacino CA, Sahoo T, et al. (2008): Recurrent reciprocal 1q21.1 deletions and duplications associated with microcephaly or macrocephaly and developmental and behavioral abnormalities. *Nat Genet* 40:1466–1471. [PubMed: 19029900]
34. van Erp TGM, Walton E, Hibar DP, Schmaal L, Jiang W, Glahn DC, et al. (2018): Cortical brain abnormalities in 4474 individuals with schizophrenia and 5098 control subjects via the Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics through Meta Analysis (ENIGMA) consortium. *Biol Psychiatry* 84:644–654. [PubMed: 29960671]
35. Hoogman M, Muetzel R, Guimaraes JP, Shumskaya E, Mennes M, Zwiers MP, et al. (2019): Brain imaging of the cortex in ADHD: A coordinated analysis of large-scale clinical and population-based samples. *Am J Psychiatry* 176:531–542. [PubMed: 31014101]
36. Panizzon MS, Fennema-Notestine C, Eyer LT, Jernigan TL, Prom-Wormley E, Neale M, et al. (2009): Distinct genetic influences on cortical surface area and cortical thickness. *Cereb Cortex* 19:2728–2735. [PubMed: 19299253]
37. Ernst C (2016): Proliferation and differentiation deficits are a major convergence point for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Trends Neurosci* 39:290–299. [PubMed: 27032601]
38. Liao Z, Kumar K, Kopal J, Huguet G, Saci Z, Jean-Louis M, et al. (2025): Copy number variants and the tangential expansion of the cerebral cortex. *Nat Commun* 16:1697. [PubMed: 39962045]
39. Meechan DW, Tucker ES, Maynard TM, LaMantia AS (2009): Diminished dosage of 22q11 genes disrupts neurogenesis and cortical development in a mouse model of 22q11 deletion/DiGeorge syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:16434–16445. [PubMed: 19805316]
40. Forsyth JK, Mennigen E, Lin A, Sun D, Vajdi A, Kushan-Wells L, et al. (2021): Prioritizing genetic contributors to cortical alterations in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome using imaging transcriptomics. *Cereb Cortex* 31:3285–3298. [PubMed: 33638978]
41. Chapman G, Alsaqati M, Lunn S, Singh T, Linden SC, Linden DEJ, et al. (2022): Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate human neuronal phenotypes in 1q21.1 deletion and duplication syndrome. *Mol Psychiatry* 27:819–830. [PubMed: 34112971]
42. Urresti J, Zhang P, Moran-Losada P, Yu NK, Negraes PD, Trujillo CA, et al. (2021): Cortical organoids model early brain development disrupted by 16p11.2 copy number variants in autism. *Mol Psychiatry* 26:7560–7580. [PubMed: 34433918]
43. Qureshi AY, Mueller S, Snyder AZ, Mukherjee P, Berman JI, Roberts TPL, et al. (2014): Opposing brain differences in 16p11.2 deletion and duplication carriers. *J Neurosci* 34:11199–11211. [PubMed: 25143601]
44. Palejwala AH, Dadario NB, Young IM, O'Connor K, Briggs RG, Conner AK, et al. (2021): Anatomy and white matter connections of the lingual gyrus and cuneus. *World Neurosurg* 151:e426–e437. [PubMed: 33894399]
45. Zhang C, Lee TMC, Fu Y, Ren C, Chan CCH, Tao Q (2019): Properties of cross-modal occipital responses in early blindness: An ALE meta-analysis. *NeuroImage Clin* 24:102041. [PubMed: 31677587]
46. Fu CHY, Williams SCR, Brammer MJ, Suckling J, Kim J, Cleare AJ, et al. (2007): Neural responses to happy facial expressions in major depression following antidepressant treatment. *Am J Psychiatry* 164:599–607. [PubMed: 17403973]
47. Larabi DI, van der Meer L, Pijnenborg GHM, van Erp TGM, van Haren BF, van Erp TGM, et al. (2018): Insight and emotion regulation in schizophrenia: A brain activation and functional connectivity study. *NeuroImage Clin* 20:762–771. [PubMed: 30261360]
48. Gao Z, Zhao W, Liu S, Liu Z, Yang C, Xu Y (2021): Facial emotion recognition in schizophrenia. *Front Psychiatry* 12:633717. [PubMed: 34017272]
49. Golarai G, Grill-Spector K, Reiss AL (2006): Autism and the development of face processing. *Clin Neurosci Res* 6:145–160. [PubMed: 18176635]
50. Thompson CA, Karelis J, Middleton FA, Gentile K, Coman IL, Radoeva PD, et al. (2017): Associations between neurodevelopmental genes, neuroanatomy, and ultra high risk symptoms of psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 174:295–314. [PubMed: 28139055]

51. Gebre RK, Senjem ML, Raghavan S, Schwarz CG, Gunter JL, Hofrenning EI, et al. (2023): Cross-scanner harmonization methods for structural MRI may need further work: A comparison study. *NeuroImage* 269:119912. [PubMed: 36731814]
52. Jalbrzikowski M, Lin A, Vajdi A, Grigoryan V, Kushan L, Ching CRK, et al. (2022): Longitudinal trajectories of cortical development in 22q11.2 copy number variants and typically developing controls. *Mol Psychiatry* 27:4181–4190. [PubMed: 35896619]
53. Maillard AM, Ruef A, Pizzagalli F, Migliavacca E, Hippolyte L, Adaszewski S, et al. (2015): The 16p11.2 locus modulates brain structures common to autism, schizophrenia and obesity. *Mol Psychiatry* 20:140–147. [PubMed: 25421402]
54. Martin-Brevet S, Rodríguez-Herreros B, Nielsen JA, Moreau C, Modenato C, Maillard AM, et al. (2018): Quantifying the effects of 16p11.2 copy number variants on brain structure: A multisite genetic-first study. *Biol Psychiatry* 84:253–264. [PubMed: 29778275]
55. Stefansson H, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Steinberg S, Magnusdottir B, Morgen K, Arnarsdottir S, et al. (2014): CNVs conferring risk of autism or schizophrenia affect cognition in controls. *Nature* 505:361–366. [PubMed: 24352232]
56. Cohen J (2013): *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.
57. Moreau CA, Raznahan A, Bellec P, Chakravarty M, Thompson PM, Jacquemont S (2021): Dissecting autism and schizophrenia through neuroimaging genomics. *Brain* 144:1943–1957. [PubMed: 33704401]




Figure 1. Copy number variant (CNV)-penetrance scores for schizophrenia (PenSZ) and developmental disorders (PenDD) (including developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, and congenital malformations) are associated with total cortical surface area and intracranial volume (ICV). Standardized beta coefficients (β s) derived from the linear regression analysis for associations between penetrance scores (PenSZ and PenDD) and (A) global brain measures (mean cortical thickness, total cortical surface area, and ICV) and (B) subcortical volumes. $*p < .05$, $***p < .001$ (C–F) Scatterplots showing linear associations between normalized logarithmic-transformed penetrance scores and normalized scanner harmonized and covariance-corrected residuals for both total surface area and ICV.

Increased PenSZ for each CNV is represented with increasing red color intensity, and increased PenDD is represented with increasing blue color intensity.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Figure 2. Associations between copy number variant-penetrance scores and surface area measures are strongest in the occipital lobes. Effect sizes (standardized β s) for linear associations between penetrance scores for schizophrenia (PenSZ) and developmental disorders (PenDD) (including developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, and congenital malformations) and (A) regional cortical surface area and (B) regional cortical thickness measures. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$.




Figure 3.

Associations between copy number variant (CNV) penetrance and surface area in the cuneus and in the lingual gyrus were the most robust findings. Brain plots showing effect sizes (standardized β s) for linear associations between CNV-penetrance scores for **(A)** schizophrenia (PenSZ) and **(B)** developmental disorders (PenDD) (including developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, and congenital malformations) and regional cortical surface area and thickness. Significant areas (after false discovery rate correction) are delineated with black lines. Scatterplots showing linear associations between normalized logarithmic-transformed CNV-penetrance scores for **(C)** schizophrenia and **(D)** developmental disorders and normalized scanner harmonized covariance-corrected residuals for surface area in the cuneus and lingual gyrus. Increased PenSZ for each CNV is represented with increasing red color intensity, and increased PenDD is represented with increasing blue color intensity.

Table 1. Demographic Data for NDD-CNV Carriers and Noncarrier Control Participants From the ENIGMA-CNV and ENIGMA-22q Cohorts

NDD-CNVs	Deletions						Duplications					
	<i>n</i>	PensSZ	PenDD	Age, Years, Mean (SD)	Sex	Sex	<i>n</i>	PensSZ	PenDD	Age, Years, Mean (SD)	Sex	
ENIGMA-CNV (Main Sample)												
Carriers												
1q21 TAR	2	1.89%	14.85%	58.8 (2.51)	2 male	2 male	9	1.00%	5.86%	45 (24.4)	3 female, 6 male	
1q21.1 BP3-BP4 (Distal)	19	4.95%	22.91%	24.5 (14.4)	9 female, 10 male	9 female, 10 male	10	2.24%	13.58%	41.7 (18.2)	4 female, 6 male	
2p16.3 (NRXNT)	2	3.54%	9.77%	33.3 (14.6)	1 female, 1 male	1 female, 1 male	–	–	–	–	–	
2q11.2	2	3.60%	9.18%	29.5 (12.1)	2 male	2 male	1	1.91%	11.67%	47	1 male	
2q13 (NHP1)	72	0.99%	3.25%	42.5 (19.4)	36 female, 36 male	36 female, 36 male	48	1.12%	7.59%	41.4 (20.3)	25 female, 23 male	
2q13	1	1.00%	15.87%	26.4	1 female	1 female	–	–	–	–	–	
3q29	2	15.35%	48.65%	34.4 (20.6)	2 male	2 male	–	–	–	–	–	
10q11.21q11.23	5	1.74%	5.32%	42.8 (15.5)	3 female, 2 male	3 female, 2 male	1	1.85%	9.20%	14.1	1 female	
13q12.12	3	0.97%	3.21%	34.7 (17.1)	3 female	3 female	–	–	–	–	–	
15q11.2 BP1-BP2	26	1.56%	6.15%	39.2 (20.9)	15 female, 11 male	15 female, 11 male	42	1.04%	3.67%	44.7 (22)	22 female, 20 male	
15q11q13 BP3-BP4	1	0.00%	11.66%	68	1 female	1 female	1	2.33%	1.28%	37.2	1 female	
15q13.3 BP4-BP5	2	5.09%	45.96%	30 (4.53)	2 male	2 male	7	1.15%	6.64%	33.4 (17)	3 female, 4 male	
15q13.3 (CHRNA7)	1	6.67%	30.80%	14.7	1 female	1 female	53	0.79%	3.88%	38.2 (23.7)	27 female, 26 male	
16p13.11	2	1.61%	15.83%	14.3 (0.69)	1 female, 1 male	1 female, 1 male	21	1.85%	5.46%	41.1 (20.4)	14 female, 7 male	
16p12.1	7	2.80%	7.96%	23.5 (8.45)	2 female, 5 male	2 female, 5 male	6	0.52%	2.40%	40.9 (17.7)	5 female, 1 male	
16p11.2 BP2-BP3 (Distal)	3	1.41%	21.98%	22.5 (9.45)	1 female, 2 male	1 female, 2 male	8	1.40%	9.61%	36 (20.5)	4 female, 4 male	
16p11.2 BP4-BP5 (Proximal)	3	0.77%	36.82%	46.4 (33)	2 female, 1 male	2 female, 1 male	2	7.00%	22.10%	51.1 (2.75)	2 female	
17p12	3	1.20%	3.54%	42 (22.3)	3 male	3 male	5	0.78%	8.15%	33.8 (22.4)	3 female, 2 male	
17q12	2	2.05%	54.78%	31.7 (0.99)	2 female	2 female	9	1.99%	13.27%	45.9 (23.5)	3 female, 6 male	
22q11.1 (3 Mb)	11	9.98%	83.98%	22.6 (14.1)	5 female, 6 male	5 female, 6 male	6	0.20%	14.13%	33.7 (17.1)	4 female, 2 male	
ENIGMA-22q												
Noncarriers (Controls)												
	8870			40.6 (21.4)	4783 female, 4087 male							

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

22q11 (3 Mb) Deletion Carriers	274	9.98%	83.98%	18.52 (9.59)	144 female, 130 male
Noncarriers (Controls)	291			18.34 (9.47)	132 female, 159 male

PenSZ and PenDD for each CNV were previously calculated using large cohorts, as described in Kirov *et al.* (24), and recalculated in Kendall *et al.* (25) using control frequency from the UK Biobank data. Penetrance scores were calculated by multiplying the probability of carrying a specific CNV, given disease status, by the frequency of the disease in the population (which was estimated at 1% for schizophrenia and 4% for developmental disorders [including developmental delay, autism spectrum disorder, and congenital malformations]) (24). CNV, copy number variant; ENIGMA, Enhancing Neuro Imaging Genetics through Meta Analysis; NDD, neurodevelopmental disorder; PenDD, penetrance scores for developmental disorders; PenSZ, penetrance scores for schizophrenia.